

Fundamental Haskell notes

Anton Latukha

February 25, 2020

Contents

I	Introduction	24
II	Definitions	27
1	Algebra	28
1.1	*	28
1.2	Algebraic	28
1.3	Algebraic structure	28
1.3.1	*	29
1.3.2	Fundamental theorem of algebra	29
1.4	Modular arithmetic	29
1.4.1	*	29
1.4.2	Modulus	30
1.4.2.1	*	30
2	Bind	31
2.1	*	31
3	Category theory	32
3.1	*	33
3.2	Abelian category	33
3.2.1	*	34
3.3	Composition	34
3.3.1	*	34
3.4	Endofunctor category	34
3.5	Functor	34
3.5.1	*	35
3.5.2	Power set functor	35
3.5.2.1	*	36
3.5.2.2	Power set functor laws	36
3.5.2.3	Lift	36
3.5.2.4	Power set functor is a free monad	37
3.5.3	Functorial	37
3.5.4	Forgetful functor	37
3.5.4.1	*	37
3.5.5	Identity functor	37
3.5.6	Endofunctor	37
3.5.6.1	*	37

3.5.7	Applicative functor	38
3.5.7.1	*	38
3.5.7.2	Applicative law	38
3.5.7.3	*	38
3.5.7.4	Applicative function	39
3.5.7.5	Special applicatives	40
3.5.7.6	Monad	41
3.5.7.7	Alternative type class	56
3.5.7.8	$\ll\leq^*\geq^*\gg$	56
3.5.8	Monoidal functor	57
3.5.9	Fusion	57
3.5.10	$\ll\leq^*\geq^*\gg$	57
3.5.11	Multifunctor	57
3.5.11.1	*	57
3.5.12	*	58
3.6	Hask category	58
3.6.1	*	58
3.7	Magma	58
3.7.1	Mag category	58
3.7.1.1	*	58
3.7.2	Semigroup	59
3.7.2.1	*	59
3.7.2.2	Monoid	59
3.8	Morphism	61
3.8.1	*	61
3.8.2	Homomorphism	61
3.8.2.1	*	62
3.8.3	Identity morphism	62
3.8.3.1	Identity	62
3.8.3.2	Identity function	62
3.8.4	Monomorphism	62
3.8.4.1	*	63
3.8.5	Epimorphism	63
3.8.5.1	*	63
3.8.6	Isomorphism	63
3.8.6.1	*	64
3.8.6.2	Lax	64
3.8.7	Endomorphism	64
3.8.7.1	Automorphism	64
3.8.7.2	*	65
3.8.8	Catamorphism	65
3.8.8.1	*	65
3.8.8.2	Catamorphism law	65
3.8.8.3	Anamorphism	66
3.8.9	Kernel	66
3.8.9.1	Kernel homomorphism	66
3.9	Object	66
3.9.1	*	67
3.9.2	Terminal object	67

3.9.3	Initial object	67
3.10	Set category	68
3.11	Natural transformation	68
3.11.1	*	69
3.11.2	Natural transformation component	69
3.11.2.1	*	70
3.11.3	Natural transformation in Haskell	70
3.11.4	Cat category	70
3.11.4.1	*	70
3.11.4.2	Bicategory	70
3.12	Category dual	71
3.12.1	Coalgebra	71
3.13	Thin category	71
3.13.1	*	72
3.14	Commuting diagram	72
3.14.1	*	72
3.15	Universal construction	72
3.15.1	*	72
3.16	Product	73
3.16.1	*	73
3.17	Coproduct	73
3.17.1	*	73
3.18	Free object	74
3.19	Internal category	74
3.20	Hom set	74
3.20.1	*	74
3.20.2	Hom-functor	74
3.20.3	Exponential object	75
3.20.3.1	*	75
3.20.3.2	Enriched category	75
4	Data type	76
4.1	*	76
4.2	Actual type	76
4.3	Algebraic data type	76
4.3.1	*	76
4.4	Cardinality	76
4.4.1	*	77
4.5	Data constant	77
4.6	Data constructor	77
4.7	data declaration	77
4.8	Dependent type	77
4.8.1	*	77
4.9	Gen type	77
4.10	Higher-kinded data type	78
4.10.1	*	78
4.11	newtype declaration	78
4.12	Principal type	78
4.13	Product data type	78

4.13.1 *	79
4.13.2 Sequence	79
4.13.2.1 *	79
4.13.2.2 List	80
4.14 Proxy type	80
4.15 Static typing	80
4.16 Structural type	80
4.16.1 *	80
4.17 Structural type system	81
4.17.1 *	81
4.18 Sum data type	81
4.19 Type alias	81
4.20 Type class	81
4.20.1 *	81
4.20.2 Arbitrary type class	81
4.20.2.1 Arbitrary function	81
4.20.3 CoArbitrary type class	82
4.20.3.1 *	82
4.20.4 Typeable type class	82
4.20.4.1 *	82
4.20.5 Type class inheritance	82
4.20.6 Derived instance	82
4.20.6.1 *	83
4.21 Type constant	83
4.22 Type constructor	83
4.23 type declaration	84
4.24 Typed hole	84
4.24.1 *	84
4.25 Type inference	84
4.25.1 *	84
4.26 Type class instance	84
4.27 Type rank	84
4.27.1 *	85
4.28 Type variable	85
4.29 Unlifted type	85
4.29.1 *	86
4.30 Data structure	86
4.30.1 Cons cell	86
4.30.2 Construct	86
4.30.2.1 *	86
4.30.3 Leaf	86
4.30.4 Node	86
4.31 Linear type	86
4.31.1 *	86
4.32 NonEmpty list data type	87
4.33 Session type	87
4.34 Binary tree	87
4.35 Bottom value	87
4.35.1 *	87

4.36	Bound	87
4.36.1	*	87
4.37	Constructor	88
4.37.1	*	88
4.38	Context	88
4.38.1	*	88
4.39	Inhabit	88
4.40	Maybe	88
4.40.0.1	*	89
4.41	Expected type	89
4.42	ADT	89
4.43	Concrete type	89
4.44	Type punning	89
5	Declaration	90
6	Differential operator	91
6.1	*	91
7	Dispatch	92
8	Effect	93
9	Evaluation	94
10	Expression	95
10.1	*	95
10.2	Closed-form expression	95
10.3	RHS	95
10.4	LHS	96
10.5	Redex	96
10.6	Concatenate	96
10.7	Alpha equivalence	96
10.8	Ground expression	96
10.8.1	*	96
10.9	Variable	96
10.9.1	*	96
11	First-class	97
12	Function	98
12.1	*	99
12.2	Arity	99
12.3	Bijection	99
12.3.1	*	100
12.4	Combinator	100
12.5	Function application	100
12.5.1	*	100
12.6	Function body	100
12.7	Function composition	100

12.7.1 *	101
12.8 Function head	101
12.9 Function range	101
12.10 Higher-order function	101
12.10.1 *	101
12.10.2 Fold	101
12.11 Injection	102
12.11.1 *	102
12.12 Partial function	102
12.13 Purity	102
12.13.1 *	102
12.14 Pure function	103
12.15 Sectioning	103
12.16 Surjection	103
12.16.1 *	103
12.17 Unsafe function	103
12.17.1 *	103
12.18 Variadic	103
12.19 Domain	104
12.20 Codomain	104
12.21 Open formula	104
12.22 Recursion	104
12.22.1 *	104
12.22.2 Base case	104
12.22.3 Tail recursion	104
12.22.4 Polymorphic recursion	104
12.22.4.1 *	105
12.23 Free variable	105
12.24 Closure	105
12.24.1 *	105
12.25 Parameter	105
12.25.1 *	105
12.26 Partial application	106
12.26.1 *	106
12.27 Well-formed formula	106
12.27.1 *	106
13 Homotopy	107
13.1 *	107
14 IO	108
15 Kind	109
15.1 *	109

16 Lambda calculus	110
16.1 *	110
16.2 Lambda cube	110
16.2.1 *	111
16.3 Lambda function	111
16.3.1 *	111
16.3.2 Anonymous lambda function	111
16.3.2.1 *	111
16.4 β -reduction	111
16.4.1 *	112
16.4.2 β -normal form	112
16.4.2.1 *	112
16.5 Calculus of constructions	112
16.5.1 *	112
16.6 Curry–Howard correspondence	112
16.6.1 *	113
16.7 Currying	113
16.7.1 *	113
16.8 Hindley–Milner type system	113
16.8.1 *	113
16.9 Reduction	113
16.9.1 *	114
16.10 β - η normal form	114
16.10.1 *	114
16.11 η -abstraction	114
16.11.1 *	114
16.12 Lambda expression	114
17 Lense	115
18 Operation	116
18.1 Constant	116
18.2 Binary operation	116
18.2.1 *	116
18.3 Operator	116
18.3.1 Shift operator	116
18.3.1.1 *	117
18.4 Infix	117
18.5 Fixity	117
18.5.1 *	117
18.6 Zero	117
19 Permutation	119
20 Phrase	120

21 Point-free	121
21.1 *	121
21.2 Blackbird	121
21.2.1 *	122
21.3 Swing	122
21.4 Squish	122
22 Polymorphism	123
22.1 *	123
22.2 Levy polymorphism	123
22.3 Parametric polymorphism	123
22.3.1 Rank-1 polymorphism	123
22.3.1.1 *	124
22.3.2 Let-bound polymorphism	124
22.3.3 Constrained polymorphism	124
22.3.3.1 Ad hoc polymorphism	124
22.3.4 Impredicative polymorphism	124
22.3.4.1 *	125
22.3.5 Higher-rank polymorphism	125
22.3.5.1 *	125
22.4 Subtype polymorphism	125
22.5 Row polymorphism	126
22.6 Kind polymorphism	126
22.7 Linearity polymorphism	126
23 Pragma	127
23.1 LANGUAGE pragma	127
23.1.1 LANGUAGE option	127
23.1.1.1 *	127
23.1.1.2 Useful by default	127
23.1.1.3 AllowAmbiguousTypes	127
23.1.1.4 ApplicativeDo	128
23.1.1.5 ConstrainedClassMethods	128
23.1.1.6 CPP	128
23.1.1.7 DeriveFunctor	128
23.1.1.8 ExplicitForAll	129
23.1.1.9 FlexibleContexts	129
23.1.1.10 FlexibleInstances	129
23.1.1.11 GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving	129
23.1.1.12 ImplicitParams	129
23.1.1.13 LambdaCase	130
23.1.1.14 MultiParamTypeClasses	130
23.1.1.15 MultiWayIf	130
23.1.1.16 OverloadedStrings	130
23.1.1.17 PartialTypeSignatures	130
23.1.1.18 RankNTypes	131
23.1.1.19 ScopedTypeVariables	131
23.1.1.20 TupleSections	132
23.1.1.21 TypeApplications	132

23.1.1.22	TypeSynonymInstances	132
23.1.1.23	UndecidableInstances	132
23.1.1.24	ViewPatterns	132
23.1.1.25	DatatypeContexts	133
23.1.1.26	StandaloneKindSignatures	133
23.1.1.27	PartialTypeSignatures	134
23.1.2	How to make a GHC LANGUAGE extension	134
24	Predicative	136
25	Compositionality	137
25.1	*	137
26	Ψ-combinator	138
26.1	*	138
27	Quantifier	139
27.1	*	139
27.2	Forall quantifier	139
27.2.1	*	139
28	Referential transparency	140
28.1	*	140
29	Relation	141
29.1	*	141
30	REPL	142
31	Semantics	143
31.1	Operational semantics	143
31.2	Denotational semantics	143
31.2.1	Abstraction	143
31.2.1.1	*	144
31.2.1.2	Leaky abstraction	144
31.2.2	Ambigram	144
31.2.3	Binary	144
31.2.4	Arbitrary	144
31.2.5	Refutable	145
31.2.6	Irrefutable	145
31.3	Axiomatic semantics	145
31.3.1	Property	145
31.3.1.1	*	145
31.3.1.2	Associativity	145
31.3.1.3	Left associative	146
31.3.1.4	Right associative	146
31.3.1.5	Non-associative	146
31.3.1.6	Basis	146
31.3.1.7	Commutativity	147
31.3.1.8	Idempotence	147

31.3.1.9	Distributive property	148
31.4	Argument	148
31.4.1	Argument of a function	148
31.4.1.1	*	148
31.5	Content word	148
31.6	Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes	149
31.6.1	*	149
31.7	Idiom	149
31.7.1	*	149
31.8	Impredicative	149
31.9	Context-free grammar	149
31.9.1	*	149
32	Set	151
32.1	*	151
32.2	Closed set	151
32.3	Power set	151
32.4	Singleton	151
32.5	Russell's paradox	152
32.6	Cartesian product	152
32.6.1	Pullback	152
32.6.1.1	*	152
33	Shrinking	153
34	Spine	154
35	Superclass	155
36	Tensor	156
36.1	*	156
37	Testing	157
37.1	Property testing	157
37.1.1	Function property	157
37.1.2	Property testing type	157
37.1.3	Generator	157
37.1.3.1	*	158
37.1.3.2	Custom generator	158
37.1.4	Reusing test code	158
37.1.4.1	Test Commutative property	159
37.1.4.2	Test Symmetry property	159
37.1.4.3	Test Equivalence property	159
37.1.4.4	Test Inverse property	159
37.1.5	QuickCheck	159
37.1.5.1	Manual automation with QuickCheck properties	159
37.2	Write tests algorithm	160
38	Uncurry	161

39 Unit	162
40 Nullary	163
41 Logic	164
41.1 Proposition	164
41.1.1 *	164
41.1.2 Atomic proposition	164
41.1.2.1 *	164
41.1.3 Compound proposition	164
41.1.3.1 *	164
41.1.4 Propositional logic	165
41.1.4.1 *	165
41.1.4.2 First-order logic	165
41.2 Logical connective	165
41.2.1 *	166
41.2.2 Conjunction	166
41.2.3 Disjunction	166
41.3 Predicate	166
41.4 Statement	166
41.4.1 *	167
41.5 Iff	167
42 Haskell structures	168
42.1 Pattern match	168
42.1.1 As-pattern	168
42.1.1.1 *	168
42.1.2 Wild-card	168
42.1.2.1 *	169
42.1.3 Case	169
42.1.4 Guard	169
42.1.4.1 *	169
42.1.5 Pattern guard	169
42.1.5.1 *	170
42.1.6 Lazy pattern	170
42.1.6.1 *	170
42.1.7 Pattern binding	171
42.1.7.1 *	171
42.2 Smart constructor	171
42.3 Level of code	171
42.3.1 *	171
42.3.2 Type level	171
42.3.2.1 Type level declaration	171
42.3.2.2 Type check	172
42.3.3 Term level	172
42.3.4 Compile level	172
42.3.4.1 *	172
42.3.5 Runtime level	173
42.3.6 Kind level	173

42.3.6.1 Kind check	173
42.4 Orphan type instance	173
42.5 Undefined	174
42.6 Hierarchical module name	174
42.6.1 *	179
42.7 import	180
42.8 Let	180
42.8.1 *	181
42.9 Where	181
42.9.1 *	181
42.10Reserved word	181
42.10.1 *	181
42.11Haskell Language Report	182
42.11.1 *	182
42.12Haskell'	182
42.12.1 *	182
43 Computer science	183
43.1 Guerrilla patch	183
43.1.1 Monkey patch	183
43.2 Interface	183
43.3 Module	183
43.4 Scope	183
43.4.1 Dynamic scope	184
43.4.2 Lexical scope	184
43.4.2.1 *	184
43.4.3 Local scope	184
43.4.3.1 *	184
43.5 Shadowing	184
43.6 Syntactic sugar	184
43.7 System F	184
43.7.1 *	184
43.8 Tail call	185
43.9 Thunk	185
43.10Application memory	185
43.11Turing machine	185
43.11.1 Turing complete	185
43.11.1.1 *	185
44 Graph theory	186
44.1 Successor	186
44.1.1 Direct successor	186
44.2 Predecessor	186
44.2.1 Direct predecessor	186
44.3 Degree	186
44.3.1 Indegree	186
44.3.2 Outdegree	186
44.4 Adjacency matrix	187
44.4.0.1 InstanceSigs	187

44.5 Strongly connected	187
44.5.1 *	187
44.5.2 Strongly connected component	187
44.5.2.1 *	187
45 Linear	188
45.1 *	188
46 Stream	189
47 Bisimulation	190
47.1 *	190
48 Syntax tree	191
48.1 Abstract syntax tree	191
48.1.1 *	191
48.2 Concrete syntax tree	191
48.2.1 *	191
49 Domain specific language	192
49.1 *	192
49.2 Embedded domain specific language	192
49.2.1 *	192
50 Tagless-final	193
 III Give definitions	 194
51 Identity type	195
52 Constant type	196
53 Gen	197
54 Tensorial strength	198
55 Strong monad	199
56 Weak head normal form	200
56.1 *	200
57 Function image	201
57.1 *	201
58 Invertible	202
59 Invertibility	203

60 Define LANGUAGE pragma options	204
60.1 ExistentialQuantification	204
60.2 GADTs	204
60.3 *	204
60.4 GeneralizedNewTypeClasses	204
60.5 FuncitonalDependencies	204
61 GHC check keys	205
61.1 -Wno-partial-type-signatures	205
62 Generalised algebraic data types	206
62.1 *	206
63 Order theory	207
63.1 Domain theory	207
63.2 Lattice	207
63.3 Order	207
63.3.1 Preorder	207
63.3.1.1 *	208
63.3.1.2 Total preorder	208
63.3.2 Partial order	208
63.3.2.1 *	208
63.4 Partial order	208
63.5 Total order	208
64 Universal algebra	209
65 Relation	210
65.1 Reflexivity	210
65.1.1 *	210
65.2 Irreflexivity	210
65.2.1 *	210
65.3 Transitivity	210
65.3.1 *	211
65.4 Symmetry	211
65.4.1 *	211
65.5 Equivalence	211
65.5.1 *	211
65.6 Antisymmetry	211
65.6.1 *	211
65.7 Asymmetry	212
65.7.1 *	212
66 Cryptomorphism	213
66.1 *	213
67 Lexically scoped type variables	214
68 Abstract data type	215
68.1 *	215

69 Concrete type	216
70 Functional dependencies	217
71 MonoLocalBinds	218
72 KindSignatures	219
73 ExplicitNamespaces	220
74 Combinator pattern	221
75 Symbolic expression	222
75.1 *	222
76 Polynomial	223
76.1 *	223
77 Data family	224
78 Type synonym family	225
79 Indexed type family	226
79.1 *	226
80 TypeFamilies	227
81 Error	228
81.1 *	228
82 Exception	229
82.1 *	229
83 ConstraintKinds	230
84 Specialisation	231
84.1 *	231
85 Diagram	232
86 Cathegory theoretical presheaf	233
87 Topological presheaf	234
88 Diagonal functor	235
89 Limit functor	236
90 Dual vector space	237
91 Fundamental group	238
92 Algebra of continuous function	239

93	Tangent and cotangent bundle	240
94	Group action / representation	241
95	Lie algebra	242
96	Tensor product	243
97	Forgetful functor	244
98	Free functor	245
99	Homomorphism group	246
100	Representable functor	247
101	Corecursion	248
102	Coinduction	249
103	Initial algebra of an endofunctor	250
104	Terminal coalgebra for an endofunctor	251
IV Citations		252
V Good code		254
105	Good: Type aliasing	255
106	Good: Type wideness	256
107	Good: Print	257
108	Good: Fold	258
109	Good: Computation model	259
110	Good: Make bottoms only local	260
111	Good: Newtype wrap is ideally transparent for compiler and does not change performance	261
112	Good: Instances of types/type classes must go with code you write	262
113	Good: Functions can be abstracted as arguments	263
114	Good: Infix operators can be bind to arguments	264
115	Good: Arbitrary	265

116	Good: Principle of Separation of concerns	266
117	Good: Function composition	267
118	Good: Point-free	268
118.1	Good: Point-free is great in multi-dimentionns	268
119	Good: Functor application	269
120	Good: Parameter order	270
121	Good: Applicative monoid	271
122	Good: Creative process	272
122.1	Pick phylosophy principles one to three the more - the harder the implementation	272
122.2	Draw the most blurred representation	272
122.3	Deduce abstractions and write remotely what they are	272
122.4	Model of computation	272
122.4.1	Model the domain	272
122.4.2	Model the types	272
122.4.3	Think how to write computations	272
122.5	Create	272
123	<Good: About operators (<\$) (**>) (<*) (>>) »>	273
124	Good: About functions like {mapM, sequence}_	274
125	Good: Guideliles	275
125.1	Wiki.haskell	275
125.1.1	Documentation	275
125.1.1.1	Comments write in application terms, not technical.	275
125.1.1.2	Tell what code needs to do not how it does.	275
125.1.2	Haddock	275
125.1.2.1	Put haddock comments to ever exposed data type and function.	275
125.1.2.2	Haddock header	275
125.1.3	Code	275
125.1.3.1	Try to stay closer to portable (Haskell98) code	275
125.1.3.2	Try make lines no longer 80 chars	275
125.1.3.3	Last char in file should be newline	275
125.1.3.4	Symbolic infix identifiers is only library writer right	275
125.1.3.5	Every function does one thing.	275
126	Good: Use Typed holes to progress the code	276
127	Good: Haskell allows infinite terms but not infinite types	277
128	Good: Use type sysnonims to differ the information	278
129	<Good: Control.Monad.Error -> Control.Monad.Except»>	279

130Good: Monad OR Applicative	280
130.0.1 Start writing monad using 'return', 'ap', 'liftM', 'liftM2', '»' instead of 'do', '»='	280
130.0.2 Basic case when Applicative can be used	280
130.0.3 Applicative block vs Monad block	280
131Good: Haskell Package Versioning Policy	282
131.1 *	282
132Good: Linear type	283
133Good: Exception vs Error	284
134Good: Let vs. Where	285
135Good: RankNTypes	286
136Good: Orphan type instance	287
137Good: Smart constructor	288
138Good: Thin category	289
139Good: Recursion	290
140Good: Monoid	291
141Good: Free monad	292
142Good: Use mostly where clauses	293
143Good: Where clause is in a scope with function parameters	294
144Good: Strong preference towards pattern matching over {head, tail, etc.} functions	295
145Good: Patternmatching is possible on monadic bind in do	296
146Good: Applicative vs Monad	297
147Good: StateT, ReaderT, WriterT	298
148Good: Working with MonadTrans and lift	299
149Good: Don't mix Where and Let	300
150Good: Where vs. Let	301
151Good: The proper nature algorithm that models behaviour of many objects is computation heavy	302
152Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type	303

153	Good: Instance is a good structure to draw a type line	304
154	Good: MTL vs. Transformers	305
VI	Bad code	306
155	Bad pragma	307
155.1	Bad: Dangerous LANGUAGE pragma option	307
VII	Useful functions to remember	308
156	Prelude	309
156.1	Ord	309
156.2	Calc	309
156.3	List operations	309
157	Data.List	310
158	Data.Char	311
159	QuickCheck	312
VIII	Tools	313
160	ghc-pkg	314
161	Search over the Haskell packages code: Codesearch from Aelve	315
162	Integration of NixOS/Nix with Haskell IDE Engine (HIE) and Emacs (Spacemacs)	316
162.11.	Install the Cachix: https://github.com/cachix/cachix	316
162.22.	Installation of HIE: https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/#cached-builds	316
162.2.12.1.	Provide cached builds	316
162.2.22.2.a.	Installation on NixOS distribution:	316
162.2.32.2.b.	Installation with Nix package manager:	317
162.33.	Emacs (Spacemacs) configuration:	317
162.44.	Open the Haskell file from a project	318
162.55.	Be pleased writing code	318
162.66.	(optional) Debugging	319
163	Debugger	320
164	GHCI	321

IX Libs	322
165 Exceptions	323
165.1 Exceptions - optionally pure extensible exceptions that are compatible with the mtl	323
165.2 Safe-exceptions - safe, simple API equivalent to the underlying implementation in terms of power, encourages best practices minimizing the chances of getting the exception handling wrong.	323
165.3 Enclosed-exceptions - capture exceptions from the enclosed computation, while reacting to asynchronous exceptions aimed at the calling thread.	323
166 Memory management	324
166.1 membrain - type-safe memory units	324
167 Parsers - megaparsec	325
168 CLIs - optparse-applicative	326
169 HTML - Lucid	327
170 Web applications - Servant	328
171 IO libraries	329
171.1 Conduit - practical, monolythic, guarantees termination return	329
171.2 Pipes + Pipes Parse - modular, more primitive, theoretically driven	329
172 JSON - aeson	330
173 Backpack	331
X Drafts	332
174 Exception handling	333
175 Constraints	336
176 Monad transformers and their type classes	338
177 Layering monad transformers	339
178 Hoogle	340
178.1 Search	340
178.2 Scope	340
178.2.1 Default	340
178.2.2 Hierarchical module name system (from big letter):	341
178.2.3 Packages (lower case):	341
179 ST-Trick monad	342
179.1 *	342
180 Either	343
180.1 *	343

181 Inverse	344
182 Inversion	345
183 Inverse function	346
184 Inverse morphism	347
185 Partial inverse	348
186 PatternSynonyms	349
186.1 *	349
187 GHC debug keys	350
187.1-ddump-ds	350
187.1.1 *	350
188 GHC optimize keys	351
188.1-foptimal-applicative-do	351
189 Computational trinitarianism	352
189.1 *	353
190 Techniques functional programming deals with the state	354
190.1Minimizing	354
190.2Concentrating	354
190.3Deferring	354
191 Monadic Error handling	355
192 Functions	356
193 Void	357
193.1 *	358
194 Constructive proof	359
195 Intuitionistic logic	360
195.1 *	360
196 Principle of explosion	361
196.1 *	361
197 Universal property	362
198 Yoneda lemma	363
199 Monoidal category, functoriality of ADTs, Profunctors	364
200 Const functor	366
201 Arrow in Haskell	367

202	Contravariant functor	368
203	Profunctor	369
XI	Reference	370
204	Functor-Applicative-Monad Proposal	371
204.1 *	372
205	Haskell-98	373
205.1	Old instance termination rules	373
206	Performance results and comparisons of types & solutions	374
XII	LiturgY	375

Contents

Part I

Introduction

“Employ your time in improving yourself by other men’s writings so that you shall come easily by what others have labored hard for.”
(Socrates by Plato)

Important notes on Haskell, [category](#) theory & related fields, terms and recommendations.

Resources:

- Web book: <https://blog.latukha.com/haskell-notes>
- GitHub: <https://github.com/Anton-Latukha/haskell-notes>
- GitLab: <https://gitlab.com/Anton.Latukha/haskell-notes>
- View PDF: <https://github.com/Anton-Latukha/haskell-notes/blob/master/README.pdf>
- Download PDF: <https://github.com/Anton-Latukha/haskell-notes/raw/master/README.pdf>
- L^AT_EX: <https://github.com/Anton-Latukha/haskell-notes/raw/master/README.tex>

This is a complex Org markup file with L^AT_EX formulas.
GitHub & GitLab only partially parse Org into HTML.

To get the full view:

- Outline navigation

- L^AT_EX formulas:
$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}, t) \right] \Psi(\vec{r}, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad \sum_{k,j} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} \left(\sqrt{a} a^{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \right) + V \right] \Psi + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = 0$$

- [Interlinks](#): Interlinks

, please refer to Org mode capable viewer/editor, or to the web book.

Note about markup: <<<This is a radio target>>> - for dynamic org-mode linking.

