

**Hardening Blockchain Security with Formal Methods** 

**FOR** 

# .arianee

Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts



# ► Prepared For:

Arianee

https://www.arianee.org/

# ► Prepared By:

Jon Stephens Ian Neal Mark Anthony

#### ► Contact Us:

contact@veridise.com

### ► Version History:

July 17, 2024 V2 July 16, 2024 V1

July 10, 2024 Initial Draft

© 2024 Veridise Inc. All Rights Reserved.

# **Contents**

Co	nten	ts		iii			
1	Exec	cutive S	Summary	1			
2	Proj	ect Das	shboard	3			
3	Project Dashboard  Audit Goals and Scope 3.1 Audit Goals . 3.2 Audit Methodology & Scope 3.3 Classification of Vulnerabilities .  Vulnerability Report 4.1 Detailed Description of Issues . 4.1.1 V-ARIA-VUL-001: reserveToken can be used to DoS the protocol . 4.1.2 V-ARIA-VUL-002: Previous owners can steal smart assets . 4.1.3 V-ARIA-VUL-003: updateCommitment may accept invalid commitment hash . 4.1.4 V-ARIA-VUL-004: Gas Optimizations . 4.1.5 V-ARIA-VUL-005: Users can avoid ArianeeStore whitelist . 4.1.6 V-ARIA-VUL-006: TokenAccess can leak issuer identity information . 4.1.7 V-ARIA-VUL-007: Event not emitted on important update . 4.1.8 V-ARIA-VUL-008: _interfaceProvider could leak information . 1						
				5			
	3.2			5			
	3.3			5			
4	Vul	nerabil	ity Report	7			
	4.1	Detail	ed Description of Issues	8			
		4.1.1	V-ARIA-VUL-001: reserveToken can be used to DoS the protocol	8			
		4.1.2	V-ARIA-VUL-002: Previous owners can steal smart assets	10			
		4.1.3	V-ARIA-VUL-003: updateCommitment may accept invalid commitment				
			hash	12			
		4.1.4	V-ARIA-VUL-004: Gas Optimizations	13			
		4.1.5	V-ARIA-VUL-005: Users can avoid ArianeeStore whitelist	14			
		4.1.6	V-ARIA-VUL-006: TokenAccess can leak issuer identity information	16			
		4.1.7	V-ARIA-VUL-007: Event not emitted on important update	17			
		4.1.8	V-ARIA-VUL-008: _interfaceProvider could leak information	18			
		4.1.9	V-ARIA-VUL-009: wordPos max range is unchecked	19			
		4.1.10	V-ARIA-VUL-010: creditNotePools cannot be removed	20			
		4.1.11	V-ARIA-VUL-011: Unchecked approve return value	21			
		4.1.12	V-ARIA-VUL-012: Centralization Risk	22			
		4.1.13	V-ARIA-VUL-013: Missing address zero-checks	23			
		4.1.14	V-ARIA-VUL-014: Token ID reuse can have undesired side-effects	24			
		4.1.15	V-ARIA-VUL-015: OwnershipProofs may be replayed across tokens	25			
		4.1.16	V-ARIA-VUL-016: Unused Code and Dependencies	27			
		4.1.17	V-ARIA-VUL-017: Typos and incorrect comments	28			
Gl	ossaı	ry		29			



From July 8, 2024 to July 10, 2024, Arianee engaged Veridise to review the security of their Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts. The review covered both the smart contracts and zero-knowledge circuits that allow issuers to privately interact with the Arianee protocol. Note, however, that this report will focus on the smart contract review. Veridise conducted the assessment over 9 person-days, with 3 engineers reviewing code over 3 days on commit 7b6e47a. The auditing strategy involved a tool-assisted analysis of the source code performed by Veridise engineers as well as extensive manual code review.

**Project summary.** Arianee allows brands to issue and manage NFTs for a variety of purposes. This review did not cover the entire protocol, but rather is limited to their full-privacy extension. Specifically, this report will focus on the associated smart contracts.

The in-scope contracts allow issuers to manage their NFTs while keeping the identity of the issuer private. To do so, issuers must first privately purchase credits with the help of the CreditRegistrationProof ZK Circuit. Purchasing credits will associate a credit balance with a public commitment that is placed in a Merkle Tree. This allows issuers to later spend those credits as they manage the NFTs using a CreditNoteProof. This proof is generated with a ZK Circuit that proves an issuer knows the secret information used to create a commitment that is contained in the on-chain Merkle Tree. A single CreditNoteProof can only be spent 1000 times as it can only be associated with 1000 unique nullifies.

Once an issuer has credits they can spend them to privately issue or manage NFTs. They do so by interacting with the ArianeeIssuerProxy which associates NFT issuers with private commitments. When an issuer creates an NFT, they will generate an OwnershipProof using a ZK circuit which will prove that they know the secret information required to craft the commitment. After creation, Ownership proofs will be used to prove that the issuer knows how to create the commitment associated with the NFT so that they can perform management tasks provided by the ArianeeStore and ArianeeSmartAsset.

