# FBI CDE Sprint 6 Recap & Sprint 7 Plan

March 1, 2017


### **PROJECT STATUS**

With the completion of Sprint 6 we have reached the end of the current engagement.


Our mission, per the statement of work (SOW) and the direction established at kickoff, was to deliver a "quick win" for the FBI and set the stage for further modernization efforts.

### Specifically, we were asked to:

- Build an MVP, or first iteration of the Crime Data Explorer (CDE) that provides access to SRS, NIBRS, and LEOKA data in a user-friendly way.
- Design a solution that appeals to law enforcement and the general public by making UCR data more accessible and transparent.



### **LOOKING BACK**



### **FOCUS FOR MVP**

## WHAT?

The first iteration of the CDE is aimed at orienting and guiding users through the data—it's not a dedicated tool for analysis.

### WHO?

Aim for consumers with influence over the public's perception of crime, but make it approachable and accessible for everyone.

## HOW?

Provide multiple pathways to the data—visualization of high-level trends, CSV downloads of SRS and NIBRS, and an open API. \*

<sup>\*</sup> The MVP is built around a single data transfer from new UCR; there is no formal connection between the two systems.

### WHAT WE DELIVERED

The CDE MVP enables users to explore high-level crime trends and incident data by time and location, while providing access to more granular perspectives through downloads and an open API.

The MVP is a start, not an end. It is meant to be tested in order to validate direction and inform product direction.

You can view our progress at: <a href="https://crime-data-explorer-demo.fr.cloud.gov/#/">https://crime-data-explorer-demo.fr.cloud.gov/#/</a>

### Key features & capabilities:

- View summary trends and NIBRS data by crime type, timeframe, and location. The "explorer view" is currently limited to state and national perspectives.
- View related UCR participation and police/census data for a given location.
- View programmatically-generated content & caveats that help explain results.
- Download trend and incident data displayed in the explorer view as a CSV.
- Download bulk incident data by state and year as a CSV.
- Download available hate crime, LEOKA, cargo theft, and human trafficking as a CSV.
- Leverage an open API that allows users to derive insights from available UCR data.
- Access the explorer experience on a mobile device.

# **Sprint 6 Recap**

### WHAT WE DID

- Complete remaining integration work for the explorer view
- Build an about page that explains the goals & limitations of the CDE
- Finalize the datasets available for download
- Address critical performance issues
- Advance designs for county-level perspectives

### We spoke with 1) an open data expert and 2) a UCR program manager:

- Overall, reactions were positive. Both participants saw the CDE as a significant improvement over the existing UCR website.
- Users have varying perspectives as to what the CDE is meant to be at times, we heard "portal for data entry" and "real-time."
- Not everyone understands why some states report SRS, or NIBRS.
- Users expect to be able to drill-down into location-specific details.
- Consumers prefer raw data when downloading it, but will need additional context in order to work with it.

# **Sprint 7 Plan**

#### **TRANSITION**

Sprint 7 is aimed at facilitating the transition to a second phase of work that will be governed by a new agreement. Unlike previous sprints, the next 2 weeks will not be aimed at developing new features, but rather, "hardening" the application for testing and preparing for a workshop that helps outline our remaining priorities



### **SPRINT 7 FOCAL AREAS**

### PLANNING

- Prepare for executive briefing & prioritization workshop at CJIS (TBD)
- Prepare county designs for implementation
- Advance thinking for city-level perspectives

### FUNCTIONAL ENHANCEMENTS


- Indicate when "no data" exists for a given year in a trend
- Add a README & data dictionary to provide context for downloads
- Add a mechanism for providing feedback

### CODE REFACTORING & API OPTIMIZATION

Cleanup & remove unnecessary code

### DESIGN POLISH

### **LOOKING AHEAD (PHASE 2 APPROACH)**



90-day LATO

Long-term ATO

# **Next steps**

### **QUESTIONS, ACTION ITEMS**

- Need to have an alignment call with the project leadership team (Friday) & confirm dates and participants for the March workshop at CJIS
- 18F will request help from CJIS in defining terms for a data dictionary
- Do we need to respond to the VAL findings?
- Any questions related to the IG report?
- How should we handle non-standard NIBRS attributes, such as "R" and "N"?
   See example on right:

# Victim's relationship to the offender

#### **TOTAL INCIDENTS 84,402**



- 38k Victim Was Stranger
- 34k Relationship Unknown
- 6.1k Victim Was Acquaintance
- 3.7k Victim was Otherwise Known
- 800 Victim Was Friend
- 640 Victim Was Boyfriend/Girlfr...
- 230 Victim Was Other Family ...
- 190 Victim Was Neighbor
- 160 Victim Was Parent
- 140 R
- 89 Victim Was Sibling
- 80 N
- 330 Other (15)