To prettify radio targets in Emacs with Elisp snippet to prettify <<<Radio targets>>> to
Radio targets:

```
;;; 2019-06-12: NOTE: Prettify '<<<Radio targets>>>' to be shown as '_Radio_targets_' when `org-
;;; This is improvement of the code from: Tobias&glmorous: https://emacs.stackexchange.com/quest
;;; There exists library created from the sample: https://github.com/talwrii/org-hide-targets
(defcustom org-hidden-links-additional-re "\\(<<<\\)[[:print:]]+?\\(>>>\\)"
  "Regular expression that matches strings where the invisible-property of the sub-matches 1 and
  :type '(choice (const :tag "Off" nil) regexp)
  :group 'org-link)
(make-variable-buffer-local 'org-hidden-links-additional-re)

(defun org-activate-hidden-links-additional (limit)
  "Put invisible-property org-link on strings matching `org-hide-links-additional-re'."
  (if org-hidden-links-additional-re
      (re-search-forward org-hidden-links-additional-re limit t)
      (goto-char limit)
      nil))

(defun org-hidden-links-hook-function ()
  "Add rule for `org-activate-hidden-links-additional' to `org-font-lock-extra-keywords'.
  You can include this function in `org-font-lock-set-keywords-hook'."
  (add-to-list 'org-font-lock-extra-keywords
    '(org-activate-hidden-links-additional
      (1 '(face org-target invisible org-link))
      (2 '(face org-target invisible org-link)))))

(add-hook 'org-font-lock-set-keywords-hook #'org-hidden-links-hook-function)

SCHT: and metadata in :properties: - of my org-drill practices, please just run
org-drill-strip-all-data.
```

Part II

Definitions

Chapter 1

Algebra

al-jabr - assemble parts.

A system of parts based on given axioms ([properties](#)).

—

- a.* [Abstract algebra](#) - the study of number systems and operations within them.
- b.* [Algebra](#) - vector space over a field with a multiplication.
- c.* [Algebra](#) - a [set](#) with its [algebraic structure](#).

1.1 *

Algebras

1.2 Algebraic

Composite from simple parts.

Also: [Algebraic data type](#).

1.3 Algebraic structure

[Algebraic structure](#) on a [set](#) (called carrier [set](#) or underlying [set](#)) is a collection of finitary operations on that [set](#).

The [set](#) with this [structure](#) is also called an [algebra](#).

Algebraic structures include groups, rings, fields, and lattices. More complex structures can be defined by introducing multiple operations, different underlying sets, or by altering the defining axioms. Examples of more complex algebraic structures include vector spaces, modules, and algebras.

Table 1.1: Algebraic structures

	Closure	Associativity	Identity	Invertability	Commutativity
Semigroupoid		✓			
Small Category		✓	✓		
Groupoid		✓	✓	✓	
Magma	✓				
Quasigroup	✓			✓	
Loop	✓		✓	✓	
Semigroup	✓	✓			
Inverse Semigroup	✓	✓		✓	
Monoid	✓	✓	✓		
Group	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Abelian group	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ring	✓	✓	✓	✓	under +

1.3.1 *

Algebraic structures

1.3.2 Fundamental theorem of algebra

Any non-constant single-variable polynomial with complex coefficients has at least one complex root.

From this definition follows property that the field of complex numbers is algebraically closed.

1.4 Modular arithmetic

System for integers where numbers wrap around the certain values (single - modulus, plural - moduli).

Example - 12-hour clock.

1.4.1 *

Clock arithmetic

1.4.2 Modulus

Special numbers [where](#) arithmetic wraps around in [modular arithmetic](#).

1.4.2.1 *

Moduli - plural.

Chapter 2

Bind

Establishing equality between two [objects](#).

Most often:

- equating [variable](#) to a value.
- equating [parameter](#) of a [function](#) to an [argument](#) ([variable](#)/value/[function](#)). This term often is equated to [applying argument](#) to a [function](#), which includes [\$\beta\$ -reduction](#).

2.1 *

Binds
Binding
Bindings

Chapter 3

Category theory

Category \mathcal{C} consists of the **basis**:

Primitives:

- a. **Objects** - $a^{\mathcal{C}}$. A **node**. **Object** of some **type**. Often **sets**, than it is **Set category**.
- b. **Arrows** - $(a, b)^{\mathcal{C}}$ (AKA **morphisms** mappings).
- c. **Arrow (morphism) composition** - **binary operation**: $(a, b)^{\mathcal{C}} \circ (b, c)^{\mathcal{C}} \equiv (a, c)^{\mathcal{C}} \mid \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{C}$.
AKA principle of **compositionality** for **arrows**.

Properties (or axioms):

- a. **Associativity** of **morphisms**: $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f \mid f_{a \rightarrow b}, g_{b \rightarrow c}, h_{c \rightarrow d}$.
- b. Every **object** has (two-sided) **identity morphism** (& in fact - exactly one): $1_x \circ f_{a \rightarrow x} \equiv f_{a \rightarrow x}, g_{x \rightarrow b} \circ 1_x \equiv g_{x \rightarrow b} \mid \forall x \exists 1_x, \forall f_{a \rightarrow x}, \forall g_{x \rightarrow b}$.
- c. Principle of **compositionality**.

From these axioms, can be proven that there is exactly one **identity morphism** for every **object**.

Object and **morphism** are complete **abstractions** for anything.
In majority of cases under **object** is a state and **morphism** is a change.

3.1 *

Category
Categories

3.2 Abelian category

Generalised [category](#) for homological [algebra](#) (having a possibility of basic constructions and techniques for it).

[Category](#) which:

- has a [zero object](#),
- has all [binary](#) biproducts,
- has all [kernel](#)'s and cokernels,
- (it has all [pullbacks](#) and pushouts)
- all [monomorphism](#)'s and [epimorphism](#)'s are normal.

[Abelian category](#) is very stable; for example they are regular and they satisfy the snake lemma. The class of [Abelian categories](#) is [closed](#) under several categorical constructions.

There is notion of [Abelian monoid](#) (AKS [Commutative monoid](#)) and [Abelian group](#) ([Commutative group](#)).

Basic examples of *:

- [category](#) of Abelian [groups](#)
- [category](#) of modules over a [ring](#).

* are widely used in [algebra](#), [algebraic](#) geometry, and topology.

* has many constructions like in [categories](#) of modules:

- kernels

- exact sequences
- commutative diagrams

* has disadvantage over category of modules. Objects do not necessarily have elements that can be manipulated directly, so traditional definitions do not work. Methods must be supplied that allow definition and manipulation of objects without the use of elements.

3.2.1 *

Abelian categories

3.3 Composition

Axiom of Category.

3.3.1 *

Composable
Compositions

3.4 Endofunctor category

From the name, in this Category:

- objects of End are Endofunctors $E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$
- morphisms are natural transformations between endofunctors

3.5 Functor

* full translation (map) of one category into another.

Translating objects and morphisms (as input can take morphism or object).

- * - forgetful - discards part of the structure.
- * - faithful - fully preserves all morphisms - injective on Hom-sets.
- * - full - translation of morphisms fully covers all the morphisms between according objects in the target category.

For [Functor type class](#) or `fmap` - see [Power set functor](#).

[Functor properties](#) (axioms):

- $F^{C \rightarrow D}(a) \mid \forall a^C$ - every source [object](#) is mapped to [object](#) in target [category](#)
- $\overrightarrow{(F^{C \rightarrow D}(a), F^{C \rightarrow D}(b))^D} \mid \forall (a, b)^C$ - every source [morphism](#) is mapped to target [category morphism](#) between corresponding [objects](#)
- $F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{g^C} \circ \overrightarrow{f^C}) = F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{g^C}) \circ F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{f^C}) \mid \forall y = \overrightarrow{f^C}(x), \forall \overrightarrow{g^C}(y)$ - [composition](#) of [morphisms](#) translates directly (tautologically goes from other two)

These axioms guarantee that [composition](#) of [functors](#) can be fused into one [functor](#) with [composition](#) of [morphisms](#). This process called [fusion](#).

In Haskell this axioms have form:

```
fmap id = id
fmap (f . g) = fmap f . fmap g
```

Since `*` is 1-1 mapping of initial [objects](#) - it is a memoizable dictionary with [cardinality](#) of initial [objects](#). Also in [Hask category functors](#) are obviously [endofunctors](#) \therefore they are special [kinds](#) of containers for the parametric values (AKA [product type](#)). In Haskell [product type](#) `*` are [endofunctors](#) from [polymorphic type](#) into a [functor](#) wrapper of a [polymorphic type](#).

`*` translates in one direction, and does not provide algorithm of reversing itself or retrieving the parametric value.

3.5.1 `*`

Functors

3.5.2 Power set functor

$\mathcal{P}^{S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)}$

`*` - [functor](#) from [set](#) S to its [power set](#) $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

[Functor type class](#) in Haskell defines a `*` and allows to do [function application](#) inside [type structure](#) layers (denoted f or m). [IO](#) is also such [structure](#).

[Power set](#) is unique to the [set](#), `*` is unique to the [category](#) ([data type](#)).

`*` embodies in itself any [endofunctor](#). It is easily seen from Haskell definition - that the `*` is the [polymorphic](#) generalization over any [endofunctor](#) in a [category](#). [Application](#) of a [function](#) to `*` gives a particular [endofunctor](#) (see [Hask category](#)).

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

`Functor` instance must be of `kind (* -> *)`, so instance for `higher-kinded data type` must be `applied` until this `kind`.

`Composed` `*` can `lift functions` through any layers of `structures` that belong to `Functor type class`.

`*` can be used to filter-out `error` cases (`Nothing` & `Left` cases) in `Maybe`, `Either` and related `types`.

3.5.2.1 *

```
fmap
Functor type class
```

3.5.2.2 Power set functor laws

`Type` instance of `functor` should abide this laws:

a. *

Functor laws

b. Power set functor identity law

```
fmap id == id
```

c. Power set functor composition law

```
fmap (f.g) == fmap f . fmap g
```

In words, it is if several `functions` are `composed` and then `fmap` is `applied` on them - it should be the same as if `functions` was `fmapped` and then `composed`.

3.5.2.3 Lift

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> (f a -> f b)
```

`Functor` takes `function a -> b` and returns a `function f a -> f b` this is called `lifting a function`. `Lift` does a `function application` through the `data structure`.

a. *

Lifting

3.5.2.4 Power set functor is a free monad

Since:

- $\forall e \in S : \exists \{e\} \in \mathcal{P}(S) \models \forall e \in S : \exists (e \rightarrow \{e\}) \equiv \text{unit}$
- $\forall \mathcal{P}(S) : \mathcal{P}(S) \in \mathcal{P}(S) \models \forall \mathcal{P}(S) : \exists (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)) \equiv \text{join}$

3.5.3 Functorial

Corresponds to [functor laws](#).

3.5.4 Forgetful functor

[Functor](#) that forgets part or all of what defines [structure](#) in [domain category](#).
 $F^{\mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}}$ that translates [groups](#) into their underlying [sets](#).
[Constant functor](#) is another example.

3.5.4.1 *

Forgetful

3.5.5 Identity functor

Maps all [category](#) to itself. All [objects](#) and [morphisms](#) to themselves.

Denotation:
 $1^{C \rightarrow C}$

3.5.6 Endofunctor

Is a [functor](#) which source ([domain](#)) and target ([codomain](#)) are the same [category](#).

$$F^{C \rightarrow C}, E^{C \rightarrow C}$$

3.5.6.1 *

Endofunctors

3.5.7 Applicative functor

* - Computer science term. [Category](#) theory name - [lax monoidal functor](#). And in [category Set](#), and so in [category Haskell](#) all [applicatives](#) and [monads](#) are strong (have [tensorial strength](#)).

* - [sequences functorial](#) computations (plain [functors](#) can't).

```
(<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Requires [Functor](#) to exist.
Requires [Monoidal structure](#).

Has [monoidal structure](#) rules, separated from [function application](#) inside [structure](#).

[Data type](#) can have several [applicative](#) implementations.

Standard definition:

```
class Functor f => Applicative f
  where
    (<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
    pure  :: a -> f a
```

`pure` - if a [functor](#), [identity Kleisli arrow](#), [natural transformation](#).

[Composition](#) of `*` always produces `*`, contrary to [monad](#) ([monads](#) are not [closed](#) under [composition](#)).

`Control.Monad` has an old [function](#) `ap` that is old implementation of `<*>`:

```
ap :: Monad m => m (a -> b) -> m a -> m b
```

3.5.7.1 *

[Applicative](#)
[Applicatives](#)
[Applicative functors](#)

3.5.7.2 Applicative law

3.5.7.3 *

[Applicative laws](#)

a. [Applicative identity law](#)

```
pure id <*> v = v
```

- b. Applicative composition law [Function composition](#) works regularly.

```
pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
```

- c. Applicative homomorphism law Internal [function application](#) doesn't change the [structure](#) around values.

```
pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)
```

- d. Applicative interchange law On condition that internal [order](#) of [evaluation](#) is preserved - [order](#) of operands is not relevant.

```
u <*> pure y = pure ($ y) <*> u
```

3.5.7.4 Applicative function

- a. liftA*

- a. liftA Essentially a [fmap](#).

```
:type liftA
liftA :: Applicative f => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
Lifts function into applicative function.
```

- b. liftA2 Lifts [binary function](#) across two [Applicative functors](#).

```
liftA2 :: Applicative f => (a -> b -> c) -> f a -> f b -> f c
liftA2 f x y == pure f <*> x <*> y
```

- c. «<liftA2 (<*>)»> liftA2 (<*>) is pretty useful. It can [lift binary operation](#) through the two layers:
It is two-layer [Applicative](#).

```
liftA2 :: Applicative f => (a -> b -> c) -> f a -> f b -> f c
<*> :: Applicative f => (f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b)
liftA2 (<*>) :: (Applicative f1, Applicative f2) => f1 (f2 (a -> b)) -> f1 (f2 a) -> f1 (f2 b)
```

- d. «<liftA2 (liftA2 (<*>))»> liftA2 (<*>) 3-layer version.

- e. liftA3 [liftA2](#) 3-parameter version.

```
liftA3 f x y z == pure f <*> x <*> y <*> z
```

- b. Conditional [applicative](#) computations


```
when :: Applicative f => Bool -> f () -> f ()
```

Only when True - perform an [applicative](#) computation.

```
unless :: Applicative f => Bool -> f () -> f ()
```

Only when False - perform an [applicative](#) computation.

3.5.7.5 Special applicatives

a. Identity applicative

```
-- Applicative f =>
-- f ~ Identity
type Id = Identity
instance Applicative Id
  where
    pure :: a -> Id a
    (<*>) :: Id (a -> b) -> Id a -> Id b

mkId = Identity
xs = [1, 2, 3]

const <$> mkId xs <*> mkId xs'
-- [1,2,3]
```

b. Constant applicative It holds only to one value. The [function](#) does not exist and last [parameter](#) is a phantom.

```
-- Applicative f =>
-- f ~ Constant e
type C = Constant
instance Applicative C
  where
    pure :: a -> C e a
    (<*>) :: C e (a -> b) -> C e a -> C e b
```

c. Maybe applicative "There also can be no [function](#) at all."

If [function](#) might not exist - embed `f` in [Maybe structure](#), and use [Maybe applicative](#).

```
-- f ~ Maybe
type M = Maybe
pure :: a -> M a
(<*>) :: M (a -> b) -> M a -> M b
```

- d. Either applicative `pure` is `Right`.
 Defaults to `Left`.
 And if there is two `Left`'s - to `Left` of the first `argument`.
- e. Validation applicative The Validation `data type` isomorphic to `Either`, but has accumulative `Applicative` on the `Left` side.
 Validation `data type` is not a `monad`. Validation is an example of, "An `applicative functor` that is not a `monad`."
 While `Either monad` on `Left case` just drops computation and returns this first `Left`.
`Monad` needs to process the result of computation - it requires to be able to process all `Left error statement` cases for Validation, it is or non-terminating `Monad` or one which is impossible to implement in `polymorphic` way with Validation.

3.5.7.6 Monad

monos sole
monáda `unit`

* - `monoid` in `endofunctor category` with η (`unit`) and μ (`join`) `natural transformations`.

`Monad` on \mathcal{C} is $\{E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}, \eta, \mu\}$:

- $E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$ - is an `endofunctor`
- two `natural transformations`, $1^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow E$ and $E \circ E \rightarrow E$:
 - $\eta^{1^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow E} = \text{unit}^{Identity \rightarrow E}(x) = f^{x \rightarrow E(x)}(x)$
 - $\mu^{(E \circ E) \rightarrow E} = \text{join}^{(E \circ E) \rightarrow (Identity \circ E)}(x) = |y = E(x)| = f^{E(y) \rightarrow y}(y)$

where:

- \mathcal{C} is a `category`
- $1^{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the \mathcal{C} `identity functor`
- $(E \circ E)$ - `endofunctor` $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

Definition with $\{E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}, \eta, \mu\}$ (in `Hask`: $(\{e :: f a \rightarrow f b, \text{pure}, \text{join}\})$) - is classic categorical, in Haskell minimal complete definition is $\{\text{fmap}, \text{pure}, (>>=)\}$.

Rule that `>=>` must get first [argument structure](#) internals and [apply](#) to the [function](#) that is the second [argument](#).

c. Monad right identity law

```
f >=> pure == f
```

Explanation:

```
>=> :: Monad f => f a -> (a -> f b) -> f b
      f    >=>    pure    == f
```

AKA it is a [tacit](#) description of a [monad bind](#) as [endofunctor](#).

d. Monad associativity law

```
(m >=> f) >=> g == m >=> (\ x -> f x >=> g)
```

c. Monad type class

```
class Applicative m => Monad m where
  (>=>) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  (>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b
  return :: a -> m a
```

a. MonadPlus type class Is a [monoid](#) over [monad](#), with additional rules.

The precise [set](#) of rules ([properties](#)) not agreed upon. Class instances obey [monoid](#) & [left zero](#) rules, some additionally obey [left catch](#) and others [left distribution](#).

Overall there [*](#) currently reforms ([MonadPlus](#) reform proposal) in several smaller nad strictly defined [type classes](#).

Subclass of an [Alternative](#).

a. [*](#)
Monadplus

d. [Functor](#) -> [Applicative](#) -> [Monad](#) progression

```
<$> :: Functor    f => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
<*> :: Applicative f => f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
=<< :: Monad      f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b
```

`pure` & `join` are [Natural transformations](#) for the `fmap`.

e. Monad function

a. Return function

```
return == pure
Nonstrict.
```

b. Join function

```
join :: Monad m => m (m a) -> m a
Generales knowledge of concat.
```

[Kleisli composition](#) that flattens two layers of [structure](#) into one.

The way to express ordering in [lambda calculus](#) is to nest.

a. *

```
join
```

b. `join . fmap == (=«)`

```
-- b = f b
fmap      :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f (f b)
join      :: Monad f => f (f a) -> f a
join . fmap :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b
flip      >>= :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b
```

c. Bind function

```
>>=      :: Monad f => f a -> (a -> f b) -> f b
join . fmap :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b
Nonstrict.
```

The most ubiquitous way to `>>=` something is to use [Lambda function](#):

```
getLine >>= \name -> putStrLn "age pls:"
```

Also very neat way is to bundle and handle [Monad](#) - is to bundle it with [bind](#), and leave [applied](#) partially.

And use that partial bundle as a [function](#) - every [evaluation](#) of the [function](#) would trigger [evaluation](#) of internal [Monad structure](#). Thumbs up.

```
printOneOf  Bool -> IO ()
printOneOf False = putStr "1"
printOneOf  True = putStr "2"
```

```
quant  (Bool -> IO b) -> IO b
quant = (>>=) (randomRIO (False, True))
```

```
recursePrintOneOf  Monad m  (t -> m a) -> t -> m b
recursePrintOneOf f x = (f x) >> (recursePrintOneOf f x)
```

```
main  IO ()
main = recursePrintOneOf (quant) $ printOneOf
```

a. *

- Monadic extend
- Monadic bind
- Monad bind
- Binder
- Binder function

a. (\gg)
 b. \gg
 c. ($=\gg$)
 d. $=\gg$

- d. Sequencing operator (\gg) $=$ ($*\gg$): Discard any resulting value of the action and [sequence](#) next action.
[Applicative](#) has a similar [operator](#).

```
(>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b
(*>) :: f a -> f b -> f b
```

- e. [Monadic](#) versions of [list functions](#)

```
sequence :: (Traversable t, Monad m) => t (m a) -> m (t a)
```

[Sequence](#) gets the traversable of [monadic](#) computations and swaps it into [monad](#) computation of traverse. In the result the collection of [monadic](#) computations turns into one long [monadic](#) computation on traverse of data.

If some step of this long computation fails - [monad](#) fails.

```
mapM :: (Traversable t, Monad m) => (a -> m b) -> t a -> m (t b)
```

[mapM](#) gets the [AMB function](#), then takes traversable data. Then applies [AMB function](#) to traversable data, and returns converted [monadic](#) traversable data.

```
foldM :: (Foldable t, Monad m) => (b -> a -> m b) -> b -> t a -> m b
foldl :: Foldable t           => (b -> a -> b) -> b -> t a -> b
```

* is a [monadic foldl](#).

b is initial cumulative value, m b is a cumulative bank.

Right folding achieved by reversing the input [list](#).

```
filterM :: Applicative m => (a -> m Bool) -> [a] -> m [a]
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

Take Boolean [monadic](#) computation, filter the [list](#) by it.

```
zipWithM :: Applicative m => (a -> b -> m c) -> [a] -> [b] -> m [c]
zipWith :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
```

Take `monadic` combine `function` and combine two lists with it.

```
msum :: (Foldable t, MonadPlus m) => t (m a) -> m a
sum   :: (Foldable t, Num a)       => t    a  ->    a
```

f. `liftM*`

a. `liftM` Essentially a `fmap`.

```
liftM :: Monad m => (a -> b) -> m a -> m b
```

Lifts a `function` into `monadic equivalent`.

b. `liftM2` `Monadic liftA2`.

```
liftM2 :: Monad m => (a -> b -> c) -> m a -> m a -> m c
```

Lifts `binary function` into `monadic equivalent`.

f. Comonad `Category C` `comonad` is a `monad` of `opposite category` \mathcal{C}^{op} .

g. Kleisli arrow `Morphism` that while doing computation also adds `monadic-able structure`.

```
a -> m b
```

a. `*`

Kleisli arrows
Kleisli morphism
Kleisli morphisms

h. Kleisli composition `Composition` of `Kleisli arrows`.

```
(<=<) :: Monad m => (b -> m c) -> (a -> m b) -> a -> m c infixr 1
;; compare
(.)   ::          (b ->  c ) -> (a ->  b ) -> a ->  c
```

Often used left-to-right version:

```
(>=>) :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> (b -> m c) -> a -> m c
;; compare
(>>=) :: Monad m =>          m a  -> (a -> m b)          -> m b
```

Which allows to replace `monadic bind` chain with `Kleisli composition`.

```

f1 arg >>= f2 >>= f3
==
f1 >=> f2 >=> f3 $ arg
==
f3 <=< f2 <=< f1 $ arg

```

- i. Kleisli category [Category](#) \mathcal{C} , E , $\overrightarrow{\eta}$, $\overrightarrow{\mu}$ [monad](#) over \mathcal{C} .

[Kleisli category](#) \mathcal{C}_T of \mathcal{C} :

```

Obj( $\mathcal{C}_T$ ) = Obj( $\mathcal{C}$ )
Hom $_{\mathcal{C}_T}(x, y)$  = Hom $_{\mathcal{C}}(x, E(y))$ 

```

- j. Special monad

- a. Identity monad Wraps data in the [Identity constructor](#).

Useful: Creates [monads](#) from [monad](#) transformers.

[Bind](#): Applies internal value to the [bound function](#).

Code:

```

newtype Identity a = Identity { runIdentity :: a }

-- coerce is a function that directly moves data between type aliases
instance Functor Identity where
    fmap      = coerce

instance Applicative Identity where
    pure      = Identity
    (<*>)      = coerce

instance Monad Identity where
    m >>= k   = k (runIdentity m)

```

Example:

```

-- derive the State monad using the StateT monad transformer
type State s a = StateT s Identity a

```

- b. Maybe monad Something that may not be or not return a result. Any lookups into the real world, database queries.

[Bind](#): [Nothing](#) input gives [Nothing](#) output, [Just x](#) input uses [x](#) as input to the [bound function](#).

When some computation results in `Nothing` - drops the chain of computations and returns `Nothing`.

`Zero`: `Nothing`

Plus: result in first occurrence of `Just` else `Nothing`.

Code:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

instance Monad Maybe where
    return      = Just
    fail        = Nothing
    Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
    (Just x) >>= f = f x

instance MonadPlus Maybe where
    mzero      = Nothing
    Nothing `mplus` x = x
    x `mplus` _      = x
```

Example:

Given 3 dictionaries:

- a. Full names to email addresses,
- b. Nicknames to email addresses,
- c. Email addresses to email preferences.

Create a `function` that finds a person's email preferences based on `either` a full name or a nickname.

```
data MailPref = HTML | Plain
data MailSystem = ...

getMailPrefs :: MailSystem -> String -> Maybe MailPref
getMailPrefs sys name =
    do let nameDB = fullNameDB sys
        nickDB = nickNameDB sys
        prefDB = prefsDB sys
        addr <- (lookup name nameDB) `mplus` (lookup name nickDB)
        lookup addr prefDB
```

- c. Either monad When computation results in `Left` - drops other computations & returns the received `Left`.

- d. Error monad Something that can fail, throw [exceptions](#).

The failure process records the description of a failure. [Bind function](#) uses successful values as input to the [bound function](#), and passes failure information on without executing the [bound function](#).

Useful:

Composing [functions](#) that can fail. Handle [exceptions](#), crate [error](#) handling [structure](#).

[Zero](#): empty [error](#).

Plus: if first [argument](#) failed then execute second [argument](#).

- e. List monad Computations which may return 0 or more possible results.

[Bind](#): The [bound function](#) is [applied](#) to all possible values in the input [list](#) and the resulting lists are concatenated into [list](#) of all possible results.

Useful: Building computations from [sequences](#) of non-deterministic operations.

[Zero](#): `[]`

Plus: `(++)`

a. `*`
`[]` monad

- f. Reader monad Creates a read-only shared environment for computations.

The [pure function](#) ignores the environment, while `>=` passes the inherited environment to both subcomputations.

Today it is defined though [ReaderT](#) transformer:

```
type Reader r = ReaderT r Identity    -- equivalent to ((->) e), (e ->)
```

Old definition was:

```
newtype Reader e a = Reader { runReader :: (e -> a) }
```

For `(e ->)`:

- [Functor](#) is `(.)`

```
fmap :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
```

```
fmap = (.)
```

- [Applicative](#):

- pure is const

```
pure :: a -> b -> a
pure x _ = x
```

- ($\langle * \rangle$) is:

```
( $\langle * \rangle$ ) :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
( $\langle * \rangle$ ) f g = \a -> f a (g a)
```

- [Monad](#):

```
(>>=) :: (a -> b) -> (b -> a -> c) -> a -> c
(>>=) m k = Reader $ \r ->
  runReader (k (runReader m r)) r
```

```
join :: (e -> e -> a) -> e -> a
join f x = f x x
```

```
runReader
  :: Reader r a -- the Reader to run
  -> r -- an initial environment
  -> a -- extracted final value
```

Usage:

```
data Env = ...
```

```
createEnv :: IO Env
createEnv = ...
```

```
f :: Reader Env a
f = do
  a <- g
  pure a
```

```
g :: Reader Env a
g = do
  env <- ask -- "Open the environment namespace into env"
  a <- h env -- give env to h
  pure a
```

```
h :: Env -> a
... -- use env and produce the result
```

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
  env <- createEnv
  a = runReader g env
  ...
```

In Haskell under normal circumstances impure [functions](#) should not directly call impure [functions](#).

`h` is an impure [function](#), and `createEnv` is impure [function](#), so they should have intermediary.

- g.* Writer monad Computations which accumulate [monoid](#) data to a shared Haskell storage.

So `*` is parametrized by [monoidal type](#).

Accumulator is maintained separately from the returned values.

Shared value modified through [Writer monad](#) methods.

`*` frees creator and code from manually keeping the track of accumulation.

Bind: The [bound function](#) is [applied](#) to the input value, [bound function](#) allowed to `<>` to the accumulator.

