

Fundamental Haskell notes

Anton Latukha

February 23, 2020

Contents

1	Introduction	23
2	Definitions	25
2.1	Abstraction	25
2.1.1	*	25
2.1.2	Leaky abstraction	25
2.1.2.1	*	25
2.2	Algebra	25
2.2.1	*	26
2.2.2	Algebraic	26
2.2.3	Algebraic structure	26
2.2.3.1	*	26
2.3	Alpha equivalence	26
2.4	Ambigram	27
2.5	Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes	27
2.5.1	*	27
2.6	Application memory	29
2.7	Argument	29
2.7.1	Argument of a function	29
2.7.1.1	*	29
2.8	Binary	29
2.9	Bind	30
2.9.1	*	30
2.10	Cartesian product	30
2.10.1	*	31
2.10.2	Pullback	31
2.10.2.1	*	31

2.11	Category theory	31
2.11.1	*	32
2.11.2	Abelian category	33
2.11.2.1	*	34
2.11.3	Composition	34
2.11.3.1	*	34
2.11.4	Endofunctor category	34
2.11.5	Functor	35
2.11.5.1	*	36
2.11.5.2	Power set functor	36
2.11.5.2.1	*	37
2.11.5.2.2	Power set functor laws	37
2.11.5.2.3	Lift	38
2.11.5.2.4	Power set functor is a free monad	38
2.11.5.3	Functorial	38
2.11.5.4	Forgetful functor	38
2.11.5.4.1	*	38
2.11.5.5	Identity functor	39
2.11.5.6	Endofunctor	39
2.11.5.6.1	*	39
2.11.5.7	Applicative functor	39
2.11.5.7.1	*	40
2.11.5.7.2	Applicative law	40
2.11.5.7.3	*	40
2.11.5.7.4	Applicative function	41
2.11.5.7.5	Special applicatives	42
2.11.5.7.6	Monad	43
2.11.5.7.7	Alternative type class	65
2.11.5.7.8	«<=*>=>»	65
2.11.5.8	Monoidal functor	65
2.11.5.9	Fusion	66
2.11.5.10	«<=\$>=>»	66
2.11.5.11	Multifunctor	66
2.11.5.11.1	*	67
2.11.5.12	*	67
2.11.6	Hask category	67
2.11.6.1	*	67
2.11.7	Magma	67
2.11.7.1	Mag category	68
2.11.7.1.1	*	68

2.11.7.2	Semigroup	68
2.11.7.2.1	*	68
2.11.7.2.2	Monoid	68
2.11.8	Morphism	71
2.11.8.1	*	71
2.11.8.2	Homomorphism	71
2.11.8.2.1	*	72
2.11.8.3	Identity morphism	72
2.11.8.3.1	Identity	72
2.11.8.3.2	Identity function	73
2.11.8.4	Monomorphism	73
2.11.8.4.1	*	74
2.11.8.5	Epimorphism	74
2.11.8.5.1	*	75
2.11.8.6	Isomorphism	75
2.11.8.6.1	*	75
2.11.8.6.2	Lax	76
2.11.8.7	Endomorphism	76
2.11.8.7.1	Automorphism	76
2.11.8.7.2	*	76
2.11.8.8	Catamorphism	76
2.11.8.8.1	*	77
2.11.8.8.2	Catamorphism law	77
2.11.8.8.3	Anamorphism	77
2.11.8.9	Kernel	78
2.11.8.9.1	Kernel homomorphism	78
2.11.9	Object	79
2.11.9.1	*	79
2.11.9.2	Terminal object	79
2.11.9.3	Initial object	80
2.11.10	Set category	80
2.11.11	Natural transformation	80
2.11.11.1	*	84
2.11.11.2	Natural transformation component	84
2.11.11.2.1	*	84
2.11.11.3	Natural transformation in Haskell	84
2.11.11.4	Cat category	85
2.11.11.4.1	*	85
2.11.11.4.2	Bicategory	85
2.11.12	Category dual	85

2.11.13	Thin category	87
2.11.13.1	*	87
2.11.14	Commuting diagram	87
2.11.14.1	*	87
2.11.15	Universal construction	88
2.11.15.1	*	88
2.11.16	Product	88
2.11.16.1	*	89
2.11.17	Coproduct	89
2.11.17.1	*	90
2.11.18	Free object	90
2.11.19	Internal category	90
2.11.20	Hom set	90
2.11.20.1	*	91
2.11.20.2	Hom-functor	91
2.11.20.3	Exponential object	91
2.11.20.3.1	*	93
2.11.20.3.2	Enriched category	93
2.12	Coalgebra	93
2.13	Contravariant	93
2.13.1	*	94
2.14	Covariant	94
2.14.1	*	94
2.15	Data type	94
2.15.1	*	95
2.15.2	Actual type	95
2.15.3	Algebraic data type	95
2.15.3.1	*	95
2.15.4	Cardinality	95
2.15.5	Data constant	95
2.15.6	Data constructor	95
2.15.7	data declaration	95
2.15.8	Dependent type	96
2.15.8.1	*	96
2.15.9	Gen type	96
2.15.10	Higher-kinded data type	96
2.15.10.1	*	96
2.15.11	newtype declaration	96
2.15.12	Principal type	97
2.15.13	Product data type	97

2.15.13.1 *	97
2.15.13.2 Sequence	98
2.15.13.2.1 *	98
2.15.13.2.2 List	99
2.15.14 Proxy type	99
2.15.15 Static typing	99
2.15.16 Structural type	99
2.15.16.1 *	100
2.15.17 Structural type system	100
2.15.17.1 *	100
2.15.18 Sum data type	100
2.15.19 Type alias	100
2.15.20 Type class	100
2.15.20.1 *	100
2.15.20.2 Arbitrary type class	100
2.15.20.2.1 Arbitrary function	101
2.15.20.3 CoArbitrary type class	101
2.15.20.3.1 *	101
2.15.20.4 Typeable type class	101
2.15.20.4.1 *	101
2.15.20.5 Type class inheritance	101
2.15.20.6 Derived instance	102
2.15.20.6.1 *	103
2.15.21 Type constant	103
2.15.22 Type constructor	103
2.15.23 type declaration	103
2.15.24 Typed hole	103
2.15.24.1 *	103
2.15.25 Type inference	103
2.15.25.1 *	104
2.15.26 Type class instance	104
2.15.27 Type rank	104
2.15.27.1 *	105
2.15.28 Type variable	105
2.15.29 Unlifted type	105
2.15.29.1 *	105
2.15.30 Data structure	105
2.15.30.1 Cons cell	105
2.15.30.2 Construct	105
2.15.30.2.1 *	106

2.15.30.3 Leaf	106
2.15.30.4 Node	106
2.15.31 Linear type	106
2.15.31.1 *	106
2.15.32 NonEmpty list data type	106
2.15.33 Session type	107
2.15.34 Binary tree	107
2.15.35 Bottom value	107
2.15.35.1 *	107
2.15.36 Bound	107
2.15.36.1 *	107
2.15.37 Constructor	107
2.15.37.1 *	108
2.15.38 Context	108
2.15.38.1 *	108
2.15.39 Inhabit	108
2.15.40 Maybe	108
2.15.40.0.1 *	108
2.16 Declaration	109
2.17 Differential operator	109
2.17.1 *	109
2.18 Dispatch	109
2.19 Effect	109
2.20 Evaluation	109
2.21 Expected type	109
2.22 Expression	110
2.22.1 *	110
2.22.2 Closed-form expression	110
2.22.3 RHS	110
2.22.4 LHS	110
2.22.5 Redex	111
2.22.6 Concatenate	111
2.23 First-class	111
2.24 Function	111
2.24.1 *	112
2.24.2 Arity	112
2.24.3 Bijection	113
2.24.3.1 *	113
2.24.4 Combinator	114
2.24.5 Function application	114

2.24.5.1 *	114
2.24.6 Function body	114
2.24.7 Function composition	114
2.24.7.1 *	115
2.24.8 Function head	115
2.24.9 Function range	115
2.24.10 Higher-order function	115
2.24.10.1 *	115
2.24.10.2 Fold	116
2.24.11 Injection	116
2.24.11.1 *	116
2.24.12 Partial function	117
2.24.13 Purity	117
2.24.13.1 *	117
2.24.14 Pure function	117
2.24.15 Sectioning	117
2.24.16 Surjection	117
2.24.16.1 *	118
2.24.17 Unsafe function	118
2.24.17.1 *	118
2.24.18 Variadic	118
2.24.19 Domain	118
2.24.20 Codomain	119
2.24.21 Open formula	119
2.24.22 Recursion	119
2.24.22.1 *	119
2.24.22.2 Base case	119
2.24.22.3 Tail recursion	119
2.24.22.4 Polymorphic recursion	119
2.24.22.4.1 *	119
2.24.23 Free variable	120
2.24.24 Closure	120
2.24.24.1 *	120
2.24.25 Parameter	121
2.24.25.1 *	121
2.24.26 Partial application	121
2.24.26.1 *	121
2.24.27 Infix	121
2.24.28 Fixity	121
2.24.28.1 *	122

2.24.29	Well-formed formula	122
2.24.29.1	*	122
2.25	Fundamental theorem of algebra	122
2.26	Homotopy	123
2.26.1	*	123
2.27	Idiom	123
2.27.1	*	123
2.28	Impredicative	123
2.29	IO	124
2.30	Kind	124
2.30.1	*	125
2.31	Lambda calculus	125
2.31.1	*	125
2.31.2	Lambda cube	126
2.31.2.1	*	126
2.31.3	Lambda function	126
2.31.3.1	*	127
2.31.3.2	Anonymous lambda function	127
2.31.3.2.1	*	127
2.31.4	β -reduction	127
2.31.4.1	*	127
2.31.4.2	β -normal form	127
2.31.4.2.1	*	127
2.31.5	Calculus of constructions	127
2.31.5.1	*	128
2.31.6	Curry–Howard correspondence	128
2.31.6.1	*	128
2.31.7	Currying	128
2.31.7.1	*	129
2.31.8	Hindley–Milner type system	129
2.31.8.1	*	129
2.31.9	Reduction	129
2.31.9.1	*	129
2.31.10	β - η normal form	129
2.31.10.1	*	129
2.31.11	η -abstraction	129
2.31.11.1	*	130
2.31.12	Lambda expression	130
2.32	Lense	130
2.33	Nothing	130

2.34	Operation	130
2.34.1	Constant	131
2.34.2	Binary operation	131
2.34.2.1	*	131
2.34.3	Operator	131
2.34.3.1	Shift operator	131
2.34.3.1.1	*	131
2.35	Permutation	131
2.36	Phrase	132
2.37	Point-free	132
2.37.1	*	132
2.37.2	Blackbird	132
2.37.2.1	*	133
2.37.3	Swing	133
2.37.4	Squish	133
2.38	Polymorphism	133
2.38.1	*	133
2.38.2	Levity polymorphism	133
2.38.3	Parametric polymorphism	133
2.38.3.1	Rank-1 polymorphism	134
2.38.3.1.1	*	134
2.38.3.2	Let-bound polymorphism	134
2.38.3.3	Constrained polymorphism	134
2.38.3.3.1	Ad hoc polymorphism	134
2.38.3.4	Impredicative polymorphism	135
2.38.3.4.1	*	135
2.38.3.5	Higher-rank polymorphism	135
2.38.3.5.1	*	136
2.38.4	Subtype polymorphism	136
2.38.5	Row polymorphism	136
2.38.6	Kind polymorphism	137
2.38.7	Linearity polymorphism	137
2.39	Pragma	138
2.39.1	LANGUAGE pragma	138
2.39.1.1	LANGUAGE option	138
2.39.1.1.1	*	138
2.39.1.1.2	Useful by default	138
2.39.1.1.3	AllowAmbiguousTypes	138
2.39.1.1.4	ApplicativeDo	138
2.39.1.1.5	ConstrainedClassMethods	139

2.39.1.1.6	CPP	140
2.39.1.1.7	DeriveFunctor	140
2.39.1.1.8	ExplicitForAll	140
2.39.1.1.9	FlexibleContexts	140
2.39.1.1.10	FlexibleInstances	140
2.39.1.1.11	GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving	141
2.39.1.1.12	ImplicitParams	141
2.39.1.1.13	LambdaCase	141
2.39.1.1.14	MultiParamTypeClasses	141
2.39.1.1.15	MultiWayIf	141
2.39.1.1.16	OverloadedStrings	142
2.39.1.1.17	PartialTypeSignatures	142
2.39.1.1.18	RankNTypes	142
2.39.1.1.19	ScopedTypeVariables	143
2.39.1.1.20	TupleSections	143
2.39.1.1.21	TypeApplications	143
2.39.1.1.22	TypeSynonymInstances	144
2.39.1.1.23	UndecidableInstances	144
2.39.1.1.24	ViewPatterns	144
2.39.1.1.25	DatatypeContexts	145
2.39.1.1.26	StandaloneKindSignatures	145
2.39.1.1.27	PartialTypeSignatures	146
2.39.1.2	How to make a GHC LANGUAGE extension	147
2.40	Predicative	147
2.41	Compositionality	147
2.41.1	*	148
2.42	Ψ -combinator	148
2.42.1	*	148
2.43	Quantifier	148
2.43.1	*	149
2.43.2	Forall quantifier	149
2.43.2.1	*	149
2.44	Referential transparency	149
2.44.1	*	149
2.45	Relation	149
2.45.1	*	150
2.46	REPL	150
2.47	Semantics	150
2.47.1	Operational semantics	150
2.47.2	Denotational semantics	150

2.47.3	Axiomatic semantics	151
2.48	Set	151
2.48.1	*	151
2.48.2	Closed set	151
2.48.3	Power set	151
2.48.4	Singleton	152
2.48.5	Russell's paradox	152
2.49	Shrinking	152
2.50	Spine	152
2.51	Superclass	153
2.52	Tensor	153
2.52.1	*	153
2.53	Testing	154
2.53.1	Property testing	154
2.53.1.1	Function property	154
2.53.1.2	Property testing type	154
2.53.1.3	Generator	154
2.53.1.3.1	*	155
2.53.1.3.2	Custom generator	155
2.53.1.4	Reusing test code	155
2.53.1.4.1	Test Commutative property	155
2.53.1.4.2	Test Symmetry property	156
2.53.1.4.3	Test Equivalence property	156
2.53.1.4.4	Test Inverse property	156
2.53.1.5	QuickCheck	156
2.53.1.5.1	Manual automation with QuickCheck properties	156
2.53.2	Write tests algorithm	157
2.54	Uncurry	157
2.55	Unit	158
2.56	Variable	158
2.56.1	*	158
2.57	Zero	158
2.58	Modular arithmetic	159
2.58.1	*	159
2.58.2	Modulus	159
2.58.2.1	*	159
2.59	Property	159
2.59.1	*	159
2.59.2	Associativity	159

2.59.2.1	*	160
2.59.3	Left associative	160
2.59.3.1	*	160
2.59.4	Right associative	161
2.59.5	Non-associative	161
2.59.6	Basis	161
2.59.7	Commutativity	161
2.59.7.1	*	161
2.59.8	Idempotence	161
2.59.8.1	*	162
2.59.9	Distributive property	162
2.59.9.1	*	162
2.60	Backpack	162
2.61	Nullary	163
2.62	Arbitrary	163
2.63	Logic	163
2.63.1	Proposition	163
2.63.1.1	*	163
2.63.1.2	Atomic proposition	163
2.63.1.2.1	*	163
2.63.1.3	Compound proposition	163
2.63.1.3.1	*	164
2.63.1.4	Propositional logic	164
2.63.1.4.1	*	164
2.63.1.4.2	First-order logic	164
2.63.2	Logical connective	165
2.63.2.1	*	165
2.63.2.2	Conjunction	165
2.63.2.3	Disjunction	165
2.63.3	Predicate	166
2.63.4	Statement	166
2.63.4.1	*	167
2.63.5	Iff	167
2.64	Haskell structures	167
2.64.1	Pattern match	167
2.64.1.1	As-pattern	168
2.64.1.1.1	*	168
2.64.1.2	Wild-card	168
2.64.1.2.1	*	168
2.64.1.3	Case	168

2.64.1.4	Guard	169
2.64.1.4.1	*	169
2.64.1.5	Pattern guard	169
2.64.1.5.1	*	170
2.64.1.6	Lazy pattern	170
2.64.1.6.1	*	170
2.64.1.7	Pattern binding	170
2.64.1.7.1	*	171
2.64.2	Smart constructor	171
2.64.3	Level of code	171
2.64.3.1	*	171
2.64.3.2	Type level	171
2.64.3.2.1	Type level declaration	171
2.64.3.2.2	Type check	171
2.64.3.3	Term level	172
2.64.3.4	Compile level	172
2.64.3.4.1	*	172
2.64.3.5	Runtime level	173
2.64.3.6	Kind level	173
2.64.3.6.1	Kind check	173
2.64.4	Orphan type instance	173
2.64.5	Undefined	174
2.64.6	Hierarchical module name	174
2.64.6.1	*	181
2.64.7	import	181
2.64.8	Let	182
2.64.8.1	*	182
2.64.9	Where	182
2.64.9.1	*	183
2.65	Computer science	183
2.65.1	Guerrilla patch	183
2.65.1.1	Monkey patch	183
2.65.2	Interface	183
2.65.3	Module	183
2.65.4	Scope	184
2.65.4.1	Dynamic scope	184
2.65.4.2	Lexical scope	184
2.65.4.2.1	*	184
2.65.4.3	Local scope	184
2.65.4.3.1	*	184

2.65.5	Shadowing	184
2.65.6	Syntatic sugar	184
2.65.7	System F	184
2.65.7.1	*	185
2.65.8	Tail call	185
2.65.9	Thunk	185
2.66	Ground expression	185
2.66.1	*	185
2.67	Content word	185
2.68	Graph theory	185
2.68.1	Successor	185
2.68.1.1	Direct successor	185
2.68.2	Predecessor	186
2.68.2.1	Direct predecessor	186
2.68.3	Degree	186
2.68.3.1	Indegree	186
2.68.3.2	Outdegree	186
2.68.4	Adjacency matrix	186
2.68.4.0.1	InstanceSigs	186
2.69	Reserved word	186
2.69.1	*	186
2.70	Type punning	187
2.71	Haskell Language Report	187
2.71.1	*	187
2.72	Haskell'	187
2.72.1	*	187
2.73	Linear	187
2.73.1	*	188
2.74	Refutable	188
2.75	Irrefutable	188
2.76	Strongly connected	188
2.76.1	*	188
2.76.2	Strongly connected component	188
2.76.2.1	*	189
2.77	Stream	189
2.78	Bisimulation	190
2.78.1	*	190
2.79	Syntax tree	190
2.79.1	Abstract syntax tree	190
2.79.1.1	*	190

2.79.2	Concrete syntax tree	190
2.79.2.1	*	191
2.80	Context-free grammar	191
2.80.1	*	191
2.81	Domain specific language	191
2.81.1	*	191
2.81.2	Embedded domain specific language	191
2.81.2.1	*	192
2.82	Turing machine	192
2.83	Turing complete	192
2.83.1	*	192
2.84	Tagless-final	192
3	Give definitions	193
3.1	Identity type	193
3.2	Constant type	193
3.3	Gen	193
3.4	Tensorial strength	193
3.5	Strong monad	193
3.6	Weak head normal form	193
3.6.1	*	193
3.7	Function image	193
3.7.1	*	193
3.8	Invertible	193
3.9	Invertibility	193
3.10	Define LANGUAGE pragma options	193
3.10.1	ExistentialQuantification	193
3.10.2	GADTs	193
3.10.3	*	194
3.10.4	GeneralizedNewTypeClasses	194
3.10.5	FuncitonalDependencies	194
3.11	GHC check keys	194
3.11.1	-Wno-partial-type-signatures	194
3.12	Generalised algebraic data types	194
3.12.1	*	194
3.13	Order theory	194
3.13.1	Domain theory	194
3.13.2	Lattice	195
3.13.3	Order	195
3.13.3.1	Preorder	195

3.13.3.1.1	*	195
3.13.3.1.2	Total preorder	195
3.13.3.2	Partial order	195
3.13.3.2.1	*	196
3.13.4	Partial order	196
3.13.5	Total order	196
3.14	Universal algebra	196
3.15	Relation	196
3.15.1	Reflexivity	196
3.15.1.1	*	196
3.15.2	Irreflexivity	197
3.15.2.1	*	197
3.15.3	Transitivity	197
3.15.3.1	*	197
3.15.4	Symmetry	197
3.15.4.1	*	197
3.15.5	Equivalence	197
3.15.5.1	*	198
3.15.6	Antisymmetry	198
3.15.6.1	*	198
3.15.7	Asymmetry	198
3.15.7.1	*	198
3.16	Cryptomorphism	199
3.16.1	*	199
3.17	Lexically scoped type variables	199
3.18	Abstract data type	199
3.18.1	*	199
3.19	ADT	199
3.20	Concrete type	200
3.21	Functional dependencies	200
3.22	MonoLocalBinds	200
3.23	KindSignatures	200
3.24	ExplicitNamespaces	200
3.25	Combinator pattern	200
3.26	Symbolic expression	200
3.26.1	*	200
3.27	Polynomial	201
3.27.1	*	201
3.28	Data family	201
3.29	Type synonym family	201

3.30	Indexed type family	201
3.30.1	*	202
3.31	TypeFamilies	202
3.32	Error	203
3.32.1	*	203
3.33	Exception	203
3.33.1	*	203
3.34	ConstraintKinds	203
3.35	Specialisation	204
3.35.1	*	204
3.36	Diagram	204
3.37	Category theoretical presheaf	204
3.38	Topological presheaf	205
3.39	Diagonal functor	205
3.40	Limit functor	205
3.41	Dual vector space	205
3.42	Fundamental group	205
3.43	Algebra of continuous function	206
3.44	Tangent and cotangent bundle	206
3.45	Group action / representation	206
3.46	Lie algebra	207
3.47	Tensor product	207
3.48	Forgetful functor	207
3.49	Free functor	207
3.50	Homomorphism group	208
3.51	Representable functor	208
3.52	Corecursion	208
3.53	Coinduction	208
3.54	Initial algebra of an endofunctor	208
3.55	Terminal coalgebra for an endofunctor	208
4	Citations	208
5	Good code	209
5.1	Good: Type aliasing	209
5.2	Good: Type wideness	209
5.3	Good: Print	209
5.4	Good: Fold	209
5.5	Good: Computation model	209
5.6	Good: Make bottoms only local	210

5.7	Good: Newtype wrap is ideally transparent for compiler and does not change performance	210
5.8	Good: Instances of types/type classes must go with code you write	210
5.9	Good: Functions can be abstracted as arguments	210
5.10	Good: Infix operators can be bind to arguments	210
5.11	Good: Arbitrary	210
5.12	Good: Principle of Separation of concerns	210
5.13	Good: Function composition	210
5.14	Good: Point-free	210
5.14.1	Good: Point-free is great in multi-dimentionions	211
5.15	Good: Functor application	211
5.16	Good: Parameter order	211
5.17	Good: Applicative monoid	211
5.18	Good: Creative process	212
5.18.1	Pick phylosophy principles one to three the more - the harder the implementation	212
5.18.2	Draw the most blurred representation	212
5.18.3	Deduce abstractions and write remotely what they are	212
5.18.4	Model of computation	212
5.18.4.1	Model the domain	212
5.18.4.2	Model the types	212
5.18.4.3	Think how to write computations	212
5.18.5	Create	212
5.19	«<Good: About operators (<\$) (**>) (<*) (>>) »> . .	212
5.20	Good: About functions like {mapM, sequence}__	212
5.21	Good: Guideliles	212
5.21.1	Wiki.haskell	212
5.21.1.1	Documentation	212
5.21.1.1.1	Comments write in application terms, not technical.	212
5.21.1.1.2	Tell what code needs to do not how it does.	212
5.21.1.2	Haddock	212
5.21.1.2.1	Put haddock comments to ever exposed data type and function. . . .	213
5.21.1.2.2	Haddock header	213
5.21.1.3	Code	213
5.21.1.3.1	Try to stay closer to portable (Haskell98) code	213

5.21.1.3.2	Try make lines no longer 80 chars .	213
5.21.1.3.3	Last char in file should be newline .	213
5.21.1.3.4	Symbolic infix identifiers is only li- brary writer right	213
5.21.1.3.5	Every function does one thing. . . .	213
5.22	Good: Use Typed holes to progress the code	213
5.23	Good: Haskell allows infinite terms but not infinite types . .	213
5.24	Good: Use type synonyms to differ the information	214
5.25	«<Good: Control.Monad.Error -> Control.Monad.Except»>	214
5.26	Good: Monad OR Applicative	214
5.26.0.1	Start writing monad using 'return', 'ap', 'liftM', 'liftM2', '»' instead of 'do', '»='	214
5.26.0.2	Basic case when Applicative can be used . .	214
5.26.0.3	Applicative block vs Monad block	215
5.27	Good: Haskell Package Versioning Policy	215
5.27.1	*	215
5.28	Good: Linear type	215
5.29	Good: Exception vs Error	215
5.30	Good: Let vs. Where	215
5.31	Good: RankNTypes	216
5.32	Good: Orphan type instance	216
5.33	Good: Smart constructor	216
5.34	Good: Thin category	216
5.35	Good: Recursion	216
5.36	Good: Monoid	217
5.37	Good: Free monad	217
5.38	Good: Use mostly where clauses	217
5.39	Good: Where clause is in a scope with function parameters .	217
5.40	Good: Strong preference towards pattern matching over {head, tail, etc.} functions	217
5.41	Good: Patternmatching is possible on monadic bind in do . .	218
5.42	Good: Applicative vs Monad	218
5.43	Good: StateT, ReaderT, WriterT	218
5.44	Good: Working with MonadTrans and lift	218
5.45	Good: Don't mix Where and Let	219
5.46	Good: Where vs. Let	219
5.47	Good: The proper nature algorithm that models behaviour of many objects is computation heavy	219
5.48	Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type	220

5.49	Good: Instance is a good structure to draw a type line	220
5.50	Good: MTL vs. Transformers	220
6	Bad code	221
6.1	Bad pragma	221
6.1.1	Bad: Dangerous LANGUAGE pragma option	221
7	Useful functions to remember	221
7.1	Prelude	221
7.1.1	Ord	222
7.1.2	Calc	222
7.1.3	List operations	222
7.2	Data.List	222
7.3	Data.Char	222
7.4	QuickCheck	222
8	Tools	223
8.1	ghc-pkg	223
8.2	Search over the Haskell packages code: Codesearch from Aelve	223
8.3	Integration of NixOS/Nix with Haskell IDE Engine (HIE) and Emacs (Spacemacs)	223
8.3.1	1. Install the Cachix: https://github.com/cachix/cachix	223
8.3.2	2. Installation of HIE: https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/#cached-builds	223
8.3.2.1	2.1. Provide cached builds	223
8.3.2.2	2.2.a. Installation on NixOS distribution: . .	223
8.3.2.3	2.2.b. Installation with Nix package manager: 224	
8.3.3	3. Emacs (Spacemacs) configuration:	224
8.3.4	4. Open the Haskell file from a project	225
8.3.5	5. Be pleased writing code	226
8.3.6	6. (optional) Debugging	226
8.4	Debugger	226
8.5	GHCID	227
9	Libs	229
9.1	Exceptions	229
9.1.1	Exceptions - optionally pure extensible exceptions that are compatible with the mtl	229

9.1.2	Safe-exceptions - safe, simple API equivalent to the underlying implementation in terms of power, encourages best practices minimizing the chances of getting the exception handling wrong.	229
9.1.3	Enclosed-exceptions - capture exceptions from the enclosed computation, while reacting to asynchronous exceptions aimed at the calling thread.	229
9.2	Memory management	229
9.2.1	membrain - type-safe memory units	229
9.3	Parsers - megaparsec	229
9.4	CLIs - optparse-applicative	229
9.5	HTML - Lucid	229
9.6	Web applications - Servant	229
9.7	IO libraries	229
9.7.1	Conduit - practical, monolithic, guarantees termination return	229
9.7.2	Pipes + Pipes Parse - modular, more primitive, theoretically driven	229
9.8	JSON - aeson	229
10	Drafts	229
10.1	Exception handling	229
10.2	Constraints	233
10.3	Monad transformers and their type classes	234
10.4	Layering monad transformers	234
10.5	Hoogle	235
10.5.1	Search	235
10.5.2	Scope	235
10.5.2.1	Default	235
10.5.2.2	Hierarchical module name system (from big letter):	235
10.5.2.3	Packages (lower case):	236
10.6	ST-Trick monad	236
10.6.1	*	236
10.7	Either	236
10.7.1	*	236
10.8	Inverse	236
10.9	Inversion	237
10.10	Inverse function	237
10.11	Inverse morphism	237

10.12	Partial inverse	238
10.13	PatternSynonyms	238
10.13.1	*	238
10.14	GHC debug keys	238
10.14.1	-ddump-ds	238
10.14.1.1	*	238
10.15	GHC optimize keys	239
10.15.1	-foptimal-applicative-do	239
10.16	Computational trinitarianism	239
10.16.1	*	240
10.17	Techniques functional programming deals with the state . . .	240
10.17.1	Minimizing	240
10.17.2	Concentrating	240
10.17.3	Deferring	241
10.18	Monadic Error handling	241
10.19	Functions	241
10.20	Void	241
10.21	Constructive proof	242
10.22	Intuitionistic logic	242
10.22.1	*	242
10.23	Principle of explosion	243
10.23.1	*	243
10.24	Universal property	243
10.25	Yoneda lemma	243
10.26	Monoidal category, functoriality of ADTs, Profunctors . . .	243
10.27	Const functor	245
10.28	Arrow in Haskell	245
10.29	Contravariant functor	245
10.30	Profunctor	246
11	Reference	247
11.1	Functor-Applicative-Monad Proposal	247
11.1.1	*	248
11.2	Haskell-98	248
11.2.1	Old instance termination rules	248
11.3	Performance results and comparisons of types & solutions . .	248
12	Liturgy	248

Contents

1 Introduction

“Employ your time in improving yourself by other men’s writings so that you shall come easily by what others have labored hard for.”
(Socrates by Plato)

Important notes on Haskell, category theory & related fields, terms and recommendations.