**Code assessment.** The Arianee developers provided the source code of the Arianee contracts for review. The source code appears to be mostly original code written by the Arianee developers. It contains some documentation in the form of READMEs and documentation comments on some functions and most storage variables. To facilitate the Veridise auditors' understanding of the code, the Arianee developers also shared documentation that describes the intended user-flows in the Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts and the Veridise auditors referred to publicly-available Arianee documentation as necessary. The source code contained a test suite, which tested the main user-flows of the project and included several negative-tests as well.

**Summary of issues detected.** The audit uncovered 17 issues, 2 of which are assessed to be of high or critical severity by the Veridise auditors. Specifically, the reserveToken mechanism can be used to DoS the proxy contract and the Arianee SmartAsset store (V-ARIA-VUL-001), and

that previous smart asset owners can steal assets after selling them since the tokenAccess key is not reset on transfer (V-ARIA-VUL-002). The Veridise auditors also identified 1 medium-severity issue, in that invalid commitment hashes may permanently lock token IDs in the proxy contract (V-ARIA-VUL-003), as well as 5 low-severity issues, 8 warnings, and 1 informational finding. The Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts developers have been notified of the issues and have indicated an intent to fix them.

**Recommendations.** After auditing the protocol, the auditors had a few suggestions to improve the Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts.

Document Best-Practices. While the privacy extension could allow issuers to privately interact with the protocol, it is possible that some actions could expose information about them if they are not careful (see V-ARIA-VUL-006 and V-ARIA-VUL-008). We would recommend that Arianee developers create a best-practices page which explains how issuers should protect their information (not re-using token access keys, using popular interface providers, not re-using commitments, ensuring no identifiable information is publicly observable in created NFTs, using relayers, etc.).

Clarify Licensing. Some of the contracts contain an SPDX License Identifier of "UNLICENSED" (meaning third parties are not allowed to use the code, all rights reserved), while others are "MIT" licensed (which is a permissive open-source license). Furthermore, the repository as a whole, which is publicly viewable, has no LICENSE file, which generally indicates that all contained source code is not open-source (same semantics as "unlicensed"). We recommend clarifying the open-source status of the project with an explicit statement (be that an open-source license or an "all rights reserved" statement) to clarify what viewers of the repository are allowed to do with Arianee's code.

**Disclaimer.** We hope that this report is informative but provide no warranty of any kind, explicit or implied. The contents of this report should not be construed as a complete guarantee that the system is secure in all dimensions. In no event shall Veridise or any of its employees be liable for any claim, damages or other liability, whether in an action of contract, tort or otherwise, arising from, out of or in connection with the results reported here.

**Table 2.1:** Application Summary.

Name	Version	Type	Platform
Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts	7b6e47a	Solidity	Ethereum

Table 2.2: Engagement Summary.

Dates	Method	Consultants Engaged	Level of Effort
July 8–July 10, 2024	Manual & Tools	3	9 person-days

Table 2.3: Vulnerability Summary.

Name	Number	Acknowledged	Fixed
Critical-Severity Issues	1	1	0
High-Severity Issues	1	1	0
Medium-Severity Issues	1	1	0
Low-Severity Issues	5	4	2
Warning-Severity Issues	8	7	4
Informational-Severity Issues	1	1	1
TOTAL	17	15	7

Table 2.4: Category Breakdown.

Name	Number
Data Validation	4
Access Control	2
Information Leakage	2
Denial of Service	1
Logic Error	1
Gas Optimization	1
Missing/Incorrect Events	1
Centralization	1
Usability Issue	1
Replay Attack	1
Dead Code	1
Maintainability	1



#### 3.1 Audit Goals

The engagement was scoped to provide a security assessment of Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts's smart contracts. In our audit, we sought to answer questions such as:

- ▶ Is information leaked about the issuer as they interact with the Arianee protocol?
- ▶ Can a brand be identified as a private issuer?
- ► Can credit notes be spent without the permission of the purchasing account?
- ► Can a private issuer impact the user experience of other users?
- ► Can the privacy extension violate assumptions made by the ArianeeStore?
- ▶ Is it possible to replay a ZK proof?

# 3.2 Audit Methodology & Scope

**Audit Methodology.** To address the questions above, our audit involved a combination of human experts and automated program analysis & testing tools. In particular, we conducted our audit with the aid of the following techniques:

➤ Static analysis. To identify potential common vulnerabilities, we leveraged our custom smart contract analysis tool, Vanguard. This tool is designed to find instances of common smart contract vulnerabilities, such as reentrancy and uninitialized variables.

*Scope*. The scope of this audit is limited to the following files in the https://github.com/Arianee/ArianeeMaster repository:

- contracts/ArianeePrivacy/ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol
- contracts/ArianeePrivacy/ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol

*Methodology*. Veridise auditors inspected the provided tests, and read the Full-Privacy Extension Smart Contracts documentation. They then began a manual review of the code assisted by static analysis. At the start of the audit, Veridise auditors met with Arianee developers to discuss the project. During the course of the audit, they also asked them questions in a shared Slack channel as necessary.