```
type Writer r = WriterT r Identity
```

Example:

```
f :: Monoid b => a -> (a, b)
f a = if _condition_
      then runWriter $ g a
      else runWriter do
        a1 <- h a
        pure a1
```

```
g :: Monoid b => Writer b a
g a = do
  tell _value1_ -- accumulator <> _value1_
  pure a -- observe that accumulator stored inside monad and only a main value needs to
```

```
h :: Monoid b => Writer b a
h a = do
  tell _value2_ -- accumulator <> _value_
  pure a
```

```
runWriter :: Writer w a -> (a, w) -- Unwrap a writer computation as a (result, accumulator)
-- The inverse of writer.
```

`WriterT`, `Writer` unnecessarily keeps the entire logs in the memory. Use `fast-logger` for logging.

- h.* State monad Computations that pass-over a state.

The **bound function** is **applied** to the input value to produce a state transition **function** which is **applied** to the input state.

Pure functional language cannot update values in place because it violates **referential transparency**.

```
type State s = StateT s Identity
```

Binding copies and transforms the state **parameter** through the **sequence** of the **bound functions** so that the same state storage is never used twice. Overall this gives the illusion of in-place update to the programmer and in the code, while in fact the auto-generated transition **functions** handle the state changes.

Example **type**: `State st a`

`State` describes **functions** that consume a state and produce a **tuple** of result and an updated state.

Monad manages the state with the next process:

Where:

- f - processor making [function](#)
- pA, pAB, pB - state processors
- sN - states
- vN - values

[Bind](#) with a processor making [function](#) from state processor (pA) creates a new state processor (pAB).

The wrapping and unwrapping by State/runState is implicit.

- k. Monad transformer [*](#) is a practical solution to the current functional programming problem about [composition](#) of [monads](#).

[Monad](#) is not [closed](#) under composition.

[Composition](#) of [monadic types](#) does not always results in [monadic type](#).

Basic [case](#): during implementation of [monadic type composition](#), [type](#) `m T m a` arises, which does not allow to [unit](#), join the `m` [monadic](#) layers.

[*](#) have desirable properties and can add them to [monads](#). [*](#) use their implementation to solve the composition [type](#) layering and allow to attach desirable [property](#) to result.

[*](#) solve [monad composition](#) and [type](#) layering by cheating, using own [structure](#) and information about itself. It is often that process involves a [catamorphism](#) of a [*](#) [type](#) layer.

In [type](#) signatures of transformers `*T m - m` is already an extended [monad](#), so `*T` is just a wrapper to point that out.

Transformers have a light wrapper around the data that tags the modification with this transformer.

Main [monadic structure](#) `m` is wrapped around the internal data (core is `a`). The [structure](#) that corresponds to the transformer creation [properties](#) (if it emitted by η of a transformer), goes into `m`. Open [parameters](#) go external to the `m`.

```
newtype ExceptT e m a =
  ExceptT { runExceptT :: m (Either e a) }
```

```
newtype MaybeT m a =
  MaybeT { runMaybeT :: m (Maybe a) }
```

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =
  ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

This has an [effect](#) that on stacking [monad](#) transformers, `m` becomes [monad stack](#), and every next transformer injects the transformer creation-specific properties η inside the [stack](#), so out-most transformer has inner-most [structure](#). Base [monad](#) is structurally the outermost.

- a. `MaybeT *` extends [monads](#) by injecting [Maybe](#) layer underneath [monad](#), and processing that [structure](#):

```
newtype MaybeT m a = MaybeT { runMaybeT :: m (Maybe a) }
```

- b. `EitherT *` extends [monads](#) by injecting [Either](#) layer underneath [monad](#), and processing that [structure](#):

```
newtype EitherT e m a = EitherT { runEitherT    m (Either e a) }
```

`EitherT` of `either` package gets replaced by `ExceptT` of `transformers` or `mtl` packages.

- a. `* ExceptT`

- c. `ReaderT` Definition:

```
newtype ReaderT r m a = ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

* [functions](#): input [monad](#) `m a`, out: `m a` wrapped it in a free-variable `r` ([partially applied function](#)).

That allows to use transformed `m a`, now it requires and can use the `r` passed environment.

To create a [Reader monad](#):

```
type Reader r = ReaderT r Identity
```

- d. `MonadTrans` [type class](#) Allows to [lift monadic](#) actions into a larger [context](#) in a neutral way.

`pure` takes a parametric [type](#) and embodies it into constructed [structure](#) (talking of [monad](#) transformers - [structure](#) of the stacked [monads](#)).

`lift` takes [monad](#) and extends it with a transformer.

In fact, for [monad](#) transformers - `lift` is a last stage of the `pure`, it follows from the [lift](#) law.

Method:

```
lift :: Monad m => m a -> t m a
```

Lift a computation from the [argument monad](#) to the constructed [monad](#).

Neutral means:

```
lift . return = return

lift (m >>= f) = lift m >>= (lift . f)
```

The general pattern with [MonadTrans](#) instances is that it is usually lifts the [injection](#) of the known [structure](#) of transformer over some [Monad](#).

[lift](#) embeds one [monadic](#) action into [monad transformer](#).

The difference between [pure](#), [lift](#) and [MaybeT](#) constructor becomes clearer if you look at the [types](#):

Example, for `MaybeT IO a`:

```
pure      ::      a  -> MaybeT IO a
lift      ::      IO a  -> MaybeT IO a
MaybeT   :: IO (Maybe a) -> MaybeT IO a

x = (undefined :: IO a)

:t (pure x)
(pure x) :: Applicative t => t (IO a)  -- t recieves one argument of product type
:t (pure x :: MaybeT IO a)
-- Expected type: MaybeT IO a1
--   Actual type: MaybeT IO (IO a0)

-- While the real type would be
:t (pure x :: MaybeT IO (IO a))
(pure x :: MaybeT IO (IO a)) :: MaybeT IO (IO a) -- This goes into a conflict of what ty

:t (lift x)
(lift x) :: MonadTrans t => t IO a  -- result is a proper expected product type

-- To belabour
:t (lift x :: MaybeT IO a)
(lift x) :: MonadTrans t => t IO a  -- result is a proper expected product type

lift is a natural transformation  $\eta$  from an Identity monad (functor) with other monad
as content into transformer monad (functor), with the preservation of the contained
monad:

-- Abstract monads with content as parameters. Define '~>' as a family of morphisms that
type f ~> g = forall x. f x -> g x
```



```
-- follows
lift :: m ~> t m
```

- a.* MonadIO [type class](#) `*` - allows to [lift IO](#) action until reaching the [IO monad](#) layer at the top of the [Monad stack](#) (which is allways in the Haskell code that does [IO](#)).

```
class (Monad m) => MonadIO m where
  liftIO :: IO a -> m a
liftIO laws:
```

```
liftIO . pure = pure
```

```
liftIO (m >>= f) = liftIO m >>= (liftIO . f)
```

Which is identical laws to [MonadTrans lift](#).

Since `lift` is one step, and `liftIO` all steps - all steps defined in terms of one step and all other steps, so the most frequent implementation is self-[recursive lift](#) .
`liftIO`:

```
liftIO ioa = lift $ liftIO ioa
a. *
    liftIO
```

3.5.7.7 Alternative type class

[Monoid](#) over [applicative](#). Has left catch [property](#).

Allows to run simoltaneously several instances of a computation (or computations) and from them yeld one result by law from `(<|>) :: Type -> Type -> Type`.

Minimal complete definition:

```
empty :: f a    -- The identity element of <|>
(<|>) :: f a -> f a -> f a    -- Associative binary operation
```

a. `*`

Alternative

3.5.7.8 «<=*>=>»

Do calculation, but ignore the value from the first [argument](#).

```
* > ≡ >>
```

3.5.8 Monoidal functor

Functors between **monoidal categories** that preserves **monoidal structure**.

3.5.9 Fusion

`fmap f . fmap g = fmap (f . g)`

`*` - **functor** axiom that allows to greatly simplify computations.

3.5.10 «<=\$>=»>

Get & **set** a value inside **Functor**.

3.5.11 Multifunctor

Generalizes the concept of **functor** between **categories**, canonical **morphisms** between multicategories.

Works over N **type** arguments instead of one.

To put simply - accepts multiple arguments, from that information constructs source **product category** (**Cartesian product**) of **categories**, and is a **functor** from **product category** to target **category**.

To put even simpler - **functor** that takes as an **argument** the **product** of **types**.

In Haskell there is only one **category**, **Hask**, so in Haskell `*` is still $(Hask \times Hask) \rightarrow Hask \Rightarrow [(Hask \times Hask) \equiv Hask] \Rightarrow Hask \rightarrow Hask$ **endofunctor**.

Any **product** or sum in a Cartesian **category** is a `*`.

Code definition:

```
class Bifunctor f
  where
    bimap :: (a -> a') -> (b -> b') -> f a a' -> f a' a'
    bimap f g = first f . second g
    first :: (a -> a') -> f a b -> f a' b
    first f = bimap f id
    second :: (b -> b') -> f a b -> f a b'
    second = bimap id
```

3.5.11.1 `*`

Bifunctor

3.5.12 *

«<=<\$=»>

3.6 Hask category

Category of Haskell where **objects** are **types** and **morphisms** are **functions**.

It is a hypothetical **category** at the moment, since **undefined** and **bottom values** break the theory, is not Cartesian **closed**, it does not have sums, **products**, or **initial object**, **()** is not a **terminal object**, **monad** identities fail for almost all instances of the **Monad** class.

That is why Haskell developers think in subset of Haskell where **types** do not have **bottom values**. This only includes **functions** that terminate, and typically only finite values. The corresponding **category** has the expected initial and terminal **objects**, sums and **products**, and instances of **Functor** and **Monad** really are **endofunctors** and **monads**.

Hask contains subcategories, like **Lst** containing only **list types**.

Haskell and **Category** concepts:

- Things that take a **type** and return another **type** are **type constructors**.
- Things that take a **function** and return another **function** are **higher-order functions**.

3.6.1 *

Hask

3.7 Magma

Set with a **binary operation** which form a **closure**.

3.7.1 Mag category

The **category of magmas**, denoted *Mag*, has as **objects** - **sets** with a **binary operation**, and **morphisms** given by homomorphisms of operations (in the **universal algebra** sense).

3.7.1.1 *

MAG

Magma category

Category of magmas

3.7.2 Semigroup

Magma with associative property of operation.

Defined in Haskell as:

```
class Semigroup a where
  (<>) :: a -> a -> a
```

3.7.2.1 *

Semigroups

3.7.2.2 Monoid

Semigroup with identity element. Category with a one object.

Ideally fits as an accumulation class.

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  mappend = (<>)
  mconcat :: [m] -> m
  mconcat = foldr mappend mempty
```

* can be simplified to category with a single object, remember that monoid operation is a composition of morphisms operation in category. For example to represent the whole non-negative integers with the one object and morphism "1" is absolutely enough, composition operation is "+".

```
import Data.Monoid
do
  show (mempty :: Num a => Sum a)
  -- "Sum {getSum = 0}"
  show $ Sum 1
  -- "Sum {getSum = 1}"
  show $ (Sum 1) <> (Sum 1) <> (Sum 1)
  -- "Sum {getSum = 3}"
  -- ...
```

Also backwards - any single-object category is a monoid. Category has an identity requirement and associativity of composition requirement, which makes it a free monoid.

a. *

Monoidal
Monoids

b. Monoid laws

a. Monoid left identity law

`mempty <> x = x`

b. Monoid right identity law

`x <> mempty = x`

c. Monoid associativity law

`x <> mempty = x (y <> z) = (x <> y) <> z`
`mconcat = foldr (mempty <>)`

Everything [associative](#) can be `mappend`.

c. Commutative monoid [Commutativity property](#):

$x \circ y = y \circ x$

Opens a big abilities in concurrent and distributed processing.

a. *

Abelian monoid

d. Group [Monoid](#) that has [inverse](#) for every element.

a. *

Groups

b. Commutative group [Group operation](#) obeys the axiom of [commutativity](#).

a. *

Abelian group

b. Ring [Commutative group](#) under $+$ & [monoid](#) under \times , $+$ \times connected by [distributive property](#).

- and \times are generalized [binary](#) operations of addition and multiplication. \times has no requirement for [commutativity](#).

Example: [set](#) of same size square matrices of numbers with matrix operations form a [ring](#).

a. $*$
Rings

3.8 Morphism

morphe form

[Arrow](#) between two [objects](#) in a [category](#).

General description: [Arrow](#) from source to target. Denotes something.

On a level of [objects](#): is probably [structure](#)-preserving map from one mathematical [structure](#) to another of the same [type](#).

[Morphism](#) is a generalization ($f(x*y) \equiv f(x) \diamond f(y)$) of [homomorphism](#) ($f(x*y) \equiv f(x)*f(y)$). Under [morphism](#) almost always is the meaning of [homomorphism](#)-like [properties](#).

[Morphism](#) can be anything.

If [morphism](#) corresponds to [function](#) requirements - then it is a [function](#).

3.8.1 $*$

Morphisms

Arrow

Arrows

3.8.2 Homomorphism

homos same (was chosen because of initial English mistranslation to "similar")

morphe form

similar form

$*$ map between two [algebraic structures](#) of the same [type](#), [operation](#)-preserving.

$$f_{x \rightarrow y} = f(a \star b) = f(a) \diamond f(b),$$

where x, y are [sets](#) with additional [algebraic structure](#) that includes \star, \diamond accordingly; a, b are elements of [set](#) x .

$*$ sends [identity morphisms](#) to [identity morphisms](#) and inverses to inverses.

The concept of $*$ has been generalized under the name of [morphism](#) to many [structures](#) that [either](#) do not have an underlying [set](#), or are not [algebraic](#).

3.8.2.1 *

Homomorphic

3.8.3 Identity morphism

Identity morphism - or simply **identity**: $x \in C : id_x = 1_x : x \rightarrow x$
Composed with other **morphism** gives same **morphism**.

Corresponds to **Reflexivity** and **Automorphism**.

3.8.3.1 Identity

Identity only possible with **morphism**. See **Identity morphism**.

There is also distinct **Zero** value.

- a. Two-sided identity of a predicate $P(e, a) = P(a, e) = a \mid \exists e \in S, \forall a \in S$
 $P()$ is **commutative**.

Predicate

- b. Left identity of a predicate $\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S : P(e, a) = a$

Predicate

- c. Right identity of a predicate $P(a, e) = a \mid \exists e \in S, \forall a \in S$

Predicate

3.8.3.2 Identity function

Return itself.

$(\backslash x.x)$

`id :: a -> a`

3.8.4 Monomorphism

mono only

morphe form

Maps one to one (uniquely), so invertable (always has **inverse morphism**), so preserves the information/**structure**.

Domain can be equal or less to the **codomain**.

$f^{X \rightarrow Y}, \forall x \in X \exists! y = f(x) \models f(x) \equiv f_{mono}(x)$ - from **homomorphism context**
 $f_{mono} \circ g1 = f_{mono} \circ g2 \models g1 \equiv g2$ - from general **morphism context**
 Thus $*$ is left cancelable.

If $*$ is a **function** - it is **injective**. Initial **set** of f is fully uniquely mapped onto the **image** of f .

3.8.4.1 *

Monomorphic
 Monomorphisms

3.8.5 Epimorphism

epi on, over
morphe form

$*$ is right cancelable **morphism**.
 $f^{X \rightarrow Y}, \forall y \in Y \exists f(x) \models f(x) \equiv f_{epi}(x)$ - from **homomorphism context**
 $g1 \circ f_{epi} = g2 \circ f_{epi} \Rightarrow g1 \equiv g2$ - from general **morphism context**

In **Set category** if $*$ is a **function** - it is **surjective** (**image** of it fully uses **codomain**)
Codomain is called a projection of the **domain**.

$*$ fully maps into the target.

3.8.5.1 *

Epimorphic
 Epimorphisms

3.8.6 Isomorphism

isos equal
morphe form

Not equal, but equal for current intents and purposes.
Morphism that has **inverse**.
 Almost equal, but not quite: **(Integer, Bool) & (Bool, Integer)** - but can be transformed losslessly into one another.

Bijective homomorphism is also **isomorphism**.

$$f^{-1, b \rightarrow a} \circ f^{a \rightarrow b} \equiv 1^a, f^{a \rightarrow b} \circ f^{-1, b \rightarrow a} \equiv 1^b$$

2 reasons for non-isomorphism:

- **function** at least ones collapses a values of **domain** into one value in **codomain**
- **image** (of a **function** in **codomain**) does not fill-in **codomain**. Then **isomorphism** can exists for **image** but not whole **codomain**.

Categories are **isomorphic** if there $R \circ L = ID$

3.8.6.1 *

Isomorphic
Isomorphisms

3.8.6.2 Lax

Holds up to **isomorphism**.
(upon the transformation can be used as the same)

3.8.7 Endomorphism

endo internal
morphe form

Arrow from **object** to itself.
Endomorphism forms a **monoid** (**object** exists and **category** requirements already in place).

3.8.7.1 Automorphism

αυτο *auto* self
form form

Isomorphic endomorphism.

Corresponds to **identity**, **reflexivity**, **permutation**.

a. *

Automorphic
Automorphisms

3.8.7.2 *

Endomorphic
Endomorphisms

3.8.8 Catamorphism

kata downward
morphe form

Unique [arrow](#) from an initial [algebra structure](#) into different [algebra structure](#).

* in FP is a generalization folding, deconstruction of a [data structure](#) into more primitive [data structure](#) using a [functor](#) F-[algebra structure](#).

* reduces the [structure](#) to a lower level [structure](#).

* creates a projection of a [structure](#) to a lower level [structure](#).

3.8.8.1 *

Catamorphic
Catamorphisms

3.8.8.2 Catamorphism law

Table 3.2: [Catamorphism](#) laws in Haskell

Rule name	Haskell
cata-cancel	<code>cata phi . InF = phi . fmap (cata phi)</code>
cata-refl	<code>cata InF = id</code>
cata- fusion	<code>f . phi = phi . fmap f => f . cata phi = cata phi</code>
cata- compose	<code>eps :: f ~> g => cata phi . cata (In . eps) = cata (phi . eps)</code>

a. Hylomorphism [catamorphism](#) \circ [anamorphism](#)

Expanding and collapsing the [structure](#).

a. *

Hylomorphic
Hylomorphisms

3.8.8.3 Anamorphism

Generalizes unfold.

Dual concept to [catamorphism](#).

Increases the [structure](#).

[Morphism](#) from a [coalgebra](#) to the final [coalgebra](#) for that [endofunctor](#).

Is a [function](#) that generates a [sequence](#) by repeated [application](#) of the [function](#) to its previous result.

a. *

Anamorphic

Anamorphisms

3.8.9 Kernel

[Kernel](#) of a [homomorphism](#) is a number that measures the [degree homomorphism](#) fails to meet [injectivity](#) (AKA be [monomorphic](#)).

It is a number of [domain](#) elements that fail [injectivity](#):

- elements not included into [morphism](#)
- elements that collapse into one element in [codomain](#)

thou [Kernel](#) $[x|x \leftarrow 0 || x \geq 2]$.

Denotation:

$\ker T = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W\}$.

3.8.9.1 Kernel homomorphism

[Morphism](#) of elements from the [kernel](#).

Complementary [morphism](#) of elements that make main [morphism](#) not [monomorphic](#).

3.9 Object

Absolute [abstraction](#).

Point.

Can have [properties](#).

Often abstracts mathematical [structure](#).

3.9.1 *

Structure
Structures
Objects

3.9.2 Terminal object

One that receives unique [arrow](#) from every [object](#).

$$\exists ! : x \rightarrow 1 \mid \exists 1 \in \mathcal{C}, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$$

* is an empty [sequence](#) () in Haskell.

Called a [unit](#), so receives *terminal* or [unit arrow](#).

[Dual](#) of [initial object](#).

Denotation:

[Category](#) theory

1

Haskell

()

3.9.3 Initial object

One that emits unique [arrow](#) into every [object](#).

$$\exists ! : \emptyset \rightarrow x \mid \exists \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$$

If [initial object](#) is `Void` (most frequently) - emitted [arrows](#) called absurd, because they can not be called.

[Dual](#) of [terminal object](#).

Denotation:

[Category](#) theory:

\emptyset

Haskell:

Void

3.10 Set category

Category in which objects are sets, morphisms are functions.

Denotation:

Set

3.11 Natural transformation

Roughly $*$ is:

`trans :: F a -> G a`

, while `a` is polymorphic variable.

Naturality condition: $\forall a \exists (F a \rightarrow G a)$, or , analogous to parametric polymorphism in functions. Since $*$ in a category, stating $\forall (F a \rightarrow G a)$

Naturality condition means that all morphisms that take part in homotopy of source functor to target functor must exist, and that is the same, diagrams that take part in transformation, should commute, and different paths brings same result: if α - natural transformation, α_a natural transformation component - $G f \circ \alpha_a = \alpha_b \circ F f$.

Since $*$ are just a type of parametric polymorphic function - they can compose.

$*$ ($\overrightarrow{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}}$) is transforming : $\overrightarrow{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}} \circ F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}} = G^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$.

$*$ abstraction creates higher-language of Category theory, allowing to talk about the composition and transformation of complex entities.

It is a process of transforming $F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$ into $G^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$ using existing morphisms in target category \mathcal{D} .

Since it uses morphisms - it is structure-preserving transformation of one functor into another. It is mostly a lossy transformation. Only existing morphisms can make it exist.

Existence of $*$ between two functors can be seen as some relation.

Can be observed to be a "morphism of functors", especially in functor category.

$*$ by $\overrightarrow{\eta}_{y^c}^{\mathcal{D}}((x, y)^c) \circ F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}((x, y)^c) = G^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}((x, y)^c) \circ \overrightarrow{\eta}_{x^c}^{\mathcal{D}}((x, y)^c)$, often written short $\overrightarrow{\eta}_b \circ F(\overrightarrow{f}) = G(\overrightarrow{f}) \circ \overrightarrow{\eta}_a$.

Notice that the $\overrightarrow{\eta}_{x^c}^{\mathcal{D}}((x, y)^c)$ depends on objects&morphisms of \mathcal{C} .


In words: $*$ depends on F and G **functors**, ability of D **morphisms** to do a **homotopy** of F to G , and $*$:

- for every **object** in \mathcal{C} picks **natural transformation component** in \mathcal{D} .
- for every **morphism** in \mathcal{C} picks the **commuting diagram** in \mathcal{D} , called naturality square.


Also see: **Natural transformation in Haskell**

Knowledge of $*$ forms a **2-category**.

Can be **composed** "vertically":



And horizontally, aka "Godement **product**":



Compositions can be done in any right **order**, they abide the exchange law.

3.11.1 $*$

Natural transformations

Naturality condition

Naturality

3.11.2 Natural transformation component

$$\vec{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = F^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \rightarrow G^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}$$

3.11.2.1 *

Component of natural transformation

3.11.3 Natural transformation in Haskell


Family of [parametric polymorphism functions](#) between [endofunctors](#).

In [Hask](#) is $F\ a \rightarrow G\ a$. Can be analogued to repackaging data into another container, never modifies the [object](#) content, it only if - can delete it, because [operation](#) is lossy.

Can be sees as ortogonal to [functors](#).

3.11.4 Cat category

[Category](#) where:



Is Cartesian [closed category](#).

3.11.4.1 *

Cat

2-category

3.11.4.2 Bicategory

[2-category](#) that is [enriched](#) and [lax](#).

For handling relaxed [associativity](#) - introduces associator, and for [identity](#) l -eft/right unitor.

Forming from bicategories higher [categories](#) by stacking levels of [abstraction](#) of such [categories](#) - leads to explosion of special cases, differences of every level, and so overall difficulties.

Stacking groupoids ([category](#) in which are [morphisms](#) are invertable) is much more homogenous up to infinity, and forms base of the [homotopy type](#) theory.

3.12 Category dual

Category duality behaves like a logical inverse.

Inverse $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$ - inverts the direction of morphisms.

Composition accordingly changes to the morphisms: $(g \circ f)^{op} = f^{op} \circ g^{op}$

Any statement in the terms of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{C}^{op} has the dual - the logical inverse that is true in \mathcal{C}^{op} terms.

Opposite preserves properties:

- products: $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})^{op} \cong \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}^{op}$
- functors: $(F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}})^{op} \cong F^{\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{op}}$
- slices: $(\mathcal{F} \downarrow \mathcal{G})^{op} \cong (\mathcal{G}^{op} \downarrow \mathcal{F}^{op})$

a. *

Opposite category
Opposite categories
Category duality
Duality
Dual category
Dual

3.12.1 Coalgebra

Structures that are dual (in the category-theoretic sense of reversing arrows) to unital associative algebras.

Every coalgebra, by vector space duality, reversing arrows - gives rise to an algebra. In finite dimensions, this duality goes in both directions. In infinite - it should be determined.

3.13 Thin category

\forall Hom sets contain zero or one morphism.

$$f \equiv g \mid \forall x, y \forall f, g : x \rightarrow y$$

A proset (preordered set).

3.13.1 *

Proset category
 Prosetal category
 Poset category
 Posetal category

3.14 Commuting diagram

Establishes equality in [morphisms](#) that have same source and target.

Draws the [morphisms](#) that are:
 $f = g \Rightarrow \{f, g\} : X \rightarrow Y$

3.14.1 *

Diagram commutes
 Commutes

3.15 Universal construction

Algorithm of constructing definitions in [Category](#) theory.
 Specially good to translate [properties](#)/definitions from other theories ([Set theory](#)) to [Categories](#).

Method:

- a. Define a pattern that you defining.
- b. Establish ranking for pattern matches.
- c. The top of ranking, the best match or [set](#) of matches - is the thing you was looking for.
 Matches are [isomorphic](#) for defined rules.

* uses Yoneda lemma, and as such constructions are defined until [isomorphism](#), and so [isomorphic](#) between each-other.

3.15.1 *

Universal constructions

3.16 Product

Universal construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & c' & & \\ & \swarrow^p & \downarrow! & \searrow^q & \\ a & \xleftarrow{\pi_a} & c & \xrightarrow{\pi_b} & b \end{array}$$

Pattern: $p : c \rightarrow a$, $q : c \rightarrow b$

Ranking: $\max \sum^{\forall} (! : c' \rightarrow c \mid p' = p \circ !, q' = q \circ !)$
 c' is another candidate.

For [sets](#) - Cartesian product.

$*$ is a pair. Corresponds to [product data type](#) in [Hask](#) (inhabited with all elements of the [Cartesian product](#)).

Dual is [Coproduct](#).

3.16.1 *

Products

3.17 Coproduct

Universal constructuon:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & c' & & \\ & \nearrow^p & \uparrow! & \nwarrow^q & \\ a & \xrightarrow{\iota_a} & c & \xleftarrow{\iota_b} & b \end{array}$$

Pattern: $i : a \rightarrow c$, $j : b \rightarrow c$

Ranking: $\max \sum^{\forall} (! : c \rightarrow c' \mid i' = ! \circ i, j' = ! \circ j)$
 c' is another candidate.

For [sets](#) - Disjoint union.

$*$ is a [set](#) assembled from other two [sets](#), in Haskell it is a tagged [set](#) (analogous to disjoint union).

Dual is [Product](#).

3.17.1 *

Coproducts

3.18 Free object

General particular [structure](#).

In which [structure](#), [properties](#) autofollows from definition, axioms.

Also uses as a term when surcomstances of [structures](#), rules, [properties](#), axioms used coincide with the definition of a particular [object](#) \therefore form [object](#) of this [type](#) with the according [properties](#) and possibilities.

3.19 Internal category

[Category](#) which is included into a bigger [category](#).

3.20 Hom set

All [morphisms](#) from source [object](#) to target [object](#).

Denotation:

$$hom_C(X, Y) = (\forall f : X \rightarrow Y) = hom(X, Y) = C(X, Y)$$

Denotation was not standartized.

[Hom sets](#) belong to [Set category](#).

In [Set category](#): $\exists!(a, b) \iff \exists!Hom, \forall Hom \in Set$. [Set category](#) is special, [Hom sets](#) are also [objects](#) of it.

[Category](#) can include [Set](#), and [hom sets](#), or not.

3.20.1 *

Hom-set

Hom sets

3.20.2 Hom-functor

$$hom : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$$

[Functor](#) from the [product](#) of \mathcal{C} with its [opposite category](#) to the [category](#) of [sets](#).

Denotation variants:

$$H_A = \text{Hom}(-, A)$$

$$h_A = \mathcal{C}(-, A)$$

$$Hom(A, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$$

Hom-bifunctor:
 $Hom(-, -) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$

3.20.3 Exponential object

Generalises the notion of [function set](#) to internal [object](#).
 As also [hom set](#) to [internal hom objects](#).

Cartesian [closed \(monoidal\) category](#) strictly required, as $*$ multiplication holds [composition](#) requirement:

$$\circ : hom(y, z) \otimes hom(x, y) \rightarrow hom(x, z)$$

Denotation:
 b^a

[Universal construction](#):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c & c \times a & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \searrow \\
 u & u \times 1^a & \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 b^a & b^a \times a \xrightarrow{eval} b &
 \end{array}$$
, where in [Category](#): b^a - [exponential object](#), \times - [product bifunctor](#), a - [argument](#) of $*$, b - [result](#), c - [candidate](#), $b^a \equiv (a \Rightarrow b) - *$.

$* b^a$ (also as $(a \Rightarrow b)$) represent exponentiation of [cardinality](#) of $\forall b^a$ possibilities.

3.20.3.1 $*$

Function object
 Internal hom
 Exponential objects
 Hom object
 Hom objects

3.20.3.2 Enriched category

Uses [Hom objects \(exponential objects\)](#), which do not belong into [Set category](#).
[Category](#) is no longer small, now may be called large.

$$hom(x, y) \in K.$$

Called: $*$ over K (which holds [hom objects](#)).

a. $*$ Enriched
 Large category

Chapter 4

Data type

Set of values.

For **type** to have sense the values must share some sense, **properties**.

4.1 *

Type

Types

Data types

4.2 Actual type

Data type recieved by ->**inferring**->compiling->execution.

4.3 Algebraic data type

Composite **type** formed by combining other **types**.

4.3.1 *

AlgDT

4.4 Cardinality

Number of possible implementations for a given **type** signature.

[Disjunction](#), `sum` - adds [cardinalities](#).
[Conjunction](#), `product` - multiplies [cardinalities](#).

4.4.1 *

Cardinalities

4.5 Data constant

`*` - [constant](#) value; [nullary data constructor](#).

4.6 Data constructor

One instance that [inhabit data type](#).

4.7 data declaration

[Data type declaration](#) is the most general and versatile form to create a new [data type](#).
 Form:

```
data [context =>] type typeVars1..n
  = con1 c1t1..i
  | ...
  | conm cmt1..q
  [deriving]
```

4.8 Dependent type

When [type](#) and values have [relation](#) between them. [Type](#) has restrictions for values, value of a [type variable](#) has a result on the [type](#).

4.8.1 *

Dependent types

4.9 Gen type

[Generator](#). [Gen type](#) is to generate pseudo-random values for parent [type](#). Produces a [list](#) of values that gets infinitely cycled.

4.10 Higher-kinded data type

Any combination of `*` and `->`

`Type` that take more `types` as arguments.

Humbly really a `function`

4.10.1 `*`

Higher-kinded data types

4.11 `newtype` declaration

Create a new `type` from old `type` by attaching a new `constructor`, allowing `type class instance declaration`.