Resources:

- Web book: <https://blog.latukha.com/haskell-notes>
- GitHub: <https://github.com/Anton-Latukha/haskell-notes>
- GitLab: <https://gitlab.com/Anton.Latukha/haskell-notes>

This is a complex Org markup file with L^AT_EX formulas.
GitHub & GitLab only partially parse Org into HTML.

To get the full view:

- Outline navigation
- L^AT_EX formulas:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}, t) \right] \Psi(\vec{r}, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad \sum_{k,j} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} \left(\sqrt{a} a^{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \right) + V \right] \Psi + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = 0$$

- Interlinks: Interlinks

, please refer to Org mode capable viewer/editor, or to the web book.

Note about markup: <<<This is a radio target>>> - for dynamic org-mode linking.

To prettify radio targets in Emacs with Elisp snippet to prettify <<<Radio targets>>> to Radio targets:

```
;;; 2019-06-12: NOTE: Prettify '<<<Radio targets>>>' to be shown as '_Radio_targets_'
;;; This is improvement of the code from: Tobias&glmorous: https://emacs.stackexchan
;;; There exists library created from the sample: https://github.com/talwrii/org-hid
(defcustom org-hidden-links-additional-re "\\(<<<\\)[[:print:]]+?\\(>>>\\)"
  "Regular expression that matches strings where the invisible-property of the sub-ma
  :type '(choice (const :tag "Off" nil) regexp)
  :group 'org-link)
(make-variable-buffer-local 'org-hidden-links-additional-re)

(defun org-activate-hidden-links-additional (limit)
  "Put invisible-property org-link on strings matching `org-hide-links-additional-re'
  (if org-hidden-links-additional-re
      (re-search-forward org-hidden-links-additional-re limit t)
      (goto-char limit)
      nil))

(defun org-hidden-links-hook-function ()
  "Add rule for `org-activate-hidden-links-additional' to `org-font-lock-extra-keywor
  You can include this function in `org-font-lock-set-keywords-hook'."
  (add-to-list 'org-font-lock-extra-keywords
    '(org-activate-hidden-links-additional
      (1 '(face org-target invisible org-link))
      (2 '(face org-target invisible org-link)))))

(add-hook 'org-font-lock-set-keywords-hook #'org-hidden-links-hook-function)
```

SCHT: and metadata in :properties: - of my org-drill practices,
please just run org-drill-strip-all-data.

2 Definitions

2.1 Abstraction

abs away from, off (in absentia)
tractus draw, haul, drag

Purified generalization of process.

Forgetting the details (axiomatic semantics). Simplified approach. Out of sight - out of mind.

* creates a new semantic level in which one can be absolutely precise (operational semantics).

It is a great did to name an abstraction (denotational semantics).

2.1.1 *

Abstractions
Abstracting
Abstract

2.1.2 Leaky abstraction

Abstraction that leaks details that it is supposed to abstract away.

2.1.2.1 * Leaky abstractions

2.2 Algebra

al-jabr - assemble parts.
A system of algebra based on given axioms.

—

1. Abstract algebra - the study of number systems and operations within them.
2. Algebra - vector space over a field with a multiplication.
3. Algebra - a set with its algebraic structure.

2.2.1 *

Algebras

2.2.2 Algebraic

Composite from simple parts.

Also: Algebraic data type.

2.2.3 Algebraic structure

Algebraic structure on a set (called carrier set or underlying set) is a collection of finitary operations on that set.

The set with this structure is also called an algebra.

Algebraic structures include groups, rings, fields, and lattices. More complex structures can be defined by introducing multiple operations, different underlying sets, or by altering the defining axioms. Examples of more complex algebraic structures include vector spaces, modules, and algebras.

2.2.3.1 * Algebraic structures

2.3 Alpha equivalence

Equivalence of a processes in expressions. If expressions have according parameters different, but the internal processes are literally the same process.

Table 1: Algebraic structures					
	Closure	Associativity	Identity	Invertability	Commutativity
Semigroupoid		✓			
Small Category		✓	✓		
Groupoid		✓	✓	✓	
Magma	✓				
Quasigroup	✓			✓	
Loop	✓		✓	✓	
Semigroup	✓	✓			
Inverse Semigroup	✓	✓		✓	
Monoid	✓	✓	✓		
Group	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Abelian group	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ring	✓	✓	✓	✓	under +

2.4 Ambigram

ambi both

grámma written character

Object that from different points of view has the same meaning.

While this word has two contradictory diametrically opposite usages, one was chosen (more frequent).

But it has... Both.

TODO: For merit of differentiating the meaning about different meaning referring to Tensor as object with many meanings.

2.5 Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes

2.5.1 *

Greek prefix

Latin prefix

Table 2: Ancient Greek and Latin prefixes

Meaning	Greek prefix	Latin prefix
above, excess	hyper-	super-, ultra-
across, beyond, through	dia-	trans-
after		post-
again, back		re-
against	anti-	contra-, (in-, ob-)
all	pan	omni-
around	peri-	circum-
away or from	apo-, ap-	ab- (or de-)
bad, difficult, wrong	dys-	mal-
before	pro-	ante-, pre-
between, among		inter-
both	amphi-	ambi-
completely or very		de-, ob-
down		de-, ob-
four	tetra-	quad-
good	eu-	ben-, bene-
half, partially	hemi-	semi-
in, into	en-	il-, im-, in-, ir-
in front of	pro-	pro-
inside	endo-	intra-
large	macro-	(macro-, from Greek)
many	poly-	multi-
not*	a-, an-	de-, dis-, in-, ob-
on	epi-	
one	mono-	uni-
out of	ek-	ex-, e-
outside	ecto-, exo-	extra-, extro-
over	epi-	ob- (sometimes)
self	auto-, aut-, auth-	ego-
small	micro-	
three	tri-	tri-
through	dia-	trans-
to or toward	epi-	ad-, a-, ac-, as-
two	di-	bi-
under, insufficient	hypo-	sub-
with	sym-, syn-	co-, com-, con-
within, inside	endo-	intra-
without	a-, an-	dis- (sometimes)

2.6 Application memory

Table 3: Application memory structural parts

Storage of	Block name
All not currently processing data	Heap
Function call, local variables	Stack
Static and global variables	Static/Global
Instructions	Binary code

When even Main invoked - it work in Stack, and called Stack frame.
Stack frame size for function calculated when it is compiled.
When stacked Stack frames exceed the Stack size - stack overflow happens.

2.7 Argument

arguere to make clear, to shine

* - evidence, proof, statement that results in system consequences.

2.7.1 Argument of a function

A value binded to the function parameter. Value/topic that the fuction would process/deal with.

Also see Argument.

2.7.1.1 * Function argument

2.8 Binary

Two of something.

2.9 Bind

Establishing equality between two objects.

Most often:

- equating variable to a value.
- equating parameter of a function to an argument (variable/value/function).
This term often is equated to applying argument to a function, which includes β -reduction.

2.9.1 *

Binds

Binding

Bindings

2.10 Cartesian product

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \equiv \sum^{\forall} (a, b) \mid \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \forall b \in \mathcal{B}$$

.

Operation, returns a set of all ordered pairs

$$(a, b)$$

Any function, functor is a subset of Cartesian product.

$$\sum (elem \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})) = cardinality^{A \times B}$$

Properties:

- not associative
- not commutative

2.10.1 *

Cardinalities

2.10.2 Pullback

Subset of the cartesian product of two sets.

2.10.2.1 * Pullbacks

2.11 Category theory

Category

\mathcal{C}

consists of the basis:

Primitives:

1. Objects -

$a^{\mathcal{C}}$

. A node. Object of some type. Often sets, than it is Set category.

2. Arrows -

$(a, b)^{\mathcal{C}}$

(AKA morphisms mappings).

3. Arrow (morphism) composition - binary operation:

$$(a, b)^{\mathcal{C}} \circ (b, c)^{\mathcal{C}} \equiv (a, c)^{\mathcal{C}} \mid \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{C}$$

- . AKA principle of compositionality for arrows.

Properties (or axioms):

1. Associativity of morphisms:

$$h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f \mid f_{a \rightarrow b}, g_{b \rightarrow c}, h_{c \rightarrow d}$$

.

2. Every object has (two-sided) identity morphism (& in fact - exactly one):

$$1_x \circ f_{a \rightarrow x} \equiv f_{a \rightarrow x}, \quad g_{x \rightarrow b} \circ 1_x \equiv g_{x \rightarrow b} \mid \forall x \exists 1_x, \forall f_{a \rightarrow x}, \forall g_{x \rightarrow b}$$

.

3. Principle of compositionality.

From these axioms, can be proven that there is exactly one identity morphism for every object.

Object and morphism are complete abstractions for anything.
In majority of cases under object is a state and morphism is a change.

2.11.1 *

Category
Categories

2.11.2 Abelian category

Generalised category for homological algebra (having a possibility of basic constructions and techniques for it).

Category which:

- has a zero object,
- has all binary biproducts,
- has all kernel's and cokernels,
- (it has all pullbacks and pushouts)
- all monomorphism's and epimorphism's are normal.

Abelian category is very stable; for example they are regular and they satisfy the snake lemma.

The class of Abelian categories is closed under several categorical constructions.

There is notion of Abelian monoid (AKS Commutative monoid) and Abelian group (Commutative group).

Basic examples of $*$:

- category of Abelian groups
- category of modules over a ring.

$*$ are widely used in algebra, algebraic geometry, and topology.

$*$ has many constructions like in categories of modules:

- kernels
- exact sequences
- commutative diagrams

* has disadvantage over category of modules. Objects do not necessarily have elements that can be manipulated directly, so traditional definitions do not work. Methods must be supplied that allow definition and manipulation of objects without the use of elements.

2.11.2.1 * Abelian categories

2.11.3 Composition

Axiom of Category.

2.11.3.1 * Composable Compositions

2.11.4 Endofunctor category

From the name, in this Category:

- objects of End
are Endofunctors $E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$

- morphisms are natural transformations between endofunctors

2.11.5 Functor

* full translation (map) of one category into another.

Translating objects and morphisms (as input can take morphism or object).

* - forgetful - discards part of the structure.

* - faithful - fully preserves all morphisms - injective on Hom-sets.

* - full - translation of morphisms fully covers all the morphisms between according objects in the target category.

For Functor type class or fmap - see Power set functor.

Functor properties (axioms):

•

$$F^{C \rightarrow D}(a) \quad | \quad \forall a^C$$

- every source object is mapped to object in target category

•

$$\overline{(F^{C \rightarrow D}(a), F^{C \rightarrow D}(b))}^D \quad | \quad \forall \overline{(a, b)}^C$$

- every source morphism is mapped to target category morphism between corresponding objects

•

$$F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{g}^C \circ \overrightarrow{f}^C) = F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{g}^C) \circ F^{C \rightarrow D}(\overrightarrow{f}^C) \quad | \quad \forall y = \overrightarrow{f}^C(x), \forall \overrightarrow{g}^C(y)$$

- composition of morphisms translates directly (tautologically goes from other two)

These axioms guarantee that composition of functors can be fused into one functor with composition of morphisms. This process called fusion.

In Haskell this axioms have form:

```
fmap id = id
fmap (f . g) = fmap f . fmap g
```

Since $*$ is 1-1 mapping of initial objects - it is a memoizable dictionary with cardinality of initial objects. Also in Hask category functors are obviously endofunctors \therefore they are special kinds of containers for the parametric values (AKA product type). In Haskell product type $*$ are endofunctors from polymorphic type into a functor wrapper of a polymorphic type.

$*$ translates in one direction, and does not provide algorithm of reversing itself or retrieving the parametric value.

2.11.5.1 $*$ Functors

2.11.5.2 Power set functor

$$\mathcal{P}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$$

$*$ - functor from set

$$S$$

to its power set

$$\mathcal{P}(S)$$

.

Functor type class in Haskell defines a $*$ and allows to do function application inside type structure layers (denoted

$$f$$

or

$$m$$

). IO is also such structure.

Power set is unique to the set, $*$ is unique to the category (data type).

$*$ embodies in itself any endofunctor. It is easily seen from Haskell definition - that the $*$ is the polymorphic generalization over any endofunctor in a category. Application of a function to $*$ gives a particular endofunctor (see Hask category).

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Functor instance must be of kind (* -> *), so instance for higher-kinded data type must be applied until this kind.

Composed * can lift functions through any layers of structures that belong to Functor type class.

* can be used to filter-out error cases (Nothing & Left cases) in Maybe, Either and related types.

2.11.5.2.1 * fmap

Functor type class

2.11.5.2.2 Power set functor laws Type instance of functor should abide this laws:

1. *

Functor laws

2. Power set functor identity law

```
fmap id == id
```

3. Power set functor composition law

```
fmap (f.g) == fmap f . fmap g
```

In words, it is if several functions are composed and then fmap is applied on them - it should be the same as if functions was fmapped and then composed.

2.11.5.2.3 Lift

$\text{fmap} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f\ a \rightarrow f\ b)$

Functor takes function $a \rightarrow b$ and returns a function $f\ a \rightarrow f\ b$ this is called lifting a function.

Lift does a function application through the data structure.

1. *

Lifting

2.11.5.2.4 Power set functor is a free monad Since:

•

$$\forall e \in S : \exists \{e\} \in \mathcal{P}(S) \models \forall e \in S : \exists (e \rightarrow \{e\}) \equiv \text{unit}$$

•

$$\forall \mathcal{P}(S) : \mathcal{P}(S) \in \mathcal{P}(S) \models \forall \mathcal{P}(S) : \exists (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)) \equiv \text{join}$$

2.11.5.3 Functorial Corresponds to functor laws.

2.11.5.4 Forgetful functor Functor that forgets part or all of what defines structure in domain category.

$$F^{\mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}}$$

that translates groups into their underlying sets.

Constant functor is another example.

2.11.5.4.1 * Forgetful

2.11.5.5 Identity functor Maps all category to itself. All objects and morphisms to themselves.

Denotation:

$$1^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$$

2.11.5.6 Endofunctor Is a functor which source (domain) and target (codomain) are the same category.

$$F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}, E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$$

2.11.5.6.1 * Endofunctors

2.11.5.7 Applicative functor * - Computer science term. Category theory name - lax monoidal functor. And in category

Set

, and so in category

Hask

all applicatives and monads are strong (have tensorial strength).

* - sequences functorial computations (plain functors can't).

`(<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b`

Requires Functor to exist.

Requires Monoidal structure.

Has monoidal structure rules, separated from function application inside structure.

Data type can have several applicative implementations.

Standard definition:

```
class Functor f => Applicative f
  where
    (<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
    pure  :: a -> f a
```

`pure` - if a functor, identity Kleisli arrow, natural transformation.

Composition of `*` always produces `*`, contrary to monad (monads are not closed under composition).

`Control.Monad` has an old function `ap` that is old implementation of `<*>`:

```
ap :: Monad m => m (a -> b) -> m a -> m b
```

2.11.5.7.1 * Applicative

Applicatives

Applicative functors

2.11.5.7.2 Applicative law

2.11.5.7.3 * Applicative laws

1. Applicative identity law

```
pure id <*> v = v
```

2. Applicative composition law Function composition works regularly.

```
pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
```


3. Applicative homomorphism law Internal function application doesn't change the structure around values.

```
pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)
```

4. Applicative interchange law On condition that internal order of evaluation is preserved - order of operands is not relevant.

```
u <*> pure y = pure ($ y) <*> u
```

2.11.5.7.4 Applicative function

1. liftA*

- (a) liftA Essentially a fmap.

```
:type liftA
liftA :: Applicative f => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
Lifts function into applicative function.
```

- (b) liftA2 Lifts binary function across two Applicative functors.

```
liftA2 :: Applicative f => (a -> b -> c) -> f a -> f b -> f c
liftA2 f x y == pure f <*> x <*> y
```

- (c) «<liftA2 (<*>)»> liftA2 (<*>) is pretty useful. It can lift binary operation through the two layers:
It is two-layer Applicative.

```
liftA2 :: Applicative f => (a -> b -> c) -> f a -> f b -> f c
<*> :: Applicative f => (f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b)
liftA2 (<*>) :: (Applicative f1, Applicative f2) => f1 (f2 (a -> b)) -> f1 (f2 a) -> f1 (f2 b)
```

- (d) «<liftA2 (liftA2 (<*>))»> liftA2 (<*>) 3-layer version.

- (e) liftA3 liftA2 3-parameter version.

```
liftA3 f x y z == pure f <*> x <*> y <*> z
```

2. Conditional applicative computations

```
when :: Applicative f => Bool -> f () -> f ()
```

Only when True - perform an applicative computation.

```
unless :: Applicative f => Bool -> f () -> f ()
```

Only when False - perform an applicative computation.

2.11.5.7.5 Special applicatives

1. Identity applicative

```
-- Applicative f =>
-- f ~ Identity
type Id = Identity
instance Applicative Id
  where
    pure :: a -> Id a
    (<*>) :: Id (a -> b) -> Id a -> Id b
```

```
mkId = Identity
xs = [1, 2, 3]
```

```
const <$> mkId xs <*> mkId xs'
-- [1,2,3]
```

2. Constant applicative It holds only to one value. The function does not exist and last parameter is a phantom.

```
-- Applicative f =>
-- f ~ Constant e
type C = Constant
instance Applicative C
  where
    pure :: a -> C e a
    (<*>) :: C e (a -> b) -> C e a -> C e b
```

3. Maybe applicative "There also can be no function at all."

If function might not exist - embed `f` in Maybe structure, and use Maybe applicative.

```
-- f ~ Maybe
type M = Maybe
pure :: a -> M a
(<*>) :: M (a -> b) -> M a -> M b
```

4. Either applicative `pure` is `Right`.
Defaults to `Left`.
And if there is two `Left`'s - to Left of the first argument.
5. Validation applicative The Validation data type isomorphic to Either, but has accumulative Applicative on the Left side.
Validation data type is not a monad. Validation is an example of, "An applicative functor that is not a monad."
While Either monad on `Left` case just drops computation and returns this first `Left`.
Monad needs to process the result of computation - it requires to be able to process all Left error statement cases for Validation, it is or non-terminating Monad or one which is impossible to implement in polymorphic way with Validation.

2.11.5.7.6 Monad *monos* sole *monáda* unit

* - monoid in endofunctor category with

η

(unit) and

μ

(join) natural transformations.

Monad on

$$\mathcal{C}$$

is

$$\{E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}, \eta, \mu\}$$

:

-

$$E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}$$

- is an endofunctor

- two natural transformations,

$$1^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow E$$

and

$$E \circ E \rightarrow E$$

:

—

$$\eta^{1^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow E} = unit^{Identity \rightarrow E}(x) = f^{x \rightarrow E(x)}(x)$$

—

$$\mu^{(E \circ E) \rightarrow E} = join^{(E \circ E) \rightarrow (Identity \circ E)}(x) = |y = E(x)| = f^{E(y) \rightarrow y}(y)$$

where:

-

$$\mathcal{C}$$

is a category

- $1^{\mathcal{C}}$

denotes the

\mathcal{C}

identity functor

- $(E \circ E)$

- endofunctor

$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

Definition with

$\{E^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}}, \eta, \mu\}$

(in Hask: (

$\{e :: f\ a \rightarrow f\ b, \text{pure}, \text{join}\}$

)) - is classic categorical, in Haskell minimal complete definition is

$\{fmap, \text{pure}, (>>=)\}$

.

If there is a structure

S

, and a way of taking object

x

into

S

and a way of collapsing

$S \circ S$

- there probably a monad.

Mostly monads used for sequencing actions (computations) (that looks like imperative programming), with ability to depend on previous chains.

Note if monad is commutative - it does not order actions.

Monad can shorten/terminate sequence of computations. It is implemented inside Monad instance. For example Maybe monad on Nothing drops chain of computation and returns Nothing.

* inherits the Applicative instance methods:

```
import Control.Monad (ap)
return == pure
ap == (<*>) -- + Monad requirement
```

Mathematics	Meaning	Cat./Fctr. sign	Obj. sign: $X \in C$	
Id	endofunctor "Id"	$C \rightarrow C$	$X \rightarrow Id(X)$	
E	endofunctor "monad"	$C \rightarrow C$	$X \rightarrow E(X)$	m
η	natural transformation "unit"	$Id \rightarrow E$	$Id(X) \rightarrow E(X)$	a
μ	natural transformation "multiplication"	$E \circ E \rightarrow E$	$E(E(X)) \rightarrow E(X)$	$m (r)$

Monad in mathematics and Haskell

Internals of Monad are Haskell data types, and as such - they can be consumed any number of times.

Composition of monadic types does not always results in monadic type.

1. *

Monads
Monadic

2. Monad law Monad corresponds to functor laws & applicative laws and additionally:

(a) * Monad laws

(b) Monad left identity law

```
pure x >>= f == f x
```

Explanation:

```
>>= :: Monad f =>    f a  -> (a -> f b) -> f b
                      pure x >>=      f      == f x
```

Rule that `>>=` must get first argument structure internals and apply to the function that is the second argument.

(c) Monad right identity law

```
f >>= pure == f
```

Explanation:

```
>>= :: Monad f => f a  -> (a -> f b) -> f b
                      f    >>=      pure      == f
```

AKA it is a tacit description of a monad bind as endofunctor.

(d) Monad associativity law

```
(m >>= f) >>= g == m >>= (\ x -> f x >>= g)
```

3. Monad type class

```
class Applicative m => Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  (>>)  :: m a -> m b -> m b
  return :: a -> m a
```

(a) MonadPlus type class Is a monoid over monad, with additional rules.

The precise set of rules (properties) not agreed upon. Class instances obey *monoid* & *left zero* rules, some additionally obey *left catch* and others *left distribution*.

Overall there * currently reforms (MonadPlus reform proposal) in several smaller nad strictly defined type classes.

Subclass of an Alternative.

i. *

Monadplus

4. Functor -> Applicative -> Monad progression

```
<$> :: Functor    f =>    (a -> b)    -> f a -> f b
<*> :: Applicative f => f (a -> b)    -> f a -> f b
=<< :: Monad      f =>    (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b
```

pure & join are Natural transformations for the fmap.

5. Monad function

(a) Return function

```
return == pure
```

Nonstrict.

(b) Join function

```
join :: Monad m => m (m a) -> m a
```

Generales knowledge of concat.

Kleisli composition that flattens two layers of structure into one.

The way to express ordering in lambda calculus is to nest.

i. *

join

ii. join . fmap == (=«)

```
-- b = f b
fmap      :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f (f b)
join      :: Monad f =>                      f (f a) -> f a
join . fmap :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a          -> f b
flip      >>= :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a          -> f b
```

(c) Bind function


```
>>=      :: Monad f => f a -> (a -> f b) -> f b
join . fmap :: Monad f => (a -> f b) -> f a -> f b

Nonstrict.
```

The most ubiquitous way to `>>=` something is to use Lambda function:

```
getLine >>= \name -> putStrLn "age pls:"
```

Also very neat way is to bundle and handle Monad - is to bundle it with bind, and leave applied partially.

