

Ans1. Semaphore was proposed by Dijkstra in 1965 which is a very significant technique to manage concurrent processes by using a simple integer value, which is known as semaphore. It is simply an integer variable that is shared b/w threads. This variable is used to solve the critical section problem using two atomic operations, wait & signal that are used for process synchronizations.

wait \Rightarrow The wait operation decrements the value of its argument S, if it is positive. If S is zero or negative, then no operation is performed.

wait(S)

```
{ while (S<=0);
    S--;
}
```

signal \Rightarrow The signal operation increments the value of its argument S.

signal(S)

```
{ S++;
}
```

Types of Semaphores

- i) Counting Semaphores \Rightarrow These are integers value semaphores and have an unrestricted value domain.

(ii) Binary Semaphore \Rightarrow

The binary semaphores are like counting semaphore but their value is restricted to 0 or 1. The wait operation only works when semaphore is 1 and the signal operation succeeds when semaphore is 0.

Semaphores allow only one process into the critical section and follow mutual exclusion principle strictly.

Ans 2. A critical section is a segment of code which can be accessed by a single process at a specific point of time. The section consists of shared data resources that required to be accessed by other processes.

Requirements of Critical Section Problem's solⁿ

- Mutual Exclusion \Rightarrow It is a special type of binary semaphore which is used for controlling access to the shared resource. It includes a priority inheritance mechanism to avoid extended priority inversion problems. Not more than one process can execute in its critical sections at one time.
- Progress \Rightarrow This solⁿ is used when no one is in the critical section, and someone wants it. Then those processes not in their remainder section should decide who should go in, in a finite time.

28/20/2028 (3)

and waiting \Rightarrow after a process makes a request for getting into critical section, there is a specific limit about number of processes can get into their critical sections. So, when the limit is reached, the system must allow request to the process to get into its critical sections.

Ans 4. a. Need = Max - Allocations

	A	B	C	D
P ₀	0	0	0	0
P ₁	0	2	5	0
P ₂	1	0	0	2
P ₃	0	0	2	0
P ₄	0	6	4	2

Available			
A	B	C	D
1	5	2	0
0	4	2	0
1	1	0	0

Safe sequence is $\langle P_0, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_1 \rangle$

b. No need for P₀ \leq Available.

After completion of P₀.

Available \Rightarrow Available + allocation =

0	0	1	2
1	5	2	0
1	5	3	2

Since Need(P₁) $>$ Available, so request of P₁ cannot be satisfied.

No Need(P₂) $<$ Available. After completion of P₂

New Available \Rightarrow Available + allocation =

1	5	3	2
1	3	5	4
2	8	8	6

New Available \rightarrow 2 8 8 6

3) No Need(P₃) $<$ Available, after completion of P₃.

New Available \Rightarrow Available + allocation =

2	8	8	6
0	6	3	2
4	11	8	8

28/20/2028

As $\text{Need}(P_4) < \text{Available}$, after completion of P_4

$$\text{New Available} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} 2 & 14 & 11 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 14 & 12 & 12 \end{matrix}$$

As $\text{Need}(P_1) < \text{Available}$, after completion of P_4

$$\text{New Available} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} 2 & 14 & 12 & 12 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 3 & 14 & 12 & 12 \end{matrix}$$

So the system is in safe state.

c. Request $(0, 4, 2, 0) \leq \text{Available } (1, 5, 2, 0)$

New Snapshot \Rightarrow

Process	Allocation				Need				Available			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
P0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
P1	1	4	2	0	10	3	3	0	1	0	0	0
P2	1	3	5	4	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
P3	0	6	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
P4	0	0	1	4	0	6	4	2	0	0	0	0

\therefore With this snapshot, we can get a safe sequence
 $\langle P_0 \ P_2 \ P_3 \ P_4 \ P_1 \rangle$

Thus, request of P_1 for $(0, 4, 2, 0)$ can be granted
immediately.

Ans 3. The producer-consumer problem is a classical
multi-process synchronization problem, that is
we are trying to achieve synchronization b/w more
than one process. If the result is wrong

use the consumer should get each integer produced by the producer exactly once. A problem such as this is called a race condition.

Each of the processes has some sharable and some non-sharable resources. The sharable resources can be shared among the cooperating processes. The non-cooperating processes don't need to share the resource. Now, the question is what is the race condition. When we synchronize the processes and the synchronization is not proper then the race condition occurs. We can define race conditions as follows -

A race condition is a condition where there are many processes and every process shares the data with each other and accessing the data concurrently, and the output of execution depends on a particular sequence in which they share the data and access.

- counter ++ can be implemented as

register 1 = counter

register 1 = register 1 + 1

counter = register 1

- counter -- can be implemented as

register 2 = counter

register 2 = register 2 - 1

counter = register 2

28/20/2008 (6)

• consider this execution interleaving with
"count = 5" initially:

- S0: producer execute register 1 = counter { register 1 = 3 }
- S1: producer execute register 1 = register 1 + 1 { register 1 = 6 }
- S2: producer execute register 2 = counter { register 2 = 5 }
- S3: producer execute register 2 = register 2 - 1 { register 2 = 4 }
- S4: producer execute counter = register 1 { counter = 6 }
- S5: consumer execute counter = register 2 { counter = 4 }

The above depicts the race condition using producer-consumer.

→ To prevent the race condition, we need to ensure that only one process can access the shared data at a time.