

## **Autonomous Vehicle Simulation (AVS) Laboratory**

### **Basilisk Technical Memorandum**

Document ID: Basilisk-ThrusterForces

## ALGORITHMS TO MAP DESIRED TORQUE VECTOR ONTO A SET OF THRUSTERS

Prepared by	H. Schaub
-------------	-----------

Status: Draft

Scope/Contents

Include a short summary of what this system engineering report is about. Should be 300 words or less.

Rev:	Change Description	Ву
v0.1	Updated the thruster force evaluation to account for center of mass	H. Schaub
	offsets	
v0.2	Updated the figure and the $\left[ C  ight]$ matrix notation	H. Schaub

#### **Contents**

1	Introduction	1
	Simple Thruster Force Algorithm for a Thruster Configuration with Full Torque Controllability	2
	Module Parameters	
	3.1 $\epsilon$ Parameter	3
	3.2 $[C]$ matrix	4
	3.3 thrForceSign Parameter	4




Fig. 1: Illustration of the Spacecraft Thruster Notation

#### 1 Introduction

This technical note describes a general algorithm that maps a desired ADCS external control torque  $L_r$  onto force commands for a cluster of thrusters. Let  $\hat{c}_j$  be the axis about which the thrusters are to produce the desired torque. The module can accept up to 3 orthogonal control axis  $\hat{c}_j$ . The  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $L_r$  is given by

$$L_{r,j} = \mathbf{L}_r \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j \tag{1}$$

The  $i^{\text{th}}$  thruster location relative to the spacecraft point B is given by  $r_i$  as illustrated in Figure 1. The unit direction vector of the thruster force is  $\hat{g}_{t_i}$ , while the thruster force is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_i = F_i \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{t_i} \tag{2}$$

The toque vector produced by each thruster about the body fixed point B is thus

$$\tau_i = (r_i - r_{COM}) \times F_i \hat{g}_{t_i} \tag{3}$$

The total torque onto the spacecraft about the body fixed axis  $\hat{c}_i$ , due to a cluster of N thrusters, is

$$\tau_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{c}}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ((\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathsf{COM}}) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}_{t_i}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{c}}_j F_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i F_i \tag{4}$$

where

$$d_i = ((\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{COM}) \times \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{t_i}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}_i \tag{5}$$

In matrix form, the net spacecraft torque about the  $j^{th}$  axis is written compactly as

$$\tau_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1} \cdots d_{N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{N} \end{bmatrix} = [D] \mathbf{F}$$

$$(6)$$

where [D] is a  $1 \times N$  matrix that maps the thruster forces  $F_i$  to the spacecraft torque  $\tau$ .

# 2 Simple Thruster Force Algorithm for a Thruster Configuration with Full Torque Controllability

The goal of the thruster force algorithm is to determine a set of thruster forces F such that the net force onto the spacecraft is

$$\tau_j = \mathbf{L}_r \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = [D] \mathbf{F}_j \tag{7}$$

without bleeding torque onto the un-controlled axes.

The following algorithm is applied individually to control the desired torque about each  $\hat{c}_j$  axis. The first step to determine which thruster forces  $F_i$  are contributing with the desired sign. If on-pulsing is used for attitude control, then only positive forces are sought. In contrast, if off-pulsing is used to achieve the required control torque, then negative thruster force solutions are sought. Each thruster can only produce a positive force. With off-pulsing, the nominal thrust force plus the negative correction must still yield a non-negative thrust force. The module parameter thrForceSign is either +1 or -1 to account for the desired force sign. The value of this parameter is represented through  $s_F$ .

Using a minimum norm inverse of Eq. (7) yields

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = [D]^{T} ([D][D]^{T})^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{i}$$
(8)

This minimum norm inverse only requires inverting a  $1 \times 1$  matrix. Using the SVD inverse technique, the value of this  $1 \times 1$  matrix is the singular value. Thus, if this singular value is below a specified threshold  $\epsilon$ , the thruster configuration is not contributing to a torque about the  $\hat{c}_j$  axis. In this case the inverse of this matrix is set to zero, and not thruster forces contribute to the desired torque about this axis.

Note that this force stack F contains both positive and negative force values. Another step is required to ensure that the thrusters can only produce the desired force sign. Assume there are M force values in  $F_j$  with a sign that matches  $s_F$ . The locations of these values is provided in the N-dimensional array  $t_{\rm used}$  which contains either 0 or 1 values. For example, consider N=8 and only thrusters 2 and 6 produce forces of the desired sign. In this case we find

$$t_{\text{used}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (9)

This reduces the thruster force search to a subset of M thrusters. Let  $\bar{F}_j$  be a  $M\times 1$  matrix of to be determined thruster forces. The corresponding  $3\times M$  mapping matrix  $[\bar{D}]$  that projects  $\bar{F}_j$  onto a net body torque about point B is defined as:

$$[\bar{D}] = [\bar{d}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \bar{d}_M] \tag{10}$$

with

$$\bar{d}_i = (r_i - r_{\mathsf{COM}}) \times \hat{g}_i \tag{11}$$

The net torque due to  $ar{F}_j$  is

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{j} = [\bar{D}]\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j} \tag{12}$$

To enforce that  $\bar{F}_j$  only produces the desired torque about the  $\hat{c}_j$  axis, and not any torque about other axes, the following condition is established:

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_r)\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}_i = [\bar{D}]\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_i \tag{13}$$

If the mapping matrix  $[\bar{D}]$  has rank 3, then a minimum norm inverse can be used to determine the smallest set of thruster forces that satisfy Eq. (13).