And use that partial bundle as a function - every evaluation of the function would trigger evaluation of internal Monad structure. Thumbs up.

```
printOneOf  Bool → IO ()
printOneOf False = putStr "1"
printOneOf  True  = putStr "2"
```

```
quant  (Bool → IO b) → IO b
quant = (>>=) (randomRIO (False, True))
```

```
recursePrintOneOf  Monad m  (t → m a) → t → m b
recursePrintOneOf f x = (f x) >> (recursePrintOneOf f x)
```

```
main  IO ()
main = recursePrintOneOf (quant) $ printOneOf
```

- i. *
- Monadic extend
- Monadic bind
- Monad bind
- Binder
- Binder function

- A. (`>>=`)
- B. `>>=`
- C. (`=«`)
- D. `=«`

- (d) Sequencing operator (`»`) == (`*>`): Discard any resulting value of the action and sequence next action.
Applicative has a similar operator.

```
(>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b
(*>) :: f a -> f b -> f b
```

- (e) Monadic versions of list functions

```
sequence :: (Traversable t, Monad m) => t (m a) -> m (t a)
```

Sequence gets the traversable of monadic computations and swaps it into monad computation of traverse. In the result the collection of monadic computations turns into one long monadic computation on traverse of data.

If some step of this long computation fails - monad fails.

```
mapM :: (Traversable t, Monad m) => (a -> m b) -> t a -> m (t b)
```

`mapM` gets the `AMB` function, then takes traversable data. Then applies `AMB` function to traversable data, and returns converted monadic traversable data.

```
foldM :: (Foldable t, Monad m) => (b -> a -> m b) -> b -> t a -> m b
foldl :: Foldable t           => (b -> a -> b) -> b -> t a -> b
```

`*` is a monadic `foldl`.

`b` is initial cumulative value, `m b` is a cumulative bank.
Right folding achieved by reversing the input list.

```
filterM :: Applicative m => (a -> m Bool) -> [a] -> m [a]
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

Take Boolean monadic computation, filter the list by it.

```
zipWithM :: Applicative m => (a -> b -> m c) -> [a] -> [b] -> m [c]
zipWith :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
```

Take monadic combine function and combine two lists with it.

```
msum :: (Foldable t, MonadPlus m) => t (m a) -> m a
sum   :: (Foldable t, Num a)       => t      a  ->    a
```

(f) liftM*

i. liftM Essentially a fmap.

```
liftM :: Monad m => (a -> b) -> m a -> m b
```

Lifts a function into monadic equivalent.

ii. liftM2 Monadic liftA2.

```
liftM2 :: Monad m => (a -> b -> c) -> m a -> m a -> m c
```

Lifts binary function into monadic equivalent.

6. Comonad Category

\mathcal{C}

comonad is a monad of opposite category

\mathcal{C}^{op}

.

7. Kleisli arrow Morphism that while doing computation also adds monadic-able structure.

$a \rightarrow m b$

(a) *

Kleisli arrows
Kleisli morphism
Kleisli morphisms

8. Kleisli composition Composition of Kleisli arrows.

```

(<=<) :: Monad m => (b -> m c) -> (a -> m b) -> a -> m c infixr 1
;; compare
(.)   ::           (b ->   c ) -> (a ->   b ) -> a ->   c

```

Often used left-to-right version:

```

(>=>) :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> (b -> m c) -> a -> m c
;; compare
(>>=) :: Monad m =>           m a   -> (a -> m b)           -> m b

```

Which allows to replace monadic bind chain with Kleisli composition.

```

f1 arg >>= f2 >>= f3
==
f1 >=> f2 >=> f3 $ arg
==
f3 <=< f2 <=< f1 $ arg

```

9. Kleisli category Category

\mathcal{C}

,

$E, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\mu}$

monad over

\mathcal{C}

.

Kleisli category

\mathcal{C}_T

of

\mathcal{C}

:

$$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}_T) = \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_T}(x, y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, E(y))$$

10. Special monad

- (a) Identity monad Wraps data in the Identity constructor.

Useful: Creates monads from monad transformers.

Bind: Applies internal value to the bound function.

Code:

```
newtype Identity a = Identity { runIdentity :: a }

-- coerce is a function that directly moves data between type aliases
instance Functor Identity where
    fmap      = coerce

instance Applicative Identity where
    pure      = Identity
    (<*>)     = coerce

instance Monad Identity where
    m >>= k   = k (runIdentity m)
```

Example:

```
-- derive the State monad using the StateT monad transformer
type State s a = StateT s Identity a
```

- (b) Maybe monad Something that may not be or not return a result.
Any lookups into the real world, database queries.

Bind: `Nothing` input gives `Nothing` output, `Just x` input uses `x` as input to the bound function.

When some computation results in `Nothing` - drops the chain of computations and returns `Nothing`.

Zero: `Nothing`

Plus: result in first occurrence of `Just` else `Nothing`.

Code:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

```
instance Monad Maybe where
    return      = Just
    fail        = Nothing
    Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
    (Just x) >>= f = f x
```

```
instance MonadPlus Maybe where
    mzero      = Nothing
    Nothing `mplus` x = x
    x `mplus` _      = x
```

Example:

Given 3 dictionaries:

- i. Full names to email addresses,
- ii. Nicknames to email addresses,
- iii. Email addresses to email preferences.

Create a function that finds a person's email preferences based on either a full name or a nickname.

```
data MailPref = HTML | Plain
data MailSystem = ...
```

```
getMailPrefs :: MailSystem -> String -> Maybe MailPref
getMailPrefs sys name =
```

```
do let nameDB = fullNameDB sys
    nickDB = nickNameDB sys
    prefDB = prefsDB sys
    addr <- (lookup name nameDB) `mplus` (lookup name nickDB)
    lookup addr prefDB
```

- (c) Either monad When computation results in `Left` - drops other computations & returns the recieved `Left`.
- (d) Error monad Someting that can fail, throw exceptions.

The failure process records the description of a failure. Bind function uses successful values as input to the bound function, and passes failure information on without executing the bound function.

Useful:

Composing functions that can fail. Handle exceptions, crate error handling structure.

Zero: empty error.

Plus: if first argument failed then execute second argument.

- (e) List monad Computations which may return 0 or more possible results.

Bind: The bound function is applied to all possible values in the input list and the resulting lists are concatenated into list of all possible results.

Useful: Building computations from sequences of non-deterministic operations.

Zero: `[]`

Plus: `(++)`

- i. `*`
 - `[] monad`

- (f) Reader monad Creates a read-only shared environment for computations.

The `pure` function ignores the environment, while `»=` passes the inherited environment to both subcomputations.

Today it is defined though ReaderT transformer:

```
type Reader r = ReaderT r Identity  -- equivalent to ((->) e), (e ->)
```

Old definition was:

```
newtype Reader e a = Reader { runReader :: (e -> a) }
```

For `(e ->)`:

- Functor is `(.)`

```
fmap :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
fmap = (.)
```

- Applicative:

– `pure` is `const`

```
pure :: a -> b -> a
pure x _ = x
```

- `(<*>)` is:

```
(<*>) :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
(<*>) f g = \a -> f a (g a)
```

- Monad:

```
(>>=) :: (a -> b) -> (b -> a -> c) -> a -> c
(>>=) m k = Reader $ \r ->
  runReader (k (runReader m r)) r
```



```

join :: (e -> e -> a) -> e -> a
join f x = f x x

runReader
  :: Reader r a -- the Reader to run
  -> r -- an initial environment
  -> a -- extracted final value

Usage:

data Env = ...

createEnv :: IO Env
createEnv = ...

f :: Reader Env a
f = do
  a <- g
  pure a

g :: Reader Env a
g = do
  env <- ask -- "Open the environment namespace into env"
  a <- h env -- give env to h
  pure a

h :: Env -> a
... -- use env and produce the result

main :: IO ()
main = do
  env <- createEnv
  a = runReader g env
  ...

```

In Haskell under normal circumstances impure functions should not directly call impure functions.

`h` is an impure function, and `createEnv` is impure function, so they should have intermediary.

- (g) Writer monad Computations which accumulate monoid data to a shared Haskell storage.
So `*` is parametrized by monoidal type.

Accumulator is maintained separately from the returned values.

Shared value modified through Writer monad methods.

`*` frees creator and code from manually keeping the track of accumulation.

Bind: The bound function is applied to the input value, bound function allowed to `<>` to the accumulator.

```
type Writer r = WriterT r Identity
```

Example:

```
f :: Monoid b => a -> (a, b)
f a = if _condition_
      then runWriter $ g a
      else runWriter do
          a1 <- h a
          pure a1

g :: Monoid b => Writer b a
g a = do
    tell _value1_ -- accumulator <> _value1_
    pure a -- observe that accumulator stored inside monad and only a main va

h :: Monoid b => Writer b a
h a = do
    tell _value2_ -- accumulator <> _value_
    pure a

runWriter :: Writer w a -> (a, w) -- Unwrap a writer computation as a (resu
                                   -- The inverse of writer.
```

`WriterT`, `Writer` unnecessarily keeps the entire logs in the memory. Use `fast-logger` for logging.

- (h) State monad Computations that pass-over a state.

The bound function is applied to the input value to produce a state transition function which is applied to the input state.

Pure functional language cannot update values in place because it violates referential transparency.

```
type State s = StateT s Identity
```

Binding copies and transforms the state parameter through the sequence of the bound functions so that the same state storage is never used twice. Overall this gives the illusion of in-place update to the programmer and in the code, while in fact the autogenerated transition functions handle the state changes.

Example type: `State st a`

`State` describes functions that consume a state and produce a tuple of result and an updated state.

Monad manages the state with the next process:

Where:

- f - processor making function
- pA, pAB, pB - state processors
- sN - states
- vN - values

Bind with a processor making function from state processor (pA) creates a new state processor (pAB).

The wrapping and unwrapping by `State/runState` is implicit.

11. Monad transformer $*$ is a practical solution to the current functional programming problem about composition of monads.

Monad is not closed under composition.

Composition of monadic types does not always results in monadic type.

Basic case: during implementation of monadic type composition, type `m T m a` arises, which does not allow to `unit`, `join` the `m` monadic layers.

* have desirable properies and can add them to monads. * user their implementation to solve the composition type layering and allow to attach desirable property to result.

* solve monad composition and type layering by cheating, using own structure and information about itself. It is often that process involves a catamorphism of a * type layer.

In type signatures of transformers `*T m - m` is already an extended monad, so `*T` is just a wrapper to point that out.

Transformers have a light wrapper around the data that tags the modification with this transformer.

Main monadic structure `m` is wrapped around the internal data (core is `a`). The structure that corresponds to the transformer creation properties (if it emitted by

η

of a transformer), goes into `m` . Open parameters go external to the `m`.

```
newtype ExceptT e m a =
  ExceptT { runExceptT :: m (Either e a) }
```

```
newtype MaybeT m a =
  MaybeT { runMaybeT :: m (Maybe a) }
```

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =
  ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

This has an effect that on stacking monad transformers, `m` becomes monad stack, and every next transformer injects the transformer creation-specific properies

η

inside the stack, so out-most transformer has inner-most structure.
Base monad is structurally the outermost.

- (a) `MaybeT *` extends monads by injecting `Maybe` layer underneath monad, and processing that structure:

```
newtype MaybeT m a = MaybeT { runMaybeT :: m (Maybe a) }
```

- (b) `EitherT *` extends monads by injecting `Either` layer underneath monad, and processing that structure:

```
newtype EitherT e m a = EitherT { runEitherT   m (Either e a) }
```

`EitherT` of `either` package gets replaced by `ExceptT` of `transformers` or `mtl` packages.

- i. `* ExceptT`

- (c) `ReaderT` Definition:

```
newtype ReaderT r m a = ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

`* functions:` input monad `m a`, out: `m a` wrapped it in a free-variable `r` (partially applied function).

That allows to use transformed `m a`, now it requires and can use the `r` passed environment.

To create a `Reader` monad:

```
type Reader r = ReaderT r Identity
```

- (d) `MonadTrans` type class Allows to lift monadic actions into a larger context in a neutral way.

`pure` takes a parametric type and embodies it into constructed structure (talking of monad transformers - structure of the stacked monads).

`lift` takes `monad` and extends it with a transformer.

In fact, for monad transformers - `lift` is a last stage of the `pure`, it follows from the lift law.

Method:

```
lift :: Monad m => m a -> t m a
```

Lift a computation from the argument monad to the constructed monad.

Neutral means:

```
lift . return = return
```

```
lift (m >=> f) = lift m >=> (lift . f)
```

The general pattern with `MonadTrans` instances is that it is usually lifts the injection of the known structure of transformer over some `Monad`.

`lift` embeds one monadic action into monad transformer.

The difference between `pure`, `lift` and `MaybeT` constructor becomes clearer if you look at the types:

Example, for `MaybeT IO a`:

```
pure      ::      a -> MaybeT IO a
lift      ::    IO a -> MaybeT IO a
MaybeT   :: IO (Maybe a) -> MaybeT IO a
```

```
x = (undefined :: IO a)
```

```
:t (pure x)
(pure x) :: Applicative t => t (IO a)  -- t recieves one argument of product
:t (pure x :: MaybeT IO a)
-- Expected type: MaybeT IO a1
```

```

-- Actual type: MaybeT IO (IO a0)

-- While the real type would be
:t (pure x :: MaybeT IO (IO a))
(pure x :: MaybeT IO (IO a)) :: MaybeT IO (IO a) -- This goes into a conflict

:t (lift x)
(lift x) :: MonadTrans t => t IO a -- result is a proper expected product t

-- To belabour
:t (lift x :: MaybeT IO a)
(lift x) :: MonadTrans t => t IO a -- result is a proper expected product t

lift is a natural transformation

```

η

from an Identity monad (functor) with other monad as content
into transformer monad (functor), with the preservation of the
contained monad:

```

-- Abstract monads with content as parameters. Define '~>' as a family of monads
type f ~> g = forall x. f x -> g x
-- follows
lift :: m ~> t m

```

- i. MonadIO type class `*` - allows to lift IO action until reaching
the IO monad layer at the top of the Monad stack (which is
always in the Haskell code that does IO).

```

class (Monad m) => MonadIO m where
    liftIO :: IO a -> m a
liftIO laws:

```

```

liftIO . pure = pure

```

```

liftIO (m >=> f) = liftIO m >=> (liftIO . f)

```

Which is identical laws to MonadTrans lift.

Since `lift` is one step, and `liftIO` all steps - all steps defined in terms of one step and all other steps, so the most frequent implementation is self-recursive `lift . liftIO`:

```
liftIO ioa = lift $ liftIO ioa
A. *
    liftIO
```

2.11.5.7.7 Alternative type class Monoid over applicative. Has left catch property.

Allows to run simoltaneously several instances of a computation (or computations) and from them yeld one result by law from `(<|>) :: Type -> Type -> Type`.

Minimal complete definition:

```
empty :: f a    -- The identity element of <|>
(<|>) :: f a -> f a -> f a    -- Associative binary operation
```

1. *

Alternative

2.11.5.7.8 «<=*>=»> Do calculation, but ignore the value from the first argument.

`* > ≡ >>`

2.11.5.8 Monoidal functor Functors between monoidal categories that preserves monoidal structure.

2.11.5.9 Fusion

`fmap f . fmap g = fmap (f . g)`

`*` - functor axiom that allows to greatly simplify computations.

2.11.5.10 `«<=$>=»>` Get & set a value inside Functor.

2.11.5.11 Multifunctor Generalizes the concept of functor between categories, canonical morphisms between multicategories.

Works over N type arguments instead of one.

To put simply `-` accepts multiple arguments, from that information constructs source product category (Cartesian product) of categories, and is a functor from product category to target category.

To put even simpler `-` functor that takes as an argument the product of types.

In Haskell there is only one category, `Hask`, so in Haskell `*` is still

$$(Hask \times Hask) \rightarrow Hask \Rightarrow |(Hask \times Hask) \equiv Hask| \Rightarrow Hask \rightarrow Hask$$

endofunctor.

Any product or sum in a Cartesian category is a `*`.

Code definition:

```
class Bifunctor f
  where
    bimap :: (a -> a') -> (b -> b') -> f a a' -> f a' a'
    bimap f g = first f . second g
    first :: (a -> a') -> f a b -> f a' b
    first f = bimap f id
    second :: (b -> b') -> f a b -> f a b'
    second = bimap id
```

2.11.5.11.1 * Bifunctor

2.11.5.12 * «<=<\$=»>

2.11.6 Hask category

Category of Haskell where objects are types and morphisms are functions.

It is a hypothetical category at the moment, since undefined and bottom values break the theory, is not Cartesian closed, it does not have sums, products, or initial object, `()` is not a terminal object, monad identities fail for almost all instances of the `Monad` class.

That is why Haskell developers think in subset of Haskell where types do not have bottom values. This only includes functions that terminate, and typically only finite values. The corresponding category has the expected initial and terminal objects, sums and products, and instances of `Functor` and `Monad` really are endofunctors and monads.

Hask contains subcategories, like `Lst` containing only list types.

Haskell and Category concepts:

- Things that take a type and return another type are type constructors.
- Things that take a function and return another function are higher-order functions.

2.11.6.1 * Hask

2.11.7 Magma

Set with a binary operation which form a closure.

2.11.7.1 Mag category The category of magmas, denoted

Mag

, has as objects - sets with a binary operation, and morphisms given by homomorphisms of operations (in the universal algebra sense).

2.11.7.1.1 * MAG

Magma category

Category of magmas

2.11.7.2 Semigroup Magma with associative property of operation.

Defined in Haskell as:

```
class Semigroup a where
  (<>) :: a -> a -> a
```

2.11.7.2.1 * Semigroups

2.11.7.2.2 Monoid Semigroup with identity element. Category with a one object.

Ideally fits as an accumulation class.

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  mappend = (<>)
  mconcat :: [m] -> m
  mconcat = foldr mappend mempty
```

* can be simplified to category with a single object, remember that monoid operation is a composition of morphisms operation in category.

For example to represent the whole non-negative integers with the one object and morphism ”

1

” is absolutely enough, composition operation is ”

+

”.

```
import Data.Monoid
do
  show (mempty :: Num a => Sum a)
  -- "Sum {getSum = 0}"
  show $ Sum 1
  -- "Sum {getSum = 1}"
  show $ (Sum 1) <> (Sum 1) <> (Sum 1)
  -- "Sum {getSum = 3}"
  -- ...
```

Also backwards - any single-object category is a monoid. Category has an identity requirement and associativity of composition requirement, which makes it a free monoid.

1. *

Monoidal
Monoids

2. Monoid laws

(a) Monoid left identity law

`mempty <> x = x`

(b) Monoid right identity law

`x <> mempty = x`

(c) Monoid associativity law

`x <> mempty = x (y <> z) = (x <> y) <> z`
`mconcat = foldr (mempty <>)`

Everything associative can be **mappend**.

3. Commutative monoid Commutativity property:

$$x \circ y = y \circ x$$

Opens a big abilities in concurrent and distributed processing.

(a) *
Abelian monoid

4. Group Monoid that has inverse for every element.

(a) *
Groups

(b) Commutative group Group operation obeys the axiom of commutativity.

i. *
Abelian group

ii. Ring Commutative group under $+$ & monoid under \times , $+$ \times connected by distributive property.

- and \times are generalized binary operations of addition and multiplication. \times has no requirement for commutativity.

Example: set of same size square matrices of numbers with matrix operations form a ring.

A. *
Rings

2.11.8 Morphism

morphe form

Arrow between two objects in a category.

General description: Arrow from source to target. Denotes something.

On a level of objects: is probably structure-preserving map from one mathematical structure to another of the same type.

Morphism is a generalization (

$$f(x * y) \equiv f(x) \diamond f(y)$$

) of homomorphism (

$$f(x * y) \equiv f(x) * f(y)$$

).

Under morphism almost always is the meaning of homomorphism-like properties.

Morphism can be anything.

If morphism corresponds to function requirements - then it is a function.

2.11.8.1 * Morphisms

Arrow

Arrows

2.11.8.2 Homomorphism *homos* same (was chosen because of initial English mistranslation to "similar")

morphe form

similar form

* map between two algebraic structures of the same type, operation-preserving.

$$f_{x \rightarrow y} = f(a \star b) = f(a) \diamond f(b)$$

,
where

$$x, y$$

are sets with additional algebraic structure that includes

$$\star, \diamond$$

accordingly;

$$a, b$$

are elements of set

$$x$$

.

\star sends identity morphisms to identity morphisms and inverses to inverses.

The concept of \star has been generalized under the name of morphism to many structures that either do not have an underlying set, or are not algebraic.

2.11.8.2.1 \star Homomorphic

2.11.8.3 Identity morphism Identity morphism - or simply identity:

$$x \in C : id_x = 1_x : x \rightarrow x$$

Composed with other morphism gives same morphism.

Corresponds to Reflexivity and Automorphism.

2.11.8.3.1 Identity Identity only possible with morphism. See Identity morphism.

There is also distinct Zero value.

1. Two-sided identity of a predicate

$$P(e, a) = P(a, e) = a \mid \exists e \in S, \forall a \in S$$

$$P()$$

is commutative.

Predicate

2. Left identity of a predicate

$$\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S : P(e, a) = a$$

Predicate

3. Right identity of a predicate

$$P(a, e) = a \mid \exists e \in S, \forall a \in S$$

Predicate

2.11.8.3.2 Identity function Return itself.

(\ x.x)

`id :: a -> a`

2.11.8.4 Monomorphism *mono* only
morphe form

Maps one to one (uniquely), so invertable (always has inverse morphism), so preserves the information/structure.

Domain can be equal or less to the codomain.

$$f^{X \rightarrow Y}, \forall x \in X \exists! y = f(x) \models f(x) \equiv f_{mono}(x)$$

- from homomorphism context

$$f_{mono} \circ g1 = f_{mono} \circ g2 \models g1 \equiv g2$$

- from general morphism context

Thus $*$ is left cancelable.

If $*$ is a function - it is injective. Initial set of f is fully uniquely mapped onto the image of f .

2.11.8.4.1 $*$ Monomorphic

Monomorphisms

2.11.8.5 Epimorphism epi on, over *morphe* form

$*$ is right cancelable morphism.

$$f^{X \rightarrow Y}, \forall y \in Y \exists f(x) \models f(x) \equiv f_{epi}(x)$$

- from homomorphism context

$$g1 \circ f_{epi} = g2 \circ f_{epi} \Rightarrow g1 \equiv g2$$

- from general morphism context

In Set category if $*$ is a function - it is surjective (image of it fully uses codomain)

Codomain is called a projection of the domain.

$*$ fully maps into the target.

2.11.8.5.1 * Epimorphic
Epimorphisms

2.11.8.6 Isomorphism *isos* equal
morphe form

Not equal, but equal for current intents and purposes.
Morphism that has inverse.

Almost equal, but not quite: (`Integer`, `Bool`) & (`Bool`, `Integer`) - but
can be transformed losslessly into one another.

Bijjective homomorphism is also isomorphism.

$$f^{-1,b \rightarrow a} \circ f^{a \rightarrow b} \equiv 1^a, f^{a \rightarrow b} \circ f^{-1,b \rightarrow a} \equiv 1^b$$

2 reasons for non-isomorphism:

- function at least ones collapses a values of domain into one value in codomain
- image (of a function in codomain) does not fill-in codomain. Then isomorphism can exists for image but not whole codomain.

Categories are isomorphic if there

$$R \circ L = ID$$

2.11.8.6.1 * Isomorphic
Isomorphisms

2.11.8.6.2 Lax Holds up to isomorphism.
(upon the transformation can be used as the same)

2.11.8.7 Endomorphism *endo* internal
morphe form

Arrow from object to itself.
Endomorphism forms a monoid (object exists and category requirements already in place).

2.11.8.7.1 Automorphism *αυτο* *auto* self
form form

Isomorphic endomorphism.

Corresponds to identity, reflexivity, permutation.

1. *

Automorphic
Automorphisms

2.11.8.7.2 * Endomorphic
Endomorphisms

2.11.8.8 Catamorphism *kata* downward
morphe form

Unique arrow from an initial algebra structure into different algebra structure.

* in FP is a generalization folding, deconstruction of a data structure into more primitive data structure using a functor F-algebra structure.

- * reduces the structure to a lower level structure.
- * creates a projection of a structure to a lower level structure.

2.11.8.8.1 * Catamorphic Catamorphisms

Table 4: Catamorphism laws in Haskell	
Rule name	Haskell
cata-cancel	<code>cata phi . InF = phi . fmap (cata phi)</code>
cata-refl	<code>cata InF = id</code>
cata-fusion	<code>f . phi = phi . fmap f => f . cata phi = cata phi</code>
cata-compose	<code>eps :: f -> g => cata phi . cata (In . eps) = cata (phi . eps)</code>

2.11.8.8.2 Catamorphism law

1. Hylomorphism catamorphism

o

anamorphism

Expanding and collapsing the structure.

- (a) *
- Hylomorphic
 - Hylomorphisms

2.11.8.8.3 Anamorphism Generalizes unfold.

Dual concept to catamorphism.

Increases the structure.

Morphism from a coalgebra to the final coalgebra for that endofunctor.

Is a function that generates a sequence by repeated application of the function to its previous result.

1. *

Anamorphic

Anamorphisms

2.11.8.9 Kernel Kernel of a homomorphism is a number that measures the degree homomorphism fails to meet injectivity (AKA be monomorphic). It is a number of domain elements that fail injectivity:

- elements not included into morphism
- elements that collapse into one element in codomain

thou Kernel

$$[x|x \leftarrow 0 || x \geq 2]$$

.

Denotation:

$$\ker T = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W\}$$

.

2.11.8.9.1 Kernel homomorphism Morphism of elements from the kernel.

Complementary morphism of elements that make main morphism not monomorphic.

2.11.9 Object

Absolute abstraction.

Point.

Can have properties.

Often abstracts mathematical structure.

2.11.9.1 * Structure

Structures

Objects

2.11.9.2 Terminal object One that receives unique arrow from every object.

$$\exists ! : x \rightarrow 1 \mid \exists 1 \in \mathcal{C}, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$$

* is an empty sequence () in Haskell.

Called a *unit*, so receives *terminal* or *unit* arrow.

Dual of initial object.

Denotation:

Category theory

1

Haskell

()

2.11.9.3 Initial object One that emits unique arrow into every object.

$$\exists !: \emptyset \rightarrow x \mid \exists \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$$

If initial object is `Void` (most frequently) - emitted arrows called absurd, because they can not be called.

Dual of terminal object.

Denotation:

Category theory:

$$\emptyset$$

Haskell:

`Void`

2.11.10 Set category

Category in which objects are sets, morphisms are functions.

Denotation:

$$\mathit{Set}$$

2.11.11 Natural transformation

Roughly `*` is:

