InaSAFE Version 4.0 Architecture **Input Layers** Vector Layer optionally with some selected features ...or... Profile IF Thresholds Minimum
Needs Profile IF Buffer
Zones Hazard Layer - hazard_id - hazard_class - hazard_value **Options** Raster on Raster Damage Curve **Workflow Planner** Hazard is raster earthquake? Prepared Hazard Layer (raster MMI earthquake) Loop over every aggregation area Hazard Preparation **Exposure Preparation Aggregation Preparation** copy layer use selected features o This is an open question as to whether we use one approach always or select the approach based on exposure data. Contiguous areas aggregation_name gender_ratio Write affected population nump array to tiff. Raster landcover classes are more CPU intensive for processing. Pixel based areas are more CPU efficient but suffer from poor visualisation and the Aggregate Hazard area will have high geometric complexity. User:

* 1 = rice

* 2 = wheat

* 3 = maize

* 4 = urban

* urban Output fields:
 * exposure_id
 * exposure_class Assign class names We need to describe the based on class ID Analysis layer is a single feature (can be multipart) that Keyword change: continuous to classified these class mappings defines the outer perimeter of the analysis area. Its attributes will hold the totals for the entire Assign class names based on class ID second round of development for this? For now we deal with this data_type changes to classified the same way as with Keyword change:
Use value map to map
dataset classes to InaSAFE Keyword change: attribute field set to type Aggregate Hazard Preparation Keyword change: or (post v4.0): Run analysis and report based on users classes? (in a future version we could input 2: aggregation layer look at this, We would also need to make the user specify which classes represent affected and which Keyword change: attribute_field set to type input 1: hazard layer clipped by aggregation Keyword change: don't). 1) change purpose to Output fields:

* hazard_id Prepared Exposure Layer * aggregation_id

* aggregation_name

* hazard_id (can be NULL) Aggregate hazard with keywords * hazard_class_name Combination of exposure with aggregate hazard Output fields:

* exposure_id * exposure_class

* aggregation_id

* aggregation_name

* hazard_id (can be NULL)

* hazard_class (can be NULL) Output fields:
 * exposure_id
 * exposure_class
 * aggregation_id
 * aggregation_name
 * hazard_id (can be NULL) Impact Layer Updated Aggregate hazard with keywords and post * hazard_class (can be NULL) processor results * extra fields from post processors st process intermediate im aggregate hazard (produced from zonal stats) Summary Calculation **Post-Processor** Comments from Charlotte: aggregated earthquake impact layer Number of features per aggregate Be sure to leave original datasets hazard area will be the **unique** untouched. impact layer combinations of hazard id and Post processing should be written to aggregate-hazard dataset (e.g. number of females in each area) and aggregation id. Reports should still show e.g. input data Number of features per aggregate was continuous hazard, cell size etc area will be calculated using the Ratio fields will be dropped. aggregate hazard layer unique aggregation ids. to the exposure dataset (e.g. cost Where does user get told that their data was Absolute value fields (e.g. count per building) turned into polygons. Ratio fields will be dropped. fields like pop) will be summed. Add message explaining the step name to Absolute value fields (e.g. count String fields will be dropped (e.g. Output fields: progress callbacks. fields like pop) will be summed. building type) * aggregation_id
* aggregation_name
* hazard_id (can be NULL) String fields will be dropped (e.g. Fields will be added with totals building type) for all features per aggregate hazard area and totals for each * hazard_class (can be NULL) * count for each exposure feature If needed, fields will be added with exposure class (e.g. house, per aggregation and hazard poly. totals for all features per aggregate For Future? school etc.). hazard area and totals for each exposure class (e.g. house, school Should we be using UNION rather here? We keep everything and then report only **Example Post Processors** on those areas that have an aggregation id.

* get rid of clip step

* if hazard if bigger than exposure we will Run Postprocessors
Minimum needs for raster population aggregation layer * class rate
* class value
* size rate
* value
* minimum needs keep the non overlapped areas Perhaps as a second path based on options Table will have only one row (for - in some cases user may have large Output fields:

* aggregation_id

* aggregation_name

* totals whole study area). hazard dataset but only want reporting for a * female count specific area in which case we may want to * youth count stick with the clip and mask approach... * adult count

* elderly count

* disabled count

* building vulnerability

* etc. Ratio fields will be dropped. The risk with this approach is that exposure data may be huge beyond the aggregation Absolute value fields (e.g. count fields like pop) will be summed. area. This idea is on hold for now.

String fields will be dropped (e.g.

If needed, fields will be added with

totals for all features per aggregate hazard area and totals for each

exposure class (e.g. house, school

ID District District Flood Area Flood Buildings
Name Count ID Level

1 District 1 57500 Null None 50000

2 District 1 57500 1 High 5000 3 District 1 57500 2.1 Medium 2500

analysis layer