#### 3.3 Classification of Vulnerabilities

When Veridise auditors discover a possible security vulnerability, they must estimate its severity by weighing its potential impact against the likelihood that a problem will arise. Table 3.1 shows how our auditors weigh this information to estimate the severity of a given issue.

In this case, we judge the likelihood of a vulnerability as follows in Table 3.2:

Table 3.1: Severity Breakdown.

	Somewhat Bad	Bad	Very Bad	Protocol Breaking
Not Likely	Info	Warning	Low	Medium
Likely	Warning	Low	Medium	High
Very Likely	Low	Medium	High	Critical

Table 3.2: Likelihood Breakdown

Not L	ikely	A small set of users must make a specific mistake
L	ikely	Requires a complex series of steps by almost any user(s) - OR -
		Requires a small set of users to perform an action
Very L	ikely	Can be easily performed by almost anyone

In addition, we judge the impact of a vulnerability as follows in Table 3.3:

Table 3.3: Impact Breakdown

Somewhat Bad	Inconveniences a small number of users and can be fixed by the user
	Affects a large number of people and can be fixed by the user
Bad	- OR -
	Affects a very small number of people and requires aid to fix
	Affects a large number of people and requires aid to fix
Very Bad	- OR -
	Disrupts the intended behavior of the protocol for a small group of
	users through no fault of their own
Protocol Breaking	Disrupts the intended behavior of the protocol for a large group of
_	users through no fault of their own

In this section, we describe the vulnerabilities found during our audit. For each issue found, we log the type of the issue, its severity, location in the code base, and its current status (i.e., acknowledged, fixed, etc.). Table 4.1 summarizes the issues discovered:

Table 4.1: Summary of Discovered Vulnerabilities

Table 4.1: Summary of Discovered vulnerabilities.					
ID	Description	Severity	Status		
V-ARIA-VUL-001	reserveToken can be used to DoS the	Critical	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-002	Previous owners can steal smart assets	High	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-003	updateCommitment may accept invalid	Medium	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-004	Gas Optimizations	Low	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-005	Users can avoid ArianeeStore whitelist	Low	Intended Behavior		
V-ARIA-VUL-006	TokenAccess can leak issuer identity	Low	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-007	Event not emitted on important update	Low	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-008	_interfaceProvider could leak information	Low	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-009	wordPos max range is unchecked	Warning	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-010	creditNotePools cannot be removed	Warning	Intended Behavior		
V-ARIA-VUL-011	Unchecked approve return value	Warning	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-012	Centralization Risk	Warning	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-013	Missing address zero-checks	Warning	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-014	Token ID reuse can have undesired side	Warning	Acknowledged		
V-ARIA-VUL-015	OwnershipProofs may be replayed	Warning	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-016	Unused Code and Dependencies	Warning	Fixed		
V-ARIA-VUL-017	Typos and incorrect comments	Info	Fixed		

# 4.1 Detailed Description of Issues

# 4.1.1 V-ARIA-VUL-001: reserveToken can be used to DoS the protocol

Severity	Critical	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Denial of Service	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	Į.	rianeeIssuerF	Proxy.sol
Location(s)		reserveTo	ken
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

The reserveToken function, which is callable by anyone, does not check if the provided \_commitmentHash is a valid commitment hash, as the tryRegisterCommitment function only checks if a commitment hash has been added for a given token, not if that commitment hash is a valid commitment.

```
function tryRegisterCommitment(uint256 _tokenId, uint256 _commitmentHash) internal {
2
     require(
3
       commitmentHashes[_tokenId] == 0,
       'ArianeeIssuerProxy: A commitment has already been registered for this token'
4
6
     commitmentHashes[_tokenId] = _commitmentHash;
7
  }
8
9
   // VERIDISE: ...
10
11 | function reserveToken(uint256 _commitmentHash, uint256 _tokenId) external {
    tryRegisterCommitment(_tokenId, _commitmentHash);
     store.reserveToken(_tokenId, address(this));
14 }
```

**Snippet 4.1:** Snippet from ArianeeIssuerProxy.

Impact Denial-of-Service Attack. Any user can front-run another user's reserveToken transaction with a random value provided for \_commitmentHash, locking the desired \_tokenId. Since changing a token's commitment hash requires an ownership proof (via updateCommitment), front-running reserveToken with an arbitrary value for \_commitmentHash will lock that \_tokenId, as updating the commitment would require being able to reverse the commitment hash function. Furthermore, since reserveToken reserves the token in the store, this DoS attack can additionally deny service to the store, not just the issuer proxy.

**Possible User Errors.** If a non-malicious user accidentally provides the wrong value for \_commitmentHash, this function will succeed, but will permanently lock the desired token ID as described above. This causes users to waste time and gas re-submitting a new reserveToken request with a new, unreserved \_tokenId argument.

**Recommendation** To fix the permanent lock-up of \_tokenIds behind invalid \_commitmentHashs, we recommend performing an ownership proof check after the token ID is reserved.