```
trans :: F a -> G a
```


, while \mathbf{a} is polymorphic variable.

Naturality condition:

$$\forall a \exists (F a \rightarrow G a)$$

, or , analogous to parametric polymorphism in functions. Since $*$ in a category, stating

$$\forall (F a \rightarrow G a)$$

Naturality condition means that all morphisms that take part in homotopy of source functor to target functor must exist, and that is the same, diagrams that take part in transformation, should commute, and different paths brings same result: if

$$\alpha$$

- natural transformation,

$$\alpha_a$$

natural transformation component -

$$G f \circ \alpha_a = \alpha_b \circ F f$$

.
Since $*$ are just a type of parametric polymorphic function - they can compose.

$$* ($$

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}}$$

) is transforming :

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}} \circ F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}} = G^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$$

.
 $*$ abstraction creates higher-language of Category theory, allowing to talk about the composition and transformation of complex entities.

It is a process of transforming

$$F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$$

into

$$G^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$$

using existing morphisms in target category

$$\mathcal{D}$$

.

Since it uses morphisms - it is structure-preserving transformation of one functor into another. It's mostly a lossy transformation. Only existing morphisms can make it exist.

Existence of $*$ between two functors can be seen as some relation.

Can be observed to be a "morphism of functors", especially in functor category.

$*$ by

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}_{yc}^{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{(x,y)}^{\mathcal{C}}) \circ F^{C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{(x,y)}^{\mathcal{C}}) = G^{C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{(x,y)}^{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \overrightarrow{\eta}_{xc}^{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{(x,y)}^{\mathcal{C}})$$

, often written short

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}_b \circ F(\overrightarrow{f}) = G(\overrightarrow{f}) \circ \overrightarrow{\eta}_a$$

.

Notice that the

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}_{xc}^{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{(x,y)}^{\mathcal{C}})$$

depends on objects&morphisms of

$$\mathcal{C}$$

.

In words: $*$ depends on

$$F$$

and

$$G$$

functors, ability of

$$D$$

morphisms to do a homotopy of

$$F$$

to

G

, and $*$:

- for every object in

\mathcal{C}

picks natural transformation component in

\mathcal{D}

.

- for every morphism in

\mathcal{C}

picks the commuting diagram in


\mathcal{D}

, called naturality square.


Also see: Natural transformation in Haskell

Knowledge of $*$ forms a 2-category.

Can be composed "vertically":



And horizontally, aka "Godement product":



Compositions can be done in any right order, they abide the exchange law.

2.11.11.1 * Natural transformations

Naturality condition

Naturality

2.11.11.2 Natural transformation component

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = F^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \rightarrow G^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}$$

2.11.11.2.1 * Component of natural transformation

2.11.11.3 Natural transformation in Haskell Family of parametric polymorphism functions between endofunctors.

In Hask is


$$F\ a \rightarrow G\ a$$

. Can be analogued to repackaging data into another container, never modifies the object content, it only if - can delete it, because operation is lossy.

Can be sees as ortogonal to functors.

2.11.11.4 Cat category Category where:

	Part	Is	#
*	object	category	0-cell
\Rightarrow	morphism	functor	1-cell
\Rightarrow	2-morphism	natural transformation, morphisms homotopy	2-cell



Is Cartesian closed category.

2.11.11.4.1 * Cat 2-category

2.11.11.4.2 Bicategory 2-category that is enriched and lax.

For handling relaxed associativity - introduces associator, and for identity 1 -left/right unitor.

Forming from bicategories higher categories by stacking levels of abstraction of such categories - leads to explosion of special cases, differences of every level, and so overall difficulties.

Stacking groupoids (category in which are morphisms are invertable) is much more homogenous up to infinity, and forms base of the homotopy type theory.

2.11.12 Category dual

Category duality behaves like a logical inverse.

Inverse

\mathcal{C}

=

$$\mathcal{C}^{op}$$

- inverts the direction of morphisms.

Composition accordingly changes to the morphisms:

$$(g \circ f)^{op} = f^{op} \circ g^{op}$$

Any statement in the terms of

$$\mathcal{C}$$

in

$$\mathcal{C}^{op}$$

has the dual - the logical inverse that is true in

$$\mathcal{C}^{op}$$

terms.

Opposite preserves properties:

- products:

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})^{op} \cong \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}^{op}$$

- functors:

$$(F^{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}})^{op} \cong F^{\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{op}}$$

- slices:

$$(\mathcal{F} \downarrow \mathcal{G})^{op} \cong (\mathcal{G}^{op} \downarrow \mathcal{F}^{op})$$

1. *

Opposite category
Opposite categories
Category duality
Duality
Dual category
Dual

2.11.13 Thin category

\forall Hom sets contain zero or one morphism.

$$f \equiv g \mid \forall x, y \forall f, g : x \rightarrow y$$

A proset (preordered set).

2.11.13.1 * Proset category

Prosetal category
Poset category
Posetal category

2.11.14 Commuting diagram

Establishes equality in morphisms that have same source and target.

Draws the morphisms that are:

$$f = g \Rightarrow \{f, g\} : X \rightarrow Y$$

2.11.14.1 * Diagram commutes

Commutes

2.11.15 Universal construction

Algorithm of constructing definitions in Category theory.

Specially good to translate properties/definitions from other theories (Set theory) to Categories.

Method:

1. Define a pattern that you defining.
2. Establish ranking for pattern matches.
3. The top of ranking, the best match or set of matches - is the thing you was looking for. Matches are isomorphic for defined rules.

* uses Yoneda lemma, and as such constructions are defined until isomorphism, and so isomorphic between each-other.

2.11.15.1 * Universal constructions

2.11.16 Product

Universal construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & c' & & \\
 & p \swarrow & \downarrow ! & \searrow q & \\
 a & \xleftarrow{\pi_a} & c & \xrightarrow{\pi_b} & b
 \end{array}$$

Pattern:

$$p : c \rightarrow a, \quad q : c \rightarrow b$$

Ranking:

$$\max \sum^{\forall} (! : c' \rightarrow c \mid p! = p \circ !, \quad q! = q \circ !)$$

$$c'$$

is another candidate.

For sets - Cartesian product.

* is a pair. Corresponds to product data type in Hask (inhabited with all elements of the Cartesian product).

Dual is Coproduct.

2.11.16.1 * Products

2.11.17 Coproduct

Universal construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & c' & & \\
 & p \nearrow & \uparrow ! & \nwarrow q & \\
 a & \xrightarrow{\iota_a} & c & \xleftarrow{\iota_b} & b
 \end{array}$$

Pattern:

$$i : a \rightarrow c, j : b \rightarrow c$$

Ranking:

$$\max \sum^{\forall} (! : c \rightarrow c' \mid i' = ! \circ i, j' = ! \circ j)$$

$$c'$$

is another candidate.

For sets - Disjoint union.

* is a set assembled from other two sets, in Haskell it is a tagged set (analogous to disjoint union).

Dual is Product.

2.11.17.1 * Coproducts

2.11.18 Free object

General particular structure.

In which structure, properties autofollows from definition, axioms.

Also uses as a term when surcomstances of structures, rules, properties, axioms used coincide with the definition of a particular object \therefore form object of this type with the according properties and possibilities.

2.11.19 Internal category

Category which is included into a bigger category.

2.11.20 Hom set

All morphisms from source object to target object.

Denotation:

$$hom_C(X, Y) = (\forall f : X \rightarrow Y) = hom(X, Y) = C(X, Y)$$

Denotation was not standartized.

Hom sets belong to Set category.

In Set category:

$$\exists!(a, b) \iff \exists! Hom$$

,

$$\forall Hom \in Set$$

. Set category is special, Hom sets are also objects of it.

Category can include Set, and hom sets, or not.

2.11.20.1 * Hom-set

Hom sets

2.11.20.2 Hom-functor

$$hom : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$$

Functor from the product of

$$\mathcal{C}$$

with its opposite category to the category of sets.

Denotation variants:

$$H_A = \text{Hom}(-, A)$$

$$h_A = \mathcal{C}(-, A)$$

$$Hom(A, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$$

Hom-bifunctor:

$$Hom(-, -) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Set$$

2.11.20.3 Exponential object Generalises the notion of function set to internal object.

As also hom set to internal hom objects.

Cartesian closed (monoidal) category strictly required, as * multiplication holds composition requirement:

$$\circ : hom(y, z) \otimes hom(x, y) \rightarrow hom(x, z)$$

Denotation:

$$b^a$$

Universal construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & c \times a & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \searrow \\ u & u \times 1^a & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ b^a & b^a \times a \xrightarrow{eval} b & \end{array}, \text{ where in Category:}$$

$$b^a$$

- exponential object, \times - product bifunctor,

$$a$$

- argument of $*$,

$$b$$

- result,

$$c$$

- candidate,

$$b^a \equiv (a \Rightarrow b)$$

- $*$.

$$*$$

$$b^a$$

(also as

$$(a \Rightarrow b)$$

) represent exponentiation of cardinality of

$$\forall b^a$$

possibilities.

2.11.20.3.1 * Function object

Internal hom

Exponential objects

Hom object

Hom objects

2.11.20.3.2 Enriched category Uses Hom objects (exponential objects), which do not belong into Set category.

Category is no longer small, now may be called large.

$$\text{hom}(x, y) \in K$$

.

Called: * over K (which holds hom objects).

1. * Enriched
Large category

2.12 Coalgebra

Structures that are dual (in the category-theoretic sense of reversing arrows) to unital associative algebras.

Every coalgebra, by vector space duality, reversing arrows - gives rise to an algebra. In finite dimensions, this duality goes in both directions. In infinite - it should be determined.

2.13 Contravariant

The property of basis, in which if new basis is a linear combination of the prior basis, and the change of basis inverse-proportional for the description of a Tensors in this basis.

Denotation:

Components for contravariant basis denoted in the upper indices:

$$V^i = x$$

The inverse of a covariant transformation is a contravariant transformation. Whenever a vector should be invariant under a change of basis, that is to say it should represent the same geometrical or physical object having the same magnitude and direction as before, its components must transform according to the contravariant rule.

2.13.1 *

Contravariant cofunctor

Contravariant functor - More inline term is Contravariant cofunctor

2.14 Covariant

The property of basis, in which if new basis is a linear combination of the prior basis, and the change of basis proportional for a descriptions of tensors in basis.

Denotation:

Components for covariant basis denoted in the upper indices:

$$V_i = x$$

2.14.1 *

Covariant functor

Covariant cofunctor

2.15 Data type

Set of values.

For type to have sense the values must share some sense, properties.

2.15.1 *

Type
Types
Data types

2.15.2 Actual type

Data type recieved by ->inferring->compiling->execution.

2.15.3 Algebraic data type

Composite type formed by combining other types.

2.15.3.1 * AlgDT

2.15.4 Cardinality

Number of possible implementations for a given type signature.

Disjunction, sum - adds cardinalities.
Conjunction, product - multiplies cardinalities.

2.15.5 Data constant

* - constant value; nullary data constructor.

2.15.6 Data constructor

One instance that inhabit data type.

2.15.7 data declaration

Data type declaration is the most general and versatile form to create a new data type.

Form:

```
data [context =>] type typeVars1..n
  = con1  c1t1..i
  | ...
  | conm  cmt1..q
  [deriving]
```

2.15.8 Dependent type

When type and values have relation between them. Type has restrictions for values, value of a type variable has a result on the type.

2.15.8.1 * Dependent types

2.15.9 Gen type

Generator. Gen type is to generate pseudo-random values for parent type. Produces a list of values that gets infinitely cycled.

2.15.10 Higher-kinded data type

Any combination of * and ->

Type that take more types as arguments.

Humbly really a function

2.15.10.1 * Higher-kinded data types

2.15.11 newtype declaration

Create a new type from old type by attaching a new constructor, allowing type class instance declaration.


```
newtype FirstName = FirstName String
```

Data will have exactly the same representation at runtime, as the type that is wrapped.

```
newtype Book = Book (Int, Int)
```

```
      (,)
      / \
Integer Integer
```

2.15.12 Principal type

The most generic data type that still typechecks.

2.15.13 Product data type

Is an algebraic data type representation of a product construction. Formed by logical conjunction (AND, ' \ast \ast ').

Haskell forms:

```
-- 1. As a tuple (the uncurried & most true-form)
(T1, T2)

-- 2. Curried form, data constructor that takes two types
C T1 T2

-- 3. Using record syntax. =r# <inhabitant>= would return the respective =T#=
C { r1 :: T1
  , r2 :: T2
  }
```

2.15.13.1 \ast Product type

2.15.13.2 Sequence Enumerated (ordered) set.

Denotation:

$()$
 $(,)$
 $(, ,)$
 $(, , \dots)$

More general mathematical denotation was not established, variants:

$$(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\omega \rightarrow X$$

$$\{i : Ord \mid i < \alpha\}$$

In Haskell: Data type that stores multiple ordered values withing a single value.

Table 5: Sequence constructor naming by arity

Name	Arity	Denotation
Unit, empty	0	$()$
Singleton	1	$(_)$
Tuple, pair, two-tuple	2	$(,)$
Triple, three-tuple	3	$(, ,)$
Sequence	N	$(, , \dots)$

2.15.13.2.1 * Sequences

Tuples

Ordered pair

Ordered triple

2.15.13.2.2 List The same type objects sequence.

Denotation:

```
[]  
[ , ]  
[ , , ]  
[ , , ... ]
```

Haskell definition:

```
data [] a = [] | a : [a]
```

Definition is self-referential (self-recursive), can be seen as anamorphism (unfold) of the [] (empty list, memory cell which is container of particular type) and : (cons operation, pointer). As such - can create non-terminating data type (and computation), in other words - infinite.

2.15.14 Proxy type

Proxy type holds no data, but has a phantom parameter of arbitrary type (or even kind). Able to provide type information, even though has no value of that type (or it can be may too costly to create one).

```
data Proxy a = ProxyValue
```

```
let proxy1 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy Int) -- a has kind `Type`  
let proxy2 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy List) -- a has kind `Type -> Type`
```

2.15.15 Static typing

Typechecking takes place at compile level.

2.15.16 Structural type

Mathematical type. They form into structural type system.

2.15.16.1 * Structural

2.15.17 Structural type system

Strict global hierarchy and relationships of types and their properties.

Haskell type system is *.

In most languages typing is name-based, not structural.

2.15.17.1 * Structural typing

2.15.18 Sum data type

Algebraic data type formed by logical disjunction (OR '|').

2.15.19 Type alias

Create new type constructor, and use all data structure of the base type.

2.15.20 Type class

Type system construct that adds a support of ad hoc polymorphism.

Type class makes a nice way for defining behaviour, properties over many types/objects at once.

2.15.20.1 * Type classes

Typeclass

Typeclasses

2.15.20.2 Arbitrary type class Type class of QuickCheck.Arbitrary (that is reexported by QuickCheck) for creating a generator/distribution of values.

Useful function is arbitrary - that autogenerates values.

2.15.20.2.1 Arbitrary function Depends on type and generates values of that type.

2.15.20.3 CoArbitrary type class Pseudogenerates a function basing on resulting type.

```
coarbitrary :: CoArbitrary a => a -> Gen b -> Gen b
```

2.15.20.3.1 * CoArbitrary

2.15.20.4 Typeable type class Allows dynamic type checking in Haskell for a type.

Shift a typechecking of type from compile time to runtime.

* type gets wrapped in the universal type, that shifts the type checks to runtime.

Also allows:

- Get the type of something at runtime (ex. print the type of something `typeof`).
- Compare the types.
- Reifying functions from polymorphic type to concrete (for functions like `:: Typeable a => a -> String`).

2.15.20.4.1 * Typeable

2.15.20.5 Type class inheritance Type class has a superclass.

2.15.20.6 Derived instance Type class instances sometimes can be automatically derived from the parent types.

Type classes such as `Eq`, `Enum`, `Ord`, `Show` can have instances generated based on definition of data type.

P.S.

Language options:

- `DeriveAnyClass`
- `DeriveDataTypeable`
- `DeriveFoldable`
- `DeriveFunctor`
- `DeriveGeneric`
- `DeriveLift`
- `DeriveTraversable`
- `DerivingStrategies`
- `DerivingVia`
- `GeneralisedNewtypeDeriving`
- `StandaloneDeriving`

2.15.20.6.1 * Derived
Deriving

2.15.21 Type constant

Nullary type constructor.

2.15.22 Type constructor

Name of the data type.

Constructor that takes type as an argument and produces new type.

2.15.23 type declaration

Synonym for existing type. Uses the same data constructor.

```
type FirstName = String
```

Used to distinct one entities from other entities, while they have the same type.

Also main type functions can operate on a new type.

2.15.24 Typed hole

* - is a `_` or `_name` in the expression. On evaluation GHC would show the derived type information which should be in place of the *. That information helps to fill in the gap.

2.15.24.1 * Typed holes

2.15.25 Type inference

Automatic data type detection for expression.

2.15.25.1 * Inferring

Infer

Infers

Inferred

2.15.26 Type class instance

Block of implementations of functions, based on unique type class->type pairing.

2.15.27 Type rank

Weak ordering of types.

The rank of polymorphic type shows at what level of nesting forall quantifier appears.

Count-in only quantifiers that appear to the left of arrows.

```
f1 :: forall a b. a -> b -> a    ==    fi :: a -> b -> c
g1 :: forall a b. (Ord a, Eq b) => a -> b -> a    ==    g1 :: (Ord a, Eq b) => a -> b
```

f1, g1 - rank-1 types. Haskell itself implicitly adds universal quantification.

```
f2 :: (forall a. a->a) -> Int -> Int
g2 :: (forall a. Eq a => [a] -> a -> Bool) -> Int -> Int
```

f2, g2 - rank-2 types. Quantificator is on the left side of a \rightarrow . Quantificator shows that type on the left can be overloaded.

Type inference in Rank-2 is possible, but not higher.

```
f3 :: ((forall a. a->a) -> Int) -> Bool -> Bool
```

f3 - rank3-type. Has rank-2 types on the left of a \rightarrow .

```
f :: Int -> (forall a. a -> a)
g :: Int -> Ord a => a -> a
```


f, g are rank 1. Quantifier appears to the right of an arrow, not to the left. These types are not Haskell-98. They are supported in RankNTypes.

2.15.27.1 * Type ranks

Rank type

Rank types

Rank-1 type

Rank-1 types

Rank-2 type

Rank-2 types

Rank-3 type

Rank-3 types

2.15.28 Type variable

Refer to an unspecified type in Haskell type signature.

2.15.29 Unlifted type

Type that directly exist on the hardware. The type abstraction can be completely removed.

With unlifted types Haskell type system directly manages data in the hardware.

2.15.29.1 * Unlifted types

2.15.30 Data structure

2.15.30.1 Cons cell Cell that values may inhabit.

2.15.30.2 Construct

`(:) :: a -> [a] -> [a]`

2.15.30.2.1 * Cons

2.15.30.3 Leaf

-

2.15.30.4 Node

*
/ \

2.15.31 Linear type

Type system and algebra that also track the multiplicity of data.
There are 3 general linear type groups:

- 0 - exists only at type level and is not allowed to be used at value level.
Aka `s` in ST-Trick.
- 1 - data that is not duplicated
- $1 <$ - all other data, that can be duplicated multiple times.

2.15.31.1 * Linear types

2.15.32 NonEmpty list data type

`Data.List.NonEmpty`

Has a `Semigroup` instance but can't have a `Monoid` instance. It never can be an empty list.

```
data NonEmpty a = a :| [a]  
  deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

`:|` - an infix data constructor that takes two (type) arguments. In other words `:|` returns a product type of left and right

2.15.33 Session type

* - allows to check that behaviour conforms to the protocol.

So far very complex, not very productive (or well-established) topic.

2.15.34 Binary tree

```
data BinaryTree a
  = [[Leaf]]
  | [[Node]] (BinaryTree a) a (BinaryTree a)
```

2.15.35 Bottom value

A `_` non-value in the type or pattern match expression. Placeholder for anything.

```
-- _ fits *.
```

2.15.35.1 * Bottom
Bottom values

2.15.36 Bound

Haskell `*` type class means to have lowest value & highest value, so a bounded range of values.

2.15.36.1 * Bounded

2.15.37 Constructor

1. Type constructor
2. Data constructor

Also see: Constant

2.15.37.1 * Constructors

2.15.38 Context

Type constraints for polymorphic variables.
Written before the main type signature, denoted:

```
TypeClass a => ...
```

2.15.38.1 * Contexts

2.15.39 Inhabit

Values that is a component of data type set.

2.15.40 Maybe

```
data Maybe  
  = Nothing  
  | Just a
```

Does not represent the information why `Nothing` happened.
For error - use `Either`.
Do not propagate `*`.

Handle `*` locally to where it is produced. `Nothing` does not hold useful info for debugging & short-circuits the processes. Do not expect code type being bug-free, do not return `Maybe` to end user since it would be impossible to debug, return something that preserves error information.

2.15.40.0.1 * Nodes

2.16 Declaration

Binding name to expression.

2.17 Differential operator

Denotation.

$$\frac{d}{dx}, D, D_x, \partial_x.$$

Last one is partial.

$$e^{t \frac{d}{dx}}$$

- Shift operator.

2.17.1 *

Differential

2.18 Dispatch

Send, transmission, reference.

2.19 Effect

Observable action.

2.20 Evaluation

For FP see Bind.

2.21 Expected type

Data type inferred from the text of the code.

2.22 Expression

Finite combination of symbols that is well-formed according to rules that depend on the context.

2.22.1 *

Expressions

2.22.2 Closed-form expression

* - mathematical expression that can be evaluated in a finite number of operations.

May contain:

- constants
- variables
- operations (e.g., $+$ $-$ \times \div)
- functions (e.g., nth root, exponent, logarithm, trigonometric functions, and inverse hyperbolic functions), but usually no limit.

2.22.3 RHS

Right-hand side of the expression.

2.22.4 LHS

Left-hand side of the expression.

2.22.5 Redex

Reducible expression.

2.22.6 Concatenate

Link together sequences, expressions.

2.23 First-class

Means *it*:

- Can be used as value.
- Passed as an argument.

From 1&2 -> *it* can include itself.

2.24 Function

Full dependency of one quantity from another quantity.

Denotation:

$$y = f(x)$$

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

,
where

$$X$$

is domain,

$$Y$$

is codomain.

Directionality and property of invariability emerge from one another.

```
-- domain func codomain
*      ->   *
```

$$y(x) = (zx^2 + bx + 3 \mid b = 5)$$

^ ^ ^^ ^ ^

\Var \Constant

\Bound-variable

\Free variable

\Parameter

\Name-of-the-function

Lambda abstraction is a function.
Function is a mathematical operation.

Function = Total function = Pure function. Function theoretically can be to memoized.

Also see:
Partial function
Inverse function - often partially exists (partial function).

2.24.1 *

Functions
Bound variable

2.24.2 Arity

Number of parameters of the function.

- nullary - f()

- unary - $f(x)$
- binary - $f(x,y)$
- ternary - $f(x,y,z)$
- n-ary - $f(x,y,z,..)$

2.24.3 Bijection

Function is a complete one-to-one pairing of elements of domain and codomain (image).

It means function both surjective (so image == codomain) and injective (every domain element has unique correspondence to the image element).

For bijection inverse always exists.

Bijection operation holds the equivalence of domain and codomain.

Denotation:

\rightarrow

$f : X \rightarrow Y$

L^AT_EX needed to combine symbols:

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

Corresponds to isomorphism.

2.24.3.1 * Bijective

Bijective function

2.24.4 Combinator

Function without free variables.

Higher-order function that uses only function application and other combinators.

```
\a -> a
\ a b -> a b
\f g x -> f (g x)
\f g x y -> f (g x y)
```

Not combinators:

```
\ xs -> sum xs
```

Informal broad meaning: referring to the style of organizing libraries centered around the idea of combining things.

2.24.5 Function application

* - bind the argument to the parameter of a function, and do a beta-reduction.

2.24.5.1 * Apply

Applied
Applying
Application

2.24.6 Function body

Expression that characterizes the process.

2.24.7 Function composition

```
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
```

```
a -> (a -> b) -> (b -> c) -> c
```

In Haskell inline composition requires:

`h.g.f $ i`

2.24.7.1 * Composition

Compose

Composed

2.24.8 Function head

Is a part with name of the function and it's paramenters.

AKA:

$$f(x)$$

2.24.9 Function range

The range of a function refers to either the codomain or the image of the function, depending upon usage. Modern usage almost always uses range to mean image.

So, see Function image.

2.24.10 Higher-order function

Function arity > 1 .

—

Has function as a parameter.

Evaluates to function.

2.24.10.1 * HOF

2.24.10.2 Fold Catamorphism of a structure to a lower type of structure. Often to a single value.

* is a higher-order function that takes a function which operates with both main structure and accumulator structure, * applies units of data structure to a function which works with accumulator. Upon traversing the whole structure - the accumulator is returned.

2.24.11 Injection

Function one-to-one injects from domain into codomain.

Keeps distinct pairing of elements of domain and image.
Every element in image corresponds to one element in domain.

$$\forall a, b \in X, f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a = b$$

$$\exists(\text{inverse function}) \mid \forall(\text{injective function})$$

Denotion:

\rightarrow

$f : X \rightarrow Y$

$f : X \rightarrow Y$

Corresponds to Monomorphism.

2.24.11.1 * Injective

Injective function

Injectivity

2.24.12 Partial function

One that does not cover all domain.
Unsafe and causes trouble.

2.24.13 Purity

* means properly abstracted.

If the contrary - abstraction is unpure.

Also see: pure function.

2.24.13.1 * Pure

2.24.14 Pure function

Function that is pure

≡

referentially transparent function.

2.24.15 Sectioning

Writing function in a parentheses. Allows to pass around partially applied functions.

2.24.16 Surjection

Function uses codomain fully.

$$\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X$$

Denotation:

$$f : X \twoheadrightarrow Y$$

Corresponds to Epimorphism.

2.24.16.1 * Surjective
Surjective function

2.24.17 Unsafe function

Function that does not cover at least one edge case.