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}_j = [\bar{D}]^T ([\bar{D}][\bar{D}]^T)^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j (\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j \cdot \mathbf{L}_r)$$
(14)

The rank condition can easily be checked by computing if the determinant of  $[\bar{D}][\bar{D}]^T$  is greater than zero. If yes, a minimum norm inverse can be taken without numerical difficulties.

If the determinant of  $[\bar{D}][\bar{D}]^T$  is near zero, then  $\bar{F}_j$  cannot generate a general 3D torque vector. As the spacecraft is setup with pairs of thrusters to produce the control torques, in this case the rank of  $[\bar{D}]$  is 2, and not all body axis are influenced by  $\bar{F}_j$ . In this case the thruster forces are determined through a least-squares inverse that selects  $\bar{F}_j$  such that the controllable axes satisfy the condition in Eq. (13).

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}_j = ([\bar{D}]^T [\bar{D}])^{-1} [\bar{D}]^T \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j (\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j \cdot \mathbf{L}_r)$$
(15)

The final step is to sum the individual  $\bar{F}_j$  thruster solutions to the yield the net set of thruster forces required to produce  $L_r$ . This is done using the  $t_{\text{used}}$  matrix to determine which thrusters have non-zero contributions.

If the thruster cluster configuration is such that pairs of thrusters produce full controllability, then the minimum norm solution to produce the desired  $L_r$  will also result in a thruster solution that produces a net 0 force onto the spacecraft. Using the super-particle theorem, <sup>1</sup> the total thruster force is given by

$$F_{T,j} = [G_t]F_j = [G_t][D]^T([D][D])^{-1}L_r \cdot \hat{c}_j = 0$$
 (16)

With a pure-couple thruster configuration the expression satisfies  $[G_t][D]^T = \mathbf{0}$ .

#### 3 Module Parameters

#### 3.1 $\epsilon$ Parameter

The minimum norm inverse in Eq. (8) requires a non-zero value of  $[D][D]^T$ . For this setup, this matrix is a scalar value

$$D_2 = [D][D]^T \tag{17}$$

The  $d_i$  matrix components are given in Eq. (5). Using the robust SVD inverse technique,  $D_2 > \epsilon$ , then the  $1/D_2$  math is evaluated as normal. However, if  $D_2 < \epsilon$ , then the inverse  $1/D_2$  is set to zero. In the latter case there is no control authority about the current axis of interest. To set this epsilon parameter,

not the definition of the [D] matrix components  $d_i = (\mathbf{r}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{t_i}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j$ . Note that  $\mathbf{r}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{t_i}$  is a scaled axis along which the  $i^{\text{th}}$  thruster can produce a torque. The value  $d_i$  will be near zero if the dot product of this axis with the current control axis  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_i$  is small.

To determine an appropriate  $\epsilon$  value, let  $\alpha$  be the minimum desired angle to avoid the control axis  $\hat{c}_j$  and the scaled thruster torque axis  $r_i \times \hat{g}_{t_i}$  being orthogonal. If  $\bar{r}$  is a mean distance of the thrusters to the spacecraft center of mass, then the  $d_i$  values must satisfy

$$\frac{d_i}{\bar{r}} > \cos(90^\circ - \alpha) = \sin \alpha \tag{18}$$

Thus, to estimate a good value of  $\epsilon$ , the following formula can be used

$$\epsilon \approx d_i^2 = \sin^2 \alpha \ \bar{r}^2 \tag{19}$$

For example, if  $\bar{r}=1.3$  meters, and we want  $\alpha$  to be at least 1°, then we would set  $\epsilon=0.000515$ .

#### 3.2 [C] matrix

The module requires control axis matrix [C] to be defined. Up to 3 orthogonal control axes can be selected. Let M be the number of control axes. The  $M \times 3$  [C] matrix is then defined as

$$[C] = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \tag{20}$$

Not that in python the matrix is given in a 1D form by defining controlAxes\_B. Thus, the  $\hat{c}_j$  axes are concatenated to produce the input matrix [C].

#### 3.3 thrForceSign Parameter

Before this module can be run, the parameter thrForceSign must be set to either +1 (on-pulsing) or -1 (off-pulsing.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Hanspeter Schaub and John L. Junkins. *Analytical Mechanics of Space Systems*. AIAA Education Series, Reston, VA, 3rd edition, 2014.