This would validate that the sender has sent a valid \_commitmentHash.

```
function reserveToken(OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof, uint256
    _commitmentHash, uint256 _tokenId) external {
    tryRegisterCommitment(_tokenId, _commitmentHash);
    store.reserveToken(_tokenId, address(this));
    // VERIDISE: added proof verification
    _verifyProof(_ownershipProof, false, _tokenId);
}
```

**Snippet 4.2:** Suggested fix for validating the \_commitmentHash in reserveToken.

However, even with the above fix, it is still possible to launch a DoS attack on the protocol, as an attacker could also craft a valid call to reserveToken with the same \_tokenId as another user. To prevent such DoS attacks, we recommend limiting the audience of who can use this function.

**Developer Response** We are aware of this problem, and it exists even outside the 'Full-Privacy' context. We are waiting for some business decisions to address this issue, and we will patch the ArianeeStore contract (and make some change to the ArianeeIssuerProxy accordingly if needed) once those decisions are made.

We will implement one of the following three solutions in the future:

- ▶ Require credit payment at the time of token reservation to make the attack economically unviable.
- ▶ Assign a token ID dynamically based on the msg.sender (coupled with any source of provable randomness) to prevent an external attacker from front-running the reservation.
- ▶ Allow token reservation only for certain Issuers.

#### 4.1.2 V-ARIA-VUL-002: Previous owners can steal smart assets

Severity	High	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Logic Error	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeSmartAsset.sol		
Location(s)	_transferFrom		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

It should be noted that this is outside the scope of the current audit and was discovered while investigating a potential issue that was in-scope. The ArianeeSmartAsset allows an operator of the given token to define a token access key (called tokenAccess) that gives anyone with knowledge of the key special abilities. One such ability that may be granted allows the token to be transferred to a new owner by providing a message signed with the given key. Notably, however, when a token is transferred, the token access key is not reset as shown below.

```
1 function _transferFrom(address _from, address _to, uint256 _tokenId) internal
     require(store.canTransfer(_from, _to, _tokenId, isSoulbound), "ArianeeSmartAsset:
2
      Transfer rejected by the store");
3
    if (isSoulbound) {
      address tokenOwner = idToOwner[_tokenId];
5
       require(tokenOwner == _from, NOT_VALID_NFT);
6
8
       address tokenIssuer = certificate[_tokenId].tokenIssuer;
9
       // If the owner is NOT the issuer, the token is soulbound and the transfer can be
10
       made only by the issuer to change the owner if needed
       if (tokenOwner != tokenIssuer) {
11
         require(tokenIssuer == _msgSender(), "ArianeeSmartAsset: Only the issuer can
12
       transfer a soulbound smart asset");
13
14
15
       st If the previous condition has not been hit, the owner IS the issuer and the
16
      token is not soulbound yet or not anymore for a limited time.
       * This is either the first transfer of the token to its first "real" owner or a
17
       recovery request made by the issuer on the behalf of the owner (i.e the owner
      lost his wallet and wants to recover his token)
18
19
    }
20
    super._transferFrom(_from, _to, _tokenId);
21
    arianeeWhitelist.addWhitelistedAddress(_tokenId, _to);
23
    if (_isFirstTransfer(_tokenId)) {
24
25
       idToFirstTransfer[_tokenId] = false;
       store.dispatchRewardsAtFirstTransfer(_tokenId, _to);
26
27
    }
28 }
```

**Snippet 4.3:** Overridden definition of the \_transferFrom function which does not reset tokenAccess.

**Impact** Since the tokenAccess is not reset, a SmartAsset operator could sell the token, transfer it to the new owner and later recover the asset while keeping the paid funds.

**Recommendation** Delete or reset the tokenAsset upon a transfer.

**Developer Response** We have noted this issue and will address it in a future sprint, as it is currently out of scope for now. However, we appreciate your diligence in bringing this to our attention.

#### 4.1.3 V-ARIA-VUL-003: updateCommitment may accept invalid commitment hash

Severity	Medium	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Data Validation	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	updateCommitment		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

The updateCommitment function updates a token ID's commitment by first verifying that the provided ownership proof is a valid proof for the current commitment, then updates the commitment to a new commitment hash. However, there is no check that the \_newCommitmentHash provided to updateCommitment is a valid commitment hash.

**Snippet 4.4:** Snippet showing updateCommitment() from ArianeeIssuerProxy.

**Impact** If the user makes a mistake and provides an invalid hash for \_newCommitmentHash, the token will be locked behind that commitment hash, as they will be unable to create an ownership proof that would allow them to update the commitment again.

**Recommendation** Validate that the \_newCommitmentHash is valid by checking a pre-update ownership proof and a post-update ownership proof, which will validate that the proof creator is the owner of both the old credentials and new credentials.

**Developer Response** We believe that requiring an additional proof when calling updateCommitment is too cumbersome. We will ensure on the SDK side (during the implementation of this feature) to minimize the possibility of passing an invalid commitment. All our users use our SDK to interact with the protocol, so it's very unlikely that someone would interact directly with it.

