

## acm research.

# Build Night 6.

Pattern-Matching Strategies

(and More Code Work)



#### Team Meeting Agenda.

- Discuss homework & meeting with Dr. Gupta (5min)
- Get everyone on the same page in terms of setup (10min)
- Discuss pattern-matching strategies (10min)
- Discuss code architecture and package structure (5min)
- Code review for outstanding PRs (10min)
- Split up work and implement strategies (30min)
- Review, reflect, and prepare for build night presentation



#### Project Schedule: Vibing.

- 1. Welcome & Problem Definition
- 2. Power Outage Python and Reading Review
- 3. Baseline + Follow-the-Winner Strategies I
- 4. Follow-the-Winner Strategies II
- 5. Follow-the-Loser Strategies
- 6. Pattern-Matching Strategies
- 7. Framework I
- 8. Framework II
- 9. Backtesting, Experimentation, and Comparison
- 10. Poster Work & Presentation Practice

(you are here!)



## Meeting Discussion.



## Setup Time.



## Pattern-Matching Strategies.



#### **About These Strategies**

- It's in the name. Find a period in the market where the stock has behaved similarly and use it
- i.i.d = independent and individually distributed. In this context, it refers to each asset in our portfolio if it were a random variable (stats)



#### Pattern-Matching Framework

- Sample Selection: Select an <u>index set</u> C of historical PRVs that will be used to predict the next one.
  - a. Translation: Get a set of indices of historical price relatives which we'll use
- 2. Assign each PRV a probability using some criteria.
  - a. Could be just straight up 1/|C| or could be something more complex
- 3. Learn an optimal portfolio by maximizing a <u>utility function</u>  $U(\mathbf{b}; C)$  that takes in the current PRV and the index set

$$\mathbf{b}_{t+1} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{b} \in \Delta_m} U(\mathbf{b}; C)$$



# ALGORITHM 2: Sample selection framework $(C(\mathbf{x}_1^t, w))$ . Input: $\mathbf{x}_1^t$ : Historical market sequence; w: window size; Output: C: Index set of similar price relatives. Initialize $C = \emptyset$ ; if $t \leq w + 1$ then | return; end for $i = w + 1, w + 2, \dots, t$ do | if $\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}$ is similar to $\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^t$ then | $C = C \cup \{i\}$ ; | end end

- Histogram-based approach: discretize each historical PRV into  $d_L$  buckets and pick the bucket that the current PRV  $x_{\downarrow}$  falls into
- Unclear as to what types of discretization functions may be acceptable

Nonparametric histogram-based sample selection [Györfi and Schäfer 2003] predefines a set of discretized partitions, partitions both the latest market window  $\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^t$  and the historical market window  $\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}$ ,  $i=w+1,\ldots,t$ , and finally chooses price relative vectors whose  $\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}$  is in the same partition as  $\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^t$ . In particular, given a partition  $P_\ell = A_{j,\ell}, j=1,2,\ldots,d_\ell$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  into  $d_\ell$  disjoint sets and a corresponding discretization function  $G_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = j$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in A_{\ell,j}$ , we can define the similarity set as

$$C_Hig(\mathbf{x}_1^t,wig) = \left\{w < i < t+1: G_\ellig(\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^tig) = G_\ellig(\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}ig)
ight\}.$$

Note that  $\ell$  is adopted to aggregate multiple experts.



- Kernel-based approach: use Euclidean distance to compare two market windows
- If the market window is similar enough (based on some threshold), then we add it to the similarity set

Nonparametric *kernel-based* sample selection [Györfi et al. 2006] identifies the similarity set by comparing two market windows via Euclidean distance:

$$C_Kig(\mathbf{x}_1^t,wig) = ig\{w < i < t+1: \|\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^t - \mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}\| \leq rac{c}{
ho}ig\},$$

where c and  $\ell$  are the thresholds used to control the number of similar samples. Note that the authors adopted two threshold parameters for theoretical analysis.



Nearest-neighbor approach: pick L nearest neighbors via Euclidean distance

Nonparametric *nearest neighbor–based* sample selection [Györfi et al. 2008] searches the price relatives whose preceding market windows are within the  $\ell$  nearest neighbor of latest market window in terms of Euclidean distance:

$$C_Nig(\mathbf{x}_1^t,wig) = ig\{w < i < t+1: \mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1} ext{ is among the $\ell$ NNs of } \mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^tig\},$$

where  $\ell$  is a threshold parameter.



 Correlation-driven approach: find the similarity between two market windows using correlation coefficient

Correlation-driven nonparametric sample selection [Li et al. 2011a] identifies the linear similarity among two market windows via correlation coefficient:

$$C_Cig(\mathbf{x}_1^t,wig) = \left\{w < i < t+1: rac{covig(\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1},\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^tig)}{stdig(\mathbf{x}_{i-w}^{i-1}ig)stdig(\mathbf{x}_{t-w+1}^tig)} \geq 
ho
ight\},$$

where  $\rho$  is a predefined correlation coefficient threshold.



#### **Utility Functions**

See paper.



### Code Architecture.



## Code Review.



## Homework.



#### This Week

On Github Issues!