```
newtype FirstName = FirstName String
```

Data will have exactly the same representation at runtime, as the `type` that is wrapped.

```
newtype Book = Book (Int, Int)
```

```
    (,)
    / \
Integer Integer
```

4.12 Principal type

The most generic `data type` that still `typechecks`.

4.13 Product data type

Is an `algebraic data type` representation of a `product` construction.
Formed by logical `conjunction` (`AND`, `'* *'`).

Haskell forms:

```
-- 1. As a tuple (the uncurried & most true-form)
(T1, T2)
```

```
-- 2. Curried form, data constructor that takes two types
C T1 T2
```

```
-- 3. Using record syntax. =r# <inhabitant>= would return the respective =T#=.
```

```
C { r1 :: T1
    , r2 :: T2
  }
```

4.13.1 *

Product type

4.13.2 Sequence

Enumerated (ordered) [set](#).

Denotation:

```
()
( , )
( , , )
( , , ... )
```

More general mathematical denotation was not established, variants:

$$(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\omega \rightarrow X$$

$$\{i : Ord \mid i < \alpha\}$$

In Haskell: [Data type](#) that stores multiple ordered values withing a single value.

Table 4.1: [Sequence constructor](#) naming by [arity](#)

Name	Arity	Denotation
Unit , empty	0	()
Singleton	1	(_)
Tuple, pair, two-tuple	2	(,)
Triple, three- tuple	3	(, ,)
Sequence	N	(, , ...)

4.13.2.1 *

Sequences

Tuples

Ordered pair

Ordered triple

4.13.2.2 List

The same [type objects sequence](#).

Denotation:

```

[]
[ , ]
[ , , ]
[ , , ... ]

```

Haskell definition:

```
data [] a = [] | a : [a]
```

Definition is self-referential (self-[recursive](#)), can be seen as [anamorphism](#) (unfold) of the [] (empty [list](#), memory cell which is container of particular [type](#)) and : ([cons operation](#), pointer). As such - can create non-terminating [data type](#) (and computation), in other words - infinite.

4.14 Proxy type

[Proxy type](#) holds no data, but has a phantom [parameter](#) of [arbitrary type](#) (or even [kind](#)). Able to provide [type](#) information, even though has no value of that [type](#) (or it can be may too costly to create one).

```
data Proxy a = ProxyValue
```

```

let proxy1 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy Int) -- a has kind `Type`
let proxy2 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy List) -- a has kind `Type -> Type`

```

4.15 Static typing

[Typechecking](#) takes place at [compile level](#).

4.16 Structural type

Mathematical [type](#). They form into [structural type system](#).

4.16.1 *

Structural

4.17 Structural type system

Strict global hierarchy and relationships of [types](#) and their [properties](#).

Haskell [type](#) system is [*](#).

In most languages typing is name-based, not [structural](#).

4.17.1 [*](#)

Structural typing

4.18 Sum data type

[Algebraic data type](#) formed by logical [disjunction](#) (OR '|').

4.19 Type alias

Create new [type constructor](#), and use all [data structure](#) of the base [type](#).

4.20 Type class

[Type](#) system [construct](#) that adds a support of [ad hoc polymorphism](#).

[Type class](#) makes a nice way for defining behaviour, [properties](#) over many [types/objects](#) at once.

4.20.1 [*](#)

Type classes

Typeclass

Typeclasses

4.20.2 Arbitrary type class

[Type class](#) of [QuickCheck.Arbitrary](#) (that is reexported by [QuickCheck](#)) for creating a [generator](#)/distribution of values.

Useful [function](#) is [arbitrary](#) - that autogenerates values.

4.20.2.1 Arbitrary function

Depends on [type](#) and generates values of that [type](#).

4.20.3 CoArbitrary type class

Pseudogenerates a [function](#) basing on resulting [type](#).

```
coarbitrary :: CoArbitrary a => a -> Gen b -> Gen b
```

4.20.3.1 *

CoArbitrary

4.20.4 Typeable type class

Allows dynamic [type](#) checking in Haskell for a [type](#).

Shift a [typechecking](#) of [type](#) from compile time to runtime.

* [type](#) gets wrapped in the universal [type](#), that shifts the [type](#) checks to runtime.

Also allows:

- Get the [type](#) of something at runtime (ex. print the [type](#) of something `typeOf`).
- Compare the [types](#).
- Reifying [functions](#) from [polymorphic type](#) to concrete (for [functions](#) like `:: Typeable a => a -> String`).

4.20.4.1 *

Typeable

4.20.5 Type class inheritance

[Type class](#) has a [superclass](#).

4.20.6 Derived instance

[Type class](#) instances sometimes can be automatically [derived](#) from the parent [types](#).

[Type classes](#) such as `Eq`, `Enum`, `Ord`, `Show` can have instances generated based on definition of [data type](#).

P.S.

[Language options](#):

- `DeriveAnyClass`
- `DeriveDataTypeable`
- `DeriveFoldable`
- `DeriveFunctor`
- `DeriveGeneric`
- `DeriveLift`
- `DeriveTraversable`
- `DerivingStrategies`
- `DerivingVia`
- `GeneralisedNewtypeDeriving`
- `StandaloneDeriving`

4.20.6.1 *

Derived
Deriving

4.21 Type constant

[Nullary type constructor](#).

4.22 Type constructor

Name of the [data type](#).

[Constructor](#) that takes [type](#) as an [argument](#) and produces new [type](#).

4.23 type declaration

Synonym for existing [type](#). Uses the same [data constructor](#).

```
type FirstName = String
```

Used to distinct one entities from other entities, while they have the same [type](#).
Also main [type functions](#) can operate on a new [type](#).

4.24 Typed hole

`*` - is a `_` or `_name` in the [expression](#). On [evaluation](#) GHC would show the [derived type](#) information which should be in place of the `*`. That information helps to fill in the gap.

4.24.1 *

Typed holes

4.25 Type inference

Automatic [data type](#) detection for [expression](#).

4.25.1 *

Inferring
Infer
Infers
Inferred

4.26 Type class instance

Block of implementations of [functions](#), based on unique [type class](#)->[type](#) pairing.

4.27 Type rank

Weak ordering of [types](#).

The rank of [polymorphic type](#) shows at what level of nesting `forall` [quantifier](#) appears.
Count-in only [quantifiers](#) that appear to the left of [arrows](#).

```
f1 :: forall a b. a -> b -> a    ==    fi :: a -> b -> c
g1 :: forall a b. (Ord a, Eq b) => a -> b -> a    ==    g1 :: (Ord a, Eq b) => a -> b -> a
```

f1, g1 - [rank-1 types](#). Haskell itself implicitly adds universal [quantification](#).

```
f2 :: (forall a. a->a) -> Int -> Int
g2 :: (forall a. Eq a => [a] -> a -> Bool) -> Int -> Int
```

f2, g2 - [rank-2 types](#). Quantificator is on the left side of a \rightarrow . Quantificator shows that [type](#) on the left can be overloaded.

[Type inference](#) in Rank-2 is possible, but not higher.

```
f3 :: ((forall a. a->a) -> Int) -> Bool -> Bool
```

f3 - [rank3-type](#). Has [rank-2 types](#) on the left of a \rightarrow .

```
f :: Int -> (forall a. a -> a)
g :: Int -> Ord a => a -> a
```

f, g are rank 1. [Quantifier](#) appears to the right of an [arrow](#), not to the left. These [types](#) are not Haskell-98. They are supported in [RankNTypes](#).

4.27.1 *

- Type ranks
- Rank type
- Rank types
- Rank-1 type
- Rank-1 types
- Rank-2 type
- Rank-2 types
- Rank-3 type
- Rank-3 types

4.28 Type variable

Refer to an unspecified [type](#) in Haskell [type](#) signature.

4.29 Unlifted type

[Type](#) that directly exist on the hardware. The [type abstraction](#) can be completely removed. With [unlifted types](#) Haskell [type](#) system directly manages data in the hardware.

4.29.1 *

Unlifted types

4.30 Data structure**4.30.1 Cons cell**

Cell that values may [inhabit](#).

4.30.2 Construct

$(:)$:: $a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$

4.30.2.1 *

Cons

4.30.3 Leaf

-

4.30.4 Node

*

/ \

4.31 Linear type

[Type](#) system and [algebra](#) that also track the multiplicity of data.

There are 3 general [linear type groups](#):

- 0 - exists only at [type level](#) and is not allowed to be used at value level. Aka **s** in [ST-Trick](#).
- 1 - data that is not duplicated
- $1 <$ - all other data, that can be duplicated multiple times.

4.31.1 *

Linear types

4.32 NonEmpty list data type

Data.List.NonEmpty

Has a [Semigroup](#) instance but can't have a [Monoid](#) instance. It never can be an empty [list](#).

```
data NonEmpty a = a :| [a]
    deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

:| - an [infix](#) data constructor that takes two ([type](#)) arguments. In other words :| returns a [product type](#) of left and right

4.33 Session type

* - allows to check that behaviour conforms to the protocol.

So far very complex, not very productive (or well-established) topic.

4.34 Binary tree

```
data BinaryTree a
    = [[Leaf]]
    | [[Node]] (BinaryTree a) a (BinaryTree a)
```

4.35 Bottom value

A `_` non-value in the [type](#) or [pattern match expression](#). Placeholder for anything.

```
-- _ fits *.
```

4.35.1 *

Bottom

Bottom values

4.36 Bound

Haskell `*` [type class](#) means to have lowest value & highest value, so a [bounded](#) range of values.

4.36.1 *

Bounded

4.37 Constructor

a. [Type constructor](#)

b. [Data constructor](#)

Also see: [Constant](#)

4.37.1 *

Constructors

4.38 Context

[Type constraints](#) for [polymorphic variables](#).

Written before the main [type](#) signature, denoted:

```
TypeClass a => ...
```

4.38.1 *

Contexts

4.39 Inhabit

Values that is a component of [data type set](#).

4.40 Maybe

```
data Maybe
  = Nothing
  | Just a
```

Does not represent the information why `Nothing` happened.

For [error](#) - use [Either](#).

Do not propagate `*`.

Handle `*` locally to [where](#) it is produced. `Nothing` does not hold useful info for debugging & short-circuits the processes. Do not expect code [type](#) being bug-free, do not return `Maybe` to end user since it would be impossible to debug, return something that preserves [error](#) information.

4.40.0.1 *

Nodes

4.41 Expected type

Data type inferred from the text of the code.

4.42 ADT

a. Abstract data type

b. Algebraic data type

4.43 Concrete type

Fully defined type. Non-polymorphic type.

4.44 Type punning

When type constructor and data constructor have the same name.

Theoretically if person knows the rules - * can be solved, because in Haskell type and data declaration have different places of use.

Chapter 5

Declaration

[Binding](#) name to [expression](#).

Chapter 6

Differential operator

Denotation.

$\frac{d}{dx}$, D , D_x , ∂_x .

Last one is partial.

$e^{t \frac{d}{dx}}$ - Shift operator.

6.1 *

Differential

Chapter 7

Dispatch

Send, transmission, reference.

Chapter 8

Effect

Observable action.

Chapter 9

Evaluation

For FP see [Bind](#).

Chapter 10

Expression

Finite combination of symbols that is well-formed according to rules that depend on the [context](#).

10.1 *

Expressions

10.2 Closed-form expression

* - mathematical [expression](#) that can be evaluated in a finite number of operations.

May contain:

- constants
- [variables](#)
- operations (e.g., $+$ $-$ \times \div)
- [functions](#) (e.g., nth root, exponent, logarithm, trigonometric [functions](#), and [inverse](#) hyperbolic [functions](#)), but usually no limit.

10.3 RHS

Right-hand side of the [expression](#).

10.4 LHS

Left-hand side of the [expression](#).

10.5 Redex

[Reducible expression](#).

10.6 Concatenate

Link together [sequences](#), [expressions](#).

10.7 Alpha equivalence

[Equivalence](#) of a processes in [expressions](#). If [expressions](#) have according [parameters](#) different, but the internal processes are literally the same process.

10.8 Ground expression

[Expression](#) that does not contain any free [variables](#).

10.8.1 *

Ground formula

10.9 Variable

A name for [expression](#).

Haskell has immutable [variables](#).
Except when you hack it with explicit fun tions.

10.9.1 *

Variables

Chapter 11

First-class

Means *it*:

- Can be used as value.
- Passed as an [argument](#).

From 1&2 -> *it* can include itself.

Chapter 12

Function

Full dependency of one quantity from another quantity.

Denotation:
 $y = f(x)$
 $f : X \rightarrow Y$,
where X is domain, Y is codomain.

Directionality and property of invariability emerge from one another.

-- domain func codomain
* -> *

$y(x) = (zx^2 + bx + 3 \mid b = 5)$
^ ^ ~ ^ ^ ^

\Name of the function
 \Parameter
 \Free variable
 \Bound variable
 \Var \Constant

Lambda abstraction is a function.
Function is a mathematical operation.

Function = Total function = Pure function. Function theoretically can be to memoized.

Also see:
Partial function
Inverse function - often partially exists (partial function).

12.1 *

Functions
Bound variable

12.2 Arity

Number of [parameters](#) of the [function](#).

- [nullary](#) - $f()$
- [unary](#) - $f(x)$
- [binary](#) - $f(x,y)$
- [ternary](#) - $f(x,y,z)$
- [n-ary](#) - $f(x,y,z,..)$

12.3 Bijection

[Function](#) is a complete one-to-one pairing of elements of [domain](#) and [codomain](#) ([image](#)).
It means [function](#) both [surjective](#) (so [image](#) == [codomain](#)) and [injective](#) (every [domain](#) element has unique correspondence to the [image](#) element).

For [bijection inverse](#) always exists.

[Bijective operation](#) holds the [equivalence](#) of [domain](#) and [codomain](#).

Denotation:

\rightarrow

$f : X \rightarrow Y$

L^AT_EX needed to combine symbols:

$f : X \rightarrowtail Y$

Corresponds to [isomorphism](#).

12.3.1 *

Bijjective

Bijjective function

12.4 Combinator

Function without free variables.

Higher-order function that uses only function application and other combinators.

```
\a -> a
\ a b -> a b
\f g x -> f (g x)
\f g x y -> f (g x y)
```

Not combinators:

```
\ xs -> sum xs
```

Informal broad meaning: referring to the style of organizing libraries centered around the idea of combining things.

12.5 Function application

* - bind the argument to the parameter of a function, and do a beta-reduction.

12.5.1 *

Apply

Applied

Applying

Application

12.6 Function body

Expression that haracterizes the process.

12.7 Function composition

```
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
```

```
a -> (a -> b) -> (b -> c) -> c
```

In Haskell inline [composition](#) requires:

```
h.g.f $ i
```

12.7.1 *

Composition

Compose

Composed

12.8 Function head

Is a part with name of the [function](#) and it's parameters.

AKA: $f(x)$

12.9 Function range

The range of a [function](#) refers to [either](#) the [codomain](#) or the [image](#) of the [function](#), depending upon usage. Modern usage almost always uses range to mean [image](#).

So, see [Function image](#).

12.10 Higher-order function

[Function arity](#) > 1.

—

Has [function](#) as a [parameter](#).

Evaluates to [function](#).

12.10.1 *

HOF

12.10.2 Fold

[Catamorphism](#) of a [structure](#) to a lower [type](#) of [structure](#). Often to a single value.

* is a [higher-order function](#) that takes a [function](#) which operates with both main [structure](#) and accumulator [structure](#), * applies units of [data structure](#) to a [function](#) which works with accumulator. Upon traversing the whole [structure](#) - the accumulator is returned.

12.11 Injection

Function one-to-one injects from **domain** into **codomain**.

Keeps distinct pairing of elements of **domain** and **image**.
Every element in **image** corresponds to one element in **domain**.

$$\forall a, b \in X, f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a = b$$

$$\exists(\text{inverse function}) \mid \forall(\text{injective function})$$

Denotion:

\rightarrow

$f : X \rightarrow Y$

$f : X \mapsto Y$

Corresponds to **Monomorphism**.

12.11.1 *

Injective
Injective function
Injectivity

12.12 Partial function

One that does not cover all **domain**.
Unsafe and causes trouble.

12.13 Purity

* means properly abstracted.

If the contrary - **abstraction** is unpure.

Also see: **pure function**.

12.13.1 *

Pure

12.14 Pure function

`Function` that is `pure` \equiv `referentially transparent function`.

12.15 Sectioning

Writing `function` in a parentheses. Allows to pass around `partially applied functions`.

12.16 Surjection

`Function` uses `codomain` fully.

$$\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X$$

Denotation:
 $f : X \twoheadrightarrow Y$

Corresponds to `Epimorphism`.

12.16.1 *

Surjective
Surjective function

12.17 Unsafe function

`Function` that does not cover at least one edge `case`.

12.17.1 *

Unsafe

12.18 Variadic

* - having `variable arity` (often up to indefinite).

12.19 Domain

Source [set](#) of a [function](#).

X in $X \rightarrow Y$.

12.20 Codomain

Y in $X \rightarrow Y$.

[Codomain](#) - target [set](#) of a [function](#).

12.21 Open formula

Logical [function](#) that has [arity](#) and produces [proposition](#).

12.22 Recursion

Repeated [function application](#) when sometimes same [function](#) gets called.

Allows computation that may require indefinite amount of work.

12.22.1 *

Recursive

12.22.2 Base case

A part of a [recursive function](#) that trivially produces result.

12.22.3 Tail recursion

Tail calls are [recursive](#) invocations of itself.

12.22.4 Polymorphic recursion

[Type](#) of the [parameter](#) changes in [recursive](#) invocations of [function](#).

Is always a higher-ranked [type](#).

12.22.4.1 *

Milner–Mycroft typability
 Milner–Mycroft calculus

12.23 Free variable

Variable in the function that is not **bound** by the head.
 Until there are * - **function** stays **partially applied**.

12.24 Closure

$f(x) = f^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}$ is **closed** under f , it is a trivial **case** when **operation** is legitimate for all values of the **domain**.

Operation on members of the **domain** always produces a members of the **domain**. The **domain** is **closed** under the **operation**.

In the **case** when there is a **domain** values for which **operation** is not legitimate/not exists:

$$f(x) = f^{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} \mid \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{X}, \forall x \in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X} \text{ is } \text{closed} \text{ under } f.$$

12.24.1 *

Closed

12.25 Parameter

para subsidiary
metron measure

Named variable of a **function**.

Argument is a supplied value to a **function parameter**.

Parameter (**formal parameter**) is an **irrefutable** pattern, and implemented that way in Haskell.

12.25.1 *

Parameters
 Formal parameter
 Formal parameters

12.26 Partial application

Part of [function parameters](#) applied.

12.26.1 *

Partially applied

12.27 Well-formed formula

[Expression](#), logical [function](#) that is/can produce a [proposition](#).

12.27.1 *

Well formed formula

WFF

wff

WFFS

wffs

Chapter 13

Homotopy

homós same

One can be "continuously deformed" into the other.

For example - [functions](#), [functors](#).

[Natural transformation](#) is a [homotopy](#) of [functors](#).

13.1 *

Homotopies

Homotopic

Chapter 14

IO

[Type](#) for values whose evaluations has a possibility to cause side effects or return unpredictable result.

Haskell standard uses [monad](#) for constructing and transforming [IO](#) actions.

[IO](#) action can be evaluated multiple times.

[IO data type](#) has unpure imperative actions inside. Haskell is [pure Lambda calculus](#), and un-pure [IO](#) integrates in the Haskell purely ([type](#) system abstracts [IO](#) unpurity inside [IO data type](#)).

[IO sequences effect](#) computation one after another in [order](#) of needed computation, or occurrence:

```
twoBinds :: IO ()
twoBinds =
  putStrLn "First:" >>
  getLine >>=
  \a ->
  putStrLn "Second:" >>
  getLine >>=
  \b ->
  putStrLn ("\nFirst: "
    ++ a ++ ".\nSecond "
    ++ b ++ ".")
main = twoBinds
```

Sequencing is achieved by compilation of effects performing only while they receive the sugared-in & passed around the `RealWorld` fake [type](#) value, that value in the every computation gets the new "value" and then passed to the next requested computation. But special thing is about this [parameter](#), this `RealWorld` [type](#) value passed, but never looked at. GHC realizes, since value is never used, - it means value and [type](#) can be equated to `()` and moreover reduced from the code, and sequencing stays.

Chapter 15

Kind

`Kind -> Type -> Data`

15.1 *

Kinds

Chapter 16

Lambda calculus

Universal model of computation. Which means λ can implement any [Turing machine](#).
Based on [function abstraction](#) and [application](#) by substituting [variables](#) and [binding](#) values.

λ has [lambda terms](#):

- [variable](#) (x)
- [application](#) ((ts))
- [abstraction](#) ([lambda function](#)) ($(\lambda x.t)$)

16.1 λ

Lambda term
Lambda terms
Lambda variable
Lambda variables

16.2 Lambda cube

[-cube](#) shows the 3 dimensions of generalizations from simply typed [Lambda calculus](#) to [Calculus of constructions](#).

Each dimension of the cube corresponds to a new way of making [objects](#) depend on other [objects](#):

- ([First-class polymorphism](#)) - terms allowed to depend on [types](#), corresponding to [polymorphism](#).

- (Higher-rank polymorphism) - types depending on terms, corresponding to dependent types.
- (Type class) - types depending on types, corresponding to type operators.


16.2.1 *

-cube
λ-cube

16.3 Lambda function

Function of Lambda calculus.

$\lambda xy.x^2 + y^3$
 $\sim \sim \sim$



16.3.1 *

Lambda abstraction

16.3.2 Anonymous lambda function

Lambda function that is not binded to any name.

16.3.2.1 *

Anonymous lambda function

16.4 β -reduction

Equation of a parameter to a bound variable, then reducing parameter from the head.

16.4.1 *

β reduction
 Beta-reduction
 Beta reduction

16.4.2 β -normal form

No [beta reduction](#) is possible.

16.4.2.1 *

β normal from
 Beta normal form
 Beta-normal form

16.5 Calculus of constructions

Extends the [Curry](#)–Howard correspondence to the proofs in the full intuitionistic [predicate](#) calculus (includes proofs of [quantified statements](#)).

[Type](#) theory, typed programming language, and constructivism (philosophy) foundation for mathematics.

Directly relates to Coq programming language.

16.5.1 *

«<CoC»>

16.6 Curry–Howard correspondence

[Equivalence](#) of {[First-order logic](#), computer programming, [Category](#) theory}. They represent each-other, possible in one - possible in the other, so all the definitions and theorems have analogues in other two.

Gives a ground to the [equivalence](#) of computer programs and mathematical proofs.

Lambek added analogue to Cartesian [closed category](#), which can be used to model logic and [type](#) theory.

Table 16.1: Table of basic correspondence

Logic	Type	Category
True	() (any inhabited type)	Terminal
False	Void	Initial
$a \wedge b$	(a, b)	$a \times b$
$a \vee b$	Either a b	a / b
$a \Rightarrow b$	$a \rightarrow b$	b^a

16.6.1 *

Curry–Howard isomorphism
Curry–Howard–Lambek

16.7 Currying

Translating the evaluation of a multiple argument function (or a tuple of arguments) into evaluating a sequence of functions, each with a single argument.

16.7.1 *

Curry

16.8 Hindley–Milner type system

Classical type system for the Lambda calculus with Parametric polymorphism and Type inference. Types marked as polymorphic variables, which enables type inference over the code.

16.8.1 *

Hindley–Milner
Damas–Milner
Damas–Hindley–Milner

16.9 Reduction

Take out something from a structure, make simpler.

See Beta reduction

16.9.1 *

Reducible

16.10 β - η normal form

All β -reduction and η -abstraction are done in the expression.

16.10.1 *

beta-eta normal form

beta eta normal form

16.11 η -abstraction

$(\lambda x.Mx) \xleftarrow{\eta} M$

```
\ x -> g . f $ x
\ x -> g . f      --eta-abstraction
```

16.11.1 *

η -reduction

η -conversion

η abstraction

η reduction

η conversion

eta-abstraction

eta-reduction

eta-conversion

eta abstraction

eta reduction

eta conversion

16.12 Lambda expression

See [Lambda calculus](#) ([Lambda terms](#)) and [Expression](#). In majority cases meaning some [Lambda function](#).

Chapter 17

Lense

Library of combinators to provide Haskell (functional language without mutation) with the emulation of **get**-ters and **set**-ters of imperative language.

Chapter 18

Operation

Calculation into output value. Can have [zero](#) & more inputs.

18.1 Constant

[Nullary operation](#).

Also see: [Type constant](#).

18.2 Binary operation

$\forall(a, b) \in S, \exists P(a, b) = f(a, b) : S \times S \rightarrow S$

18.2.1 *

Binary operations

18.3 Operator

Denotation symbol/name for the [operation](#).