#### 4.1.4 V-ARIA-VUL-004: Gas Optimizations

Severity	Low	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Gas Optimization	Status	Fixed
File(s)	See issue description		
Location(s)	See issue description		
Confirmed Fix At	7086e42		

In the following locations, the auditors identified missed opportunities for potential gas savings:

- ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol:
  - The addresses for issuerProxy, token, store, creditRegister, and creditVerifier are only set in the constructor; these fields could be made immutable, which would save gas.
- ► ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol
  - The addresses for store, smartAsset, arianeeEvent, arianeeLost, and verifier are only set in the constructor; these fields could be made immutable, which would save gas.

**Impact** Gas may be wasted, costing users extra funds.

**Recommendation** Perform the optimizations.

**Developer Response** All unmodifiable addresses have been made immutable, as per the recommendation.

#### 4.1.5 V-ARIA-VUL-005: Users can avoid ArianeeStore whitelist

Severity	Low	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Access Control	Status	Intended Behavior
File(s)	ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol, ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	purchase, reserveToken		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

The ArianeeIssuerProxy and ArianeeCreditNotePool are intended to allow issuers to privately interact with the ArianeeStore contract. The ArianeeStore only allows certain actions to be performed by whitelisted addresses, such as the buyCredit action shown below.

```
1 | function buyCredit(uint256 _creditType, uint256 _quantity, address _to) external
       onlyAllowedBuyer(_to) whenNotPaused() {
       uint256 tokens = _quantity * creditPrices[_creditType];
2
3
       // Transfer required token quantity to buy quantity credit
       require(acceptedToken.transferFrom(
5
               _msgSender(),
6
               address(this),
               tokens
8
           ));
10
       creditHistory.addCreditHistory(_to, creditPrices[_creditType], _quantity,
11
       _creditType);
12
       emit CreditBought(_msgSender(), _to, _creditType, _quantity);
13
14 | }
```

**Snippet 4.5:** Definition of the buyCredit function.

Both the ArianeeIssuerProxy and ArianeeCreditNotePool invoke whitelisted functions and so they must be whitelisted to function properly. They, however, do not whitelist their users in any way as shown below.

```
1 function purchase(CreditRegistrationProof calldata _creditRegistrationProof, bytes32
       _commitmentHash, uint256 _zkCreditType) external nonReentrant {
           _zkCreditType >= 1 && _zkCreditType <= 4,</pre>
3
           'ArianeeCreditNotePool: The credit type should be either 1, 2, 3 or 4'
4
5
       require(
6
7
           !commitmentHashes[_commitmentHash],
8
           'ArianeeCreditNotePool: This commitment has already been registered'
9
10
       _verifyRegistrationProof(_creditRegistrationProof, _commitmentHash, _zkCreditType
11
12
       uint32 insertedIndex = _insert(_commitmentHash);
13
       commitmentHashes[_commitmentHash] = true;
14
15
       // The credit type is 0-indexed in the store, but 1-indexed in the commitment
16
       uint256 creditType = _zkCreditType - 1;
17
       uint256 creditPrice = store.getCreditPrice(creditType);
18
19
       // One credit note is worth 'MAX_NULLIFIER_PER_COMMITMENT' credits
20
       uint256 amount = MAX_NULLIFIER_PER_COMMITMENT * creditPrice;
21
22
23
       // The caller should have approved the contract to transfer the amount of tokens
       token.safeTransferFrom(_msgSender(), address(this), amount);
24
25
       // Approve the store to transfer the required amount of tokens
26
       token.approve(address(store), amount);
27
28
       // Buy the credits from the store
       store.buyCredit(creditType, MAX_NULLIFIER_PER_COMMITMENT, issuerProxy);
29
30
       emit Purchased(creditType, _commitmentHash, insertedIndex, block.timestamp);
31
32 }
```

**Snippet 4.6:** Definition of the purchase function. Note that \_verifyRegistrationProof effectively just verifies the CreditRegistrationProof.

**Impact** Users may use the ArianeeIssuerProxy to overcome a whitelist in the ArianeeStore and so anyone can interact with the ArianeeStore.

**Recommendation** If the intention is to only allow approved issuers to interact with the ArianeeStore, additional validation must be performed. As an example, Arianee could maintain a Merkle tree with approved secret commitments. To access any whitelisted functionality a user must then prove their existence in the tree.

**Developer Response** The onlyAllowedBuyer modifier is used only in specific deployments called "dedicated". All "Full-Privacy" deployments will not be dedicated, so we can safely ignore this. Everyone should be able to call the ArianeeStore.

#### 4.1.6 V-ARIA-VUL-006: TokenAccess can leak issuer identity information

Severity	Low	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Information Leakage	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	addTokenAccess, hydrateToken		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

A SmartAsset may be associated with a token access key that gives anyone with knowledge of this key special abilities. The ArianeeIssuerProxy allows issuers to create such a key using the addTokenAccess function shown below. As an issuer is intended to be private, if the same access key is used multiple times or is associated with the brand at any point in the future, information could be learned about the issuer.

```
function addTokenAccess(
    OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof,
    uint256 _tokenId,
    address _key,
    bool _enable,
    uint256 _tokenType
    ) external onlyWithProof(_ownershipProof, false, _tokenId) {
    smartAsset.addTokenAccess(_tokenId, _key, _enable, _tokenType);
    }
}
```

**Snippet 4.7:** Definition of the addTokenAccess function.

**Impact** The token access key could be used to learn information about an issuer or a brand.

**Recommendation** We would recommend creating a best-practices page for brands interacting with the ArianeeIssuerProxy contract and recommend that they use unique keys if they use this API.