2.24.17.1 * Unsafe

2.24.18 Variadic

* - having variable arity (often up to indefinite).

2.24.19 Domain

Source set of a function.

$$X$$

in

$$X \rightarrow Y$$

.

2.24.20 Codomain

Y

in $X \rightarrow Y$.

Codomain - target set of a function.

2.24.21 Open formula

Logical function that has arity and produces proposition.

2.24.22 Recursion

Repeated function application when sometimes same function gets called.

Allows computation that may require indefinite amount of work.

2.24.22.1 * Recursive

2.24.22.2 Base case A part of a recursive function that trivially produces result.

2.24.22.3 Tail recursion Tail calls are recursive invocations of itself.

2.24.22.4 Polymorphic recursion Type of the parameter changes in recursive invocations of function.

Is always a higher-ranked type.

2.24.22.4.1 * Milner–Mycroft typability
Milner–Mycroft calculus

2.24.23 Free variable

Variable in the function that is not bound by the head.
Until there are * - function stays partially applied.

2.24.24 Closure

$$f(x) = f^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

,

$$\mathcal{X}$$

is closed under

$$f$$

, it is a trivial case when operation is legitimate for all values of the domain.

Operation on members of the domain always produces a members of the domain. The domain is closed under the operation.

In the case when there is a domain values for which operation is not legitimate/not exists:

$$f(x) = f^{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} \mid \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{X}, \forall x \in \mathcal{V}$$

,

$$\mathcal{X}$$

is closed under

$$f$$

.

2.24.24.1 * Closed

2.24.25 Parameter

para subsidiary
metron measure

Named variable of a function.

Argument is a supplied value to a function parameter.

Parameter (formal parameter) is an irrefutable pattern, and implemented that way in Haskell.

2.24.25.1 * Parameters

Formal parameter
Formal parameters

2.24.26 Partial application

Part of function parameters applied.

2.24.26.1 * Partially applied

2.24.27 Infix

Form of writing of operator or function in-between variables for application.

For priorities see Fixity.

2.24.28 Fixity

Declares the precedence of action of a function/operator.

Function application has precedence higher than all infix operators/functions (virtually giving it a priority 10).

Table 6: Haskell operators priority and fixity association

P	L	Non	R
10			F.A.
9	!!		.
8			$\wedge \sim \wedge **$
7	* / div		
6	+ -		
5			: , ++
4		<comparison> elem	
3			&&
2			OR
1			
0			\$ \$! seq

2.24.28.1 * Infixl

Infixr

Priority

Precedence

2.24.29 Well-formed formula

Expression, logical function that is/can produce a proposition.

2.24.29.1 * Well formed formula

WFF

wff

WFFS

wffs

2.25 Fundamental theorem of algebra

Any non-constant single-variable polynomial with complex coefficients has at least one complex root.

From this definition follows property that the field of complex numbers is algebraically closed.

2.26 Homotopy

homós same

One can be "continuously deformed" into the other.

For example - functions, functors.

Natural transformation is a homotopy of functors.

2.26.1 *

Homotopies

Homotopic

2.27 Idiom

* - something having a meaning that can not be derived from the conjoined meanings.

Meaning can be special for language speakers or human with particular knowledge.

* can also mean applicative functor.

2.27.1 *

Idioms

Idiomatic

2.28 Impredicative

Self-referencing definition.

—

Antonym - Predicative.

2.29 IO

Type for values whose evaluations has a possibility to cause side effects or return unpredictable result.

Haskell standard uses monad for constructing and transforming IO actions. IO action can be evaluated multiple times.

IO data type has unpure imperative actions inside. Haskell is pure Lambda calculus, and unpure IO integrates in the Haskell purely (type system abstracts IO unpurity inside IO data type).

IO sequences effect computation one after another in order of needed computation, or occurrence:

```
twoBinds :: IO ()
twoBinds =
  putStrLn "First:" >>
  getLine >>=
  \a ->
  putStrLn "Second:" >>
  getLine >>=
  \b ->
  putStrLn ("\nFirst: "
    ++ a ++ ".\nSecond "
    ++ b ++ ".")
main = twoBinds
```

Sequencing is achieved by compilation of effects performing only while they receive the sugared-in & passed around the `RealWorld` fake type value, that value in the every computation gets the new "value" and then passed to the next requested computation. But special thing is about this parameter, this `RealWorld` type value passed, but never looked at. GHC realizes, since value is never used, - it means value and type can be equated to `()` and moreover reduced from the code, and sequencing stays.

2.30 Kind

Kind -> Type -> Data

2.30.1 *

Kinds

2.31 Lambda calculus

Universal model of computation. Which means * can implement any Turing machine.

Based on function abstraction and application by substituting variables and binding values.

* has lambda terms:

- variable (x)
)
- application (ts)
)
- abstraction (lambda function) ($\lambda x.t$)
)

2.31.1 *

Lambda term

Lambda terms

Lambda variable

Lambda variables

2.31.2 Lambda cube

-cube shows the 3 dimentions of generalizations from simply typed Lambda calculus to Calculus of constructions.

Each dimension of the cube corresponds to a new way of making objects depend on other objects:

- (First-class polymorphism) - terms allowed to depend on types, corresponding to polymorphism.
- (Higher-rank polymorphism) - types depending on terms, corresponding to dependent types.
- (Type class) - types depending on types, corresponding to type operators.


2.31.2.1 * -cube λ-cube

2.31.3 Lambda function

Function of Lambda calculus.

$$\lambda xy.x^2 + y^3$$

~ ~ ~ ~



$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{parameter}}}{(\underline{\quad})}$$

$$\lambda_{\text{HEAD}}$$

2.31.3.1 * Lambda abstraction

2.31.3.2 Anonymous lambda function Lambda function that is not binded to any name.

2.31.3.2.1 * Anonymous lambda function

2.31.4 β -reduction

Equation of a parameter to a bound variable, then reducing parameter from the head.

2.31.4.1 * β reduction

Beta-reduction

Beta reduction

2.31.4.2 β -normal form No beta reduction is possible.

2.31.4.2.1 * β normal form

Beta normal form

Beta-normal form

2.31.5 Calculus of constructions

Extends the Curry–Howard correspondence to the proofs in the full intuitionistic predicate calculus (includes proofs of quantified statements).

Type theory, typed programming language, and constructivism (philosophy) foundation for mathematics.

Directly relates to Coq programming language.

2.31.5.1 * «<CoC>»

2.31.6 Curry–Howard correspondence

Equivalence of {First-order logic, computer programming, Category theory}. They represent each-other, possible in one - possible in the other, so all the definitions and theorems have analogues in other two.

Gives a ground to the equivalence of computer programs and mathematical proofs.

Lambek added analogue to Cartesian closed category, which can be used to model logic and type theory.

Table 7: Table of basic correspondence

Logic	Type	Category
True	() (any inhabited type)	Terminal
False	Void	Initial
$a \wedge b$	(a, b)	$a \times b$
$a \vee b$	Either a b	a / b
$a \Rightarrow b$	$a \rightarrow b$	b^a

2.31.6.1 * Curry–Howard isomorphism Curry-Howard-Lambek

2.31.7 Currying

Translating the evaluation of a multiple argument function (or a tuple of arguments) into evaluating a sequence of functions, each with a single argument.

2.31.7.1 * Curry

2.31.8 Hindley–Milner type system

Classical type system for the Lambda calculus with Parametric polymorphism and Type inference. Types marked as polymorphic variables, which enables type inference over the code.

2.31.8.1 * Hindley-Milner Damas-Milner Damas-Hindley-Milner

2.31.9 Reduction

Take out something from a structure, make simpler.

See Beta reduction

2.31.9.1 * Reducible

2.31.10 β - η normal form

All β -reduction and η -abstraction are done in the expression.

2.31.10.1 * beta-eta normal form beta eta normal form

2.31.11 η -abstraction

$$(\lambda x.Mx) \xleftarrow[\eta]{} M$$

```
\ x -> g . f $ x
\ x -> g . f      --eta-abstraction
```

2.31.11.1 * η -reduction

η -conversion
 η abstraction
 η reduction
 η conversion
eta-abstraction
eta-reduction
eta-conversion
eta abstraction
eta reduction
eta conversion

2.31.12 Lambda expression

See Lambda calculus (Lambda terms) and Expression. In majority cases meaning some Lambda function.

2.32 Lense

Library of combinators to provide Haskell (functional language without mutation) with the emulation of **get**-ters and **set**-ters of imperative language.

2.33 Nothing

Any Haskell expression can't return nothing.

Also see: Maybe.

2.34 Operation

Calculation into output value. Can have zero & more inputs.

2.34.1 Constant

Nullary operation.

Also see: Type constant.

2.34.2 Binary operation

$$\forall(a, b) \in S, \exists P(a, b) = f(a, b) : S \times S \rightarrow S$$

2.34.2.1 * Binary operations

2.34.3 Operator

Denotation symbol/name for the operation.

2.34.3.1 Shift operator Shift operator defined by Lagrange through Differential operator.

$$T^t = e^{t \frac{d}{dx}}$$

2.34.3.1.1 * Shift

2.35 Permutation

Bijjective function from domain to itself.

Domain & permutation functions & function composition form a group.

2.36 Phrase

Composable expression.

2.37 Point-free

Paradigm where function only describes the morphism itself.

Process of converting function to point-free.

If brackets $()$ can be changed to $\$$ then $\$$ equal to composition:

```
\ x -> g (f x)
\ x -> g $ f x
\ x -> g . f $ x
\ x -> g . f      --eta-abstraction
```

```
\ x1 x2 -> g (f x1 x2)
\ x1 x2 -> g $ f x1 x2
\ x1 x2 -> g . f x1 $ x2
\ x1      -> g . f x1
```

2.37.1 *

Pointfree

Tacit

Tacit programming

2.37.2 Blackbird

```
(.).(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a1 -> a2 -> b) -> a1 -> a2 -> c
```

Composition of compositions $(.).(.)$. Allows to compose-in a binary function $f1(c) (.).(.) f2(a,b)$.

```
\ f g x y -> f (g x y)
```

2.37.2.1 * .) .

(.).(.)

Composition of compositions

2.37.3 Swing

```
swing :: ((a -> b) -> b) -> c -> d -> c -> a -> d
swing = flip . (. flip id)
swing f = flip (f . runCont . return)
swing f c a = f ($ a) c
```

2.37.4 Squish

```
f >>= a . b . c =<< g
```

2.38 Polymorphism

polús many

At once several forms.

In Haskell - abstract over data types.

* types:

2.38.1 *

Polymorphic

2.38.2 Levity polymorphism

Extending polymorphism to work with unlifted and lifted types.

2.38.3 Parametric polymorphism

Abstracting over data types by parameter.

In most languages named as 'Generics' (generic programming).

Types:

2.38.3.1 Rank-1 polymorphism Parametric polymorphism in rank-1 types by type variables.

2.38.3.1.1 * Prenex

Prenex polymorphism

2.38.3.2 Let-bound polymorphism It is property chosen for Haskell type system.

Haskell is based on Hindley-Milner type system, it is let-bound.

To have strict type inference with * - if **let** and **where** declarations are polymorphic - λ declarations - should be not.

See: Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type.

2.38.3.3 Constrained polymorphism Constrained Parametric polymorphism.

2.38.3.3.1 Ad hoc polymorphism Artificial constrained polymorphism dependent on incoming data type.

It is interface dispatch mechanism of data types.

Achieved by creating a type class instance functions.

Commonly known as overloading.

1. *

Ad-hoc polymorphism

Ad hoc polymorphic

Ad-hoc polymorphic

Constraint
Constraints

2.38.3.4 Impredicative polymorphism * allows type entities with polymorphic types that can contain type itself.

$$T = \forall X. X \rightarrow X : T \in X \models T \in T$$

The most powerful form of parametric polymorphism.
See: Impredicative.

This approach has Girard's paradox (type systems Russell's paradox).

2.38.3.4.1 * First-class polymorphism

2.38.3.5 Higher-rank polymorphism Means that polymorphic types can appear within other types (types of function).

There is a cases where higher-rank polymorphism than the a Ad hoc - is needed. For example where ad hoc polymorphism is used in constraints of several different implementations of functions, and you want to build a function on top - and use the abstract interface over these functions.

```
-- ad-hoc polymorphism
f1 :: forall a. MyType Class a => a -> String    ==    f1 :: MyType Class a => a ->
f1 = -- ...

-- higher-rank polymorphism
f2 :: Int -> (forall a. MyType Class a => a -> String) -> Int
f2 = -- ...
```

By moving `forall` inside the function - we can achieve higher-rank polymorphism.

From: <https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=8130861>

Higher-rank polymorphism is formalized using System F, and there are a few implementa

Useful example aslo a ST-Trick monad.

2.38.3.5.1 * Rank-n polymorphism

2.38.4 Subtype polymorphism

Allows to declare usage of a Type and all of its Subtypes.

T - Type

S - Subtype of Type

<: - subtype of

$$S <: T = S \leq T$$

Subtyping is:

If it can be done to T, and there is subtype S - then it also can be done to S.

$$S <: T : f^{T \rightarrow X} \Rightarrow f^{S \rightarrow X}$$

2.38.5 Row polymorphism

Is a lot like Subtype polymorphism, but alings itself on allowence (with | r) of subtypes and types with requested properties.

```
printX :: { x :: Int | r } -> String
printX rec = show rec.x
```

```
printY :: { y :: Int | r } -> String
printY rec = show rec.y
```

```
-- type is inferred as `{x :: Int, y :: Int | r } -> String`
printBoth rec = printX rec ++ printY rec
```


2.38.6 Kind polymorphism

Achieved using a phantom type argument in the data type declaration.

```
;;          * -> *
data Proxy a = ProxyValue
```

Then, by default the data type can be inhabited and fully work being partially defined.

But multiple instances of kind polymorphic type can be distinguished by their particular type.

Example is the Proxy type:

```
data Proxy a = ProxyValue

let proxy1 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy Int) -- * :: Proxy Int
let proxy2 = (ProxyValue :: Proxy a)   -- * -> * :: Proxy a
```

2.38.7 Linearity polymorphism

Leverages linear types.

For example - if fold over a dynamic array:

1. In basic Haskell - array would be copied at every step.
2. Use low-level unsafe functions.
3. With Linear type function we guarantee that the array would be used only at one place at a time.

So, if we use a function $(* \text{-o} * \text{-o} *)$ in `foldr` - the fold will use the initial value only once.

2.39 Pragma

Pragma - instruction to the compiler that specifies how a compiler should process the code.

Pragma in Haskell have form:

```
{-# PRAGMA options #-}
```

2.39.1 LANGUAGE pragma

Controls what variations of the language are permitted.

It has a set of allowed options: https://downloads.haskell.org/~ghc/latest/docs/html/users_guide/glasgow_exts.html, which can be supplied.

2.39.1.1 LANGUAGE option

2.39.1.1.1 * Language options

2.39.1.1.2 Useful by default

```
import EmptyCase
import FlexibleContexts
import FlexibleInstances
import InstanceSigs
import MultiParamTypeClasses
```

2.39.1.1.3 AllowAmbiguousTypes Allow type signatures which appear that they would result in an unusable binding.

However GHC will still check and complain about a functions that can never be called.

2.39.1.1.4 ApplicativeDo Enables an alternative in-depth reduction that translates the do-notation to the operators `<$>`, `<*>`, `join` as far as possible.

For GHC to pickup the patterns, the final statement must match one of these patterns exactly:

```
pure E
pure $ E
return E
return $ E
```

When the statements of do expression have dependencies between them, and ApplicativeDo cannot infer an Applicative type - GHC uses a heuristic

$$O(n^2)$$

algorithm to try to use `<*>` as much as possible. This algorithm usually finds the best solution, but in rare complex cases it might miss an opportunity. There is also

$$O(n^3)$$

algorithm that finds the optimal solution: `-foptimal-applicative-do`.

Requires `ap = <*>`, `return = pure`, which is true for the most monadic types.

- Allows use of do-notation with types that are an instance of Applicative and Functor
- In some monads, using the applicative operators is more efficient than monadic bind. For example, it may enable more parallelism.

The only way it shows up at the source level is that you can have a do expression with only Applicative or Functor constraint.

It is possible to see the actual translation by using `-ddump-ds`.

2.39.1.1.5 ConstrainedClassMethods Enable the definition of further constraints on individual class methods.

2.39.1.1.6 CPP Enable C preprocessor.

2.39.1.1.7 DeriveFunctor Automatic deriving of instances for the Functor type class.

For type power set functor is unique, its derivation implementation can be autochecked.

2.39.1.1.8 ExplicitForAll Allow explicit forall quantificator in places where it is implicit by Haskell.

2.39.1.1.9 FlexibleContexts Ability to use complex constraints in class declaration contexts.

The only restriction on the context in a class declaration is that the class hierarchy must be acyclic.

```
class C a where
  op :: D b => a -> b -> b
```

```
class C a => D a where ...
```

$C :> D$

, so in C we can talk about D.

Synergizes with ConstraintKinds.

2.39.1.1.10 FlexibleInstances Allow type class instances types contain nested types.

```
instance C (Maybe Int) where ...
```

Implies TypeSynonymInstances.

2.39.1.1.11 GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving Enable GHC's `newtype` cunning generalised deriving mechanism.

```
newtype Dollars = Dollars Int
  deriving (Eq, Ord, Show, Read, Enum, Num, Real, Bounded, Integral)
```

(In old Haskell-98 only `Eq`, `Ord`, `Enum` could be inherited.)

2.39.1.1.12 ImplicitParams Allow definition of functions expecting implicit parameters. In the Haskell that has static scoping of variables allows the dynamic scoping, such as in classic Lisp or ELisp. Sure thing this one can be puzzling as hell inside Haskell.

2.39.1.1.13 LambdaCase Enables expressions of the form:

```
\case { p1 -> e1; ...; pN -> eN }

-- OR

\case
  p1 -> e1
  ...
  pN -> eN
```

2.39.1.1.14 MultiParamTypeClasses Implies: `ConstrainedClassMethods`
Enable the definitions of typeclasses with more than one parameter.

```
class Collection c a where
```

2.39.1.1.15 MultiWayIf Enable multi-way-if syntax.

```
if | guard1 -> code1
   | ...
   | guardN -> codeN
```

2.39.1.1.16 OverloadedStrings Enable overloaded string literals (string literals become desugared via the `IsString` class).

With overload, string literals has type:

```
(IsString a) => a
```

The usual string syntax can be used, e.g. `ByteString`, `Text`, and other variations of string-like types.

Now they can be used in pattern matches as `char->integer` translations. To pattern match `Eq` must be derived.

To use class `IsString` - import it from `GHC.Ext`.

2.39.1.1.17 PartialTypeSignatures Partial type signature contains wildcards, placeholders (`_`, `_name`).

Allows programmer to which parts of a type to annotate and which to infer. Also applies to constraint part.

As untaped expression, partly typed can not polymorphically recurse.

-Wno-partial-type-signatures suppresses infer warnings.

2.39.1.1.18 RankNTypes Enable types of arbitrary rank. See `Type rank`.

Implies `ExplicitForAll`.

Allows `forall` quantifier:

- Left side of \rightarrow
- Right side of \rightarrow
- as argument of a constructor

- as type of a field
- as type of an implicit parameter
- used in pattern type signature of lexically scoped type variables

2.39.1.1.19 ScopedTypeVariables By default type variables do not have a scope except inside type signatures where they are used.

When there are internal type signatures provided in the code block (**where**, **let**, etc.) they (main type description of a function and internal type descriptions) restrain one-another and become not truly polymorphic, which creates a bounding interdependency of types that GHC would complain about.

* option provides the lexical scope inside the code block for type variables that have forall quantifier. Because they are now lexically scoped - those type variables are used across internal type signatures.

For details see: <https://ocharles.org.uk/guest-posts/2014-12-20-scoped-type-variables.html>

Implies ExplicitForAll.

2.39.1.1.20 TupleSections Allow tuple section syntax:

```
(, True)
(, "I", , , "Love", , 1337)
```

2.39.1.1.21 TypeApplications Allow type application syntax:

```
read @Int 5

:type pure @[]
pure @[] :: a -> [a]
```

```

:type (<*>) @[]
(<*>) @[] :: [a -> b] -> [a] -> [b]

--

instance (CoArbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (a -> b)

> ($ 0) <$> generate (arbitrary @(Int -> Int))

```

2.39.1.1.22 TypeSynonymInstances Now type synonym can have its own type class instances.

2.39.1.1.23 UndecidableInstances Permit instances which may lead to type-checker non-termination.

GHC has Instance termination rules regardless of FlexibleInstances FlexibleContexts.

2.39.1.1.24 ViewPatterns

```

foo (f1 -> Pattern1) = c1
foo (fn -> Pattern2 a b) = g1 a b

```

(*expression* \rightarrow *pattern*): take what is came to match - apply the *expression*, then do *pattern*-match, and return what originally came to match.

Semantics:

- *expression* & *pattern* share the scope, so also variables.
- if *expression* :: t1 -> t2 && *pattern* :: t2, then (*expression* \rightarrow *pattern*) t1.

* are like pattern guards that can be nested inside of other patterns.

* are a convenient way to pattern-match algebraic data type.

Additional possible usage:

```
foo a (f2 a -> Pattern3 b c) = g2 b c -- only for function definitions
foo ((f,_), f -> Pattern4) = c2 -- variables can be bound to the left in data constr
```

2.39.1.1.25 DatatypeContexts Allow contexts in data types.

```
data Eq a => Set a = NilSet | ConsSet a (Set a)
```

```
-- NilSet :: Set a
-- ConsSet :: Eq a => a -> Set a -> Set a
```

Considered misfeature. Deprecated. Going to be removed.

2.39.1.1.26 StandaloneKindSignatures Type signatures for type-level declarations.

```
type <name_1> , ... , <name_n> :: <kind>
```

```
type MonoTagged :: Type -> Type -> Type
data MonoTagged t x = MonoTagged x
```

```
type Id :: forall k. k -> k
type family Id x where
  Id x = x
```

```
type C :: (k -> Type) -> k -> Constraint
class C a b where
  f :: a b
```

```
type TypeRep :: forall k. k -> Type
data TypeRep a where
  TyInt    :: TypeRep Int
  TyMaybe :: TypeRep Maybe
  TyApp    :: TypeRep a -> TypeRep b -> TypeRep (a b)
```

< GHC 8.10.1 - type signatures were only for term level declarations.

Extension makes signatures feature more uniformal.

Allows to set the order of quantification, order of variables in a kind.
For example when using TypeApplications.

Allows to set full kind of derivable class, solving situations with GADT
return kind.

1. *

SAKS

Standalone kind signatures

2.39.1.1.27 PartialTypeSignatures Very helpful. Helps to solve
type level, helps to establish type signatures and constraints.

Allow to provide _ in the type signatures to automatically infer in the type
information there.

Wild cards:

- Type

`f :: _ -> _ -> a`

- Constraint

`f :: _ => a -> b -> c`

- Named

`f :: _x -> _x -> a`

allows to identify the same wildcard.

2.39.1.2 How to make a GHC LANGUAGE extension In `libraries/ghc-boot-th/GHC/Lang`
add new constructor to the `Extension` type

```
data Extension
  = Cpp
  | OverlappingInstances
  ...
  | Foo

/main/DynFlags.hs extend xFlagsDeps:
```

```
xFlagsDeps = [
  flagSpec "AllowAmbiguousTypes" LangExt.AllowAmbiguousTypes,
  ...
  flagSpec "Foo"                  LangExt.Foo
]
```

It is for basic case. For testing, parser see further: <https://blog.shaynefletcher.org/2019/02/adding-ghc-language-extension.html>

2.40 Predicative

Non-self-referencing definition.

—

Antonym - Impredicative.

2.41 Compositionality

Complex expression is determined by the constituent expressions and the rules used to combine them.

If the meaning fully obtainable from the parts and composition - it is full, pure compositionality.

If there exists composed idiomatic expression - it is unfull, unpure compositionality, because meaning leaks-in from the sources that are not in the

composition.

2.41.1 *

Principle of compositionality

Composition

Compositional

2.42 Ψ -combinator

Transforms two of the same type, applying same mediate transformation, and then transforming those into the result.

```
import Data.Function (on)
on :: (b -> b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> a -> c

--\
   * ---
--/
```

2.42.1 *

Psi-combinator

On-combinator

2.43 Quantifier

Specifies the quantity of specimens.

Two most common quantifiers

\forall

(Forall) and

\exists

(Exists).

$\exists!$

- one and only one (exists only unique).

2.43.1 *

Quantification

Quantifiers

Quantified

2.43.2 Forall quantifier

Permits to not infer the type, but to use any that fits. The variant depends on the LANGUAGE option used:

ScopedTypeVariables

RankNTypes

ExistentialQuantification

2.43.2.1 * Forall

2.44 Referential transparency

Given the same input return the same output.

So:

* expression can be replaced with its corresponding resulting value without change for program's behavior.

* functions are pure.

2.44.1 *

Referentially transparent

2.45 Relation

Relationship between two objects.

Subset of a Cartesian product between sets of objects.

Is not directed and not limited.

2.45.1 *

Relations

Relationship

2.46 REPL

Read-eval-print loop, aka interactive shell.

2.47 Semantics

Philosophical study of meaning.

2.47.1 Operational semantics

Constructing proofs from logical assertions and verifying/checking/asserting things about execution and procedures their properties, such as correctness, safety or security.

Good to solve in-point localized tasks.

Process of abstraction.

2.47.2 Denotational semantics

Construction of mathematical objects (called denotations), that describe the meanings. In Haskell often abstractions that are ment (denotations), implemented directly in the code, sometimes exist over the code - allowing to reason and implement.

* are composable.

Good to achive more broad approach/meaning.

Also see Abstraction.

2.47.3 Axiomatic semantics

Empirical process of studying something complex by finding and analyzing true statements about it.

Good for examining interconnections.

2.48 Set

Well-defined collection of distinct objects.

2.48.1 *

Sets
Set theory

2.48.2 Closed set

1. Set which complements an open set.
2. Is form of Closed-form expression. Set can be closed in under a set of operations.

2.48.3 Power set

For some set

\mathcal{S}

, the power set (

$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$

) is a set of all subsets of

\mathcal{S}

, including

$\{\}$

&

\mathcal{S}

itself.

Denotation:

$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$

2.48.4 Singleton

Singleton - unit set - set with exactly one element.

Also 1-sequence.

2.48.5 Russell's paradox

If there exists normal set of all sets - it should contain itself, which makes it abnormal.