18.3.1 Shift operator

[Shift operator](#) defined by Lagrange through [Differential operator](#).

$$T^t = e^{t \frac{d}{dx}}$$

18.3.1.1 *

Shift

18.4 Infix

Form of writing of [operator](#) or [function](#) in-between [variables](#) for [application](#).

For priorities see [Fixity](#).

18.5 Fixity

Declares the presedence of action of a [function/operator](#).

Funciton [application](#) has presedence higher then all [infix](#) operators/[functions](#) (virtually giving it a [priority](#) 10).

Table 18.1: Haskell operators [priority](#) and [fixity](#) association

P	L	Non	R
10			F.A.
9	!!		.
8			^ ~ **
7	*/ div		
6	+-		
5			: , ++
4		<comparison> elem	
3			&&
2			OR
1			
0			\$ \$! seq

18.5.1 *

Infixl

Infixr

Priority

Precedence

18.6 Zero

* is the value with which [operation](#) always yelds [Zero](#) value.

$zero, n \in C : \forall n, zero * n = zero$

* is distinct from [Identity](#) value.

Chapter 19

Permutation

Bijjective function from domain to itself.

Domain & permutation functions & function composition form a group.

Chapter 20

Phrase

Composable expression.

Chapter 21

Point-free

Paradigm [where function](#) only describes the [morphism](#) itself.

Process of converting [function](#) to [point-free](#).
If brackets `()` can be changed to `$` then `$` equal to [composition](#):

```
\ x -> g (f x)
\ x -> g $ f x
\ x -> g . f $ x
\ x -> g . f      --eta-abstraction
```

```
\ x1 x2 -> g (f x1 x2)
\ x1 x2 -> g $ f x1 x2
\ x1 x2 -> g . f x1 $ x2
\ x1      -> g . f x1
```

21.1 *

Pointfree
Tacit
Tacit programming

21.2 Blackbird

```
(.).(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a1 -> a2 -> b) -> a1 -> a2 -> c
```

[Composition of compositions](#) `(.).(.)`. Allows to [compose-in](#) a [binary function](#) `f1(c)`
`(.).(.) f2(a,b)`.

```
\ f g x y -> f (g x y)
```

21.2.1 *`.) .``(.).(.)`

Composition of compositions

21.3 Swing

```
swing :: ((a -> b) -> b) -> c -> d) -> c -> a -> d
swing = flip . (. flip id)
swing f = flip (f . runCont . return)
swing f c a = f ($ a) c
```

21.4 Squish

```
f >>= a . b . c =<< g
```

Chapter 22

Polymorphism

polús many

At once several forms.

In Haskell - [abstract](#) over [data types](#).

* [types](#):

22.1 *

Polymorphic

22.2 Levity polymorphism

Extending [polymorphism](#) to work with unlifted and lifted [types](#).

22.3 Parametric polymorphism

[Abstracting](#) over [data types](#) by [parameter](#).

In most languages named as 'Generics' (generic programming).

[Types](#):

22.3.1 Rank-1 polymorphism

[Parametric polymorphism](#) in [rank-1 types](#) by [type variables](#).

22.3.1.1 *

Prenex

Prenex polymorphism

22.3.2 Let-bound polymorphism

It is [property](#) chosen for Haskell [type](#) system.

Haskell is based on [Hindley-Milner type](#) system, it is [let-bound](#).

To have strict [type inference](#) with [*](#) - if **let** and **where** declarations are [polymorphic](#) - λ declarations - should be not.

See: [Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type](#).

22.3.3 Constrained polymorphism

Constrained [Parametric polymorphism](#).

22.3.3.1 Ad hoc polymorphism

Artificial [constrained polymorphism](#) dependent on incoming [data type](#).

It is [interface dispatch](#) mechanism of [data types](#).

Achieved by creating a [type class instance functions](#).

Commonly known as overloading.

a. [*](#)

Ad-hoc polymorphism

Ad hoc polymorphic

Ad-hoc polymorphic

Constraint

Constraints

22.3.4 Impredicative polymorphism

[*](#) allows [type](#) entities with [polymorphic types](#) that can contain [type](#) itself.

$T = \forall X. X \rightarrow X : T \in X \models T \in T$

The most powerful form of [parametric polymorphism](#).

See: [Impredicative](#).

This approach has Girard's paradox ([type systems Russell's paradox](#)).

22.3.4.1 *

First-class polymorphism

22.3.5 Higher-rank polymorphism

Means that [polymorphic types](#) can appear within other [types](#) ([types](#) of [function](#)).

There is a case where [higher-rank polymorphism](#) than the ad hoc - is needed. For example [where ad hoc polymorphism](#) is used in [constraints](#) of several different implementations of [functions](#), and you want to build a [function](#) on top - and use the [abstract interface](#) over these [functions](#).

```
-- ad-hoc polymorphism
f1 :: forall a. MyType Class a => a -> String    ==    f1 :: MyType Class a => a -> String
f1 = -- ...
```

```
-- higher-rank polymorphism
f2 :: Int -> (forall a. MyType Class a => a -> String) -> Int
f2 = -- ...
```

By moving `forall` inside the [function](#) - we can achieve [higher-rank polymorphism](#).

From: <https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=8130861>

Higher-rank polymorphism is formalized using System F, and there are a few implementations of (in

Useful example also a [ST-Trick monad](#).

22.3.5.1 *

Rank-n polymorphism

22.4 Subtype polymorphism

Allows to declare usage of a [Type](#) and all of its Subtypes.

T - [Type](#)

S - Subtype of [Type](#)

<: - subtype of

$S <: T = S \leq T$

Subtyping is:

If it can be done to T, and there is subtype S - then it also can be done to S.

$S <: T : f^{T \rightarrow X} \Rightarrow f^{S \rightarrow X}$

22.5 Row polymorphism

Is a lot like [Subtype polymorphism](#), but aligns itself on allowance (with `| r`) of subtypes and [types](#) with requested [properties](#).

```
printX :: { x :: Int | r } -> String
printX rec = show rec.x

printY :: { y :: Int | r } -> String
printY rec = show rec.y

-- type is inferred as `{x :: Int, y :: Int | r } -> String`
printBoth rec = printX rec ++ printY rec
```

22.6 Kind polymorphism

Achieved using a phantom [type argument](#) in the [data type declaration](#).

```
;;          * -> *
data Proxy a = ProxyValue
```

Then, by default the [data type](#) can be inhabited and fully work being partially defined. But multiple instances of [kind polymorphic type](#) can be distinguished by their particular [type](#).

Example is the [Proxy type](#):

```
data Proxy a = ProxyValue

let proxy1 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy Int) -- * :: Proxy Int
let proxy2 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy a)   -- * -> * :: Proxy a
```

22.7 Linearity polymorphism

Leverages [linear types](#).

For example - if [fold](#) over a dynamic array:

- a. In basic Haskell - array would be copied at every step.
- b. Use low-level [unsafe functions](#).
- c. With [Linear type function](#) we guarantee that the array would be used only at one place at a time.

So, if we use a [function](#) `(* -o * -o -o *)` in `foldr` - the [fold](#) will use the initial value only once.

Chapter 23

Pragma

Pragma - instruction to the compiler that specifies how a compiler should process the code. **Pragma** in Haskell have form:

```
{-# PRAGMA options #-}
```

23.1 LANGUAGE pragma

Controls what variations of the language are permitted.

It has a **set** of allowed options: https://downloads.haskell.org/~ghc/latest/docs/html/users_guide/ghc_exts.html, which can be supplied.

23.1.1 LANGUAGE option

23.1.1.1 *

Language options

23.1.1.2 Useful by default

```
import EmptyCase
import FlexibleContexts
import FlexibleInstances
import InstanceSigs
import MultiParamTypeClasses
```

23.1.1.3 AllowAmbiguousTypes

Allow **type** signatures which appear that they would result in an unusable **binding**. However GHC will still check and complain about a **functions** that can never be called.

23.1.1.4 ApplicativeDo

Enables an [alternative](#) in-depth [reduction](#) that translates the do-notation to the operators `<$>`, `<*>`, `join` as far as possible.

For GHC to pickup the patterns, the final [statement](#) must match one of these patterns exactly:

```
pure E
pure $ E
return E
return $ E
```

When the [statements](#) of do [expression](#) have dependencies between them, and [ApplicativeDo](#) cannot [infer](#) an [Applicative type](#) - GHC uses a heuristic $O(n^2)$ algorithm to try to use `<*>` as much as possible. This algorithm usually finds the best solution, but in rare complex cases it might miss an opportunity. There is also $O(n^3)$ algorithm that finds the optimal solution: `-foptimal-applicative-do`.

Requires `ap = <*>`, `return = pure`, which is true for the most [monadic types](#).

- Allows use of do-notation with [types](#) that are an instance of [Applicative](#) and [Functor](#)
- In some [monads](#), using the [applicative](#) operators is more efficient than [monadic bind](#). For example, it may enable more parallelism.

The only way it shows up at the source level is that you can have a do [expression](#) with only [Applicative](#) or [Functor](#) constraint.

It is possible to see the actual translation by using `-ddump-ds`.

23.1.1.5 ConstrainedClassMethods

Enable the definition of further [constraints](#) on individual class methods.

23.1.1.6 CPP

Enable [C preprocessor](#).

23.1.1.7 DeriveFunctor

Automatic [deriving](#) of instances for the [Functor type class](#).

For [type power set functor](#) is unique, its derivation implementation can be autochecked.

23.1.1.8 ExplicitForAll

Allow explicit [forall](#) quantificator in places [where](#) it is implicit by Haskell.

23.1.1.9 FlexibleContexts

Ability to use complex [constraints](#) in class [declaration contexts](#).

The only restriction on the [context](#) in a class [declaration](#) is that the class hierarchy must be acyclic.

```
class C a where
  op :: D b => a -> b -> b
```

```
class C a => D a where ...
```

$C \mathrel{:>} D$, so in C we can talk about D .

Synergizes with [ConstraintKinds](#).

23.1.1.10 FlexibleInstances

Allow [type class](#) instances [types](#) contain nested [types](#).

```
instance C (Maybe Int) where ...
```

Implies [TypeSynonymInstances](#).

23.1.1.11 GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving

Enable GHC's `newtype` cunning generalised [deriving](#) mechanism.

```
newtype Dollars = Dollars Int
  deriving (Eq, Ord, Show, Read, Enum, Num, Real, Bounded, Integral)
```

(In old Haskell-98 only `Eq`, `Ord`, `Enum` could be inherited.)

23.1.1.12 ImplicitParams

Allow definition of [functions](#) expecting implicit [parameters](#). In the Haskell that has static scoping of [variables](#) allows the dynamic scoping, such as in classic Lisp or ELisp.

Sure thing this one can be puzzling as hell inside Haskell.

23.1.1.13 LambdaCase

Enables [expressions](#) of the form:

```
\case { p1 -> e1; ...; pN -> eN }
```

-- OR

```
\case
  p1 -> e1
  ...
  pN -> eN
```

23.1.1.14 MultiParamTypeClasses

Implies: [ConstrainedClassMethods](#)

Enable the definitions of [typeclasses](#) with more than one [parameter](#).

```
class Collection c a where
```

23.1.1.15 MultiWayIf

Enable multi-way-if syntax.

```
if | guard1 -> code1
    | ...
    | guardN -> codeN
```

23.1.1.16 OverloadedStrings

Enable overloaded string literals (string literals become desugared via the `IsString` class).

With overload, string literals has [type](#):

```
(IsString a) => a
```

The usual string syntax can be used, e.g. `ByteString`, `Text`, and other variations of string-like [types](#).

Now they can be used in pattern matches as `char->integer` translations. To [pattern match](#) `Eq` must be [derived](#).

To use class `IsString` - [import](#) it from `GHC.Ext`.

23.1.1.17 PartialTypeSignatures

Partial [type](#) signature contains [wildcards](#), placeholders (`_`, `_name`).

Allows programmer to which parts of a [type](#) to annotate and which to [infer](#). Also applies to

`constraint` part.

As untuped `expression`, partly typed can not polymorphically recurse.

`-Wno-partial-type-signatures` supresses `infer` warnings.

23.1.1.18 RankNTypes

Enable `types` of `arbitrary` rank.

See `Type rank`.

Implies `ExplicitForAll`.

Allows `forall` `quantifier`:

- Left side of \rightarrow
- Right side of \rightarrow
- as `argument` of a `constructor`
- as `type` of a field
- as `type` of an implicit `parameter`
- used in pattern `type` signature of `lexically scoped type variables`

23.1.1.19 ScopedTypeVariables

By default `type variables` do not have a `scope` except inside `type` signatures `where` they are used.

When there are internal `type` signatures provided in the code block (`where`, `let`, etc.) they (main `type` description of a `function` and internal `type` descriptions) restrain one-another and become not trully `polymorphic`, which creates a bounding interdependency of `types` that GHC would complain about.

* option provides the `lexical scope` inside the code block for `type variables` that have `forall quantifier`. Because they are now lexiacally scoped - those `type variables` are used across internal `type` signatures.

For details see: <https://ocharles.org.uk/guest-posts/2014-12-20-scoped-type-variables.html>

Implies `ExplicitForAll`.

23.1.1.20 TupleSections

Allow [tuple](#) section syntax:

```
(, True)
(, "I", , , "Love", , 1337)
```

23.1.1.21 TypeApplications

Allow [type application](#) syntax:

```
read @Int 5

:type pure @[]
pure @[] :: a -> [a]

:type (<*>) @[]
(<*>) @[] :: [a -> b] -> [a] -> [b]

--

instance (CoArbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (a -> b)

> ($ 0) <$> generate (arbitrary @(Int -> Int))
```

23.1.1.22 TypeSynonymInstances

Now [type](#) synonym can have it's own [type class](#) instances.

23.1.1.23 UndecidableInstances

Permit instances which may lead to [type-checker](#) non-termination.

GHC has Instance termination rules regardless of [FlexibleInstances](#) [FlexibleContexts](#).

23.1.1.24 ViewPatterns

```
foo (f1 -> Pattern1) = c1
foo (fn -> Pattern2 a b) = g1 a b
```

([expression](#) \rightarrow [pattern](#)): take what is came to match - [apply](#) the [expression](#), then do [pattern-match](#), and return what originally came to match.

[Semantics](#):

- [expression](#) & [pattern](#) share the [scope](#), so also [variables](#).

- if *expression* :: t1 -> t2 && *pattern* :: t2, then (*expression* → *pattern*) t1.

* are like [pattern guards](#) that can be nested inside of other patterns.

* are a convenient way to pattern-match [algebraic data type](#).

Additional possible usage:

```
foo a (f2 a -> Pattern3 b c) = g2 b c -- only for function definitions
foo ((f,_), f -> Pattern4) = c2 -- variables can be bount to the left in data constructors and t
```

23.1.1.25 DatatypeContexts

Allow [contexts](#) in [data types](#).

```
data Eq a => Set a = NilSet | ConsSet a (Set a)
```

```
-- NilSet :: Set a
```

```
-- ConsSet :: Eq a => a -> Set a -> Set a
```

Considered misfeature. Deprecated. Going to be removed.

23.1.1.26 StandaloneKindSignatures

[Type](#) signatures for [type-level declarations](#).

```
type <name_1> , ... , <name_n> :: <kind>
```

```
type MonoTagged :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
data MonoTagged t x = MonoTagged x
```

```
type Id :: forall k. k -> k
```

```
type family Id x where
```

```
  Id x = x
```

```
type C :: (k -> Type) -> k -> Constraint
```

```
class C a b where
```

```
  f :: a b
```

```
type TypeRep :: forall k. k -> Type
```

```
data TypeRep a where
```

```
  TyInt    :: TypeRep Int
```

```
  TyMaybe :: TypeRep Maybe
```

```
  TyApp    :: TypeRep a -> TypeRep b -> TypeRep (a b)
```

< GHC 8.10.1 - [type](#) signatures were only for [term level](#) declarations.

Extension makes signatures feature more uniformal.

Allows to [set](#) the [order](#) of [quantification](#), [order](#) of [variables](#) in a [kind](#). For example when using [TypeApplications](#).

Allows to [set](#) full [kind](#) of derivable class, solving situations with [GADT](#) return [kind](#).

a. [*](#)
SAKS
Standalone kind signatures

23.1.1.27 PartialTypeSignatures

Very helpful. Helps to solve [type level](#), helps to establish [type](#) signatures and [constraints](#).
Allow to provide [_](#) in the [type](#) signatures to automatically infer in the [type](#) information there.

Wild cards:

- [Type](#)

```
f :: _ -> _ -> a
```

- [Constraint](#)

```
f :: _ => a -> b -> c
```

- [Named](#)

```
f :: _x -> _x -> a
```

allows to identify the same [wildcard](#).

23.1.2 How to make a GHC LANGUAGE extension

In `libraries/ghc-boot-th/GHC/LanguageExtensions/Type.hs` add new [constructor](#) to the [Extension type](#)

```
data Extension
  = Cpp
  | OverlappingInstances
  ...
  | Foo

/main/DynFlags.hs extend xFlagsDeps:
```

```
xFlagsDeps = [  
  flagSpec "AllowAmbiguousTypes" LangExt.AllowAmbiguousTypes,  
  ...  
  flagSpec "Foo"                LangExt.Foo  
]
```

It is for basic [case](#). For [testing](#), parser see further: <https://blog.shaynefletcher.org/2019/02/adding-ghc-language-extension.html>

Chapter 24

Predicative

Non-self-referencing definition.

—

Antonym - [Impredicative](#).

Chapter 25

Compositionality

Complex [expression](#) is determined by the constituent [expressions](#) and the rules used to combine them.

If the meaning fully obtainable from the parts and [composition](#) - it is full, [pure compositionality](#).

If there exists [composed idiomatic expression](#) - it is unfull, unpure [compositionality](#), because meaning leaks-in from the sources that are not in the [composition](#).

25.1 *

Principle of compositionality
Composition
Compositional

Chapter 26

Ψ -combinator

Transforms two of the same [type](#), [applying](#) same mediate transformation, and then transforming those into the result.

```
import Data.Function (on)
on :: (b -> b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> a -> c

--\
  * ---
--/
```

26.1 *

Psi-combinator
On-combinator

Chapter 27

Quantifier

Specifies the quantity of specimens.

Two most common [quantifiers](#) \forall ([Forall](#)) and \exists (Exists).
 $\exists!$ - one and only one (exists only unique).

27.1 *

Quantification
Quantifiers
Quantified

27.2 Forall quantifier

Permits to not [infer](#) the [type](#), but to use any that fits. The variant depends on the [LANGUAGE option](#) used:
[ScopedTypeVariables](#)
[RankNTypes](#)
[ExistentialQuantification](#)

27.2.1 *

Forall

Chapter 28

Referential transparency

Given the same input return the same output.

So:

- * **expression** can be replaced with its corresponding resulting value without change for program's behavior.

- * **functions** are **pure**.

28.1 *

Referentially transparent

Chapter 29

Relation

[Relationship](#) between two [objects](#).
Subset of a [Cartesian product](#) between [sets](#) of [objects](#).
Is not directed and not limited.

29.1 *

Relations
Relationship

Chapter 30

REPL

Read-eval-print loop, aka interactive shell.

Chapter 31

Semantics

Philosophical study of meaning.
Meaning of symbols, words.

31.1 Operational semantics

Constructing proofs from logical [assertions](#) and verifying/checking/asserting things about execution and procedures their [properties](#), such as correctness, safety or security.

Good to solve in-point localized tasks.

Process of [abstraction](#).

31.2 Denotational semantics

Construction of [objects](#), that describe/tag the meanings. In Haskell often [abstractions](#) that are ment (denotations), implemented directly in the code, sometimes exist over the code - allowing to reason and implement.

* are [composable](#).

Good to achive more broad approach/meaning.

Also see [Abstraction](#).

31.2.1 Abstraction

abs away from, off (in absentia)
tractus draw, haul, drag

Purified generalization of process.

Forgetting the details ([axiomatic semantics](#)). Simplified approach. Out of sight - out of mind.

* creates a new semantic level in which one can be absolutely precise ([operational semantics](#)).

It is a great did to name an [abstraction](#) ([denotational semantics](#)).

31.2.1.1 *

Abstractions

Abstracting

Abstract

31.2.1.2 Leaky abstraction

[Abstraction](#) that leaks details that it is supposed to [abstract](#) away.

a. *

Leaky abstractions

31.2.2 Ambigram

ambi both

grámma written character

[Object](#) that from different points of view has the same meaning.

While this word has two contradictory diametrically opposite usages, one was chosen (more frequent).

But it has... Both.

TODO: For merit of differentiating the meaning about different meaning referring to [Tensor](#) as [object](#) with many meanings.

31.2.3 Binary

Two of something.

31.2.4 Arbitrary

arbitrarius uncertain

Random, any one of.

Used as: Any one with *this* set of properties. (constraints, type, etc.).

When there is a talk about any arbitrary value - in fact it is a talk about the generalization of computations over the set of properties.

31.2.5 Refutable

One that has an option to fail.

31.2.6 Irrefutable

One that can not fail.

31.3 Axiomatic semantics

Empirical process of studying something complex by finding and analyzing true statements about it.

Good for examining interconnections.

31.3.1 Property

Something has a property in the real world, and in theory its property corresponds to the law/laws, axioms.

In Haskell under property/law most often properties of algebraic structures.

There property testing which does what it says.

31.3.1.1 *

Properties

31.3.1.2 Associativity

Joined with common purpose.

$$P(a, P(b, c)) \equiv P(P(a, b), c) \mid \forall (a, b, c) \in S,$$

* - the operations can be grouped arbitrarily.

Property that determines how operators of the same **precedence** are grouped, (in computer science also in the absence of parentheses).

Etymology:

Latin *associatus* past participle of *associare* "**join** with", from assimilated form of *ad* "to" + *sociare* "unite with", from *socius* "companion, ally" from PIE **sokw-yo-*, suffixed form of root **sekw-* "to follow".

In Haskell *** has influence on parsing when compounds have same **fixity**.

a. ***

Associative
Associative law
Associativity law

31.3.1.3 Left associative

*** - the operations are grouped from the left.

Example:

In lambda **expressions** same level parts follow grouping from left to right.

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y)z \equiv ((\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y))z$

a. ***

Left associativity
Left-associative

31.3.1.4 Right associative

*** - the operations are grouped from the right.

31.3.1.5 Non-associative

Operations can't be chained.

Often is the **case** when the output **type** is incompatible with the input **type**.

31.3.1.6 Basis

$\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\varsigma$ - stepping

The initial point, unreducible axioms and terms that spawn a theory.
AKA see **Category** theory, or Euclidian geometry **basis**.

- a. Contravariant The **property** of **basis**, in which if new **basis** is a **linear** combination of the prior **basis**, and the change of **basis** inverse-proportional for the description of a **Tensors** in this basis.

Denotation:

Components for **contravariant basis** denoted in the upper indices:

$$V^i = x$$

The **inverse** of a **covariant** transformation is a **contravariant** transformation. Whenever a vector should be invariant under a change of **basis**, that is to say it should represent the same geometrical or physical **object** having the same magnitude and direction as before, its components must transform according to the **contravariant** rule.

a. *

Contravariant cofunctor

Contravariant functor - More inline term is **Contravariant cofunctor**

- b. Covariant The **property** of **basis**, in which if new **basis** is a **linear** combination of the prior **basis**, and the change of **basis** proportional for a descriptions of **tensors** in basis.

Denotation:

Components for **covariant basis** denoted in the upper indices:

$$V_i = x$$

a. *

Covariant functor

Covariant cofunctor

31.3.1.7 Commutativity

$$\forall (a, b) \in S : P(a, b) \equiv P(b, a)$$

a. *

Commutative

Commutative law

31.3.1.8 Idempotence

First **application** gives a result. Then same **operation** can be **applied** multiple times without changing the result.

Example: Start and Stop buttons on machines.

a. *

Idempotent
Idempotency

31.3.1.9 Distributive property

Set S and two binary operators $+$ \times :

- $x \times (y + z) = (x \times y) + (x \times z)$ - \times is left-distributive over $+$
- $(y + z) \times x = (y \times x) + (z \times x)$ - \times is right-distributive over $+$
- left-&right-distributive - \times is distributive over $+$

a. *

Distributive rule
Distributive axiom
Distributive law
Distributive

31.4 Argument

arguere to make clear, to shine

* - evidence, proof, statement that results in system consequences.

31.4.1 Argument of a function

A value binded to the function parameter. Value/topic that the fuction would process/deal with.

Also see Argument.

31.4.1.1 *

Function argument

31.5 Content word

Words that name objects of reality and their qualities.

31.6 Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes

31.6.1 *

Greek prefix

Latin prefix

31.7 Idiom

* - something having a meaning that can not be [derived](#) from the conjoined meanings.
Meaning can be special for language speakers or human with particular knowledge.

* can also mean [applicative functor](#).

31.7.1 *

Idioms

Idiomatic

31.8 Impredicative

Self-referencing definition.

—

Antonym - [Predicative](#).

31.9 Context-free grammar

[Type](#) of formal grammar that is: a [set](#) of production rules that describe all possible string is a given formal language.

Term is invented by Noam Chomsky.

31.9.1 *

CFG

Table 31.1: Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes

Meaning	Greek prefix	Latin prefix
above, excess	hyper-	super-, ultra-
across, beyond, through	dia-	trans-
after		post-
again, back		re-
against	anti-	contra-, (in-, ob-)
all	pan	omni-
around	peri-	circum-
away or from	apo-, ap-	ab- (or de-)
bad, difficult, wrong	dys-	mal-
before	pro-	ante-, pre-
between, among		inter-
both	amphi-	ambi-
completely or very		de-, ob-
down		de-, ob-
four	tetra-	quad-
good	eu-	ben-, bene-
half, partially	hemi-	semi-
in, into	en-	il-, im-, in-, ir-
in front of	pro-	pro-
inside	endo-	intra-
large	macro-	(macro-, from Greek)
many	poly-	multi-
not*	a-, an-	de-, dis-, in-, ob-
on	epi-	
one	mono-	uni-
out of	ek-	ex-, e-
outside	ecto-, exo-	extra-, extro-
over	epi-	ob- (sometimes)
self	auto-, aut-, auth-	ego-
small	micro-	
three	tri-	tri-
through	dia-	trans-
to or toward	epi-	ad-, a-, ac-, as-
two	di-	bi-
under, insufficient	hypo-	sub-
with	sym-, syn-	co-. com-, con-
within, inside	endo-	intra-
without	a-, an-	dis- (sometimes)

Chapter 32

Set

Well-defined collection of distinct [objects](#).

32.1 *

Sets
Set theory

32.2 Closed set

- a. [Set](#) which complements an open [set](#).
- b. Is form of [Closed](#)-form [expression](#). [Set](#) can be [closed](#) in under a [set](#) of operations.

32.3 Power set

For some [set](#) \mathcal{S} , the [power set](#) ($\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$) is a [set](#) of all subsets of \mathcal{S} , including $\{\}$ & \mathcal{S} itself.

Denotation:
 $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$

32.4 Singleton

[Singleton](#) - [unit set](#) - [set](#) with exactly one element.
Also 1-[sequence](#).

32.5 Russell's paradox

If there exists normal [set](#) of all [sets](#) - it should contain itself, which makes it abnormal.

32.6 Cartesian product

$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \equiv \sum^{\vee} (a, b) \mid \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \forall b \in \mathcal{B}.$

[Operation](#), returns a [set](#) of all ordered pairs (a, b)

Any [function](#), [functor](#) is a subset of [Cartesian product](#).

$$\sum (elem \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})) = cardinality^{A \times B}$$

[Properties](#):

- not [associative](#)
- not [commutative](#)

32.6.1 Pullback

Subset of the [cartesian product](#) of two [sets](#).

32.6.1.1 *

Pullbacks

Chapter 33

Shrinking


Process of reducing complexity in the test [case](#) - re-run with smaller values and make sure that the test still fails.

Chapter 34

Spine

Is a chain of memory cells, each points to the both value of element and to the next memory cell.

Array:



1:2:3: []

Spine:



Chapter 35

Superclass

Broader parent class.

Chapter 36

Tensor

Object existing out of planes, thus it can translate **objects** from one plane into another. They can be tried to be described with knowledge existing inside planes, but representation would always be partial.

