

Numerical Analysis II: approximation

Victor Eijkhout, Karl Schulz

Problem statement

Given a set of points (x_i, f_i) where $f_i = f(x_i)$ for some function f , we want to approximate f in some range.

Approximate by known functions: $F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \phi_j(x)$

objective: $F(x)$ should be “close to $f(x)$ ”

(note: m can be different from n)

Pointwise approximation

From

$$f_i = F(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(x_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

n equations with m unknowns:

$$M_{\mathcal{C}} = \underline{f}, \quad M_{ij} = \phi_j(x_i)$$

- $n = m$: square matrix, can be solved if the ϕ_j linearly independent
- $n \neq m$: over or underdetermined system; for instance solve

$$M^t M_{\mathcal{C}} = M^t \underline{f}$$

Approximation in norm

Minimize the error between f and F :

$$\min_{\underline{c}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (F_i - f_i)^2 \Rightarrow \forall_{k=1, \dots, m}: \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} E = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} E &= \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (F_i - f_i)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} (F_i - f_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[2(F_i - f_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} F_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(F_i - f_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} F_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(F_i - f_i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \phi_j(x_i) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(F_i - f_i) \phi_k(x_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \phi_j(x_i) - f_i \right) \phi_k(x_i) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x_i) \phi_k(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \phi_k(x_i) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow M^t M_{\underline{c}} = M^t \underline{f} \end{aligned}$$

Choice of ϕ_i functions

The ϕ_i functions need to be independent,
desirable to span ever larger polynomial spaces

Easiest choice $\phi_i(x) = x^i - 1$ gives matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{m-1} \\ 1 & & & & x_2^{m-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Vandermonde matrix: very badly conditioned

Lagrange interpolation

Match basis functions to individual interpolation points: let $m = n$ and

$$\ell_j(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq i \\ 1 & j = i \end{cases}$$

Then $F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j \phi_j(x)$

Implementation:

$$\ell_j(x) = \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x - x_k)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k)}$$

Equation $M_{\mathcal{L}} = \underline{f}$ now diagonal matrix

Error of Lagrange interpolation

- If f (the function to be approximated) is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$, $F \equiv f$.
- If f is of higher degree:

$$(F - f)(x) = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)$$

derivatives bounded \Rightarrow error goes down

Newton's method for interpolation

Instead of direct construction, use induction

- Zeroth degree polynomial through one point (x_1, y_1) :

$$p_1(x) = y_1$$

- First degree polynomial through two points:

$$p_2(x) = p_1(x) + c_2(x - x_1)$$

then automatically $p_2(x_1) = p_1(x_1) = y_1$. Furthermore

$$p_2(x_2) = p_1(x_2) + c_2(x_2 - x_1) \Rightarrow c_2 = \dots$$

- General: suppose $p_n(x_i) = y_i$ for $i \leq n$; define

$$p_{n+1}(x) = p_n(x) + c_{n+1}(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)$$

then $p_{n+1}(x_i) = p_n(x_i)$ for $i \leq n$, and from $p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) = y_{n+1}$ we get c_{n+1} .

How does this relate to Lagrange interpolation?

Evaluation of newton polynomial

Naive evaluation: $\text{cost}(p_{n+1}(x)) = 2n + \text{cost}(p_n(x))$: quadratic in n ; this should of course be linear.

- Write

$$\begin{aligned}p_3 &= p_2 + c_3(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \\&= p_1 + c_2(x - x_1) + c_3(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \\&= y_1 + (x - x_1)(c_2 + c_3(x - x_2))\end{aligned}$$

- Similar:

$$p_4 = y_1 + (x - x_1) (c_2 + (x - x_2)(c_3 + c_4(x - x_3)))$$

et cetera. Now the cost is linear.

Hermite interpolation

Same idea as Lagrange, but now also matching derivatives:

$$\forall i,j: h_j(x_i) = 0; \quad h'_j(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq i \\ 1 & j = i \end{cases}$$

Implementation:

$$h_j(x) = \alpha_j(x - x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} (x - x_k)^2$$

Combination of Lagrange and Hermite interpolation for values and derivatives

More interpolation

Certain choices of x_i lead to higher accuracy: “Gaus quadrature points”

Other norms lead to other basis functions, for instance

$$\min_F \int_0^1 w(x)(F(x) - f(x))^2 dx$$

Orthogonal polynomials: $\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.