2.49 Shrinking

Process of reducing coplexity in the test case - re-run with smaller values and make sure that the test still fails.

2.50 Spine

Is a chain of memory cells, each points to the both value of element and to the next memory cell.

Array:

```
      :  
    / \  
1   :  
    / \  
2   :
```


$$\begin{array}{c} / \quad \backslash \\ 3 \quad \square \end{array}$$

1:2:3:[]

Spine:

$$\begin{array}{c} : \\ / \quad \backslash \\ - \quad : \\ \quad / \quad \backslash \\ \quad - \quad : \\ \quad \quad / \quad \backslash \\ \quad \quad - \quad \square \end{array}$$

2.51 Superclass

Broader parent class.

2.52 Tensor

Object existing out of planes, thus it can translate objects from one plane into another.

They can be tried to be described with knowledge existing inside planes, but representation would always be partial.

Tensor of rank 1 is a vector.

Translatioin with tensor can be seen as functors.

2.52.1 *

Tensors

Tensorial

2.53 Testing

2.53.1 Property testing

Since property has a law, then family of that unit tests can be abstracted into the lambda function.

And tests cases come from generator.

2.53.1.1 Function property Property corresponds to the according law. In property testing you need to think additionally about generator and shrinking.

Table 8: Property testing types

	Exhaustive	Randomized
Whole set of values	Exhaustive property test	Randomised property test
Special subset of values	Exhaustive specialised property test	Randomised specialised property test

2.53.1.2 Property testing type

2.53.1.3 Generator

Seed

|

v

Gen A -> A

^

|

Size

Seed allows reproducibility.

There is anyway a need to have some seed.

Size allows setting upper bound on size of generated value. Think about infinity of list.

After failed test - shrinking tests value parts of contrexample, finds a part that still fails, and recurses shrinking.

2.53.1.3.1 * Generators

2.53.1.3.2 Custom generator When certain theorem only works for a specific set of values - the according generator needs to be produced.

```
arbitrary :: Arbitrary a => Gen a
suchThat :: Gen a -> (a -> Bool) -> Gen a
elements :: [a] -> Gen a
```

2.53.1.4 Reusing test code Often it is convinient to abstract testing of same function properties:

It can be done with (aka TestSuite combinator):

```
-- Definition
{-# LANGUAGE ScopedTypeVariables #-}
{-# LANGUAGE AllowAmbiguousTypes #-}
eqSpec :: forall a. Arbitrary a => Spec

-- Usage
{-# LANGUAGE TypeApplications #-}
spec :: Spec
spec = do
  eqSpec @Int

Eq Int
(==) :: Int -> Int -> Bool
  is reflexive
  is symetric
  is transitive
  is equivalent to (\ a b -> not $ a /= b)
(/=) :: Int -> Int -> Bool
  is antireflexive
  is equivalent to (\ a b -> not $ a == b)
```

2.53.1.4.1 Test Commutative property Commutativity

```
:: Arbitrary a => (a -> a -> a) -> Property
```

2.53.1.4.2 Test Symmetry property Symmetry

```
:: Arbitrary a => (a -> a -> Bool) -> Property
```

2.53.1.4.3 Test Equivalence property Equivalence

```
:: (Arbitrary a, Eq b) => (a -> b) -> (a -> b) -> Property
```

2.53.1.4.4 Test Inverse property

```
:: (Arbitrary a, Eq b) => (a -> b) -> (b -> a) -> Property
```

2.53.1.5 QuickCheck `Target` is a member of the `Arbitrary` type class. `Target -> Bool` is something `Testable`. This properties can be complex. Generator `arbitrary` gets the seed, and produces values of `Target`. Function `quickCheck` runs the loop and tests that generated `Target` values always comply the property.

2.53.1.5.1 Manual automation with QuickCheck properties

```
import Test.QuickCheck
import Test.QuickCheck.Function
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Common
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Functor
import Test.QuickCheck.Property.Common.Internal

data Four' a b = Four' a a a b
  deriving (Eq, Show)

instance Functor (Four' a) where
  fmap f (Four' a b c d) = Four' a b c (f d)

instance (Arbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (Four' a b) where
  arbitrary = do
    a1 ← arbitrary
    a2 ← arbitrary
    a3 ← arbitrary
    b ← arbitrary
```

```

    return (Four' a1 a2 a3 b)

-- Wrapper around `prop_FunctorId`
prop_AutoFunctorId  Functor f   f a → Equal (f a)
prop_AutoFunctorId = prop_FunctorId T

type Prop_AutoFunctorId f a
  = f a
  → Equal (f a)

-- Wrapper around `prop_AutoFunctorCompose`
prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Functor f   Fun a1 a2 → Fun a2 c → f a1 → Equal (f c)
prop_AutoFunctorCompose f1 f2 = prop_FunctorCompose (applyFun f1) (applyFun f2) T

type Prop_AutoFunctorCompose structureType origType midType resultType
  = Fun origType midType
  → Fun midType resultType
  → structureType origType
  → Equal (structureType resultType)

main = do
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorId  Prop_AutoFunctorId (Four' ()))Integer
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorId  Prop_AutoFunctorId (Four' ())) (Either Bool S
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Prop_AutoFunctorCompose (Four' ())) Str
  quickCheck $ eq $ (prop_AutoFunctorCompose  Prop_AutoFunctorCompose (Four' ())) Inte

```

2.53.2 Write tests algorithm

1. Pick the right language/stack to implement features.
2. How expensive breakage can be.
3. Pick the right tools to test this.

2.54 Uncurry

Replace number of functions with tuple of number of values

2.55 Unit

Represents existence. Denoted as empty sequence.

()

Type () holds only self-representation constructor (), & constructor holds nothing.

Haskell code always should receive something back, hence nothing, emptiness, void can not be theoretically addressed, practically constructed or received - unit in Haskell also has a role of a stub in place of emptiness, like in IO ().

2.56 Variable

A name for expression.

Haskell has immutable variables.
Except when you hack it with explicit functions.

2.56.1 *

Variables

2.57 Zero

* is the value with which operation always yields Zero value.

$$zero, n \in C : \forall n, zero * n = zero$$

* is distinct from Identity value.

2.58 Modular arithmetic

System for integers where numbers wrap around the certain values (single - *modulus*, plural - *moduli*).

Example - 12-hour clock.

2.58.1 *

Clock arithmetic

2.58.2 Modulus

Special numbers where arithmetic wraps around in modular arithmetic.

2.58.2.1 * Moduli - plural.

2.59 Property

Something has a property in the real world, and in theory its property corresponds to the law/laws, axioms.

In Haskell under property/law most often properties of algebraic structures.

There property testing wich does what it says.

2.59.1 *

Properties

2.59.2 Associativity

Joined with common purpose.

$$P(a, P(b, c)) \equiv P(P(a, b), c) \mid \forall(a, b, c) \in S$$

,

* - the operations can be grouped arbitrarily.

Property that determines how operators of the same precedence are grouped, (in computer science also in the absence of parentheses).

Etymology:

Latin *associatus* past participle of *associare* "join with", from assimilated form of *ad* "to" + *sociare* "unite with", from *socius* "companion, ally" from PIE **sokw-yo-*, suffixed form of root **sekw-* "to follow".

In Haskell * has influence on parsing when compounds have same fixity.

2.59.2.1 * Associative

Associative law

Associativity law

2.59.3 Left associative

* - the operations are grouped from the left.

Example:

In lambda expressions same level parts follow grouping from left to right.

$$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y)z \equiv ((\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y))z$$

2.59.3.1 * Left associativity

Left-associative

2.59.4 Right associative

* - the operations are grouped from the right.

2.59.5 Non-associative

Operations can't be chained.

Often is the case when the output type is incompatible with the input type.

2.59.6 Basis

$\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\varsigma$

- stepping

The initial point, unreducible axioms and terms that spawn a theory.
AKA see Category theory, or Euclidian geometry basis.

2.59.7 Commutativity

$$\forall (a, b) \in S : P(a, b) \equiv P(b, a)$$

2.59.7.1 * Commutative

Commutative law

2.59.8 Idempotence

First application gives a result. Then same operation can be applied multiple times without changing the result.

Example: Start and Stop buttons on machines.

2.59.8.1 * Idempotent

Idempotency

2.59.9 Distributive property

Set S and two binary operators $+$ \times :

-

$$x \times (y + z) = (x \times y) + (x \times z)$$

- \times is left-distributive over $+$

-

$$(y + z) \times x = (y \times x) + (z \times x)$$

- \times is right-distributive over $+$

- left-&right-distributive - \times is distributive over $+$

2.59.9.1 * Distributive rule

Distributive axiom

Distributive law

Distributive

2.60 Backpack

On 1-st compilation - * analyzes the abstract signatures without loading side modules, doing the type check with assumption that modules provide right type signatures, the process does not emit any binary code and stores the intermediate code in a special form that allows flexibly connect modules provided. Which allows later to compile project with particular instantiations of the modules. Major work of this process being done by internal Cabal * support and * system that modifies the intermediate code to fit the module.

2.61 Nullary

Takes no entries; has the arity of zero.
Has the trivial domain.

2.62 Arbitrary

arbitrarius uncertain

Random, any one of.

Used as: Any one with *this* set of properties. (constraints, type, etc.).

When there is a talk about any arbitrary value - in fact it is a talk about the generalization of computations over the set of properties.

2.63 Logic

2.63.1 Proposition

Purely abstract & theoretical logical object (idea) that has a Boolean value.

* is expressed by a statement.

2.63.1.1 * Propositions

2.63.1.2 Atomic proposition Logically undividable unit. Does not contain logical connectives.

2.63.1.2.1 * Atomic propositions

2.63.1.3 Compound proposition Formed by connecting propositions by logical connectives.

2.63.1.3.1 * Compound propositions

2.63.1.4 Propositional logic Studies propositions and argument flow.

Refers to logically indivisible units (atomic propositions) as such, for theory - they are abstractions with Boolean properties.

Not Turing-complete, impossible to construct an arbitrary loop.

2.63.1.4.1 * Proposition logic

Proposition calculus

Propositional calculus

Statement logic

Sentential logic

Sentential calculus

Zeroth-order logic

2.63.1.4.2 First-order logic Notation systems that use quantifiers, relations, variables over non-logical objects, allows the use of expressions that contain variables.

Turing-complete.

Extension of a propositional logic.

1. *

Predicate logic

First-order predicate logic

First-order predicate calculus

2. Second-order logic Extension over first-order logic that quantifies over relations.

- (a) Higher-order logic Extension over second-order logic that uses additional quantifiers, stronger semantics.

Is more expressive, but model-theoretic properties are less well-behaved.

2.63.2 Logical connective

Logical operation.

2.63.2.1 * Logical connectives

2.63.2.2 Conjunction Logical AND.

Denotation:

\wedge

Multiplies cardinalities.

Haskell kind:

* *

2.63.2.3 Disjunction Logical

OR

Denotation:

\vee

Summs cardinalities.

2.63.3 Predicate

Function with Boolean codomain.

$$P : X \rightarrow \{true, false\}$$

- * on

X

.

Notation:

$$P(x)$$

Almost always can include relations, quantifiers.

2.63.4 Statement

Declarative expression that is a bearer of a proposition.

When we talk about expression or statement being true/false - in fact we refer to the proposition that they represent.

Difference between proposition, statement, expression:

1. "2 + 3 = 5"

2. "two plus three equals five"

- 1 & 2 are statements. Each of them is a collection of transmission symbols (linguistic objects) from a symbol systems

≡

expression. Each of them is expression that bears proposition (an idea resulting in a Boolean value)

≡

statement.

- 1 & 2 represent the same proposition. Proposition from 1

\equiv

proposition from 2.

- Statement 1

\neq

statement 2. They are two different statements, written in different systems. And statement "2 + 3 = 5"

\neq

statement "3 + 2 = 5".

2.63.4.1 * Assertion

Assertions

Statements

2.63.5 Iff

If and only if, exactly when, just.

Denotation:

\iff

2.64 Haskell structures

2.64.1 Pattern match

Are not first-class. It is a set of pattern match semantic notations.

Must be linear.

* precedence (especially with more than one parameter, especially with `_` used) often changes the function.

2.64.1.1 As-pattern

```
f list@(x, xs) = ...
```

```
f (x:xs)    = x:x:xs -- Can be compiled with reconstruction of x:xs
f a@(x:_) = x:a -- Reuses structure without reconstruction
```

2.64.1.1.1 * As-patterns

As pattern

As patterns

2.64.1.2 Wild-card Matches anything and can not be binded. For matching something that should pass not checked and is not used.

```
head (x:_)      = x
tail (_:xs)     = xs
```

2.64.1.2.1 * Wild-cards

Wildcard

Wildcards

2.64.1.3 Case

```
case x of
  pattern1 -> ex1
  pattern2 -> ex2
  pattern3 -> ex3
  otherwise -> exDefault
```

Bolting guards & expressions with syntactic sugar on case:


```

case () of _
  | expr1      -> ex1
  | expr2      -> ex2
  | expr3      -> ex3
  | otherwise -> exDefault

```

Pattern matching in function definitions is realized with case expressions.

2.64.1.4 Guard Check values against the predicate and use the first match definition:

```

f x
  | predicate1 = definition1
  | predicate2 = definition2
  ...
  | x < 0      = definitionN
  ...
  | otherwise  = definitionZ

```

2.64.1.4.1 * Guards

2.64.1.5 Pattern guard Allows check a list of pattern matches against functions, and then proceed.

```

(patternMatch1) <- (funcCheck1)

, (patternMatch2) <- (funcCheck2)
= RHS

```

```

lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b

```

```

addLookup l a1 a2
  | Just b1 <- lookup a1 l
  , Just b2 <- lookup a2 l
  = b1 + b2
{-...other equations...-}

```

Run functions, they must succeed. Then pattern match results to `b1`, `b2`.
Only if successful - execute the equation.

Default in Haskell 2010.

2.64.1.5.1 * Pattern guards

2.64.1.6 Lazy pattern Defers the pattern match directly to the last moment of need during execution of the code.

```
f (a, b) = g a b -- It would be checked that the pattern of the pair constructor
-- is present, and that parameters are present in the constructor.
-- Only after that success - work would start on the RHS, aka then construction
-- g would start only then.
```

```
f ~(a, b) = g a b -- Pattern match of (a, b) deferred to the last moment,
-- RHS starts, construction of g starts.
-- For this lazy pattern the equivalent implementation would be:
-- f p = g (fst p) (snd p) -- RHS starts, during construction of g
-- the arguments would be computed and found, or error would be thrown.
```

Due to full laziness deferring everything to the runtime execution - the lazy pattern is one-size-fits all (irrefutable), analogous to `_`, and so it does not produce any checks during compilation, and raises errors during runtime.

* is very useful during recursive construction of recursive structure/process, especially infinite.

2.64.1.6.1 * Lazy-pattern

Lazy patterns

2.64.1.7 Pattern binding Entire LHS is a pattern, is a lazy pattern.

```
fib@(1:tfib)    = 1 : 1 : [ a+b | (a,b) <- zip fib tfib ]
```

2.64.1.7.1 * Pattern bindings

2.64.2 Smart constructor

Process/code placing extra rules & constraints on the construction of values.

2.64.3 Level of code

There are these levels of Haskell code:

2.64.3.1 * Code level

2.64.3.2 Type level Level of code that works with data types.

2.64.3.2.1 Type level declaration

```
type ...  
newtype ...  
data ...  
class ...  
instance ...
```

1. *
 Type level declarations
 Type-level declaration
 Type-level declarations

2.64.3.2.2 Type check if The type level information is complete (strongly connected graph)

then

Generalize the types and check if type level consistent to term level.

else

Infer the missing type level part from the term level. There are certain situations and structures where ambiguity arises and is unsolvable from the information of the term level (most basic example is polymorphic recursion).

1. *

Typecheck
Typechecking
Typechecks

2. Complete user-specific kind signature Type level declaration is considered to "have a CUSK" if it has enough syntactic information to warrant completeness (strongly connected graph) and start checking type level correspondence to term level, it is an ad-hoc state of type inferring.

In the future GHC would use other algorithm over/instead of CUSK.

(a) *

CUSK
CUSKs
Complete user-specific kind signatures
Complete, user-specific kind signature

2.64.3.3 Term level Level of code that does logical execution.

2.64.3.4 Compile level Level of code, about compilation processes/results.

2.64.3.4.1 * Compilation level

2.64.3.5 Runtime level Level of code of main program operation, when machine does computations with compiled binary code.

2.64.3.6 Kind level Level of code where kinds & kind declarations are situated, inferred and checked.

2.64.3.6.1 Kind check Applying the type check to kind check:

if The kind level information is complete (strongly connected graph)

then

Check if kind level consistent to term level.

else

Infer the missing kind level parts from the type level. There are certain situations and structures where ambiguity arises and is unsolvable from the information of the kind level.

With **StandaloneKindSignatures** kind completeness happens against found (standalone) kind signature.

With **CUSKs** extension kind completeness happens against "complete user-specific kind signature"

1. *
Kindcheck
Kind checks

2.64.4 Orphan type instance

Hanging type instance from inconsistent code base.

1. Supporting structure not fully present.

2. Several implementations of instance present.

2.64.5 Undefined

Placeholder value that helps to do typechecking.

2.64.6 Hierarchical module name

Hierarchical naming scheme:

```
Algebra                                -- Was this ever used?
  DomainConstructor                    -- formerly DoCon
  Geometric                            -- formerly BasGeomAlg

Codec                                  -- Coders/Decoders for various data formats
  Audio
    Wav
    MP3
    ...
  Compression
    Gzip
    Bzip2
    ...
  Encryption
    DES
    RSA
    BlowFish
    ...
  Image
    GIF
    PNG
    JPEG
    TIFF
    ...
  Text
    UTF8
    UTF16
    ISO8859
```

```

    ...
Video
    Mpeg
    QuickTime
    Avi
    ...
Binary                                -- these are for encoding binary data into text
    Base64
    Yenc

Control
    Applicative
    Arrow
    Exception                        -- (opt, inc. error & undefined)
    Concurrent                      -- as hslibs/concurrent
        Chan                        -- these could all be moved under Data
        MVar
        Merge
        QSem
        QSemN
        SampleVar
        Semaphore
    Parallel                        -- as hslibs/concurrent/Parallel
        Strategies
    Monad                          -- Haskell 98 Monad library
        ST                          -- ST defaults to Strict variant?
            Strict                  -- renaming for ST
            Lazy                    -- renaming for LazyST
        State                      -- defaults to Lazy
            Strict
            Lazy
        Error
        Identity
        Monoid
        Reader
        Writer
        Cont
        Fix                        -- to be renamed to Rec?
        List
        RWS

```

```

Data
  Binary          -- Binary I/O
  Bits
  Bool            -- &&, ||, not, otherwise
  Tuple           -- fst, snd
  Char            -- H98
  Complex         -- H98
  Dynamic
  Either
  Int
  Maybe           -- H98
  List            -- H98
  PackedString
  Ratio           -- H98
  Word
  IORef
  STRef           -- Same as Data.STRef.Strict
    Strict
    Lazy          -- The lazy version (for Control.Monad.ST.Lazy)
  Binary          -- Haskell binary I/O
  Digest
    MD5
    ...           -- others (CRC ?)
  Array           -- Haskell 98 Array library
    Unboxed
    IArray
    MArray
    IO            -- mutable arrays in the IO/ST monads
    ST
  Trees
    AVL
    RedBlack
    BTree
  Queue
    Bankers
    FIFO
  Collection
  Graph           -- start with GHC's DiGraph?
  FiniteMap

```



```

Set
Memo          -- (opt)
Unique

Edison        -- (opt, uses multi-param type classes)
    Prelude   -- large self-contained packages should have
    Collection -- their own hierarchy? Like a vendor branch.
    Queue     -- Or should the whole Edison tree be placed

Database
    MySQL
    PostgreSQL
    ODBC

Dotnet
    ...        -- Mirrors the MS .NET class hierarchy

Debug         -- see also: Test
    Trace
    Observe    -- choose a default amongst the variants
        Textual      -- Andy Gill's release 1
        ToXmlFile    -- Andy Gill's XML browser variant
        GHood        -- Claus Reinke's animated variant

Foreign
    Ptr
    StablePtr
    ForeignPtr -- rename to FinalisedPtr? to void confusion with Foreign.Ptr
    Storable
    Marshal
        Alloc
        Array
        Errors
        Utils
    C
        Types
        Errors
        Strings

GHC

```

```

    Exts                                -- hslibs/lang/GlaExts
    ...

Graphics
  HGL
  Rendering
    Direct3D
    FRAN
    Metapost
    Inventor
    Haven
    OpenGL
      GL
      GLU
    Pan
  UI
    FranTk
    Fudgets
    GLUT
    Gtk
    Motif
    ObjectIO
    TkHaskell
  X11
    Xt
    Xlib
    Xmu
    Xaw

Hugs
  ...

Language
  Haskell                                -- hslibs/hssource
    Syntax
    Lexer
    Parser
    Pretty
  HaskellCore
  Python

```

```

    C
    ...

Nhc
    ...

Numeric                      -- exports std. H98 numeric type classes
    Statistics

Network                      -- (== hslibs/net/Socket), depends on FFI only
    BER                      -- Basic Encoding Rules
    Socket                   -- or rename to Posix?
    URI                      -- general URI parsing
    CGI                      -- one in hslibs is ok?
    Protocol
        HTTP
        FTP
        SMTP

Prelude                      -- Haskell98 Prelude (mostly just re-exports
                             -- other parts of the tree).

Sound                        -- Sound, Music, Digital Signal Processing
    ALSA
    JACK
    MIDI
    OpenAL
    SC3                      -- SuperCollider

System                      -- Interaction with the "system"
    Cmd                      -- ( system )
    CPUTime                  -- H98
    Directory                -- H98
    Exit                     -- ( ExitCode(..), exitWith, exitFailure )
    Environment              -- ( getArgs, getProgName, getEnv ... )
    Info                     -- info about the host system
    IO                       -- H98 + IOExts - IOArray - IORef
        Select
        Unsafe               -- unsafePerformIO, unsafeInterleaveIO
    Console

```

```

    GetOpt
    Readline
    Locale          -- H98
    Posix
        Console
        Directory
        DynamicLinker
            Prim
            Module
        IO
        Process
        Time
    Mem             -- rename from cryptic 'GC'
    Weak            -- (opt)
    StableName      -- (opt)
    Time            -- H98 + extensions
    Win32           -- the full win32 operating system API

Test
    HUnit
    QuickCheck

Text
    Encoding
        QuotedPrintable
        Rot13
    Read
        Lex          -- cut down lexer for "read"
    Show
        Functions    -- optional instance of Show for functions.
    Regex           -- previously RegexString
        Posix         -- Posix regular expression interface
    PrettyPrint     -- default (HughesPJ?)
        HughesPJ
        Wadler
        Chitil
        ...
    HTML            -- HTML combinator lib
    XML
        Combinators

```

```

    Parse
    Pretty
    Types
    ParserCombinators -- no default
    ReadP             -- a more efficient "ReadS"
    Parsec
    Hutton_Meijer
    ...

Training             -- Collect study and learning materials
    <name of the tutor>

```

2.64.6.1 * Top-level module name
Top-level module names

2.64.7 import

import statement by default imports identifiers from the other module, using hierarchical module name, brings into scope the identifiers to the global scope both into unqualified and qualifies by the hierarchical module name forms.

This possibilities can mix and match:

- **<modName> ()** - import only instances of type classes.
- **<modName> (x, y)** - import only declared identifiers.
- **qualified <modName>** - discards unqualified names, force obligatory namespace for the imports.
- **hiding (x, y)** - skip import of declared identifiers.
- **<modName> as <modName>** - renames module namespace.

- `<type/class> (..)` - import class & it's methods, or type, all its data constructors & field names.

2.64.8 Let

* expression is a set of cross-recursive lazy pattern bindings.

Declarations permitted:

- type signatures
- function bindings
- pattern bindings

It is an expression (macro) and that integrates in external lexical scope expression it applied in.

Form:

```
let
  b1
  bn
in
  c
```

2.64.8.1 * Let expression

Let expressions

2.64.9 Where

Part of the syntax of the whole function declaration, has according scope.

As part of whole declaration - can extend over definitions of the function (pattern matches, guards).

Form:

```
f match1 = y
f match2 = y
f x =
  | cond1 x = y
  | cond2 x = y
  | otherwise = y
where
  y = ... x ...
```

2.64.9.1 * Where clause

2.65 Computer science

2.65.1 Guerrilla patch

* changing code/applying patch sneakily - and possibility incompatibility with other at runtime.

Monkey patch is derivative term.

2.65.1.1 Monkey patch *From Guerrilla patch.*

* is a way for program to modify supporting system software affecting only the running instance of the program.

2.65.2 Interface

Point of mutual meeting. Code behind interface determines how data is consumed.

2.65.3 Module

Importable organizational unit.

2.65.4 Scope

Area where binds are accessible.

2.65.4.1 Dynamic scope The name resolution depends upon the program state when the name is encountered, which is determined by the execution context or calling context.

2.65.4.2 Lexical scope Scope bound by the structure of source code where the named entity is defined.

2.65.4.2.1 * Static scope

2.65.4.3 Local scope Scope applies only in (current) area.

2.65.4.3.1 * Local

2.65.5 Shadowing

When in the local scope bigger scope variable overridden by same name variable from the local scope.

2.65.6 Syntactic sugar

Artificial way to make language easier to read and write.

2.65.7 System F

Is parametric polymorphism in programming.

Extends the Lambda calculus by introducing

\forall

(universal quantifier) over types.

2.65.7.1 * Girard–Reynolds polymorphic lambda calculus
Girard-Raynolds

2.65.8 Tail call

Final evaluation inside the function. Produces the function result.

2.65.9 Thunk

Not evaluated calculation. Can be dragged around, until be lazily evaluated.

2.66 Ground expression

Expression that does not contain any free variables.

2.66.1 *

Ground formula

2.67 Content word

Words that name objects of reality and their qualities.

2.68 Graph theory

2.68.1 Successor

Object that recieves the arrow.

2.68.1.1 Direct successor Immediat successor.

2.68.2 Predecessor

Object that sends arrow.

2.68.2.1 Direct predecessor Immediate predecessor.

2.68.3 Degree

Number of arrows of object.