Tensor of rank 1 is a vector.

Translatioin with **tensor** can be seen as **functors**.

36.1 *

Tensors
Tensorial

Chapter 37

Testing

37.1 Property testing

Since [property](#) has a law, then family of that [unit](#) tests can be abstracted into the [lambda function](#).
And tests cases come from [generator](#).

37.1.1 Function property

[Property](#) corresponds to the according law.
In [property testing](#) you need to think additionally about [generator](#) and [shrinking](#).

37.1.2 Property testing type

Table 37.1: [Property testing types](#)

	Exhaustive	Randomized	Unit test
Whole set of values	Exhaustive property test	Randomised property test	One element
Special subset of values	Exhaustive specialised property test	Randomised specialised property test	One element

37.1.3 Generator

Seed
|
v
Gen A -> A
^
|
Size

Seed allows reproducibility.
There is anyway a need to have some seed.

Size allows setting upper [bound](#) on size of generated value. Think about infinity of [list](#).

After failed test - [shrinking](#) tests value parts of contrexample, finds a part that still fails, and recurses [shrinking](#).

37.1.3.1 *

Generators

37.1.3.2 Custom [generator](#)

When certain theorem only works for a specific [set](#) of values - the according [generator](#) needs to be produced.

```
arbitrary :: Arbitrary a => Gen a
suchThat :: Gen a -> (a -> Bool) -> Gen a
elements :: [a] -> Gen a
```

37.1.4 Reusing test code

Often it is convinient to [abstract testing](#) of same [function properties](#):

It can be done with (aka TestSuite [combinator](#)):

```
-- Definition
{-# LANGUAGE ScopedTypeVariables #-}
{-# LANGUAGE AllowAmbiguousTypes #-}
eqSpec :: forall a. Arbitrary a => Spec

-- Usage
{-# LANGUAGE TypeApplications #-}
spec :: Spec
spec = do
  eqSpec @Int

Eq Int
(==) :: Int -> Int -> Bool
  is reflexive
  is symmetric
  is transitive
  is equivalent to (\ a b -> not $ a /= b)
(/=) :: Int -> Int -> Bool
  is antireflexive
  is equivalent to (\ a b -> not $ a == b)
```

37.1.4.1 Test Commutative property[Commutativity](#)

```
:: Arbitrary a => (a -> a -> a) -> Property
```

37.1.4.2 Test Symmetry property[Symmetry](#)

```
:: Arbitrary a => (a -> a -> Bool) -> Property
```

37.1.4.3 Test Equivalence property[Equivalence](#)

```
:: (Arbitrary a, Eq b) => (a -> b) -> (a -> b) -> Property
```

37.1.4.4 Test Inverse property

```
:: (Arbitrary a, Eq b) => (a -> b) -> (b -> a) -> Property
```

37.1.5 QuickCheck

`Target` is a member of the [Arbitrary type class](#).

`Target -> Bool` is something `Testable`. This [properties](#) can be complex.

[Generator](#) `arbitrary` gets the seed, and produces values of `Target`.

[Function](#) `quickCheck` runs the loop and tests that generated `Target` values always comply the [property](#).

37.1.5.1 Manual automation with [QuickCheck properties](#)

```
import Test.QuickCheck
import Test.QuickCheck.Function
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Common
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Functor
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Common.Internal

data Four' a b = Four' a a a b
  deriving (Eq, Show)

instance Functor (Four' a) where
  fmap f (Four' a b c d) = Four' a b c (f d)

instance (Arbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (Four' a b) where
  arbitrary = do
    a1 ← arbitrary
    a2 ← arbitrary
```



```

    a3 ← arbitrary
    b ← arbitrary
    return (Four' a1 a2 a3 b)

-- Wrapper around `prop_FunctorId`
prop_AutoFunctorId  Functor f   f a → Equal (f a)
prop_AutoFunctorId = prop_FunctorId T

type Prop_AutoFunctorId f a
  = f a
  → Equal (f a)

-- Wrapper around `prop_AutoFunctorCompose`
prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Functor f   Fun a1 a2 → Fun a2 c → f a1 → Equal (f c)
prop_AutoFunctorCompose f1 f2 = prop_FunctorCompose (applyFun f1) (applyFun f2) T

type Prop_AutoFunctorCompose structureType origType midType resultType
  = Fun origType midType
  → Fun midType resultType
  → structureType origType
  → Equal (structureType resultType)

main = do
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorId  Prop_AutoFunctorId (Four' ()))Integer)
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorId  Prop_AutoFunctorId (Four' ())) (Either Bool String))
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Prop_AutoFunctorCompose (Four' ())) String Integer)
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Prop_AutoFunctorCompose (Four' ())) Integer String)

```

37.2 Write tests algorithm

- a. Pick the right language/[stack](#) to implement features.
- b. How expensive breakage can be.
- c. Pick the right tools to test this.

Chapter 38

Uncurry

Replace sequenced lambda [functions](#) into single [function](#) taking [sequence/product](#) of values as [argument](#).

Chapter 39

Unit

Represents existence. Denoted as empty [sequence](#).

()

[Type](#) () holds only self-representation [constructor](#) (), & [constructor](#) holds [nothing](#).

Haskell code always should receive something back, hence [nothing](#), emptiness, [void](#) can not be theoretically addressed, practically constructed or received - [unit](#) in Haskell also has a role of a stub in place of emptiness, like in `IO ()`.

Chapter 40

Nullary

Takes no entries; has the [arity](#) of [zero](#).
Has the trivial [domain](#).

Chapter 41

Logic

41.1 Proposition

Purely abstract & theoretical logical [object](#) (idea) that has a Boolean value.

* is expressed by a [statement](#).

41.1.1 *

Propositions

41.1.2 Atomic proposition

Logically undividable [unit](#). Does not contain [logical connectives](#).

41.1.2.1 *

Atomic propositions

41.1.3 Compound proposition

Formed by connecting [propositions](#) by [logical connectives](#).

41.1.3.1 *

Compound propositions

41.1.4 Propositional logic

Studies [propositions](#) and [argument](#) flow.

Refers to logically indivisible units ([atomic propositions](#)) as such, for theory - they are [abstractions](#) with Boolean [properties](#).

Not Turing-complete, impossible to [construct](#) an [arbitrary](#) loop.

41.1.4.1 *

Proposition logic
 Proposition calculus
 Propositional calculus
 Statement logic
 Sentential logic
 Sentential calculus
 Zeroth-order logic

41.1.4.2 First-order logic

Notation systems that use [quantifiers](#), [relations](#), [variables](#) over non-logical [objects](#), allows the use of [expressions](#) that contain [variables](#).

Turing-complete.

Extension of a [propositional logic](#).

a. *

Predicate logic
 First-order predicate logic
 First-order predicate calculus

b. Second-order logic Extension over [first-order logic](#) that quantifies over [relations](#).

a. Higher-order logic Extension over [second-order logic](#) that uses additional [quantifiers](#), stronger [semantics](#).

Is more expressive, but model-theoretic [properties](#) are less well-behaved.

41.2 Logical connective

Logical [operation](#).

41.2.1 *

Logical connectives

41.2.2 Conjunction

Logical AND.

Denotation:

\wedge

Multiplies [cardinalities](#).

Haskell [kind](#):

* *

41.2.3 Disjunction

Logical *OR*

Denotation:

\vee

Summs [cardinalities](#).

41.3 Predicate

[Function](#) with Boolean [codomain](#).

$P : X \rightarrow \{true, false\}$ - * on X .

Notation: $P(x)$

Almost always can include [relations](#), [quantifiers](#).

41.4 Statement

Declarative [expression](#) that is a bearer of a [proposition](#).

When we talk about [expression](#) or [statement](#) being true/false - in fact we refer to the [proposition](#) that they represent.

Difference between [proposition](#), [statement](#), [expression](#):

a. "2 + 3 = 5"

b. "two plus three equals five"

- 1 & 2 are **statements**. Each of them is a collection of transmission symbols (linguistic **objects**) from a symbol systems \equiv **expression**. Each of them is **expression** that bears **proposition** (an idea resulting in a Boolean value) \equiv **statement**.
- 1 & 2 represent the same **proposition**. **Proposition** from 1 \equiv **proposition** from 2.
- **Statement** 1 \neq **statement** 2. They are two different **statements**, written in different systems. And **statement** "2 + 3 = 5" \neq **statement** "3 + 2 = 5".

41.4.1 *

Assertion
 Assertions
 Statements

41.5 Iff

If and only if, exactly when, just.

Denotation:

\iff

Chapter 42

Haskell structures

42.1 Pattern match

Are not [first-class](#). It is a [set](#) of patten match semantic notations.

Must be [linear](#).

* [precedence](#) (especially with more then one [parameter](#), especially with `_` used) often changes the [function](#).

42.1.1 As-pattern

```
f list@(x, xs) = ...
```

```
f (x:xs)    = x:x:xs -- Can be compiled with reconstruction of x:xs
f a@(x:_)   = x:a    -- Reuses structure without reconstruction
```

42.1.1.1 *

As-patterns
As pattern
As patterns

42.1.2 Wild-card

Matches anything and can not be binded. For matching someting that should pass not checked and is not used.

```
head (x:_)      = x
tail (_:xs)     = xs
```

42.1.2.1 *

Wild-cards
 Wildcard
 Wildcards

42.1.3 Case

```
case x of
  pattern1 -> ex1
  pattern2 -> ex2
  pattern3 -> ex3
  otherwise -> exDefault
```

Bolting [guards](#) & [expressions](#) with [syntactic sugar](#) on [case](#):

```
case () of _
  | expr1      -> ex1
  | expr2      -> ex2
  | expr3      -> ex3
  | otherwise -> exDefault
```

Pattern matching in [function](#) definitions is realized with [case expressions](#).

42.1.4 Guard

Check values against the [predicate](#) and use the first match definition:

```
f x
  | predicate1 = definition1
  | predicate2 = definition2
  ...
  | x < 0      = definitionN
  ...
  | otherwise  = definitionZ
```

42.1.4.1 *

Guards

42.1.5 Pattern guard

Allows check a [list](#) of pattern matches against [functions](#), and then proceed.

$$(patternMatch1) <- (funcCheck1)$$

```
, (patternMatch2) <- (funcCheck2)
= RHS
```

```
lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b
```

```
addLookup l a1 a2
  | Just b1 <- lookup a1 l
  , Just b2 <- lookup a2 l
  = b1 + b2
{-...other equations...-}
```

Run [functions](#), they must succeed. Then [pattern match](#) results to b1, b2. Only if successful - execute the equation.

Default in Haskell 2010.

42.1.5.1 *

Pattern guards

42.1.6 Lazy pattern

Defers the [pattern match](#) directly to the last moment of need during execution of the code.

```
f (a, b) = g a b -- It would be checked that the pattern of the pair constructor
-- is present, and that parameters are present in the constructor.
-- Only after that success - work would start on the RHS, aka then construction
-- g would start only then.
```

```
f ~(a, b) = g a b -- Pattern match of (a, b) deferred to the last moment,
-- RHS starts, construction of g starts.
-- For this lazy pattern the equivalent implementation would be:
-- f p = g (fst p) (snd p) -- RHS starts, during construction of g
-- the arguments would be computed and found, or error would be thrown.
```

Due to full laziness deferring everything to the runtime execution - the [lazy pattern](#) is one-size-fits all ([irrefutable](#)), analogous to `_`, and so it does not produce any checks during compilation, and raises [errors](#) during runtime.

* is very useful during [recursive](#) construction of [recursive structure](#)/process, especially infinite.

42.1.6.1 *

Lazy-pattern
Lazy patterns

42.1.7 Pattern binding

Entire [LHS](#) is a pattern, is a [lazy pattern](#).

```
fib@(1:tfib)    = 1 : 1 : [ a+b | (a,b) <- zip fib tfib ]
```

42.1.7.1 *

Pattern bindings

42.2 Smart constructor

Process/code placing extra rules & [constraints](#) on the construction of values.

42.3 Level of code

There are these levels of Haskell code:

42.3.1 *

Code level

42.3.2 Type level

[Level of code](#) that works with [data types](#).

42.3.2.1 Type level declaration

```
type ...
newtype ...
data ...
class ...
instance ...
```

a. *

Type level declarations
 Type-level declaration
 Type-level declarations

42.3.2.2 Type check

if The [type level](#) information is complete ([strongly connected](#) graph)

then

Generalize the [types](#) and check if [type level](#) consistent to [term level](#).

else

[Infer](#) the missing [type level](#) part from the [term level](#). There are certain situations and [structures where](#) ambiguity arises and is unsolvable from the information of the [term level](#) (most basic example is [polymorphic recursion](#)).

a. *

Typecheck
Typechecking
Typechecks

b. Complete user-specific kind signature [Type level declaration](#) is considered to "have a [CUSK](#)" is it has enough syntatic information to warrant completeness ([strongly connected](#) graph) and start checking [type level](#) correspondence to [term level](#), it is a ad-hock state of [type inferring](#).

In the future GHC would use other algorythm over/instead of [CUSK](#).

a. *

CUSK
CUSKs
Complete user-specific kind signatures
Complete, user-specific kind signature

42.3.3 Term level

[Level of code](#) that does logical execution.

42.3.4 Compile level

[Level of code](#), about compilation processes/results.

42.3.4.1 *

Compilation level

42.3.5 Runtime level

Level of code of main program [operation](#), when machine does computations with compiled [binary](#) code.

42.3.6 Kind level

Level of code where [kinds](#) & [kind](#) declarations are situated, inferred and checked.

42.3.6.1 Kind check

Applying the [type check](#) to [kind](#) check:

if The [kind](#) level information is complete ([strongly connected](#) graph)

then

Check if [kind](#) level consistent to [term level](#).

else

Infer the missing [kind](#) level parts from the [type level](#). There are certain situations and [structures](#) where ambiguity arises and is unsolvable from the information of the [kind](#) level.

With `StandaloneKindSignatures` [kind](#) completeness happens against found (standalone) [kind](#) signature.

With CUSKs extension kind completeness happens against "complete user-specific kind signature"

- a. *
Kindcheck
Kind checks

42.4 Orphan type instance

Hanging [type](#) instance from inconsistent code base.

- a. Supporting [structure](#) not fully present.
- b. Several implementations of instance present.

42.5 Undefined

Placeholder value that helps to do [typechecking](#).

42.6 Hierarchical module name

Hierarchical naming scheme:

```
Algebra                -- Was this ever used?
  DomainConstructor    -- formerly DoCon
  Geometric             -- formerly BasGeomAlg

Codec                  -- Coders/Decoders for various data formats
  Audio
    Wav
    MP3
    ...
  Compression
    Gzip
    Bzip2
    ...
  Encryption
    DES
    RSA
    BlowFish
    ...
  Image
    GIF
    PNG
    JPEG
    TIFF
    ...
  Text
    UTF8
    UTF16
    ISO8859
    ...
  Video
    Mpeg
    QuickTime
    Avi
    ...
  Binary                -- these are for encoding binary data into text
    Base64
    Yenc

Control
```

```

Applicative
Arrow
Exception          -- (opt, inc. error & undefined)
Concurrent          -- as hslibs/concurrent
    Chan            -- these could all be moved under Data
    MVar
    Merge
    QSem
    QSemN
    SampleVar
    Semaphore
Parallel            -- as hslibs/concurrent/Parallel
Strategies
Monad               -- Haskell 98 Monad library
    ST              -- ST defaults to Strict variant?
        Strict      -- renaming for ST
        Lazy        -- renaming for LazyST
    State           -- defaults to Lazy
        Strict
        Lazy
    Error
    Identity
    Monoid
    Reader
    Writer
    Cont
    Fix             -- to be renamed to Rec?
    List
    RWS

Data
    Binary          -- Binary I/O
    Bits
    Bool            -- &&, ||, not, otherwise
    Tuple           -- fst, snd
    Char            -- H98
    Complex         -- H98
    Dynamic
    Either
    Int
    Maybe           -- H98
    List            -- H98
    PackedString
    Ratio           -- H98
    Word
    IORef
    STRef           -- Same as Data.STRef.Strict
        Strict
        Lazy        -- The lazy version (for Control.Monad.ST.Lazy)

```



```

Binary          -- Haskell binary I/O
Digest
  MD5
  ...          -- others (CRC ?)
Array          -- Haskell 98 Array library
  Unboxed
  IArray
  MArray
  IO          -- mutable arrays in the IO/ST monads
  ST
Trees
  AVL
  RedBlack
  BTree
Queue
  Bankers
  FIFO
Collection
Graph          -- start with GHC's DiGraph?
FiniteMap
Set
Memo          -- (opt)
Unique

Edison          -- (opt, uses multi-param type classes)
  Prelude      -- large self-contained packages should have
  Collection   -- their own hierarchy? Like a vendor branch.
  Queue        -- Or should the whole Edison tree be placed

Database
  MySQL
  PostgreSQL
  ODBC

Dotnet
  ...          -- Mirrors the MS .NET class hierarchy

Debug          -- see also: Test
  Trace
  Observe      -- choose a default amongst the variants
    Textual    -- Andy Gill's release 1
    ToXmlFile  -- Andy Gill's XML browser variant
    GHood      -- Claus Reinke's animated variant

Foreign
  Ptr
  StablePtr
  ForeignPtr   -- rename to FinalisedPtr? to void confusion with Foreign.Ptr
  Storable

```

```
    Marshal
      Alloc
      Array
      Errors
      Utils
    C
      Types
      Errors
      Strings

GHC
  Exts          -- hslibs/lang/GlaExts
  ...

Graphics
  HGL
  Rendering
    Direct3D
    FRAN
    Metapost
    Inventor
    Haven
    OpenGL
      GL
      GLU
  Pan
  UI
    FranTk
    Fudgets
    GLUT
    Gtk
    Motif
    ObjectIO
    TkHaskell
  X11
    Xt
    Xlib
    Xmu
    Xaw

Hugs
  ...

Language
  Haskell      -- hslibs/hssource
    Syntax
    Lexer
    Parser
    Pretty
```

```

HaskellCore
Python
C
...

Nhc
...

Numeric          -- exports std. H98 numeric type classes
  Statistics

Network          -- (== hslibs/net/Socket), depends on FFI only
  BER            -- Basic Encoding Rules
  Socket         -- or rename to Posix?
  URI            -- general URI parsing
  CGI            -- one in hslibs is ok?
  Protocol
    HTTP
    FTP
    SMTP

Prelude          -- Haskell98 Prelude (mostly just re-exports
                  other parts of the tree).

Sound            -- Sound, Music, Digital Signal Processing
  ALSA
  JACK
  MIDI
  OpenAL
  SC3            -- SuperCollider

System           -- Interaction with the "system"
  Cmd            -- ( system )
  CPUTime        -- H98
  Directory      -- H98
  Exit           -- ( ExitCode(..), exitWith, exitFailure )
  Environment    -- ( getArgs, getProgName, getEnv ... )
  Info           -- info about the host system
  IO             -- H98 + IOExts - IOArray - IORef
    Select
    Unsafe       -- unsafePerformIO, unsafeInterleaveIO
  Console
    GetOpt
    Readline
  Locale         -- H98
  Posix
    Console
    Directory
    DynamicLinker

```

```

    Prim
    Module
    IO
    Process
    Time
    Mem          -- rename from cryptic 'GC'
    Weak         -- (opt)
    StableName   -- (opt)
    Time         -- H98 + extensions
    Win32        -- the full win32 operating system API

Test
  HUnit
  QuickCheck

Text
  Encoding
    QuotedPrintable
    Rot13
  Read
    Lex          -- cut down lexer for "read"
  Show
    Functions    -- optional instance of Show for functions.
  Regex         -- previously RegexString
    Posix        -- Posix regular expression interface
  PrettyPrint   -- default (HughesPJ?)
    HughesPJ
    Wadler
    Chitil
    ...
  HTML          -- HTML combinator lib
  XML
    Combinators
    Parse
    Pretty
    Types
  ParserCombinators -- no default
    ReadP        -- a more efficient "ReadS"
    Parsec
    Hutton_Meijer
    ...

Training        -- Collect study and learning materials
  <name of the tutor>

```

42.6.1 *

Top-level module name

Top-level module names

42.7 import

`import statement` by default imports identifiers from the other `module`, using `hierarchical module name`, brings into `scope` the identifiers to the global `scope` both into unqualified and qualifies by the `hierarchical module name` forms.

This possibilities can mix and match:

- `<modName> ()` - `import` only instances of `type classes`.
- `<modName> (x, y)` - `import` only declared indentifiers.
- `qualified <modName>` - discards unqualified names, forse obligatory namespace for the imports.
- `hiding (x, y)` - skip `import` of declared identifies.
- `<modName> as <modName>` - renames `module` namespace.
- `<type/class> (..)` - `import` class & it's methods, or `type`, all its data `constructors` & field names.

42.8 Let

* `expression` is a `set` of cross-recursive lazy pattern bindings.

Declarations permitted:

- `type` signatures
- `function bindings`
- `pattern bindings`

It is an `expression` (macro) and that integrates in external `lexical scope expression` it applied in.

Form:

```
let
  b1
  bn
in
  c
```

42.8.1 *

Let expression
Let expressions

42.9 Where

Part of the syntax of the whole [function declaration](#), has according [scope](#).

As part of whole [declaration](#) - can extend over definitions of the funtion (pattern matches, [guards](#)).

Form:

```
f match1 = y
f match2 = y
f x =
  | cond1 x = y
  | cond2 x = y
  | otherwise = y
where
  y = ... x ...
```

42.9.1 *

Where clause

42.10 Reserved word

Haskell has special meaning for:

case, class, data, deriving, do, else, if, import, in, infix, infixl, infixr, instance, let, of, module

42.10.1 *

Reserved words

42.11 Haskell Language Report

Document that is a standart of language.

42.11.1 *

Report

Haskell Report

Haskell 98 Language Report

Haskell 98 Report

Haskell 1998 Language Report

Haskell 2010 Language Report

Haskell 2010 Report

42.12 Haskell'

Current language development mod.

<https://prime.haskell.org/>

42.12.1 *

Haskell prime

Chapter 43

Computer science

43.1 Guerrilla patch

* changing code/[applying](#) patch sneakily - and possibility incompatibility with other at runtime. [Monkey patch](#) is derivative term.

43.1.1 Monkey patch

From [Guerrilla patch](#).

* is a way for program to modify supporting system software affecting only the running instance of the program.

43.2 Interface

Point of mutual meeting. Code behind [interface](#) determines how data is consumed.

43.3 Module

Importable organizational [unit](#).

43.4 Scope

Area [where binds](#) are accessible.

43.4.1 Dynamic scope

The name resolution depends upon the program state when the name is encountered, which is determined by the execution [context](#) or calling [context](#).

43.4.2 Lexical scope

[Scope bound](#) by the [structure](#) of source code [where](#) the named entity is defined.

43.4.2.1 *

Static scope

43.4.3 Local scope

[Scope](#) applies only in (current) area.

43.4.3.1 *

Local

43.5 Shadowing

When in the [local scope](#) bigger [scope variable](#) overridden by same name [variable](#) from the [local scope](#).

43.6 Syntactic sugar

Artificial way to make language easier to read and write.

43.7 System F

Is [parametric polymorphism](#) in programming.

Extends the [Lambda calculus](#) by introducing \forall (universal [quantifier](#)) over [types](#).

43.7.1 *

Girard–Reynolds polymorphic lambda calculus
Girard–Raynolds

43.8 Tail call

Final [evaluation](#) inside the [function](#). Produces the [function](#) result.

43.9 Thunk

Not evaluated calculation. Can be dragged around, until be lazily evaluated.

43.10 Application memory

Table 43.1: [Application memory structural](#) parts

Storage of	Block name
All not currently processing data	Heap
Function call, local variables	Stack
Static and global variables	Static/Global
Instructions	Binary code

When even Main invoked - it work in [Stack](#), and called [Stack](#) frame. [Stack](#) frame size for [function](#) calculated when it is compiled.

When stacked [Stack](#) frames exceed the [Stack](#) size - [stack](#) overflow happens.

43.11 Turing machine

Mathematical model of computation that defines [abstract Turing machine](#). [Abstract](#) machine which manipulates symbols on a strip of tape, according to a table of rules.

43.11.1 Turing complete

[Set](#) of action rules that can simulate any [Turing machine](#).

43.11.1.1 *

Turing incomplete

Turing incompleteness

Turing completeness

Computationally universal

Chapter 44

Graph theory

44.1 Successor

Object that receives the **arrow**.

44.1.1 Direct successor

Immediate **successor**.

44.2 Predecessor

Object that sends **arrow**.

44.2.1 Direct predecessor

Immediate **predecessor**.

44.3 Degree

Number of **arrows** of **object**.

44.3.1 Indegree

Number of ingoing **arrows**.

44.3.2 Outdegree

Number of outgoing **arrows**.

44.4 Adjacency matrix

Matrix of connection of objects $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

44.4.0.1 InstanceSigs

Allow adding [type](#) signatures to [type class function](#) instance [declaration](#).

44.5 Strongly connected

If every vertex in a graph is reachable from every other vertex.

It is possible to find all [strongly connected components](#) (and that way also test graph for strong connectivity), in [linear](#) time ($\Theta(V+E)$).

[Binary relation](#) of being [strongly connected](#) is an [equivalence relation](#).

44.5.1 *

Strongly-connected

44.5.2 Strongly connected component

Full [strongly connected](#) subgraph of some graph.

* of a directed graph G is a subgraph that is [strongly connected](#), and has [property](#): no additional edges or vertices from G can be included in the subgraph without breaking its [property](#) of being [strongly connected](#).

44.5.2.1 *

SCC

Strongly connected components

Strongly-connected component

Strongly-connected components

Chapter 45

Linear

Values consumed once or not used.

x^2 consumes x two times.

45.1 *

Linearity

Chapter 46

Stream

* an infinite [sequence](#) that forgets previous [objects](#), and remembers only currently relevant [objects](#).

$E \mid X \rightarrow (X \times A + 1)$, the [set](#) (or [object](#)) of streams on A (final [coalgebra](#) A_* of E).

`cycle` is one of [stream functions](#).

```
a = (cycle [Nothing, Nothing, Just "Fizz"])
b = (cycle [Nothing, Nothing, Nothing, Nothing, Just "Buzz"])
```

Can be:

- indexed, timeless, with current [object](#)
- timed:

```
* [(timescale, event)]
* [(realtime, event)]
```

Has amalgamation with Functional Reactive Programming.

Chapter 47

Bisimulation

When systems have exact external behaviour so for observer they are the same.

[Binary relation](#) between state transition systems that match each other's moves.

47.1 *

Bisimilar

Chapter 48

Syntax tree

Tree of syntactic elements (each **node** denotes **construct** occurring in the source code) that represent the source code (or human language).

48.1 Abstract syntax tree

"**Abstract**" since does not represent every detail of the syntax (ex. parentheses), but rather concentrates on **structure** and content.

Widely used in compilers to check the code **structure** for accuracy and coherence.

48.1.1 *

AST

48.2 Concrete syntax tree

An ordered, rooted **syntax tree** that represents the syntactic **structure** of a string according to some **context-free grammar**.

"Concrete" since (in contrast to "**abstract**") - concretely reflects the syntax of the input language.

48.2.1 *

Parse tree
Derivation tree

Chapter 49

Domain specific language

Language design/fitted for particular [domain](#) of [application](#). Mainly should be [Turing incomplete](#), since general-purpose language implies [Turing completeness](#).

49.1 *

Domain-specific language
DSL

49.2 Embedded domain specific language

[DSL](#) used inside outer language.

Two levels of embedding:

- Shallow: [DSL](#) translates into Haskell directly
- Deep: Between [DSL](#) and Haskell there is a [data structure](#) that reflects the [expression](#) tree, AKA stores the [syntax tree](#).

49.2.1 *

eDSL

Chapter 50

Tagless-final

Method of embedding **eDSL** in a typed functional host language (Haskell). **Alternative** to the embedding as a (generalized) **algebraic data type**. For parsers of DLS **expressions**: (1/partial) evaluator, compiler, pretty printer, multi-pass optimizer.