**Developer Response** Brands already use unique keys for each of their tokens. We will ensure this continues with this new version.

## 4.1.7 V-ARIA-VUL-007: Event not emitted on important update

Severity	Low	Commit	7b6e47a
Туре	Missing/Incorrect Events	Status	Fixed
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	Multiple		
Confirmed Fix At	9c5f6f0		

It is often considered a best practice to emit an event upon a state update to indicate that a change was made. Doing so allows both external users and protocol administrators to monitor the protocol for a variety of reasons, including for potentially suspicious activity. It is therefore critical for significant changes to the protocol to be accompanied with events to enable this monitoring. The following functions perform important updates in the proxy contract but do not emit an event from the proxy:

- addCreditNotePool
- ▶ addCreditFreeSender
- ▶ removeCreditFreeSender
- ▶ reserveToken
- ▶ hydrateToken
- ▶ updateCommitment

**Impact** These variables modify important state and changes to them can indicate issues within the protocol.

**Recommendation** Emit events upon important state updates.

**Developer Response** The developers added the following events: CreditFreeSenderAdded, CreditFreeSenderRemoved, CreditNotePoolAdded, TokenCommitmentRegistered, TokenCommitmentUpdated, and TokenCommitmentUnregistered.

#### 4.1.8 V-ARIA-VUL-008: \_interfaceProvider could leak information

Severity	Low	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Information Leakage	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	hydrateToken, updateSmartAsset, createEvent, createMessage		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

Several functions, such as the one below, allow an issuer to specify an interface provider who receives a reward from the ArianeeStore. According to the developers, this is to reward projects that help brands build on Arianee. If a single or a small number of private brands were associated with an interface provider, however, it is possible that a brand's identity could be revealed.

```
function updateSmartAsset(
   OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof,
   CreditNoteProof calldata _creditNoteProof,
   address _creditNotePool,
   uint256 _tokenId,
   bytes32 _imprint,
   address _interfaceProvider
   ) external onlyWithProof(_ownershipProof, true, _tokenId) {
    trySpendCredit(_creditNotePool, ZK_CREDIT_TYPE_UPDATE, _creditNoteProof);
   store.updateSmartAsset(_tokenId, _imprint, _interfaceProvider);
}
```

**Snippet 4.8:** Definition of the updateSmartAsset function.

**Impact** If a brand or a small number of brands could be linked to a single identity provider, it is possible that information about them could be leaked even if they used multiple commitments.

**Recommendation** We would recommend that brands only specify an interface provider if they could provide sufficient differential privacy (i.e. a sufficient number of brands would need to use the provider such that the volume of requests from the new brand would make it difficult to guess which tokens belonged to this new brand).

**Developer Response** We acknowledge the recommendation and agree that brands should only specify an interface provider if they can ensure sufficient differential privacy. We will also issue guidelines on this.

#### 4.1.9 V-ARIA-VUL-009: wordPos max range is unchecked

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Data Validation	Status	Fixed
File(s)	UnorderedNonce.sol		
Location(s)	invalidateUnorderedNonces		
Confirmed Fix At	c43afd0		

The invalidateUnorderedNonces does not check if the provided wordPos is less than or equal to its max value, type(uint248).max, and neither does this function's caller, ArianeeIssuerProxy.

```
1 // VERIDISE: UnorderedNonce.sol
2 | function invalidateUnorderedNonces(uint256 tokenId, uint256 wordPos, uint256 mask)
       internal {
    nonceBitmap[tokenId][wordPos] |= mask;
3
    emit UnorderedNonceInvalidation(tokenId, wordPos, mask);
5
6 }
8 // VERIDISE: ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol
9 function invalidateUnorderedNonces(
10
   OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof,
    uint256 _tokenId,
11
   uint256 _wordPos,
12
  uint256 _mask
14) external onlyWithProof(_ownershipProof, false, _tokenId) { // @audit-ok
    invalidateUnorderedNonces(_tokenId, _wordPos, _mask);
15
16 }
```

**Snippet 4.9:** Snippet of invalidateUnorderedNonces invocations.

**Impact** It is possible for a caller of ArianeeIssuerProxy.invalidateUnorderedNonces to invalidate a range of nonces that cannot be used by \_useUnorderedNonce, which is a waste of gas.

**Recommendation** Add a range check in UnorderedNonce.invalidateUnorderedNonces that requires wordPos to be less than or equal to type(uint248).max.

**Developer Response** The recommended require statement has been added.

#### 4.1.10 V-ARIA-VUL-010: creditNotePools cannot be removed

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Access Control	Status	Intended Behavior
File(s)	ArieaneeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	N/A		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

A new credit note pool can be whitelisted via the addCreditNotePool function, but there is no method for removing a credit note pool from the creditNotePools whitelist.

**Impact** If a credit note pool becomes compromised or is updated and needs to be replaced, it cannot be, as all added pools will always be whitelisted.