2.68.3.1 Indegree Number of ingoing arrows.

2.68.3.2 Outdegree Number of outgoing arrows.

2.68.4 Adjacency matrix

Matrix of connection of objects $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

2.68.4.0.1 InstanceSigs Allow adding type signatures to type class function instance declaration.

2.69 Reserved word

Haskell has special meaning for:

`case, class, data, deriving, do, else, if, import, in, infix, infixl, infixr, instance,`

2.69.1 *

Reserved words

2.70 Type punning

When type constructor and data constructor have the same name.

Theoretically if person knows the rules - * can be solved, because in Haskell type and data declaration have different places of use.

2.71 Haskell Language Report

Document that is a standart of language.

2.71.1 *

Report

Haskell Report

Haskell 98 Language Report

Haskell 98 Report

Haskell 1998 Language Report

Haskell 2010 Language Report

Haskell 2010 Report

2.72 Haskell'

Current language development mod.

<https://prime.haskell.org/>

2.72.1 *

Haskell prime

2.73 Linear

Values consumed once or not used.

x^2 consumes x two times.

2.73.1 *

Linearity

2.74 Refutable

One that has an option to fail.

2.75 Irrefutable

One that can not fail.

2.76 Strongly connected

If every vertex in a graph is reachable from every other vertex.

It is possible to find all strongly connected components (and that way also test graph for strong connectivity), in linear time ($\Theta(V+E)$).

Binary relation of being strongly connected is an equivalence relation.

2.76.1 *

Strongly-connected

2.76.2 Strongly connected component

Full strongly connected subgraph of some graph.

* of a directed graph G is a subgraph that is strongly connected, and has property: no additional edges or vertices from G can be included in the subgraph without breaking its property of being strongly connected.

2.76.2.1 * SCC

Strongly connected components

Strongly-connected component

Strongly-connected components

2.77 Stream

* an infinite sequence that forgets previous objects, and remembers only currently relevant objects.

$$E \mid X \rightarrow (X \times A + 1)$$

, the set (or object) of streams on A (final coalgebra

$$A_*$$

of

$$E$$

).

`cycle` is one of stream functions.

```
a = (cycle [Nothing, Nothing, Just "Fizz"])
```

```
b = (cycle [Nothing, Nothing, Nothing, Nothing, Just "Buzz"])
```

Can be:

- indexed, timeless, with current object
- timed:

```
* [(timescale, event)]
```

```
* [(realtime, event)]
```

Has amalgamation with Functional Reactive Programming.

2.78 Bisimulation

When systems have exact external behaviour so for observer they are the same.

Binary relation between state transition systems that match each other's moves.

2.78.1 *

Bisimilar

2.79 Syntax tree

Tree of syntactic elements (each node denotes construct occurring in the source code) that represent the source code (or human language).

2.79.1 Abstract syntax tree

"Abstract" since does not represent every detail of the syntax (ex. parentheses), but rather concentrates on structure and content.

Widely used in compilers to check the code structure for accuracy and coherence.

2.79.1.1 * AST

2.79.2 Concrete syntax tree

An ordered, rooted syntax tree that represents the syntactic structure of a string according to some context-free grammar.

"Concrete" since (in contrast to "abstract") - concretely reflects the syntax of the input language.

2.79.2.1 * Parse tree
Derivation tree

2.80 Context-free grammar

Type of formal grammar that is: a set of production rules that describe all possible string in a given formal language.

Term is invented by Noam Chomsky.

2.80.1 *
CFG

2.81 Domain specific language

Language design/fitted for particular domain of application. Mainly should be Turing incomplete, since general-purpose language implies Turing completeness.

2.81.1 *
Domain-specific language
DSL

2.81.2 Embedded domain specific language

DSL used inside outer language.

Two levels of embedding:

- Shallow: DSL translates into Haskell directly
- Deep: Between DSL and Haskell there is a data structure that reflects the expression tree, AKA stores the syntax tree.

2.81.2.1 * eDSL

2.82 Turing machine

Mathematical model of computation that defines abstract Turing machine. Abstract machine which manipulates symbols on a strip of tape, according to a table of rules.

2.83 Turing complete

Set of action rules that can simulate any Turing machine.

2.83.1 *

Turing incomplete

Turing incompleteness

Turing completeness

Computationally universal

2.84 Tagless-final

Method of embedding eDSL in a typed functional host language (Haskell). Alternative to the embedding as a (generalized) algebraic data type. For parsers of DLS expressions: (1/partial) evaluator, compiler, pretty printer, multi-pass optimizer.

* embedding is writing denotational semantics for the DSL in the host language.

Approach can be used iff eDSL is typed. Only well-typed terms become embeddable, and host language can implement also a eDSL type system. Approach that eDSL code interpretations are type-preserving.

One of main pros of * - extensibility: implementation of DSL can be used to analyze/evaluate/transform/pretty-print/compile and interpreters can be extended to more passes, optimizations, and new versions of DSL

while keeping/using/reusing the old versions.

Example fields of application: language-integrated queries, non-deterministic & probabilistic programming, delimiter continuation, computability theory, stream processing, hardware description languages, generation of specialized numerical kernels, semantics of natural language.

3 Give definitions

3.1 Identity type

3.2 Constant type

3.3 Gen

3.4 Tensorial strength

3.5 Strong monad

3.6 Weak head normal form

3.6.1 *

WHNF

3.7 Function image

3.7.1 *

Image

3.8 Invertible

3.9 Invertibility

3.10 Define LANGUAGE pragma options

3.10.1 ExistentialQuantification

3.10.2 GADTs

GADT is a generalization over parametric algebraic data types which allow explicitly denote the types (type matching) of the constructors and define

data types using pattern matching on the left side of "data" statements.

3.10.3 *

GADT

Generalized algebraic data type

First-class phantom data type

Guarded recursive data type

Equality-qualified data type

3.10.4 GeneralizedNewTypeClasses

3.10.5 FuncitonalDependencies

3.11 GHC check keys

3.11.1 -Wno-partial-type-signatures

Supresses PartialTypeSignatures wildcard infer warning.

3.12 Generalised algebraic data types

LANGUAGE GADTs

3.12.1 *

GADT

3.13 Order theory

Investigates in thepht the intuitive notion of order using binary relations.

3.13.1 Domain theory

Formalizes approximation and convergense.

Has close relation to Topology.

3.13.2 Lattice

Abstract structure that consists of partially ordered set, where every two elements have unique supremum and infimum. == * algebraic structure satisfying certain axiomatic identities.

* order-theory & algebraic.

3.13.3 Order

3.13.3.1 Preorder $R^X \rightarrow X$: Reflexive & Transitive:

$$aRa$$

$$aRb, bRc \Rightarrow aRc$$

Generalization of equivalence relations partial orders.

* Antisymmetric \Rightarrow Partial ordering.

* Symmetric \Rightarrow Equivalence.

3.13.3.1.1 * Preordered

3.13.3.1.2 Total preorder

$$\forall a, b : a \leq b \vee b \leq a$$

\Rightarrow Total Preorder.

3.13.3.2 Partial order A binary relation must be reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive.

Partial - not every elements between them need to be comparable.

Good example of $*$ is a genealogical descendancy. Only related people produce relation, not related do not.

3.13.3.2.1 $*$ Partial orders

Partially ordered set

Partially ordered sets

Poset

Posets

3.13.4 Partial order

3.13.5 Total order

3.14 Universal algebra

Studies algebraic structures.

3.15 Relation

3.15.1 Reflexivity

$$R^{X \rightarrow X}, \forall x \in X : xRx$$

Order theory:

$$a \leq a$$

$*$ - each element is comparable to itself.

Corresponds to Identity and Automorphism.

3.15.1.1 $*$ Reflexive

Reflexive relation

3.15.2 Irreflexivity

$$R^{X \rightarrow X}, \forall x \in X : \nexists R(x, x)$$

3.15.2.1 * Anti-reflexive

Anti-reflexive relation

Irreflexive

Irreflexive relation

3.15.3 Transitivity

$$\forall a, b, c \in X, \forall R^{X \rightarrow X} : (aRb \wedge bRc) \Rightarrow aRc$$

* - the start of a chain of precedence relations must precede the end of the chain.

3.15.3.1 * Transitive

Transitive relation

3.15.4 Symmetry

$$\forall a, b \in X : (aRb \iff bRa)$$

3.15.4.1 * Symmetric

Symmetric relation

3.15.5 Equivalence

Reflexive	Symmetric	Transitive
$\forall x \in X, \exists R : xRx$	$\forall a, b \in X : (aRb \iff bRa)$	$\forall a, b, c \in X, \forall R^{X \rightarrow X} : (aRb \wedge bRc) \Rightarrow aRc$
$a = a$	$a = b \iff b = a$	$a = b, b = c \Rightarrow a = c$

3.15.5.1 * Equivalent
Equivalent relation

3.15.6 Antisymmetry

$$\forall a, b \in X : aRb, bRa \Rightarrow a = b$$

~

$$aRb, a \neq b \Rightarrow \nexists bRa$$

.

Antisymmetry does not say anything about

$$R(a, a)$$

.

* - no two different elements precede each other.

3.15.6.1 * Antisymmetric
Antisymmetric relation

3.15.7 Asymmetry

$$\forall a, b \in X (aRb \Rightarrow \neg(bRa))$$

*

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

Antisymmetric \wedge Irreflexive.

Asymmetry \neq "not symmetric"

Symmetric \wedge Asymmetric is only empty relation.

3.15.7.1 * Asymmetric
Asymmetric relation

3.16 Cryptomorphism

Equivalent, interconvertable with no loss of information.

3.16.1 *

Cryptomorphic

3.17 Lexically scoped type variables

Enable lexical scope for forall quantifier defined type variables

Implemented in ScopedTypeVariables

3.18 Abstract data type

Several definitions here, reduce them.

Data type mathematical model, defined by its semantics from the user point of view, listing possible values, operations on the data of the type, and behaviour of these operations.

* class of objects whose logical behaviour is defined by a set of values and set of operations (analogue to algebraic structure in mathematics).

A specification of a data type like a stack or queue where the specification does not contain any implementation details at all, only the operations for that data type. This can be thought of as the contract of the data type.

3.18.1 *

AbsDT

3.19 ADT

1. Abstract data type

2. Algebraic data type

3.20 Concrete type

Fully defined type. Non-polymorphic type.

3.21 Functional dependencies

3.22 MonoLocalBinds

3.23 KindSignatures

3.24 ExplicitNamespaces

3.25 Combinator pattern

3.26 Symbolic expression

Nested tree data structure.

Introduced & used in Lisp. Lisp code and data are $*$.

$*$ in Lisp: Atom or expression of the form $(x \ . \ y)$, x and y are $*$.

Modern abbreviated notation of $*$: $(x \ y)$.

3.26.1 $*$

S-expression

S-expressions

Sexpression

Sexpressions

Sexp

Sexps

Sexpr

Sexprs

3.27 Polynomial

Expression consisting of:

- variables
- coefficients
- addition
- subtraction
- multiplication (including positive integer variable exponentiation)

Polynomials form a ring. Polynomial ring.

3.27.1 *

Polynomials

3.28 Data family

Indexed form of data and newtype definitions.

3.29 Type synonym family

Indexed form of type synonyms.

3.30 Indexed type family

* additional structure in language that allows ad-hoc overloading of data types. AKA are to types as type class to methods.

Varieties:

- data family
- type synonym families

Defined by pattern matching the partial functions between types. Associates data types by type-level function defined by open-ended collection of valid instances of input types and corresponding output types.

Normal type classes define partial functions from types to a collection of named values by pattern matching on the input types, while type families define partial functions from types to types by pattern matching on the input types. In fact, in many uses of type families there is a single type class which logically contains both values and types associated with each instance. A type family declared inside a type class is called an associated type.

3.30.1 *

Type family

3.31 TypeFamilies

Allow use and definition of indexed type families and data families.

- * are type-level programming.
- * are overload data types in the same way that type classes overload functions.
- * allow handling of dependent types. Before it Functional dependencies and GADTs were used to solve that.
- * useful for generic programming, creating highly parametrised interfaces for libraries, and creating interfaces with enhanced static information (much like dependent types).

Implies: MonoLocalBinds, KindSignatures, ExplicitNamespaces

Two types of * are:

3.32 Error

Mistake in the program that can be resolved only by fixing the program.

`error` is a sugar for `undefined`.

Distinct from `Exception`.

3.32.1 *

Errors

3.33 Exception

Expected but irregular situation.

Distinct from `Error`. Also see `Exception vs Error`

3.33.1 *

Exceptions

3.34 ConstraintKinds

Constraints are just handled as types of a particular kind (`Constraint`).
Any type of the kind `Constraints` can be used as a constraint.

- Anything which is already allowed in code as a constraint without `*`.
Saturated applications to type classes, implicit parameter and equality constraints.
- Tuples, all of whose component types have kind `Constraint`.

```
type Some a = (Show a, Ord a, Arbitrary a) -- is of kind Constraint.
```

- Anything form of which is not yet known, but the user has declared for it to have kind `Constraint` (for which they need to import it from `GHC.Exts`):

```

Foo (f :: Type -> Constraint) = forall b. f b => b -> b -- is allowed
-- as well as examples involving type families:
type family Typ a b :: Constraint
type instance Typ Int  b = Show b
type instance Typ Bool b = Num b

func :: Typ a b => a -> b -> b
func = ...

```

3.35 Specialisation

Turns ad hoc polymorphic function into compiled type-specific implementations.

3.35.1 *

Specialise
Specialize
Specialization

3.36 Diagram

For categories \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{J} , a diagram of type \mathbf{J} in \mathbf{C} is a covariant functor $D : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

3.37 Category theoretical presheaf

For categories \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{J} , a \mathbf{J} -presheaf on \mathbf{C} is a contravariant functor $D : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}$.

3.38 Topological presheaf

If X is a topological space, then the open sets in X form a partially ordered set $\text{Open}(X)$ under inclusion. Like every partially ordered set, $\text{Open}(X)$ forms a small category by adding a single arrow $U \rightarrow V$ if and only if $U \subseteq V$. Contravariant functors on $\text{Open}(X)$ are called presheaves on X . For instance, by assigning to every open set U the associative algebra of real-valued continuous functions on U , one obtains a presheaf of algebras on X .

3.39 Diagonal functor

The diagonal functor is defined as the functor from D to the functor category D^C which sends each object in D to the constant functor at that object.

3.40 Limit functor

For a fixed index category J , if every functor $J \rightarrow C$ has a limit (for instance if C is complete), then the limit functor $C^J \rightarrow C$ assigns to each functor its limit. The existence of this functor can be proved by realizing that it is the right-adjoint to the diagonal functor and invoking the Freyd adjoint functor theorem. This requires a suitable version of the axiom of choice. Similar remarks apply to the colimit functor (which is covariant).

3.41 Dual vector space

The map which assigns to every vector space its dual space and to every linear map its dual or transpose is a contravariant functor from the category of all vector spaces over a fixed field to itself.

3.42 Fundamental group

Consider the category of pointed topological spaces, i.e. topological spaces with distinguished points. The objects are pairs (X, x_0) , where X is a topological space and x_0 is a point in X . A morphism from (X, x_0) to (Y, y_0) is given by a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(x_0) = y_0$.

To every topological space X with distinguished point x_0 , one can define the fundamental group based at x_0 , denoted $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. This is the group of homotopy classes of loops based at x_0 . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of pointed spaces, then every loop in X with base point x_0 can be composed with f to yield a loop in Y with base point y_0 . This operation is compatible with the homotopy equivalence relation and the composition of loops, and we get a group homomorphism from $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ to $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$. We thus obtain a functor from the category of pointed topological spaces to the category of groups.

In the category of topological spaces (without distinguished point), one considers homotopy classes of generic curves, but they cannot be composed unless they share an endpoint. Thus one has the fundamental groupoid instead of the fundamental group, and this construction is functorial.

3.43 Algebra of continuous function

A contravariant functor from the category of topological spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) to the category of real associative algebras is given by assigning to every topological space X the algebra $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on that space. Every continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces an algebra homomorphism $C(f) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ by the rule $C(f)(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$ for every φ in $C(Y)$.

3.44 Tangent and cotangent bundle

The map which sends every differentiable manifold to its tangent bundle and every smooth map to its derivative is a covariant functor from the category of differentiable manifolds to the category of vector bundles.

Doing this constructions pointwise gives the tangent space, a covariant functor from the category of pointed differentiable manifolds to the category of real vector spaces. Likewise, cotangent space is a contravariant functor, essentially the composition of the tangent space with the dual space above.

3.45 Group action / representation

Every group G can be considered as a category with a single object whose morphisms are the elements of G . A functor from G to Set is then nothing

but a group action of G on a particular set, i.e. a G -set. Likewise, a functor from G to the category of vector spaces, Vect_K , is a linear representation of G . In general, a functor $G \rightarrow C$ can be considered as an "action" of G on an object in the category C . If C is a group, then this action is a group homomorphism.

3.46 Lie algebra

Assigning to every real (complex) Lie group its real (complex) Lie algebra defines a functor.

3.47 Tensor product

If C denotes the category of vector spaces over a fixed field, with linear maps as morphisms, then the tensor product $V \otimes W$ defines a functor $C \times C \rightarrow C$ which is covariant in both arguments.

3.48 Forgetful functor

The functor $U : \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ which maps a group to its underlying set and a group homomorphism to its underlying function of sets is a functor.[8] Functors like these, which "forget" some structure, are termed forgetful functors. Another example is the functor $\text{Rng} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ which maps a ring to its underlying additive abelian group. Morphisms in Rng (ring homomorphisms) become morphisms in Ab (abelian group homomorphisms).

3.49 Free functor

Going in the opposite direction of forgetful functors are free functors. The free functor $F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$ sends every set X to the free group generated by X . Functions get mapped to group homomorphisms between free groups. Free constructions exist for many categories based on structured sets. See free object.

3.50 Homomorphism group

To every pair A, B of abelian groups one can assign the abelian group $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ consisting of all group homomorphisms from A to B . This is a functor which is contravariant in the first and covariant in the second argument, i.e. it is a functor $\text{Abop} \times \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ (where Ab denotes the category of abelian groups with group homomorphisms). If $f : A1 \rightarrow A2$ and $g : B1 \rightarrow B2$ are morphisms in Ab , then the group homomorphism $\text{Hom}(f, g) : \text{Hom}(A2, B1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A1, B2)$ is given by $g \circ f$. See Hom functor.

3.51 Representable functor

We can generalize the previous example to any category C . To every pair X, Y of objects in C one can assign the set $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ of morphisms from X to Y . This defines a functor to Set which is contravariant in the first argument and covariant in the second, i.e. it is a functor $\text{Cop} \times C \rightarrow \text{Set}$. If $f : X1 \rightarrow X2$ and $g : Y1 \rightarrow Y2$ are morphisms in C , then the group homomorphism $\text{Hom}(f, g) : \text{Hom}(X2, Y1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X1, Y2)$ is given by $g \circ f$.

Functors like these are called representable functors. An important goal in many settings is to determine whether a given functor is representable.

3.52 Corecursion

3.53 Coinduction

proper definition

* dual to induction.

Generalises to corecursion.

3.54 Initial algebra of an endofunctor

3.55 Terminal coalgebra for an endofunctor

4 Citations

"One of the finer points of the Haskell community has been its propensity for recognizing abstract patterns in code which

have well-defined, lawful representations in mathematics.” (Chris Allen, Julie Moronuki - ”Haskell Programming from First Principles” (2017))

5 Good code

5.1 Good: Type aliasing

Use data type aliases to deferentiate logic of values.

5.2 Good: Type wideness

Wider the type the more it is polymorphic, means it has broader application and fits more types.

The more constrained system has more usefulness.

Unconstrained means most flexible, but also most useless.

5.3 Good: Print

```
print :: Show a => a -> IO ()  
print a = putStrLn (show a)
```

5.4 Good: Fold

`foldr` spine recursion intermediated by the folding. Can terminate at any point.

`foldl` spine recursion is unconditional, then folding starts. Unconditionally recurses across the whole spine, if it infinite - infinitely.

5.5 Good: Computation model

Model the domain and types before thinking about how to write computations.

5.6 Good: Make bottoms only local

5.7 Good: Newtype wrap is ideally transparent for compiler and does not change performance

5.8 Good: Instances of types/type classes must go with code you write

5.9 Good: Functions can be abstracted as arguments

5.10 Good: Infix operators can be bind to arguments

5.11 Good: Arbitrary

Product types can be tested as a product of random generators.

Sum types require to implement generators with separate constructors, and picking one of them, use `oneof` or `frequency` to pick generators.

5.12 Good: Principle of Separation of concerns

5.13 Good: Function composition

In Haskell inline composition requires:

```
h.g.f $ i
```

Function application has a higher priority than composition. That is why parentheses over argument are needed.

This precedence allows idiomatically compose partially applied functions.

But it is a way better then:

```
h (g (f i))
```

5.14 Good: Point-free

Use Tacit very carefully - it hides types and harder to change code where it is used.

Use just enough Tacit to communicate a bit better. Mostly only partial point-free communicates better.

5.14.1 Good: Point-free is great in multi-dimensions

BigData and OLAP analysis.

5.15 Good: Functor application

Function application on n levels beneath:

```
(fmap . fmap) function twoLevelStructure
```

How `fmap . fmap` typechecks:

```
(.)      :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
fmap     :: Functor f => (m -> n) -> f m -> f n
fmap     :: Functor g => (x -> y) -> g x -> g y

fmap . fmap :: (Functor f, Functor g)
              => ((g x -> g y) -> f . g x -> f . g y)
              -> (( x -> y) ->      g x ->      g y)
              -> (  x -> y) -> f . g x -> f . g y
fmap . fmap :: (x -> y) -> f . g x -> f . g y
```

5.16 Good: Parameter order

In functions parameter order is important.

It is best to use first the most reusable parameters.

And as last one the one that can be the most variable, that is important to chain.

5.17 Good: Applicative monoid

There can be more than one valid Monoid for a data type. `&&`

There can be more than one valid Applicative instance for a data type. `->`

There can be different Applicatives with different Monoid implementations.

5.18 Good: Creative process

5.18.1 Pick philosophy principles one to three the more - the harder the implementation

5.18.2 Draw the most blurred representation

5.18.3 Deduce abstractions and write remotely what they are

5.18.4 Model of computation

5.18.4.1 Model the domain

5.18.4.2 Model the types

5.18.4.3 Think how to write computations

5.18.5 Create

5.19 «<Good: About operators (<\$) (**>) (<*) (>>) »>

Where character is not present - discard the according processing of a parameter.

(>>) is an exception, it does the reverse. ignores the first parameter.

5.20 Good: About functions like {mapM, sequence}__

Trailing _ means ignoring the result.

5.21 Good: Guideliles

5.21.1 Wiki.haskell

5.21.1.1 Documentation

5.21.1.1.1 Comments write in application terms, not technical.

5.21.1.1.2 Tell what code needs to do not how it does.

5.21.1.2 Haddock

5.21.1.2.1 Put haddock comments to ever exposed data type and function.

5.21.1.2.2 Haddock header

```
{- |  
Module      : <File name or $Header$ to be replaced automatically>  
Description : <optional short text displayed on contents page>  
Copyright   : (c) <Authors or Affiliations>  
License     : <license>  
  
Maintainer  : <email>  
Stability   : unstable | experimental | provisional | stable | frozen  
Portability : portable | non-portable (<reason>)  
  
<module description starting at first column>  
-}
```

5.21.1.3 Code

5.21.1.3.1 Try to stay closer to portable (Haskell98) code

5.21.1.3.2 Try make lines no longer 80 chars

5.21.1.3.3 Last char in file should be newline

5.21.1.3.4 Symbolic infix identifiers is only library writer right

5.21.1.3.5 Every function does one thing.

5.22 Good: Use Typed holes to progress the code

Typed holes help build code in complex situations.

5.23 Good: Haskell allows infinite terms but not infinite types

That is why infinite types throw infinite type error.

5.24 Good: Use type synonyms to differ the information

Even if there is types - define type synonyms. They are free.

That distinction with synonyms, would allow `TypeSynonymInstances`, which would allow to create a different type class instances and behaviour for different information.

5.25 «<Good: `Control.Monad.Error -> Control.Monad.Except`>>

5.26 Good: Monad OR Applicative

5.26.0.1 Start writing monad using 'return', 'ap', 'liftM', 'liftM2', '»' instead of 'do', '»=' If you wrote code and really needed only those - move that code to Applicative.

```
return -> pure
ap -> <*>
liftM -> liftA -> <$>
>> -> *>
```

5.26.0.2 Basic case when Applicative can be used Can be rewritten in Applicative:

```
func = do
  a <- f
  b <- g
pure (a, b)
```

Can't be rewritten in Applicative:

```
somethingdoSomething' n = do
  a <- f n
  b <- g a
pure (a, b)
```

(f n) creates monadic structure, binds it to *a* which is consumed then by *g*.

5.26.0.3 Applicative block vs Monad block With Type Applicative every condition fails/succeeds independently. It needs a boilerplate data constructor/value pattern matching code to work. And code you can write only for so many cases and types, so boilerplate can not be so flexible as Monad that allows polymorphism.

With Type Monad computation can return value that dependent from the previous computation result. So abort or dependent processing can happen.

5.27 Good: Haskell Package Versioning Policy

Version policy and dependency management.

[width=.9]Good_{code}/pvp – decision – tree₂019 – 06 – 17₁5 – 49 – 21

5.27.1 *

PVP

Good: PVP

5.28 Good: Linear type

Linear types are great to control/minimize resource usage.

5.29 Good: Exception vs Error

Many languages and Haskell have it all mixup. Here is table showing what belongs to one or other in standard libraries:

Exception	Prelude.catch, Control.Exception.catch, Control.Exception.try, IOError, Control.Monad
Error	error, assert, Control.Exception.catch, Debug.Trace.trace

5.30 Good: Let vs. Where

`let ... in ...` is a separate expression. In contrast, `where` is bound to a surrounding syntactic construct (namespace).

5.31 Good: RankNTypes

Can powerfully synergyze with ScopedTypeVariables.

5.32 Good: Orphan type instance

Practice to address orphan instances:

Does type class or type defined by you:

Type class	Type	Recommendation
	✓	{Type, instance} in the same module
✓		{Typeclass & instance} in the same module
		{Define newtype wrap, its instances} in the same module

5.33 Good: Smart constructor

Only proper smart constructors should be exported. Do not export data type constructor, only a type.

5.34 Good: Thin category

In * all morphisms are epimorphisms and monomorphisms.