* embedding is writing **denotational semantics** for the **DSL** in the host language.

Approach can be used **iff eDSL** is typed. Only well-typed terms become embeddable, and host language can implement also a **eDSL type** system. Approach that **eDSL** code interpretations are **type-preserving**.

One of main pros of * - extensibility: implementation of **DSL** can be used to analyze/evaluate/transform/pretty-print/compile and interpreters can be extended to more passes, optimizations, and new versions of **DSL** while keeping/using/reusing the old versions.

Example fields of **application**: language-integrated queries, non-deterministic & probabilistic programming, delimiter continuation, computability theory, **stream** processing, hardware description languages, generation of specialized numerical kernels, **semantics** of natural language.

Part III

Give definitions

Chapter 51

Identity type

Chapter 52

Constant type

Chapter 53

Gen

Chapter 54

Tensorial strength

Chapter 55

Strong monad

Chapter 56

Weak head normal form

56.1 * WHNF

Chapter 57

Function image

57.1 *

Image

Chapter 58

Invertible

Chapter 59

Invertibility

Chapter 60

Define LANGUAGE pragma options

60.1 ExistentialQuantification

60.2 GADTs

GADT is a generalization over parametric [algebraic data types](#) which allow explicitly denote the [types](#) (type matching) of the [constructors](#) and define [data types](#) using pattern matching on the left side of "data" [statements](#).

60.3 *

GADT
Generalized algebraic data type
First-class phantom data type
Guarded recursive data type
Equality-qualified data type

60.4 GeneralizedNewTypeClasses

60.5 FuncitonalDependencies

Chapter 61

GHC check keys

61.1 -Wno-partial-type-signatures

Supresses [PartialTypeSignatures wildcard infer](#) warning.

Chapter 62

Generalised algebraic data types

LANGUAGE [GADTs](#)

62.1 *

GADT

Chapter 63

Order theory

Investigates in thepht the intuitive notion of [order](#) using [binary relations](#).

63.1 Domain theory

Formalizes approximation and convergense.
Has close [relation](#) to Topology.

63.2 Lattice

[Abstract structure](#) that consists of [partially ordered set](#), [where](#) every two elements have unique supremum and infimum. == * [algebraic structure](#) satisfying certain axiomatic identities.
* [order-theory](#) & [algebraic](#).

63.3 Order

63.3.1 Preorder

$R^X \rightarrow X$: [Reflexive](#) & [Transitive](#):
 aRa
 $aRb, bRc \Rightarrow aRc$

Generalization of [equivalence relations](#) [partial orders](#).

* [Antisymmetric](#) \Rightarrow Partial ordering.
* [Symmetric](#) \Rightarrow [Equivalence](#).

63.3.1.1 *

Preordered

63.3.1.2 Total preorder

$\forall a, b : a \leq b \vee b \leq a \Rightarrow$ [Total Preorder](#).

63.3.2 Partial order

A [binary relation](#) must be [reflexive](#), [antisymmetric](#) and [transitive](#).

Partial - not every elements between them need to be comparable.

Good example of * is a genealogical descendancy. Only related people produce [relation](#), not related do not.

63.3.2.1 *

Partial orders

Partially ordered set

Partially ordered sets

Poset

Posets

63.4 Partial order**63.5** Total order

Chapter 64

Universal algebra

Studies [algebraic structures](#).

Chapter 65

Relation

65.1 Reflexivity

$R^{X \rightarrow X}, \forall x \in X : xRx$

[Order](#) theory: $a \leq a$

* - each element is comparable to itself.

Corresponds to [Identity](#) and [Automorphism](#).

65.1.1 *

Reflexive

Reflexive relation

65.2 Irreflexivity

$R^{X \rightarrow X}, \forall x \in X : \nexists R(x, x)$

65.2.1 *

Anti-reflexive

Anti-reflexive relation

Irreflexive

Irreflexive relation

65.3 Transitivity

$\forall a, b, c \in X, \forall R^{X \rightarrow X} : (aRb \wedge bRc) \Rightarrow aRc$

* - the start of a chain of [precedence relations](#) must precede the end of the chain.

65.3.1 *

Transitive
Transitive relation

65.4 Symmetry

$$\forall a, b \in X : (aRb \iff bRa)$$

65.4.1 *

Symmetric
Symmetric relation

65.5 Equivalence

Reflexive	Symmetric	Transitive
$\forall x \in X, \exists R : xRx$ $a = a$	$\forall a, b \in X : (aRb \iff bRa)$ $a = b \iff b = a$	$\forall a, b, c \in X, \forall R^{X \rightarrow X} : (aRb \wedge bRc) \Rightarrow aRc$ $a = b, b = c \Rightarrow a = c$

65.5.1 *

Equivalent
Equivalent relation

65.6 Antisymmetry

$\forall a, b \in X : aRb, bRa \Rightarrow a = b \sim aRb, a \neq b \Rightarrow \nexists bRa.$
[Antisymmetry](#) does not say anything about $R(a, a)$.

* - no two different elements precede each other.

65.6.1 *

Antisymmetric
Antisymmetric relation

65.7 Asymmetry

$\forall a, b \in X (aRb \Rightarrow \neg(bRa))$

* \iff Antisymmetric \wedge Irreflexive.

Asymmetry \neq "not symmetric"

Symmetric \wedge Asymmetric is only empty relation.

65.7.1 *

Asymmetric

Asymmetric relation

Chapter 66

Cryptomorphism

[Equivalent](#), interconvertable with no loss of information.

66.1 *

Crypomorphic

Chapter 67

Lexically scoped type variables

Enable [lexical scope](#) for [forall quantifier](#) defined [type variables](#)

Implemented in [ScopedTypeVariables](#)

Chapter 68

Abstract data type

Several definitions here, reduce them.

Data type mathematical model, defined by its **semantics** from the user point of view, listing possible values, operations on the data of the **type**, and behaviour of these operations.

* class of **objects** whose logical behaviour is defined by a **set** of values and **set** of operations (analogue to **algebraic structure** in mathematics).

A specification of a **data type** like a **stack** or queue **where** the specification does not contain any implementation details at all, only the operations for that **data type**. This can be thought of as the contract of the **data type**.

68.1 *

AbsDT

Chapter 69

Concrete type

Fully defined [type](#). Non-[polymorphic type](#).

Chapter 70

Functional dependencies

Chapter 71

MonoLocalBinds

Chapter 72

KindSignatures

Chapter 73

ExplicitNamespaces

Chapter 74

Combinator pattern

Chapter 75

Symbolic expression

Nested tree [data structure](#).

Introduced & used in Lisp. Lisp code and data are $*$.

$*$ in Lisp: Atom or [expression](#) of the form $(x \ . \ y)$, x and y are $*$.

Modern abbreviated notation of $*$: $(x \ y)$.

75.1 $*$

S-expression

S-expressions

Sexpression

Sexpressions

Sexp

Sexps

Sexpr

Sexprs

Chapter 76

Polynomial

Expression consisting of:

- variables
- coefficients
- addition
- subtraction
- multiplication (including positive integer variable exponentiation)

Polynomials form a ring. Polynomial ring.

76.1 *

Polynomials

Chapter 77

Data family

Indexed form of data and newtype definitions.

Chapter 78

Type synonym family

Indexed form of [type](#) synonyms.

Chapter 79

Indexed type family

* additional structure in language that allows ad-hoc overloading of [data types](#). AKA are to [types](#) as [type class](#) to methods.

Varieties:

- [data family](#)
- [type](#) synonym families

Defined by pattern matching the partial [functions](#) between [types](#).
Associates [data types](#) by [type](#)-level [function](#) defined by open-ended collection of valid instances of input [types](#) and corresponding output [types](#).

Normal [type classes](#) define partial [functions](#) from [types](#) to a collection of named values by pattern matching on the input [types](#), while [type](#) families define partial [functions](#) from [types](#) to [types](#) by pattern matching on the input [types](#). In fact, in many uses of [type](#) families there is a single [type class](#) which logically contains both values and [types](#) associated with each instance. A [type family](#) declared inside a [type class](#) is called an associated [type](#).

79.1 *

Type family

Chapter 80

TypeFamilies

Allow use and definition of indexed [type](#) families and data families.

- * are [type](#)-level programming.
- * are overload [data types](#) in the same way that [type classes](#) overload [functions](#).
- * allow handling of [dependent types](#). Before it [Functional dependencies](#) and [GADTs](#) were used to solve that.
- * useful for generic programming, creating highly parametrised interfaces for libraries, and creating interfaces with enhanced static information (much like [dependent types](#)).

Implies: [MonoLocalBinds](#), [KindSignatures](#), [ExplicitNamespaces](#)

Two [types](#) of * are:

Chapter 81

Error

Mistake in the program that can be resolved only by fixing the program.

`error` is a sugar for `undefined`.

Distinct from [Exception](#).

81.1 *

Errors

Chapter 82

Exception

Expected but irregular situation.

Distinct from [Error](#). Also see [Exception vs Error](#)

82.1 *

Exceptions

Chapter 83

ConstraintKinds

[Constraints](#) are just handled as [types](#) of a particular [kind](#) ([Constraint](#)). Any [type](#) of the [kind](#) [Constraints](#) can be used as a [constraint](#).

- Anything which is already allowed in code as a [constraint](#) without `*`. Saturated applications to [type classes](#), implicit [parameter](#) and equality [constraints](#).
- [Tuples](#), all of whose component [types](#) have [kind](#) [Constraint](#).

```
type Some a = (Show a, Ord a, Arbitrary a) -- is of kind Constraint.
```

- Anything form of which is not yet known, but the user has declared for it to have [kind](#) [Constraint](#) (for which they need to [import](#) it from `GHC.Exts`):

```
Foo (f :: Type -> Constraint) = forall b. f b => b -> b -- is allowed
-- as well as examples involving type families:
type family Typ a b :: Constraint
type instance Typ Int b = Show b
type instance Typ Bool b = Num b

func :: Typ a b => a -> b -> b
func = ...
```

Chapter 84

Specialisation

Turns [ad hoc polymorphic function](#) into compiled [type](#)-specific implementations.

84.1 *

Specialise
Specialize
Specialization

Chapter 85

Diagram

For [categories](#) C and J , a [diagram](#) of [type](#) J in C is a [covariant functor](#) $D : J \rightarrow C$.

Chapter 86

Category theoretical presheaf

For categories C and J , a J -presheaf on C is a contravariant functor $D : C \rightarrow J$.

Chapter 87

Topological presheaf

If X is a topological space, then the open sets in X form a [partially ordered set](#) $\text{Open}(X)$ under inclusion. Like every [partially ordered set](#), $\text{Open}(X)$ forms a small [category](#) by adding a single [arrow](#) $U \rightarrow V$ if and only if $U \subseteq V$. [Contravariant functors](#) on $\text{Open}(X)$ are called presheaves on X . For instance, by assigning to every open set U the [associative algebra](#) of real-valued continuous functions on U , one obtains a [presheaf](#) of [algebras](#) on X .

Chapter 88

Diagonal functor

The [diagonal functor](#) is defined as the [functor](#) from D to the [functor category](#) D^C which sends each [object](#) in D to the [constant functor](#) at that [object](#).

Chapter 89

Limit functor

For a fixed index [category](#) J , if every [functor](#) $J \rightarrow C$ has a limit (for instance if C is complete), then the [limit functor](#) $C^J \rightarrow C$ assigns to each [functor](#) its limit. The existence of this [functor](#) can be proved by realizing that it is the right-adjoint to the [diagonal functor](#) and invoking the Freyd adjoint [functor](#) theorem. This requires a suitable version of the axiom of choice. Similar remarks [apply](#) to the colimit [functor](#) (which is [covariant](#)).

Chapter 90

Dual vector space

The map which assigns to every vector space its [dual](#) space and to every [linear](#) map its [dual](#) or transpose is a [contravariant functor](#) from the [category](#) of all vector spaces over a fixed field to itself.

Chapter 91

Fundamental group

Consider the [category](#) of pointed topological spaces, i.e. topological spaces with distinguished points. The [objects](#) are pairs (X, x_0) , [where](#) X is a topological space and x_0 is a point in X . A [morphism](#) from (X, x_0) to (Y, y_0) is given by a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(x_0) = y_0$.

To every topological space X with distinguished point x_0 , one can define the [fundamental group](#) based at x_0 , denoted $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. This is the [group](#) of [homotopy](#) classes of loops based at x_0 . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a [morphism](#) of pointed spaces, then every loop in X with base point x_0 can be [composed](#) with f to yield a loop in Y with base point y_0 . This [operation](#) is compatible with the [homotopy equivalence relation](#) and the [composition](#) of loops, and we get a [group homomorphism](#) from $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ to $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$. We thus obtain a [functor](#) from the [category](#) of pointed topological spaces to the [category](#) of [groups](#).

In the [category](#) of topological spaces (without distinguished point), one considers [homotopy](#) classes of generic curves, but they cannot be [composed](#) unless they share an endpoint. Thus one has the fundamental groupoid instead of the [fundamental group](#), and this construction is [functorial](#).

Chapter 92

Algebra of continuous function

A [contravariant functor](#) from the [category](#) of topological spaces (with continuous maps as [morphisms](#)) to the [category](#) of real [associative algebras](#) is given by assigning to every topological space X the [algebra](#) $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous [functions](#) on that space. Every continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces an [algebra homomorphism](#) $C(f) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ by the rule $C(f)(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$ for every φ in $C(Y)$.

Chapter 93

Tangent and cotangent bundle

The map which sends every differentiable manifold to its tangent bundle and every smooth map to its derivative is a [covariant functor](#) from the [category](#) of differentiable manifolds to the [category](#) of vector bundles.

Doing this constructions pointwise gives the tangent space, a [covariant functor](#) from the [category](#) of pointed differentiable manifolds to the [category](#) of real vector spaces. Likewise, cotangent space is a [contravariant functor](#), essentially the [composition](#) of the tangent space with the [dual](#) space above.

Chapter 94

Group action / representation

Every [group](#) G can be considered as a [category](#) with a single [object](#) whose [morphisms](#) are the elements of G . A [functor](#) from G to [Set](#) is then [nothing](#) but a [group](#) action of G on a particular [set](#), i.e. a G -[set](#). Likewise, a [functor](#) from G to the [category](#) of vector spaces, Vect_K , is a [linear](#) representation of G . In general, a [functor](#) $G \rightarrow C$ can be considered as an "action" of G on an [object](#) in the [category](#) C . If C is a [group](#), then this action is a [group homomorphism](#).

Chapter 95

Lie algebra

Assigning to every real (complex) Lie [group](#) its real (complex) [Lie algebra](#) defines a [functor](#).

Chapter 96

Tensor product

If \mathcal{C} denotes the [category](#) of vector spaces over a fixed field, with [linear](#) maps as [morphisms](#), then the [tensor product](#) $V \otimes W$ defines a [functor](#) $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which is [covariant](#) in both arguments.

Chapter 97

Forgetful functor

The functor $U : \mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ which maps a group to its underlying set and a group homomorphism to its underlying function of sets is a functor.[8] Functors like these, which "forget" some structure, are termed forgetful functors. Another example is the functor $\mathbf{Rng} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ which maps a ring to its underlying additive abelian group. Morphisms in \mathbf{Rng} (ring homomorphisms) become morphisms in \mathbf{Ab} (abelian group homomorphisms).

Chapter 98

Free functor

Going in the opposite direction of [forgetful functors](#) are free [functors](#). The free functor $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grp}$ sends every [set](#) X to the free [group](#) generated by X . [Functions](#) get mapped to [group](#) homomorphisms between free [groups](#). Free constructions exist for many [categories](#) based on structured [sets](#). See [free object](#).

Chapter 99

Homomorphism group

To every pair A, B of abelian groups one can assign the abelian group $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ consisting of all group homomorphisms from A to B . This is a functor which is contravariant in the first and covariant in the second argument, i.e. it is a functor $\text{Abop} \times \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ (where Ab denotes the category of abelian groups with group homomorphisms). If $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $g : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ are morphisms in Ab , then the group homomorphism $\text{Hom}(f, g) : \text{Hom}(A_2, B_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_1, B_2)$ is given by $g \circ f$. See Hom functor.

Chapter 100

Representable functor

We can generalize the previous example to any [category](#) C . To every pair X, Y of [objects](#) in C one can assign the [set](#) $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ of [morphisms](#) from X to Y . This defines a [functor](#) to [Set](#) which is [contravariant](#) in the first [argument](#) and [covariant](#) in the second, i.e. it is a [functor](#) $C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \text{Set}$. If $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ are [morphisms](#) in C , then the [group homomorphism](#) $\text{Hom}(f, g) : \text{Hom}(X_2, Y_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, Y_2)$ is given by $g \circ f$.

[Functors](#) like these are called representable [functors](#). An important goal in many settings is to determine whether a given [functor](#) is representable.

Chapter 101

Corecursion

Chapter 102

Coinduction

proper definition

* [dual](#) to induction.
Generalises to [corecursion](#).

Chapter 103

Initial algebra of an endofunctor

Chapter 104

Terminal coalgebra for an endofunctor

Part IV

Citations

"One of the finer points of the Haskell community has been its propensity for recognizing [abstract](#) patterns in code which have well-defined, lawful representations in mathematics." (Chris Allen, Julie Moronuki - "Haskell Programming from First Principles" (2017))

Part V

Good code

Chapter 105

Good: Type aliasing

Use [data type](#) aliases to deferentiate logic of values.

Chapter 106

Good: Type wideness

Wider the [type](#) the more it is [polymorphic](#), means it has broader [application](#) and fits more [types](#).

The more constrained system has more usefulness.

Unconstrained means most flexible, but also most useless.

Chapter 107

Good: Print

```
print :: Show a => a -> IO ()  
print a = putStrLn (show a)
```

Chapter 108

Good: Fold

`foldr spine recursion` intermediated by the folding. Can terminate at any point.

`foldl spine recursion` is unconditional, then folding starts. Unconditionally recurses across the whole `spine`, if it infinite - infinitely.

Chapter 109

Good: Computation model

Model the [domain](#) and [types](#) before thinking about how to write computations.

Chapter 110

Good: Make bottoms only local

Chapter 111

Good: Newtype wrap is ideally transparent for compiler and does not change performance

Chapter 112

Good: Instances of types/type classes must go with code you write

Chapter 113

**Good: Functions can be
abstracted as arguments**

Chapter 114

**Good: Infix operators can be
bind to arguments**

Chapter 115

Good: Arbitrary

Product types can be tested as a product of random generators.

Sum types require to implement generators with separate constructors, and picking one of them, use oneof or frequency to pick generators.

Chapter 116

Good: Principle of Separation of concerns

Chapter 117

Good: Function composition

In Haskell inline [composition](#) requires:

```
h.g.f $ i
```

[Function application](#) has a higher [priority](#) than [composition](#). That is why parentheses over [argument](#) are needed.

This [precedence](#) allows idiomatically [compose partially applied functions](#).

But it is a way better then:

```
h (g (f i))
```

Chapter 118

Good: Point-free

Use [Tacit](#) very carefully - it hides [types](#) and harder to change code [where](#) it is used.
Use just enough [Tacit](#) to communicate a bit better. Mostly only partial [point-free](#) communicates better.

118.1 Good: Point-free is great in multi-dimensions

BigData and OLAP analysis.

Chapter 119

Good: Functor application

[Function application](#) on n levels beneath:

```
(fmap . fmap) function twoLevelStructure
```

How `fmap . fmap` [typechecks](#):

```
(.)      :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
fmap     :: Functor f => (m -> n) -> f m -> f n
fmap     :: Functor g => (x -> y) -> g x -> g y

fmap . fmap :: (Functor f, Functor g)
            => ((g x -> g y) -> f . g x -> f . g y)
            -> (( x -> y) -> g x -> g y)
            -> ( x -> y) -> f . g x -> f . g y
fmap . fmap :: (x -> y) -> f . g x -> f . g y
```

Chapter 120

Good: Parameter order

In [functions parameter order](#) is important.

It is best to use first the most reusable [parameters](#).

And as last one the one that can be the most [variable](#), that is important to chain.

Chapter 121

Good: Applicative monoid

There can be more than one valid [Monoid](#) for a [data type](#). &&

There can be more than one valid [Applicative](#) instance for a [data type](#). ->

There can be different [Applicatives](#) with different [Monoid](#) implementations.

Chapter 122

Good: Creative process

- 122.1 Pick philosophy principles one to three the more - the harder the implementation
- 122.2 Draw the most blurred representation
- 122.3 Deduce **abstractions** and write remotely what they are
- 122.4 Model of computation
 - 122.4.1 Model the **domain**
 - 122.4.2 Model the **types**
 - 122.4.3 Think how to write computations
- 122.5 Create

Chapter 123

«<Good: About operators (<\$)
(**>) (<*) (>>) »>

[Where](#) character is not present - discard the according processing of a [parameter](#).
(>>) is an [exception](#), it does the reverse. ignores the first [parameter](#).

Chapter 124

Good: About functions like `{mapM, sequence}_`

Trailing `_` means ignoring the result.

Chapter 125

Good: Guideliles

125.1 Wiki.haskell

125.1.1 Documentation

125.1.1.1 Comments write in [application](#) terms, not technical.

125.1.1.2 Tell what code needs to do not how it does.

125.1.2 Haddock

125.1.2.1 Put haddock comments to ever exposed [data type](#) and [function](#).

125.1.2.2 Haddock header

```
{- |  
Module      : <File name or $Header$ to be replaced automatically>  
Description : <optional short text displayed on contents page>  
Copyright   : (c) <Authors or Affiliations>  
License     : <license>  
  
Maintainer  : <email>  
Stability   : unstable | experimental | provisional | stable | frozen  
Portability : portable | non-portable (<reason>  
  
<module description starting at first column>  
-}
```

125.1.3 Code

125.1.3.1 Try to stay closer to portable (Haskell98) code

125.1.3.2 Try make lines no longer 80 chars

125.1.3.3 Last char in file should be newline

125.1.3.4 Symbolic [infix](#) identifiers is only library writer right

125.1.3.5 Every [function](#) does one thing.

Chapter 126

Good: Use Typed holes to progress the code

[Typed holes](#) help build code in complex situations.

Chapter 127

Good: Haskell allows infinite terms but not infinite types

That is why infinite [types](#) throw infinite [type error](#).

Chapter 128

Good: Use type synonyms to differ the information

Even if there is `types` - define `type` synonyms. They are free.

That distinction with synonyms, would allow `TypeSynonymInstances`, which would allow to create a different `type class` instances and behaviour for different information.

Chapter 129

«<Good: Control.Monad.Error
-> Control.Monad.Except»>

Chapter 130

Good: Monad OR Applicative

130.0.1 Start writing `monad` using `'return'`, `'ap'`, `'liftM'`, `'liftM2'`, `'>>'` instead of `'do'`, `'>=>'`

If you wrote code and really needed only those - move that code to [Applicative](#).

```
return -> pure
ap -> <*>
liftM -> liftA -> <$>
>> -> *>
```

130.0.2 Basic `case` when [Applicative](#) can be used

Can be rewritten in [Applicative](#):

```
func = do
  a <- f
  b <- g
pure (a, b)
```

Can't be rewritten in [Applicative](#):

```
somethingdoSomething' n = do
  a <- f n
  b <- g a
pure (a, b)
```

`(f n)` creates [monadic structure](#), [binds](#) `ot` to `a` which is consumed then by `g`.

130.0.3 [Applicative](#) block vs [Monad](#) block

With [Type Applicative](#) every condition fails/succeeds independently. It needs a boilerplate [data constructor](#)/value pattern matching code to work. And code you can write only for so many cases and [types](#), so boilerplate can not be so flexible as [Monad](#) that allows [polymorphism](#).

With [Type Monad](#) computation can return value that dependent from the previous computation result. So abort or dependent processing can happen.

Chapter 131

Good: Haskell Package Versioning Policy

Version policy and dependency management.

[width=.9]Good_{code}/pvp - decision - tree₂019 - 06 - 17₁5 - 49 - 21

131.1 *

PVP

Good: PVP

Chapter 132

Good: Linear type

Linear types are great to control/minimize resource usage.

Chapter 133

Good: Exception vs Error

Many languages and Haskell have it all mixup. Here is table showing what belongs to one or other in standard libraries:

Exception	Prelude.catch, Control. Exception .catch, Control. Exception .try, IOError, Control. Monad . Error
Error	error , assert, Control. Exception .catch, Debug.Trace.trace

Chapter 134

Good: Let vs. Where

`let ... in ...` is a separate [expression](#). In contrast, `where` is [bound](#) to a surrounding syntactic [construct](#) (namespace).

Chapter 135

Good: RankNTypes

Can powerfully synergyze with [ScopedTypeVariables](#).

Chapter 136

Good: Orphan type instance

Practice to address orphan instances:

Does `type class` or `type` defined by you:

Type class	Type	Recommendation
✓	✓	{ <code>Type</code> , instance} in the same <code>module</code> { <code>Typeclass</code> & instance} in the same <code>module</code> {Define newtype wrap, its instances} in the same <code>module</code>

Chapter 137

Good: Smart constructor

Only proper smart [constructors](#) should be exported. Do not export [data type constructor](#), only a [type](#).

Chapter 138

Good: Thin category

In * all [morphisms](#) are [epimorphisms](#) and [monomorphisms](#).

Chapter 139

Good: Recursion

Writing/thinking about [recursion](#):

- a.* Find the base cases, on input of which the answer can be provided right away. There is mostly one [base case](#), but sometimes there can be several of them. Typical base cases are: [zero](#), the empty [list](#), the empty tree, null, etc.
- b.* Do inductive [case](#). The [recursive](#) invocation. The [argument](#) of a [recursive](#) call needs to be smaller than the current [argument](#). So it would be gradually closer to the [base case](#). The idea is that processes eventually hits the [base case](#).

Simple functional [application](#) is used in the [recursion](#).
Assume that the [functions](#) would return the right result.

Chapter 140

Good: Monoid

<>:

[Sets](#) - union.

Maps - left-biased union.

Number - `Sum`, `Product` form separate [monoid categories](#).

Chapter 141

Good: Free monad

The main [case](#) of usage of Free [monads](#) in Haskell:

Start implementation of the [monad](#) from a Free [monad](#), drafting the base [monadic](#) operations, then add custom operations.

Gradually build on top of Free [monad](#) and try to find homomorphisms from [monad](#) to [objects](#), and if only [objects](#) are needed - get rid of the free [monad](#).

Chapter 142

Good: Use mostly where clauses

Chapter 143

**Good: Where clause is in a scope
with function parameters**

Chapter 144

Good: Strong preference towards pattern matching over {head, tail, etc.} functions

`head` and `tail` and alike [functions](#) are often partial ([unsafe](#)) functions.

Chapter 145

Good: Patternmatching is possible on monadic bind in do

Example:

```
instance (Monad m) => Functor (StateT s m) where
  fmap f m = StateT $ \s -> do
    (x, s') <- runStateT m s  -- Here is a pattern matching bind
    return (f x, s')
```

Chapter 146

Good: Applicative vs Monad

Giving not Monad but Applicative requirement allows parallel computation, but if there should be a chaining of the intermediate state - it must be [monadic](#).

Chapter 147

Good: StateT, ReaderT, WriterT

Reader trait: (r ->).

Writer trait: (a, w).

State trait is combination of both:

```
newtype StateT s m a =  
  StateT { runStateT :: s -> m (a, s) }
```

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =  
  ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

```
newtype WriterT w m a =  
  WriterT { runWriterT :: m (a, w) }
```

State trait fully replaces writer.

Chapter 148

Good: Working with MonadTrans and lift

From the `lift . pure = pure` follows that `MonadTrans` [type](#) can have a `pure` defined with `lift`.

Stacking of `MonadTrans` [monads](#) can result in a lot of chained `lift` and `unwraps`. There is many ways to cope with that but the most robust and common is to [abstract](#) representation with `newtype` on the `Monad` [stack](#). This can reduce caining or remove the manual [lifting](#) withing the [Monad](#).

For perfect combination for contributors to be able to extend the code - keep the `Internal` [module](#) that has a raw representation.