**Recommendation** Add a removeCreditNotePool function that removes a credit note pool from the whitelist.

**Developer Response** The developers have acknowledged the issue. However, this behavior was implemented as a deliberate choice to ensure that buyers of credit notes can use them without fear that the proxy contract may be able to revoke their purchase by un-whitelisting the credit note pool.

#### 4.1.11 V-ARIA-VUL-011: Unchecked approve return value

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Data Validation	Status	Fixed
File(s)	ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol		
Location(s)	purchase		
Confirmed Fix At	4d0bb22		

The purchase function calls IERC20. approve, which returns a bool value indicating if the approval succeeded or not. However, the return value is ignored in the purchase function.

```
function purchase(CreditRegistrationProof calldata _creditRegistrationProof, bytes32
       _commitmentHash, uint256 _zkCreditType) external nonReentrant {
       // VERIDISE: ...
2
3
       // The caller should have approved the contract to transfer the amount of tokens
       token.safeTransferFrom(_msgSender(), address(this), amount);
       // Approve the store to transfer the required amount of tokens
7
       token.approve(address(store), amount);
8
9
       // Buy the credits from the store
       store.buyCredit(creditType, MAX_NULLIFIER_PER_COMMITMENT, issuerProxy);
10
11
       emit Purchased(creditType, _commitmentHash, insertedIndex, block.timestamp);
12
13 }
```

**Snippet 4.10:** Snippet from purchase().

The implementation of the purchase function assumes that, if the token.safeTransferFrom call does not revert, then there should be a high enough added balance for token.approve to succeed. However, it is possible for the IERC20 implementation of token to transfer fewer than amount tokens, e.g., if token implements a transfer tax.

Impact Not requiring token.approve to succeed can result in unexpected transaction reversions in store.buyCredit when the ArianeeCreditNotePool has insufficient funds to purchase the credit.

**Recommendation** Check if token approve succeeds and revert the transaction with a meaningful error message if it fails.

**Developer Response** The developers have added a require statement for the return value of token.approve.

#### 4.1.12 V-ARIA-VUL-012: Centralization Risk

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Centralization	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	N/A		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

Similar to many projects, Arianee's, ArianeeIssuerProxy declares certain roles that are given special permissions. In particular, these the following roles are defined and they can take the following actions:

#### Issuer

- Can recover tokens from users (with restrictions)
- Can destroy tokens (disabled)
- Can create, update or destroy token events
- Can create token messages
- Can set token statuses as missing or stolen
- Can update token URIs
- Can update the token

#### Owner

- Can change commitments with the permission of the issuer
- Can add a whitelisted CreditNotePool
- · Can add or remove a free credit spender

**Impact** If a private key were stolen, a hacker would have access to sensitive functionality that could compromise the project.

**Recommendation** As these are all particularly sensitive operations, we would encourage the developers to utilize a decentralized governance or multi-sig contract as opposed to a single account, which introduces a single point of failure. We would also encourage issuers to protect secrets linked to commitments using a distributed method as well. This could be done by using multiple random secrets that are encrypted with different private keys and stored in different locations.

**Developer Response** We will use a multi-sig contract to manage the contracts as Owner. Additionally, we will provide comprehensive guidelines for Issuers to ensure they store their secrets in a secure way.

#### 4.1.13 V-ARIA-VUL-013: Missing address zero-checks

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Data Validation	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	See issue description		
Location(s)	See issue description		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

**Description** The following functions take addresses as arguments, but do not validate that the addresses are non-zero:

- ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol
  - constructor(): none of the address arguments are checked.
- ► ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol
  - constructor(): none of the address arguments are checked.
- ► MerkleTreeWithHistory.sol
  - constructor(): \_hasher is not checked.

**Impact** If zero is passed as the address, the contract may be unable to function correctly, wasting gas due to the incorrect deployment.

**Developer Response** The developers have acknowledged this issue, but do not plan to make any changes to address it.

#### 4.1.14 V-ARIA-VUL-014: Token ID reuse can have undesired side-effects

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Usability Issue	Status	Acknowledged
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	destroy		
Confirmed Fix At	N/A		

The Arianee Proxy allows their private issuers to submit requests to destroy a token with the given ID. As seen below, the destruction process has a side-effect of un-allocating the given token ID for later reuse. Doing so can have unintended side-effects as external services may use the ownership of a token with a given ID to guard certain features.

```
function destroy(
   OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof,
   uint256 _tokenId

/ external onlyWithProof(_ownershipProof, false, _tokenId) {
   smartAsset.destroy(_tokenId);
   // Free the commitment hash when destroying the token to allow it to be reused tryUnregisterCommitment(_tokenId);
}
```

**Snippet 4.11:** Definition of the destroy function.

**Impact** If token ownership is used externally to guard access to some functionality, an issuer (which can be anyone as pointed out by V-ARIA-VUL-005) can re-create a token with the given ID to gain access to this functionality.

**Recommendation** It should be noted that the ArianeeStore currently disallows token destruction. We would recommend that this feature not be enabled.