5.35 Good: Recursion

Writing/thinking about recursion:

1. Find the base cases, om input of which the answer can be provided right away. There is mosly one base case, but sometimes there can be several of them. Typical base cases are: zero, the empty list, the empty tree, null, etc.
2. Do inductive case. The recursive invocation. The argument of a recursive call needs to be smaller then the current argument. So it would be gradually closer to the base case. The idea is that processes eventually

hits the base case.

Simple functional application is used in the recursion.
Assume that the functions would return the right result.

5.36 Good: Monoid

<>:

Sets - union.

Maps - left-biased union.

Number - `Sum`, `Product` form separate monoid categories.

5.37 Good: Free monad

The main case of usage of Free monads in Haskell:

Start implementation of the monad from a Free monad, drafting the base monadic operations, then add custom operations.

Gradually build on top of Free monad and try to find homomorphisms from monad to objects, and if only objects are needed - get rid of the free monad.

5.38 Good: Use mostly where clauses

5.39 Good: Where clause is in a scope with function parameters

5.40 Good: Strong preference towards pattern matching over {head, tail, etc.} functions

`head` and `tail` and alike functions are often partial (unsafe) functions.

5.41 Good: Patternmatching is possible on monadic bind in do

Example:

```
instance (Monad m) => Functor (StateT s m) where
  fmap f m = StateT $ \s -> do
    (x, s') <- runStateT m s  -- Here is a pattern matching bind
    return (f x, s')
```

5.42 Good: Applicative vs Monad

Giving not Monad but Applicative requirement allows parallel computation, but if there should be a chaining of the intermediate state - it must be monadic.

5.43 Good: StateT, ReaderT, WriterT

Reader trait: (r ->).

Writer trait: (a, w).

State trait is combination of both:

```
newtype StateT s m a =
  StateT { runStateT :: s -> m (a, s) }
```

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =
  ReaderT { runReaderT :: r -> m a }
```

```
newtype WriterT w m a =
  WriterT { runWriterT :: m (a, w) }
```

State trait fully replaces writer.

5.44 Good: Working with MonadTrans and lift

From the `lift . pure = pure` follows that `MonadTrans` type can have a `pure` defined with `lift`.

Stacking of `MonadTrans` monads can result in a lot of chained `lift` and `unwraps`. There is many ways to cope with that but the most robust and common is to abstract representation with `newtype` on the `Monad` stack. This can reduce caining or remove the manual lifting withing the `Monad`. For perfect combination for contributors to be able to extend the code - keep the `Internal` module that has a raw representation.

5.45 Good: Don't mix Where and Let

`let` and `where` create a recursive set of definitions with can explode, don't mix them together in code.

5.46 Good: Where vs. Let

`Let` is self-recursive lazy pattern. It is checked and errors only at execution time. Binds only inside expression it is binded to.

`Where` is a part of definition, scoped over definition implemetations and guards, not self-recursive.

5.47 Good: The proper nature algorithm that models behaviour of many objects is computation heavy

God does not care about our mathematical difficulties. He integrates empirically.

One who is found of mathematical meaning loves to apply it. But if we implement the "real" algorithms behind nature processes, we face the need to go through the computations of laws of all particles.

Computation of nature is always a middle way between ideal theory behaviour and computation simplification.

5.48 Good: In Haskell parameters bound by lambda declaration instantiate to only one concrete type

Because of let-bound polymorphism:

This is illegal in Haskell:

```
foo :: (Int, Char)
foo = (\f -> (f 1, f 'a')) id
```

Lambda-bound function (i.e., one passed as argument to another function) cannot be instantiated in two different ways, if there is a let-bound polymorphism.

5.49 Good: Instance is a good structure to draw a type line

Instances for data type can differentiate by constraints & types of arguments. So instance can preserve type boundary, and data type declaration can stay very polymorphic. If the need to extend the type boundaries arrive - the instances may extend, or new instances are created, while used data type still the same and unchanged.

5.50 Good: MTL vs. Transformers

Default of `mtl`.

`Transformers` is Haskell-98, doesn't have functional dependencies, lacks the monad classes, has manual lift of operations to the composite monad.

MTL extends `transformers`, providing more instances, features and possibilities, may include alternative packages features as `mtl-tf`.

6 Bad code

6.1 Bad pragma

6.1.1 Bad: Dangerous LANGUAGE pragma option

- DatatypeContexts
- OverlappingInstances
- IncoherentInstances
- ImpredicativeTypes
- AllowAmbiguousTypes
- UndecidableInstances - often

7 Useful functions to remember

7.1 Prelude

```
enumFromTo
enumFromThenTo
reverse
show :: Show a => a -> String
flip
sequence - Evaluate each monadic action in the structure from left to right, and collect the results
:sprint - show variables to see what has been evaluated already.
minBound - smaller bound
maxBound - larger bound
cycle :: [a] -> [a] - indefinitely cycle s list
repeat - indefinitely list from value
elemIndex e l - return first index, returns Maybe
fromMaybe (default if Nothing) e :: Maybe a -> a
lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b
```

7.1.1 Ord

compare

7.1.2 Calc

div - always makes rounding down, to infinity

divMod - returns a tuple containing the result of integral division and modulo

7.1.3 List operations

concat - [[a]] -> [a]

elem x xs - is element a part of a list

zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a, b)] - zips two lists together. Zip stops when one list runs out

zipWith :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c] - do the action on corresponding elements

7.2 Data.List

intersperse :: a -> [a] -> [a] - gets the value and inserts it between values in a list

nub - remove duplicates from the list

7.3 Data.Char

ord (Char -> Int)

chr (Int -> Char)

isUpper (Char -> Bool)

toUpper (Char -> Char)

7.4 QuickCheck

quickCheck :: Testable prop => prop -> IO ()

quickCheck . verbose - run verbose mode

8 Tools

8.1 ghc-pkg

List installed packages:

```
ghc-pkg list
```

8.2 Search over the Haskell packages code: Codesearch from Aelve

<https://codesearch.aelve.com/>

8.3 Integration of NixOS/Nix with Haskell IDE Engine (HIE) and Emacs (Spacemacs)

8.3.1 1. Install the Cachix: <https://github.com/cachix/cachix>

8.3.2 2. Installation of HIE: <https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/#cached-builds>

8.3.2.1 2.1. Provide cached builds

```
cachix use all-hies
```

8.3.2.2 2.2.a. Installation on NixOS distribution:

```
{ config, pkgs, ... }:
```

```
let
```

```
    all-hies = import (fetchTarball "https://github.com/infinisil/all-hies/tarball/mast
```

```
in {
```

```
    environment.systemPackages = with pkgs; [
```

```
        (all-hies.selection { selector = p: { inherit (p) ghc865 ghc864; }; })
```

```
    ];
```

```
}
```

Insert your GHC versions.

Switch to new configuration:

```
sudo -i nixos-rebuild switch
```

8.3.2.3 2.2.b. Installation with Nix package manager:

```
nix-env -iA selection --arg selector 'p: { inherit (p) ghc865 ghc864; }' -f 'https://
```

Insert your GHC versions.

8.3.3 3. Emacs (Spacemacs) configuration:

```
dotspacemacs-configuration-layers
'(

  auto-completion

  (lsp :variables
    default-nix-wrapper (lambda (args)
      (append
        (append (list "nix-shell" "-I" "." "--command" )
          (list (mapconcat 'identity args " ")))
        )
      (list (nix-current-sandbox))
    )
  )

  lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function default-nix-wrapper
)

(haskell :variables
  haskell-enable-hindent t
  haskell-completion-backend 'lsp
  haskell-process-type 'cabal-new-repl
)
```



```
)

dotspacemacs-additional-packages '(
                                direnv
                                nix-sandbox
                                )

(defun dotspacemacs/user-config ()

  (add-hook 'haskell-mode-hook 'direnv-update-environment) ;; If direnv configured

)
```

Where:

auto-complettion configures YASnippet.

`nix-sandbox` (<https://github.com/travisbhartwell/nix-emacs>) has a great helper functions. Using `nix-current-sandbox` function in `default-nix-wrapper` that used to properly configure `lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function`.

Configuration of the `lsp-haskell-process-wrapper-function` `default-nix-wrapper` is a key for HIE to work in `nix-shell`

Inside `nix-shell` the `haskell-process-type` `'cabal-new-repl` is required.

Configuration was reassembled from: <https://github.com/emacs-lsp/lsp-haskell/blob/8f2dbb6e827b1adce6360c56f795f29ecff1d7f6/lsp-haskell.el#L57> & its authors config: [\[\[https://github.com/sevanspowell/dotfiles/blob/master/spacemacs\]\]](https://github.com/sevanspowell/dotfiles/blob/master/spacemacs)

Refresh Emacs.

8.3.4 4. Open the Haskell file from a project

Open system monitor, observe the process of environment establishing, packages loading & compiling.

8.3.5 5. Be pleased writing code

Now, the powers of the Haskell, Nix & Emacs combined. It's fully in your hands now. Be cautious - you can change the world.

8.3.6 6. (optional) Debugging

1. If receiving sort-of:

```
readCreateProcess : cabal-helper-wrapper failure
```

HIE tries to run `cabal` operations like on the non-Nix system. So it is a problem with detection of `nix-shell` environment, running inside it.

1. If HIE keeps getting ready, failing & restarting - check that the projects `ghc --version` is declared in your `all-hie` NixOS configuration.

8.4 Debugger

Provides:

- set a breakpoints

- observe step-by-step evaluation
- tracing mode

Breakpoints

```
:break 2
:show breaks
:delete 0
:continue
```

Step-by-step

```
:step main
```

List information at the breakpoint

```
:list
```

What been evaluated already

```
:sprint name
```

8.5 GHCID

Commands to run the compile/check loop:

cabal > 3.0 command:

```
ghcid --command='cabal v2-repl --repl-options=-fno-code --repl-options=-fno-break-on-e
```

cabal < 3.0 command:

```
ghcid --command='cabal new-repl --ghc-options=-fno-code --ghc-options=-fno-break-on-e
```

nix-shell cabal > 3.0 command:

```
nix-shell --command 'ghcid --command="cabal v2-repl --repl-options=-fno-code --repl-o
```

```
    nix-shell cabal < 3.0 command:
```

```
nix-shell --command 'ghcid --command="cabal new-repl --ghc-options=-fno-code --ghc-op
```

9 Libs

9.1 Exceptions

- 9.1.1 Exceptions - optionally pure extensible exceptions that are compatible with the mtl
- 9.1.2 Safe-exceptions - safe, simple API equivalent to the underlying implementation in terms of power, encourages best practices minimizing the chances of getting the exception handling wrong.
- 9.1.3 Enclosed-exceptions - capture exceptions from the enclosed computation, while reacting to asynchronous exceptions aimed at the calling thread.

9.2 Memory management

- 9.2.1 membrain - type-safe memory units

9.3 Parsers - megaparsec

9.4 CLIs - optparse-applicative

9.5 HTML - Lucid

9.6 Web applications - Servant

9.7 IO libraries

- 9.7.1 Conduit - practical, monolythic, guarantees termination return
- 9.7.2 Pipes + Pipes Parse - modular, more primitive, theoretically driven

9.8 JSON - aeson

10 Drafts

10.1 Exception handling

Exception must include all context information that may be useful. Store information in a form for further probable deeper automatic diagnostic.

Sensitive data/dummies for it - can be useful during development.

Sensitive data should be stripped from a program logging & exceptions.

Exception system should be extendable, data storage & representation should be easily extendable.

Exception system should allow easy exhaustive checking of errors, since the different errors can happen.

Exception system should be automatically well-documented and transparent.

Exception system should have controllable breaking changes downstream.

Exception system should allow complex composite (sets) exceptions.

Exception system should be lightweight on the type signatures of other functions.

Exception system should automate the collection of context for an exception.

Exception system should have properties and according functions for particular types of errors.

`String` is simple and convenient to throw exception, but really a mistake because it is the most cumbersome choice:

- Any Exception instance can be converted to a `String` with either `show` or `displayException`.
- Does not include key debugging information in the error message.
- Does not allow developer to access/manage the Exception information.
- Exception messages need to be constructed ahead of time, it can not be internationalized, converted to some data/file format.
- Exception can have a sensitive information that can be useful for developer during work, but should not be logged/shown to end-user. Stripping it from `Strings` in the changing project is a hard task.
- Impossible to rely on this representation for further/deeper inspection.
- Impossible to have exhaustive checking - no knowledge no check, no warning if some cases are not handled.

Universal exception type:

- Able to inspect every possible error case with pattern match.
- Self-documenting. Shows the hierarchical system of all exceptions.
- Transparent. Ability to discern in current situation what exceptions can happen
- New exception constructor causes breaking change to downstream.
- Wrongly implies completeness. Untreated Errors can happen, different exception can arrive from the outside code.

Sum type must be separate, and product type structure over it.
Separate exception type of

Individual exception types:

- Writing & using & working with exactly what will go wrong because there is only one possible error for this type of exception. Pattern match happens only on conditions, constructors that should happen.
- Knowledge what exactly goes wrong allows wide usage of Either.
- It is hard to handle complex exceptions in the unitary system. Real world can return not a particular case, but a set of cases {object not found, path is unreachable, access is denied}.
- Type signatures grow, and even can become complex, since every case of exception has its own type.

- Impure `throw` that users can/should use for your code must account for all your exception types.

Abstract exception type:

Exception type entirely opaque and inspectable only by accessor functions.

- Updating the internals without breaking the API
- Semi-automates the context of exception with passing it to accessors.
- Predicates can be applied to more than one constructor. Which are properties that allows to make complex exceptions much easier to handle.
- Not self-documenting.
- Possible options by design are hidden from the downstream, documentation must be kept.
- When you change the exception handling/throwing errors it does not shows to the downstream.

Composit approach:

Provide the set of constructors and also a set of predicates and set of accessors.

Use pattern synonyms to provide a documented accessor set without exposing internal data type.

In GHC 8.8 the change was made:

The fail method of Monad has been removed in favor of the method of the same name in the MonadFail class.

`MonadFail(..)` is now exported from the `Prelude` and `Control.Monad` modules.

The `MonadFailDesugaring` language extension is now deprecated, as its effects are always enabled.

So:

```
import           Control.Monad.Fail
...
class MonadFail m => MonadFile m
...
-- use error instead of fail
Nothing      -> error ("Message " <> show x)
```

10.2 Constraints

Very strong Haskell type system makes possible to work with code from the top down, an axiomatic semantics approach, from constraints into types.

- Helps to form the type level code (aka join points of the code).
- Uses the piling up of constraints/types information. At some point pick and satisfy constraints, can be done one at a time.
- Provides hints through type level formulation for term level calculations, does not formulate the term level.
- Tedious method (a lot of boilerplate and rewriting it) but pretty simple and relaxing.
- Set of constraints.
- When it is needed or convenient, single constraint gets a little more realistically concrete/abstracted.

Main type detail annotation thread can happen in `main` or special wrapper function, localization is inside functions.

1. Rest of constraints set shifts to source type.

3.a. For the class handled or known how to handle - write a base case instance description.

```
instance (Monad m) => MonadReader r (ReaderT r m)
```

- 3.b. For others write recursive instance descriptions:

All other unsolved constraints move into the source polymorphic variable.

```
instance (MonadError e m) => MonadError e (ReaderT r m)
instance (MonadState s m) => MonadState s (ReaderT r m)
```

1. Repeat from 1 until considered done.
2. Code condensed into terse form.

`MonadError` constraints is `IOException`, not for the `String`. `IOException` vs `String`.

Reverse pluck `MonadReader` constraint with `runReader` on the object.

`MonadState - StateT`

10.3 Monad transformers and their type classes

10.4 Layering monad transformers

Different layering of the same monad transformers is functionality is the same, but the form is different. Surrounding handling functions would need to be different.

10.5 Hoogle

10.5.1 Search

Text search (case insensitive):

- `a`

- `map`

- `con map`

Type search:

- `:: a`

- `:: a -> a`

Text & type:

`=id a -> a=`

10.5.2 Scope

10.5.2.1 Default Scope is Haskell Platform (and Haskell keywords).

All Hackage packages are available to search with:

10.5.2.2 Hierarchical module name system (from big letter):

- `fold +Data.Map` finds results in the `Data.Map` module
- `file -System` excludes results from modules such as `System.IO`, `System.FilePath.Windows` and `Distribution.System`

10.5.2.3 Packages (lower case):

- `mode +platform`
- `mode +cmdargs` (only)
- `mode +platform +cmdargs`
- `file -base` (Haskell Platform, excluding the "base" package)

10.6 ST-Trick monad

ST is like a lexical scope, where all the variables/state disappear when the function returns

https://wiki.haskell.org/ST_monad
<https://www.schoolofhaskell.com/school/to-infinity-and-beyond/older-but-still-interesting/deamortized-strg/Monad/ST>
<https://dev.to/jvanbruegge/what-the-heck-is-polymorphism-nmh>

10.6.1 *

ST-Trick

10.7 Either

Allows to separate and preserve information about happened, ex. error handling.

10.7.1 *

Either data type

10.8 Inverse

1. Inverse function

2. In logic:

$$P \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow \neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$$

, & same for category duality.

3. For operation: element that allows reversing operation, having an element that with the dual produces the identity element.
4. See Inversion.

10.9 Inversion

1. Is a permutation where two elements are out of order.
2. See Inverse

10.10 Inverse function

$$f_{x \rightarrow y} \circ (f_{x \rightarrow y})^{-1} = 1_x$$

*

\Longleftrightarrow

function is bijective.

Otherwise - partial inverse

10.11 Inverse morphism

For

$$f : x \rightarrow y$$

:

$$\exists g : g \circ f = 1^x$$

-

g

is left inverse of

f

.

$$\exists g : f \circ g = 1^y$$

-

g

is right inverse of

f

.

10.12 Partial inverse

* when function is now bijective. When bijective see inverse function.

10.13 PatternSynonyms

Enables pattern synonym declaration, which always begins with the **pattern** word.

Allows to abstract-away the structures of pattern matching.

10.13.1 *

Pattern synonym

Pattern synonyms

10.14 GHC debug keys

10.14.1 -ddump-ds

Dump desugarer output.

10.14.1.1 * Desugar

GHC desugar

10.15 GHC optimize keys

10.15.1 -foptimal-applicative-do

$$O(n^3)$$

Always finds optimal reduction into $<^*>$ for ApplicativeDo do notation.

10.16 Computational trinitarianism

Taken from: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/computational+trinitarianism>

Under the statements:

- propositions as types
- programs as proofs
- relation between type theory and category theory

the following notions are equivalent:

== proposition proof (Logic)

== generalized element of an object (Category theory)

== typed program with output (Type theory & Computer science)

Table 9: Computational trinitarianism

Logic	Category theory
true	terminal object/ (-2) -truncated object
false	initial object
proposition	(-1) -truncated object
proof	generalized element

Continued from previous page

Logic	Category theory
cut rule	composition of classifying morphisms / pullback of display maps
cut elimination for implication	counit for hom-tensor adjunction
introduction rule for implication	unit for hom-tensor adjunction
logical conjunction	product
disjunction	coproduct ((-1)-truncation of)
implication	internal hom
negation	internal hom into initial object
universal quantification	dependent product
existential quantification	dependent sum ((-1)-truncation of)
equivalence	path space object
equivalence class	quotient
induction	colimit
higher induction	higher colimit
completely presented set	discrete object/0-truncated object
set	internal 0-groupoid
universe	object classifier
modality	closure operator, (idempotent) monad
linear logic	(symmetric, closed) monoidal category
proof net	string diagram
(absence of) contraction rule	(absence of) diagonal
	synthetic mathematics

10.16.1 *

Trinitarism

10.17 Techniques functional programming deals with the state

10.17.1 Minimizing

Do not rely on state, try not to change the state. Use it only when it is very necessary.

10.17.2 Concentrating

Concentrate the state in one place.

10.17.3 Deferring

Defer state to the last step of the program, or to external system.

10.18 Monadic Error handling

```
(>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b -- A.E   A - computes and drops if error value happens  
catch :: c a -> (e -> c a) -> c a -- E.E   A - handles "errors" as "normal" values and
```

10.19 Functions

Total function uses domain fully, but takes only part of the codomain.

Function allows to collapse domain values into codomain value. Meaning the function allows to loose the information.

So total function is a computation that loses the information or into bigger codomains.

That is why the function has a directionality, and inverse total process is partially possible.

Directionality and invertability are terms.

10.20 Void

Emptiness.

Can not be grasped, touched.

A logically uninhabited data type.

(Since basis of logic is tautologically True and Void value can not be addressed - there is a logical paradox with the Void).

Is an object included into the Hask category, since:

```
:t (id :: Void -> Void)  
(id :: Void -> Void) :: Void -> Void
```

id for it exists.

Type system corresponds to constructive logic and not to the classical logic.

Classical logic answers the question "Is this actually true".

Constructive (Intuitionistic) logic answers the question "Is this provable".

Also has functions:

```
-- Represents logical principle of explosion: from falsehood, anything follows.
absurd :: Void -> a
```

```
-- If Functor holds only Void - it holds no values.
vacuous :: Functor f => f Void -> f a
```

```
-- If Monad holds only Void - it holds no values.
vacuousM :: Monad m => m Void -> m a
```

Design pattern: use polymorphic data types and Void to get rid of possibilities when you need to.

10.21 Constructive proof

Method of proof that demonstrates the existence of a mathematical object by creating or providing a method for creating the object.

10.22 Intuitionistic logic

Proposition considered **True** due to direct evidence of existence through constructive proof using Curry-Howard isomorphism.

* does not include classic logic fundamental axioms of the excluded middle and double negation elimination. Hence * is weaker than classical logic. Classical logic includes *, all theorems of * are also in classical logic.

10.22.1 *

Constructive logic

10.23 Principle of explosion

From asserted statement that contains contradiction - anything can be proven.

Ancient principle of logic. Both in classical & intuitionistic logic.

10.23.1 *

Ex falso quodlibet

Ex falso sequitur quodlibet

EFG

Ex contradictione quodlibet

Ex contradictione sequitur quodlibet

ECQ

Deductive explosion

Pseudo-Scotus

10.24 Universal property

A property of some construction which boils down to (is manifestly equivalent to) the property that an associated object is a universal initial object of some (auxiliary) category.

10.25 Yoneda lemma

Allows the embedding of any category into a category of functors (contravariant set-valued functors) defined on that category. It also clarifies how the embedded category, of representable functors and their natural transformations, relates to the other objects in the larger functor category.

The Yoneda lemma suggests that instead of studying the (locally small) category C $\{\{\{C\}\}\}C$, one should study the category of all functors $C^{\{\{\{C\}\}\}}C$ into $Set^{\{\{Set\}\}}Set$ (the

10.26 Monoidal category, functoriality of ADTs, Profunctors

Category equipped with tensor product.

<>

wich is a functor for $*$.

Set category can be monoidal under both product (having terminal object) or coproduct (having initial object) operations, if according operation exist for all objects.

Any one-object category is $*$.

$$(a, ()) \sim a$$

up to unique isomorphism, which is called Lax monoidal functor.

Product and coproduct are functorial, so, since:
Algebraic data type construction can use:

- Type constructor
- Data constructor
- Const functor
- Identity functor
- Product
- Coproduct

Any algebraic data type is functorial.

10.27 Const functor

Maps all objects of source category into one (fixed) object of target category, and all morphisms to identity morphism of that fixed object.

```
instance Functor (Const c)
  where
    fmap :: (a -> b) -> Const c a -> Const c b
    fmap _ (Const c) = Const c
```

In Category theory denoted:

Δ

Last type parameter that bears the target type of lifted function (b) and is a proxy type.

Analogy: the container that allways has an object attached to it, and everything that is put inside - changes the container type accordingly, and dissapears.

10.28 Arrow in Haskell

$(->) \ a \ b = a \rightarrow b$


Functorial in the last argument & called Reader functor.

```
newtype Reader c a = Reader (c -> a)
```

```
fmap = ( . )
```


10.29 Contravariant functor

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Op c a -> Op c b
      (a -> c) -> (b -> c)
```



$$(a \rightarrow b)^C = (a \leftarrow b)^{C^{op}}$$

```
class Contravariant f
  where
    contramap :: (b -> a) -> (f a -> f b)
```



If arrows does not commute Contravariant functor anyway allows to construct transformation between these such arrows to other arrow.

10.30 Profunctor


$(\multimap) \text{ a b}$

$$C^{op} \times C \rightarrow C$$

It is called profunctor.

```
dimap :: (a' -> a) -> (b -> b') -> p a b -> p a' b'
```

So, profunctor in case of arrow:



```
dimap :: (a' -> a) -> (b -> b') -> p a b -> p a' b'
dimap ::      f          g      -> (a -> b) -> (a' -> b')
dimap ::      f          g      ->      h      -> (a' -> b')
dimap = g . h . f
```

It is contravariant functor in the first argument, and covariant functor in the second argument.

```
dimap id <==> fmap
(flip dimap) id <==> contramap
```

11 Reference

11.1 Functor-Applicative-Monad Proposal

Well known event in Haskell history: https://github.com/quchen/articles/blob/master/applicative_monad.md.

Math justice was restored with a RETroactive CONtinuity. Invented in computer science term Applicative (lax monoidal functor) become a superclass of Monad.

& that is why:

- `return = pure`
- `ap = <*>`
- `>> = *>`
- `liftM = liftA = fmap`
- `liftM* = liftA*`

Also, a side-kick - Alternative became a superclass of MonadPlus. Hence:

- `mzero = empty`
- `mplus = (<|>)`

11.1.1 *

Applicative-Monad proposal
AMP

11.2 Haskell-98

11.2.1 Old instance termination rules

1. \forall class constraint $(C \ t_1 \dots t_n)$:
 - 1.1. type variables have occurrences \leq head
 - 1.2. constructors+variables+repetitions $<$ head
 - 1.3. \neg type functions (type func application can expand to arbitrary size)
2. \forall functional dependencies, $\text{tvs}_{\text{left}} \rightarrow \text{tvs}_{\text{right}}$, of the class, every type variable in $S(\text{tvs}_{\text{right}})$ must appear in $S(\text{tvs}_{\text{left}})$, where S is the substitution mapping each type variable in the class declaration to the corresponding type in the instance head.

11.3 Performance results and comparisons of types & solutions

Haskell performance

12 Liturgy

```
<-    Laos the people
<-    ergon work
      leitourgia giving back to the community
```

The life is beautiful.

For all humans that make the life have more uniqueness.

This study would not be possible without mathematicians, Haskellers, scientists, creators, contributors. These people are the most fascinating in

my life.

Special accolades for the guys at Serokell. They were the force that got me inspired & gave resources to seriously learn Haskell and create this pocket guide.