Chapter 149

Good: Don't mix Where and Let

`let` and `where` create a [recursive set](#) of definitions with can explode, don't mix them together in code.

Chapter 150

Good: Where vs. Let

`Let` is self-recursive lazy pattern. It is checked and errors only at execution time. `Binds` only inside `expression` it is binded to.

`Where` is a part of definition, scoped over definition implemetations and `guards`, not self-recursive.

Chapter 151

Good: The proper nature algorithm that models behaviour of many objects is computation heavy

God does not care about our mathematical difficulties. He integrates empirically.

One who is found of mathematical meaning loves to [apply](#) it. But if we implement the "real" algorithms behind nature processes, we face the need to go through the computations of laws of all particles.

Computation of nature is always a middle way between ideal theory behaviour and computation simplification.

Chapter 152

Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type

Because of [let-bound polymorphism](#):

This is illegal in Haskell:

```
foo :: (Int, Char)
foo = (\f -> (f 1, f 'a')) id
```

Lambda-bound [function](#) (i.e., one passed as [argument](#) to another [function](#)) cannot be instantiated in two different ways, if there is a [let-bound polymorphism](#).

Chapter 153

Good: Instance is a good structure to draw a type line

Instances for [data type](#) can differentiate by [constraints](#) & [types](#) of arguments. So instance can preserve [type](#) boundary, and [data type declaration](#) can stay very [polymorphic](#). If the need to extend the [type](#) boundaries arrive - the instances may extend, or new instances are created, while used [data type](#) still the same and unchanged.

Chapter 154

Good: MTL vs. Transformers

Default of `mtl`.

`Transformers` is Haskell-98, doesn't have functional dependencies, lacks the `monad` classes, has manual `lift` of operations to the composite `monad`.

MTL extends `transformers`, providing more instances, features and possibilities, may include `alternative` packages features as `mtl-tf`.

Part VI

Bad code

Chapter 155

Bad pragma

155.1 Bad: Dangerous `LANGUAGE pragma` option

- `DatatypeContexts`
- `OverlappingInstances`
- `IncoherentInstances`
- `ImpredicativeTypes`
- `AllowAmbiguousTypes`
- `UndecidableInstances` - often

Part VII

Useful **functions** to remember

Chapter 156

Prelude

```
enumFromTo
enumFromThenTo
reverse
show :: Show a => a -> String
flip
sequence - Evaluate each monadic action in the structure from left to right, and collect the results
:sprint - show variables to see what has been evaluated already.
minBound - smaller bound
maxBound - larger bound
cycle :: [a] -> [a] - indefinitely cycle s list
repeat - indefinit lis from value
elemIndex e l - return first index, returns Maybe
fromMaybe (default if Nothing) e :: Maybe a -> a
lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b
```

156.1 Ord

```
compare
```

156.2 Calc

div - always makes rounding down, to infinity
divMod - returns a [tuple](#) containing the result of integral division and modulo

156.3 [List](#) operations

```
concat - [ [a] ] -> [a]
elem x xs - is element a part of a list
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a, b)] - zips two lists together. Zip stops when one list runs out.
zipWith :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c] - do the action on corresponding elements of list a
```

Chapter 157

Data.List

```
intersperse :: a -> [a] -> [a] - gets the value and incerts it between values in array  
nub - remove duplicates from the list
```

Chapter 158

Data.Char

```
ord (Char -> Int)
chr (Int -> Char)
isUpper (Char -> Bool)
toUpper (Char -> Char)
```


Chapter 159

QuickCheck

```
quickCheck :: Testable prop => prop -> IO ()  
quickCheck . verbose - run verbose mode
```

Part VIII

Tools

Chapter 160

ghc-pkg

[List](#) installed packages:

```
ghc-pkg list
```

Chapter 161

Search over the Haskell packages code: Codesearch from Aelve

<https://codesearch.aelve.com/>

Chapter 162

Integration of NixOS/Nix with Haskell IDE Engine (HIE) and Emacs (Spacemacs)

162.1 1. Install the Cachix: <https://github.com/cachix/cachix>

162.2 2. Installation of HIE: <https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/#cached-builds>

162.2.1 2.1. Provide cached builds

```
cachix use all-hies
```

162.2.2 2.2.a. Installation on NixOS distribution:

```
{ config, pkgs, ... }:
```

```
let
```

```
    all-hies = import (fetchTarball "https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/tarball/master") {};
```

```
in {
```

```
    environment.systemPackages = with pkgs; [
```

```
        (all-hies.selection { selector = p: { inherit (p) ghc865 ghc864; }; })
```

```
    ];
```

```
}
```

Insert your GHC versions.

Switch to new configuration:

```
sudo -i nixos-rebuild switch
```

162.2.3 2.2.b. Installation with Nix package manager:

```
nix-env -iA selection --arg selector 'p: { inherit (p) ghc865 ghc864; }' -f 'https://github.com/i
```

Insert your GHC versions.

162.3 3. Emacs (Spacemacs) configuration:

```
dotspacemacs-configuration-layers
'(

  auto-completion

  (lsp :variables
    default-nix-wrapper (lambda (args)
      (append
        (append (list "nix-shell" "-I" "." "--command" )
          (list (mapconcat 'identity args " ")))
        )
      (list (nix-current-sandbox))
      )
    )

    lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function default-nix-wrapper
  )

  (haskell :variables
    haskell-enable-hindent t
    haskell-completion-backend 'lsp
    haskell-process-type 'cabal-new-repl
  )

)

dotspacemacs-additional-packages '(
  direnv
  nix-sandbox
)

(defun dotspacemacs/user-config ()

  (add-hook 'haskell-mode-hook 'direnv-update-environment) ;; If direnv configured
```

)

Where:

auto-completion configures YASnippet.

nix-sandbox (<https://github.com/travisbhartwell/nix-emacs>) has a great helper functions. Using nix-current-sandbox function in default-nix-wrapper that used to properly configure lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function.

Configuration of the lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function default-nix-wrapper is a key for HIE to work in nix-shell

Inside nix-shell the haskell-process-type 'cabal-new-repl is required.

Configuration was reassembled from: <https://github.com/emacs-lsp/lsp-haskell/blob/8f2dbb6e827b1adce6360c56f795f29ecff1d7f6/lsp-haskell.el#L57> & its authors config: [\[\[https://github.com/sevanspowell/dotfiles/blob/master.spacemacs\]\]](https://github.com/sevanspowell/dotfiles/blob/master/spacemacs)/

Refresh Emacs.

162.4 4. Open the Haskell file from a project

Open system monitor, observe the process of environment establishing, packages loading & compiling.

162.5 5. Be pleased writing code

Now, the powers of the Haskell, Nix & Emacs combined. It's fully in your hands now. Be cautious - you can change the world.

162.6 6. (optional) Debugging

- a. If receiving sort-of:

`readCreateProcess : cabal-helper-wrapper failure`

HIE tries to run `cabal` operations like on the non-Nix system. So it is a problem with detection of `nix-shell` environment, running inside it.

- a. If HIE keeps getting ready, failing & restarting - check that the projects `ghc --version` is declared in your `all-hie` NixOS configuration.

Chapter 163

Debugger

Provides:

- [set](#) a breakpoints
- observe step-by-step [evaluation](#)
- tracing mode

Breakpoints

```
:break 2
:show breaks
:delete 0
:continue
```

Step-by-step

```
:step main
```

[List](#) information at the breakpoint

```
:list
```

What been evaluated already

```
:sprint name
```

Chapter 164

GHCID

Commands to run the compile/check loop:

```
cabal > 3.0 command:
```

```
ghcid --command='cabal v2-repl --repl-options=-fno-code --repl-options=-fno-break-on-exception --g
```

```
cabal < 3.0 command:
```

```
ghcid --command='cabal new-repl --ghc-options=-fno-code --ghc-options=-fno-break-on-exception --g
```

```
nix-shell cabal > 3.0 command:
```

```
nix-shell --command 'ghcid --command="cabal v2-repl --repl-options=-fno-code --repl-options=-fno-
```

```
nix-shell cabal < 3.0 command:
```

```
nix-shell --command 'ghcid --command="cabal new-repl --ghc-options=-fno-code --ghc-options=-fno-b
```

Part IX

Libs

Chapter 165

Exceptions

- 165.1 **Exceptions** - optionally **pure** extensible **exceptions** that are compatible with the mtl
- 165.2 **Safe-exceptions** - safe, simple API **equivalent** to the underlying implementation in terms of power, encourages best practices minimizing the chances of getting the **exception** handling wrong.
- 165.3 **Enclosed-exceptions** - capture **exceptions** from the enclosed computation, while reacting to asynchronous **exceptions** aimed at the calling thread.

Chapter 166

Memory management

166.1 membrain - [type](#)-safe memory units

Chapter 167

Parsers - megaparsec

Chapter 168

CLIs - `optparse-`[applicative](#)

Chapter 169

HTML - Lucid

Chapter 170

Web applications - Servant

Chapter 171

IO libraries

- 171.1 Conduit - practical, monolythic, guarantees termination return
- 171.2 Pipes + Pipes Parse - modular, more primitive, theoretically driven

Chapter 172

JSON - aeson

Chapter 173

Backpack

On 1-st compilation - `*` analyzes the [abstract](#) signatures without loading side modules, doing the [type check](#) with assumption that modules provide right [type](#) signatures, the process does not emit any [binary](#) code and stores the intermediate code in a special form that allows flexibly connect modules provided. Which allows later to compile project with particular instantiations of the modules. Major work of this process being done by internal Cabal `*` support and `*` system that modifies the intermediate code to fit the [module](#).

Part X

Drafts

Chapter 174

Exception handling

Exception must include all **context** information that may be useful.

Store information in a form for further probable deeper automatic diagnostic.

Sensitive data/dummies for it - can be useful during development.

Sensitive data should be stripped from a program logging & **exceptions**.

Exception system should be extendable, data storage & representation should be easily extendable.

Exception system should allow easy exhaustive checking of **errors**, since the different **errors** can happen.

Exception system should be automatically well-documented and transparent.

Exception system should have controllable breaking changes downstream.

Exception system should allow complex composite (**sets**) **exceptions**.

Exception system should be lightweight on the **type** signatures of other **functions**.

Exception system should automate the collection of **context** for a **exception**.

Exception system should have **properties** and according **functions** for particular **types** of **errors**.

String is simple and convenient to throw **exception**, but really a mistake because it the most cumbersome choice:

- Any **Exception** instance can be converted to a **String** with **either** **show** or **displayException**.
- Does not include key debugging information in the **error** message.
- Does not allow developer to access/manage the **Exception** information.
- **Exception** messages need to be constructed ahead of time, it can not be internationalized, converted to some data/file format.
- **Exception** can have a sensitive information that can be useful for developer during work, but should not be logged/shown to end-user. Stripping it from **Strings** in the changing project is a hard task.

- Impossible to rely on this representation for further/deeper inspection.
- Impossible to have exhaustive checking - no knowledge no check, no warning if some cases are not handled.

Universal [exception type](#):

- Able to inspect every possible [error case](#) with [pattern match](#).
- Self-documenting. Shows the hierarchical system of all [exceptions](#).
- Transparent. Ability to discern in current situation what [exceptions](#) can happen
- New [exception constructor](#) causes breaking change to downstream.
- Wrongly implies completeness. Untreated [Errors](#) can happen, different [exception](#) can arrive from the outside code.

Sum [type](#) must be separate, and [product type structure](#) over it.
Separate [exception type](#) of

Individual [exception types](#):

- Writing & seing & working with exactly what will go wrong because there is only one possible [error](#) for this [type](#) of [exception](#). [Pattern match](#) happens only onconditions, [constructors](#) that should happen.
- Knowledge what exctly goes wrong allows wide usage of [Either](#).
- It is hard to handle complex [exceptions](#) in the unitary system. Real wrorld can return not a particular [case](#), but a [set](#) of cases {[object](#) not found, path is unreachable, access is denied}.
- [Type](#) signatures grow, and even can become complex, since every [case](#) of [exception](#) has its own [type](#).
- Impure `throw` that users can/should use for your code must account for all your [exception types](#).

[Abstract exception type](#):

[Exception type](#) entirely opaque and inspectable only by accessor [functions](#).

- Updating the internals without breaking the API
- Semi-automates the [context](#) of [exception](#) with passing it to accessors.
- Predicates can be [applied](#) to more than one [constructor](#). Which are [properties](#) that allows to make complex [exceptions](#) much easier to handle.
- Not self-documenting.
- Possible options by design are hidden from the downstream, documentation must be kept.
- When you change the [exception](#) handling/throwing [errors](#) it does not shows to the downstream.

Composit approach:

Provide the [set](#) of [constructors](#) and also a [set](#) of predicates and [set](#) of accessors.

Use [pattern synonyms](#) to provide a documented accessor [set](#) without exposing internal [data type](#).

In GHC 8.8 the change was made:

The fail method of [Monad](#) has been removed in favor of the method of the same name in the MonadFail class.

MonadFail(..) is now exported from the Prelude and Control.[Monad](#) modules.

The MonadFailDesugaring language extension is now deprecated, as its effects are always enabled.

So:

```
import           Control.Monad.Fail
...
class MonadFail m => MonadFile m
...
-- use error instead of fail
Nothing         -> error ("Message " <> show x)
```


Chapter 175

Constraints

Very strong Haskell [type](#) system makes possible to work with code from the top down, an [axiomatic semantics](#) approach, from [constraints](#) into [types](#).

- Helps to form the [type level](#) code (aka [join](#) points of the code).
- Uses the piling up of [constraints/types](#) information. At some point pick and satisfy [constraints](#), can be done one at a time.
- Provides hints through [type level](#) formulation for [term level](#) calculations, does not formulate the [term level](#).
- Tedious method (a lot of boilerplate and rewriting it) but pretty simple and relaxing.
- [Set](#) of [constraints](#).
- When it is needed or convenient, single [constraint](#) gets a little more realistically concrete/abstracted.

Main [type](#) detail annotation thread can happen in `main` or special wrapper [function](#), localization is inside [functions](#).

a. Rest of [constraints set](#) shifts to source [type](#).

3.a. For the class handled or known how to handle - write a [base case](#) instance description.

```
instance (Monad m) => MonadReader r (ReaderT r m)
```

3.b. For others write [recursive](#) instance descriptions:

All other unsolved [constraints](#) move into the source [polymorphic variable](#).

```
instance (MonadError e m) => MonadError e (ReaderT r m)
instance (MonadState s m) => MonadState s (ReaderT r m)
```

a. Repeat from 1 until considered done.

b. Code condensed into terse form.

MonadError [constraints](#) is IOException, not for the String. IOException vs String.

Reverse pluck MonadReader [constraint](#) with runReader on the [object](#).

MonadState - StateT

Chapter 176

Monad transformers and their type classes

Chapter 177

Layering **monad** transformers

Different layering of the same **monad** transformers is functionality is the same, but the form is different. Surrounding handling **functions** would need to be different.

Chapter 178

Hoogle

178.1 Search

Text search ([case](#) insensitive):

- `a`
- `map`
- `con map`

[Type](#) search:

- `:: a`
- `:: a -> a`

Text & [type](#):

`=id a -> a=`

178.2 [Scope](#)

178.2.1 Default

[Scope](#) is [Haskell Platform](#) (and [Haskell keywords](#)).

All [Hackage](#) packages are available to search with:

178.2.2 Hierarchical module name system (from big letter):

- `fold +Data.Map` finds results in the `Data.Map` [module](#)
- `file -System` excludes results from modules such as `System.IO`, `System.FilePath.Windows` and `Distribution.System`

178.2.3 Packages (lower [case](#)):

- `mode +platform`
- `mode +cmdargs` (only)
- `mode +platform +cmdargs`
- `file -base` (Haskell Platform, excluding the "base" package)

Chapter 179

ST-Trick monad

ST is like a [lexical scope](https://wiki.haskell.org/Lexical_scope), where all the [variables](https://wiki.haskell.org/Variables)/state disappear when the [function](https://wiki.haskell.org/Function) returns
[https://wiki.haskell.ohttps://www.schoolofhaskell.com/school/to-infinity-and-beyond/older-but-still-interesting/deamortized-strg/Monad/ST](https://wiki.haskell.org/https://www.schoolofhaskell.com/school/to-infinity-and-beyond/older-but-still-interesting/deamortized-strg/Monad/ST)
<https://dev.to/jvanbruegge/what-the-heck-is-polymorphism-nmh>

179.1 *

ST-Trick

Chapter 180

Either

Allows to separate and preserve information about happened, ex. [error](#) handling.

180.1 *

Either data type

Chapter 181

Inverse

- a.* [Inverse function](#)
- b.* In logic: $P \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow \neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$, & same for [category duality](#).
- c.* For [operation](#): element that allows reversing [operation](#), having an element that with the [dual](#) produces the [identity](#) element.
- d.* See [Inversion](#).

Chapter 182

Inversion

- a.* Is a [permutation where](#) two elements are out of [order](#).
- b.* See [Inverse](#)

Chapter 183

Inverse function

$$f_{x \rightarrow y} \circ (f_{x \rightarrow y})^{-1} = 1_x$$

* \iff function is bijective.
Otherwise - partial inverse

Chapter 184

Inverse morphism

For $f : x \rightarrow y$:

$\exists g : g \circ f = 1^x$ - g is left [inverse](#) of f .

$\exists g : f \circ g = 1^y$ - g is right [inverse](#) of f .

Chapter 185

Partial inverse

* when [function](#) is now [bijective](#). When [bijective](#) see [inverse function](#).

Chapter 186

PatternSynonyms

Enables [pattern synonym declaration](#), which always begins with the `pattern` word.
Allows to [abstract](#)-away the [structures](#) of pattern matching.

186.1 *

Pattern synonym
Pattern synonyms

Chapter 187

GHC debug keys

187.1 -ddump-ds

Dump desugarer output.

187.1.1 *

Desugar
GHC desugar

Chapter 188

GHC optimize keys

188.1 -foptimal-applicative-do

$O(n^3)$

Always finds optimal [reduction](#) into $\langle * \rangle$ for [ApplicativeDo](#) do notation.

Chapter 189

Computational trinitarianism

Taken from: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/computational+trinitarianism>

Under the [statements](#):

- [propositions](#) as [types](#)
- programs as proofs
- [relation](#) between [type](#) theory and [category](#) theory

the following notions are [equivalent](#):

- == [proposition](#) proof (Logic)
- == generalized element of an [object](#) ([Category](#) theory)
- == typed program with output ([Type](#) theory & Computer science)

Table 189.1: [Computational trinitarianism](#)

Logic	Category theory	Type theory
true	terminal object/(-2)-truncated object	h-level 0-type
false	initial object	empty type
proposition	(-1)-truncated object	h-proposition
proof	generalized element	program
cut rule	composition of classifying morphisms / pullback of display maps	substitution
cut elimination for implication	counit for hom-tensor adjunction	beta reduction
introduction rule for implication	unit for hom-tensor adjunction	eta conversion
logical conjunction	product	product type
disjunction	coproduct ((-1)-truncation of)	sum type (b...

Continued from previous page		
Logic	Category theory	Type theory
implication	internal hom	function type
negation	internal hom into initial object	function type
universal quantification	dependent product	dependent product
existential quantification	dependent sum ((-1)-truncation of)	dependent sum
equivalence	path space object	identity type
equivalence class	quotient	quotient type
induction	colimit	inductive type
higher induction	higher colimit	higher inductive type
completely presented set	discrete object/0-truncated object	h-level 2-type
set	internal 0-groupoid	Bishop set/set
universe	object classifier	type of types
modality	closure operator, (idemponent) monad	modal type theory
linear logic	(symmetric, closed) monoidal category	linear type theory
proof net	string diagram	quantum circuit
(absence of) contraction rule	(absence of) diagonal	no-cloning theorem
	synthetic mathematics	domain specification

189.1 *

Trinitarism

Chapter 190

Techniques functional programming deals with the state

190.1 Minimizing

Do not rely on state, try not to change the state. Use it only when it is very necessary.

190.2 Concentrating

Concentrate the state in one place.

190.3 Deferring

Defer state to the last step of the program, or to external system.

Chapter 191

Monadic Error handling

```
(>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b -- A.E   A - computes and drops if error value happens.  
catch :: c a -> (e -> c a) -> c a -- E.E   A - handles "errors" as "normal" values and stops when
```

Chapter 192

Functions

Total [function](#) uses [domain](#) fully, but takes only part of the [codomain](#).

[Function](#) allows to collapse [domain](#) values into [codomain](#) value. Meaning the [function](#) allows to loose the information.

So total [function](#) is a computation that loses the information or into bigger codomains.

That is why the [function](#) has a directionality, and [inverse](#) total process is partially possible.

Directionality and invertability are terms.

Chapter 193

Void

Emptiness.

Can not be grasped, touched.

A logically uninhabited [data type](#).

(Since [basis](#) of logic is tautologically True and [Void](#) value can not be addressed - there is a logical paradox with the [Void](#)).

Is an [object](#) included into the [Hask category](#), since:

```
:t (id :: Void -> Void)
(id :: Void -> Void) :: Void -> Void
```

id for it exists.

[Type](#) system corresponds to [constructive logic](#) and not to the classical logic.
Classical logic answers the question "Is this actually true".
Constuctive (Intuitionistic) logic answers the question "Is this provable".

Also has [functions](#):

```
-- Represents logical principle of explosion: from falsehood, anything follows.
absurd :: Void -> a
```

```
-- If Functor holds only Void - it holds no values.
vacuous :: Functor f => f Void -> f a
```

```
-- If Monad holds only Void - it holds no values.
vacuousM :: Monad m => m Void -> m a
```

Design pattern: use [polymorphic data types](#) and [Void](#) to get rid of possibilities when you need to.

193.1 *

Nothing, Haskell [expressions](#) can't return [Void](#).

Also see: [Maybe](#).

Chapter 194

Constructive proof

Method of proof that demonstrates the existence of a mathematical [object](#) by creating or providing a method for creating the [object](#).

Chapter 195

Intuitionistic logic

[Proposition](#) considered **True** due to direct evidence of existence through constructive proof using [Curry-Howard isomorphism](#).

$*$ does not include classic logic fundamental axioms of the excluded middle and double negation elimination. Hence $*$ is weaker than classical logic. Classical logic includes $*$, all theorems of $*$ are also in classical logic.

195.1 $*$

Constructive logic

Chapter 196

Principle of explosion

From asserted [statement](#) that contains contradiction - anything can be proven.
Ancient principle of logic. Both in classical & intuitionistic logic.

196.1 *

Ex falso quodlibet

Ex falso sequitur quodlibet

EFG

Ex contradictione quodlibet

Ex contradictione sequitur quodlibet

ECQ

Deductive explosion

Pseudo-Scotus

Chapter 197

Universal **property**

A **property** of some construction which boils down to (is manifestly **equivalent** to) the **property** that an associated **object** is a universal **initial object** of some (auxiliary) **category**.

Chapter 198

Yoneda lemma

Allows the embedding of any [category](#) into a [category](#) of [functors](#) ([contravariant set-valued functors](#)) defined on that [category](#). It also clarifies how the embedded [category](#), of representable [functors](#) and their [natural transformations](#), relates to the other [objects](#) in the larger [functor category](#).

The Yoneda lemma suggests that instead of studying the (locally small) [category](#) C $\{\{\{C\}\}\}C$, one should study the [category](#) of all [functors](#) of C $\{\{\{C\}\}\}C$ into Set $\{\{Set\}\}Set$ (the [category](#) of [sets](#) with [functors](#)).

Chapter 199

Monoidal category, functoriality of ADTs, Profunctors

Category equipped with tensor product.

<>

wich is a functor for $*$.

Set category can be monoidal under both product (having terminal object) or coproduct (having initial object) operations, if according operation exist for all objects.

Any one-object category is $*$.

$(a, ()) \sim a$ up to unique isomorphism, which is called Lax monoidal functor.

Product and coproduct are functorial, so, since:
Algebraic data type construction can use:

- Type constructor
- Data constructor
- Const functor
- Identity functor
- Product
- Coproduct

Any algebraic data type is functorial.

Chapter 200

Const functor

Maps all [objects](#) of source [category](#) into one (fixed) [object](#) of target [category](#), and all [morphisms](#) to [identity morphism](#) of that fixed [object](#).

```
instance Functor (Const c)
  where
    fmap :: (a -> b) -> Const c a -> Const c b
    fmap _ (Const c) = Const c
```

In [Category](#) theory denoted:

Δ

Last [type parameter](#) that bears the target [type](#) of lifted [function](#) ([b](#)) and is a [proxy type](#).

Analogy: the container that allways has an [object](#) attached to it, and everything that is put inside - changes the container [type](#) accordingly, and dissapears.

Chapter 201

Arrow in Haskell

```
(->) a b = a -> b
```

[Functorial](#) in the last [argument](#) & called Reader [functor](#).

```
newtype Reader c a = Reader (c -> a)
```

```
  fmap = ( . )
```


Chapter 202

Contravariant functor

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Op c a -> Op c b
      (a -> c) -> (b -> c)
```

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & c \end{array}$$
$$(a \rightarrow b)^C = (a \leftarrow b)^{C^{op}}$$

```
class Contravariant f
  where
    contramap :: (b -> a) -> (f a -> f b)
```

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{contravariant} \\ & & c \end{array}$$

If [arrows](#) does not commute Contravariant functor anyway allows to [construct](#) transformation between these such [arrows](#) to other [arrow](#).

Chapter 203

Profunctor

$(\multimap) \ a \ b$

$$C^{op} \times C \rightarrow C$$

It is called profunctor.

`dimap :: (a' -> a) -> (b -> b') -> p a b -> p a' b'`

So, profunctor in [case](#) of [arrow](#):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xleftarrow{f} & a' \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \text{profunctor} \\ b & \xrightarrow{g} & b' \end{array}$$

```
dimap :: (a' -> a) -> (b -> b') -> p a b -> p a' b'
dimap ::      f           g       -> (a -> b) -> (a' -> b')
dimap ::      f           g       ->      h       -> (a' -> b')
dimap = g . h . f
```

It is [contravariant functor](#) in the first [argument](#), and [covariant functor](#) in the second [argument](#).

```
dimap id <==> fmap
(flip dimap) id <==> contramap
```

Part XI

Reference

Chapter 204

Functor-Applicative-Monad Proposal

Well known event in Haskell history: https://github.com/quchen/articles/blob/master/applicative_monad.md.

Math justice was restored with a RETroactive CONtinuity. Invented in computer science term [Applicative](#) ([lax monoidal functor](#)) become a [superclass](#) of [Monad](#).

& that is why:

- `return = pure`
- `ap = <*>`
- `>> = *>`
- `liftM = liftA = fmap`
- `liftM* = liftA*`

Also, a side-kick - [Alternative](#) became a [superclass](#) of [MonadPlus](#). Hence:

- `mzero = empty`
- `mplus = (<|>)`

204.1 *

Applicative-Monad proposal
AMP

Chapter 205

Haskell-98

205.1 Old instance termination rules

- a. \forall class **constraint** ($C\ t_1 \dots t_n$):
 - 1.1. **type variables** have occurrences \leq head
 - 1.2. **constructors+variables+repetitions** $<$ head
 - 1.3. \neg **type functions** (**type func application** can expand to **arbitrary** size)
- b. \forall **functional dependencies**, $tv_{s\ left} \rightarrow tv_{s\ right}$, of the class, every **type variable** in $S(tv_{s\ right})$ must appear in $S(tv_{s\ left})$, where S is the substitution mapping each **type variable** in the class **declaration** to the corresponding **type** in the instance head.

Chapter 206

Performance results and comparisons of **types** & solutions

Haskell performance

Part XII

Liturgy

<- *Laos* the people
<- *ergon* work
 leitourgia giving back to the community

The life is beautiful.
For all humans that make the life have more uniqueness.

This study would not be possible without mathematicians, Haskellers, scientists, creators, contributors. These people are the most fascinating in my life.

Special accolades for the guys at Serokell. They were the force that got me inspired & gave resources to seriously learn Haskell and create this pocket guide.