**Developer Response** We have no plans to activate this feature in the near future, but if the time comes, we will take extra care to ensure all external services relying on it are in sync.

#### 4.1.15 V-ARIA-VUL-015: Ownership Proofs may be replayed across tokens

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Replay Attack	Status	Fixed
File(s)	ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol		
Location(s)	_verifyProof		
Confirmed Fix At	f05cf98		

The Arianee Privacy module uses an OwnershipProof as a method of proving that the individual knows a given commitment's secrets. It also ensures that the owner approves of the action being taken by including an intent hash and that the proof cannot be replayed by including a nonce. This nonce, however, is not associated with a commitment, but rather it is associated with a token ID as shown below. As such, an OwnershipProof could be replayed to perform a previous action on a different token as the nonce may not be taken.

```
1 | function _verifyProof(OwnershipProof calldata _ownershipProof, bool
       needsCreditNoteProof, uint256 _tokenId) internal {
    // VERIDISE: ...
2
3
4
    // Removing the 'OwnershipProof' (352 bytes) and if needed the 'CreditNoteProof'
      (352 bytes) from the msg.data before computing the hash to compare
    uint256 msgDataHash = uint256(poseidon.poseidon([keccak256(abi.encodePacked(bytes.
5
       concat(msgData.slice(0, SELECTOR_SIZE), msgData.slice(SELECTOR_SIZE +
       OWNERSHIP_PROOF_SIZE + (needsCreditNoteProof ? CREDIT_NOTE_PROOF_SIZE : 0),
      msgData.length))))));
    require(
6
7
       pIntentHash == msgDataHash,
8
       'ArianeePrivacyProxy: Proof intent does not match the function call'
9
10
    uint256 pNonce = _ownershipProof._pubSignals[2];
11
    require(_useUnorderedNonce(_tokenId, pNonce), 'ArianeePrivacyProxy: Proof nonce has
12
       already been used');
13
14
    // VERIDISE: ...
15 }
```

**Snippet 4.12:** Snippet from \_verifyProof.

Impact Currently this attack is infeasible due to the intent hash described above; the pIntentHash in the proof corresponds to a hash of the selector and arguments of the invoked function, as shown above. Therefore, for this attack to be feasible a function's arguments must not be tied to a given token's ID. Since all external functions that accept an OwnershipProof either take a token ID or a value implicitly associated with a single token ID as an argument, one cannot replay OwnershipProofs. However, this design is non-obvious and could allow replay attacks in the future.

**Recommendation** Rather than associating a nonce with a token ID, instead associate it with the commitment.

**Developer Response** Nonces are now associated with commitment hashes and not token IDs.

#### 4.1.16 V-ARIA-VUL-016: Unused Code and Dependencies

Severity	Warning	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Dead Code	Status	Fixed
File(s)	See issue description		
Location(s)	N/A		
Confirmed Fix At	b1dd4d7		

**Description** The following program constructs are unused:

- ► ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol
  - ArianeeCreditNotePool is Ownable but uses no Ownable features.
- ► ArianeeIssuerProxy.sol
  - ArianeeIssuerProxy is a ReentrancyGuard but does not use any ReentrancyGuard features.
  - The isDefaultCreditNoteProof function is never used.
- ▶ UnorderedNonce.sol
  - import "@opengsn/contracts/src/ERC2771Recipient.sol" is unused.

**Impact** These constructs may become out of sync with the rest of the project, leading to errors if used in the future.

**Developer Response** The developers have removed the unused program constructs.

#### 4.1.17 V-ARIA-VUL-017: Typos and incorrect comments

Severity	Info	Commit	7b6e47a
Type	Maintainability	Status	Fixed
File(s)	See issue description		
Location(s)	See issue description		
Confirmed Fix At	2e55c90		

**Description** In the following locations, the auditors identified minor typos and potentially misleading comments:

- ArianeeCreditNotePool.sol
  - issuerProxy: The @notice comment states that the ArianeeIssuerProxy is the only contract allowed to interact with the ArianeeCreditNotePool contract, but non-issuers can invoke the purchase method directly.
- ▶ UnorderedNonce.sol
  - nonceBitmap: @notice comment states that this field is Used to set bits in the bitmap to prevent against signature replay protection; should be reworded as Used to set bits in the bitmap to prevent against signature replay attacks. or similar.
  - \_useUnorderedNonce: missing a @return doc comment like the other functions in the file.

Impact These minor errors may lead to future developer confusion.

**Developer Response** The developers have addressed the above issues.



Merkle Tree A cryptographic commitment to a list of values which can be opened at individual entries in the list. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Merkle\_tree to learn more. 1

**smart contract** A self-executing contract with the terms directly written into code. Hosted on a blockchain, it automatically enforces and executes the terms of an agreement between buyer and seller. Smart contracts are transparent, tamper-proof, and eliminate the need for intermediaries, making transactions more efficient and secure. 1

**zero-knowledge circuit** A cryptographic construct that allows a prover to demonstrate to a verifier that a certain statement is true, without revealing any specific information about the statement itself. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-knowledge\_proof for more. 1, 29

**ZK Circuit** zero-knowledge circuit. 1