## GroupModels

April 1, 2023

## 1 Group Models

Here you will learn how to combine models together into a larger, more complete, model of a given system. This is a powerful and necessary capability when analysing objects in crowded environments. As telescopes achieve ever deeper photometry we have learned that all environments are crowded when projected onto the sky!


```
[1]: import autoprof as ap
  import numpy as np
  import torch
  from astropy.io import fits
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  from scipy.stats import iqr
```

```
[2]: # first let's download an image to play with
hdu = fits.open("https://www.legacysurvey.org/viewer/fits-cutout?ra=4.

$\times 5934\times dec=30.0702\times ize=750\times layer=ls-dr9\times pixscale=0.262\times bands=r")
target_data = np.array(hdu[0].data, dtype = np.float64)

target1 = ap.image.Target_Image(
    data = target_data,
    pixelscale = 0.262,
    zeropoint = 22.5,
)

fig1, ax1 = plt.subplots(figsize = (8,8))
ap.plots.target_image(fig1, ax1, target1)
plt.show()
```



```
[3]: # We can see that there are some blown out stars in the image. There isn't much_

that can be done with them except

# to mask them. A very careful modeller would only mask the blown out pixels_

and then try to fit the rest, but

# today we are not very careful modellers.

mask = np.zeros(target_data.shape, dtype = bool)

mask[410:445,371:402] = True


mask[296:357,151:206] = True

mask[558:590,291:322] = True

pixelscale = 0.262

target2 = ap.image.Target_Image(

data = target_data,
```




## 1.1 Group Model

A group model takes a list of other AutoProf\_Model objects and tracks them such that they can be treated as a single larger model. When "initialize" is called on the group model, it simply calls "initialize" on all the individual models. The same is true for a number of other functions like finalize, sample, and so on. For fitting, however, the group model will collect the parameters from all the models together and pass them along as one group to the optimizer. When saving a group model, all the model states will be collected together into one large file.


The main difference when constructing a group model is that you must first create all the sub models that will go in it. Once constructed, a group model behaves just like any other model, in fact they are all built from the same base class.

```
[4]: # first we make the list of models to fit
     # Note that we do not assign a target to these models at construction. This is _{\sqcup}
      \rightarrow just a choice of style, it is possible
     # to provide the target to each model separately if you wish. Note as well that
      ⇒since a target isn't provided we need
     # to give the windows in arcsec instead of pixels, to do this we provide the \Box
      →window in the format (xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
     model kwargs = \Gamma
         {"name": "sky", "model_type": "flat sky model", "window": np.
      \rightarrowarray([0,750,0,750])*pixelscale},
         {"name": "NGC0070", "model_type": "spline galaxy model", "window": np.
      \rightarrowarray([133,581,229,744])*pixelscale},
         {"name": "NGC0071", "model_type": "spline galaxy model", "window": np.
      \rightarrowarray([43,622,72,513])*pixelscale},
         {"name": "NGC0068", "model_type": "spline galaxy model", "window": np.
      \rightarrowarray([390,726,204,607])*pixelscale},
     model list = []
     for M in model_kwargs:
         model_list.append(ap.models.AutoProf_Model(target = target2, **M))
     VV166Group = ap.models.AutoProf_Model(name = "VV166 Group", model_type = "group_
      →model", model_list = model_list, target = target2)
     fig3, ax3 = plt.subplots(figsize = (8,8))
     ap.plots.target_image(fig3, ax3, VV166Group.target)
     ap.plots.model_window(fig3, ax3, VV166Group)
     plt.show()
```



```
[5]: # See if AutoProf can figure out starting parameters for these galaxies
VV166Group.initialize()

# The results are reasonable starting points, though far from a good model
fig4, ax4 = plt.subplots(1,2,figsize = (16,7))
ap.plots.model_image(fig4, ax4[0], VV166Group)
for M in VV166Group.model_list[1:]:
    ap.plots.galaxy_light_profile(fig4, ax4[1], M)
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



[6]: # Allow AutoProf to fit the target image with all 3 models simultaneously. In\_

→total this is about 80 parameters!

result = ap.fit.LM(VV166Group, verbose = 1).fit()

print(result.message)


-----init-----LM loss: 9.070470365905429 L: 1.0 -----iter----LM loss: 7.564775887437602 accept L: 0.1111111111111111 -----iter-----LM loss: 11.10084822763959 reject L: 1.222222222222 -----iter-----LM loss: 7.070617381507913 accept L: 0.13580246913580246 -----iter-----LM loss: 6.697899480385098 accept L: 0.015089163237311385 -----iter-----LM loss: 6.591560581749143 accept L: 0.0016765736930345982 -----iter-----

L: 1.0

LM loss: 6.588183099201773
accept
L: 0.00018628596589273313
-----iter----LM loss: 6.588074587588096
accept
L: 2.0698440654748124e-05
-----iter----LM loss: 6.588070520455297
accept
success

[7]: # Now we can see what the fitting has produced
fig5, ax5 = plt.subplots(1,3,figsize = (17,5))
ap.plots.model\_image(fig5, ax5[0], VV166Group)
for M in VV166Group.model\_list[1:]:
 ap.plots.galaxy\_light\_profile(fig5, ax5[1], M)
 ap.plots.radial\_median\_profile(fig5, ax5[1], M)
ax5[1].legend()
ap.plots.residual\_image(fig5, ax5[2], VV166Group)
plt.show()

# we can also see that the data profiles which just take a median for all\_\_\_\_\_\_
pixels at a given radius are no longer
# helpful when we have overlapping systems. The medians are biased high by the\_\_\_\_\_\_
neighboring galaxies



```
[8]: # The model will improve the more galaxies in the system we include


# By adding models now, we keep the fitted parameters from before.

VV166Group.add_model(ap.models.AutoProf_Model(name = "litte 1", model_type = ""sersic galaxy model", target = target2, window = [[325,400],[295,386]]))

VV166Group.add_model(ap.models.AutoProf_Model(name = "litte 2", model_type = ""sersic galaxy model", target = target2, window = [[412,504],[127,231]]))
```

```
VV166Group.add_model(ap.models.AutoProf_Model(name = "litte 3", model_type = "" sersic galaxy model", target = target2, window = [[214,288],[583,662]]))
```


```
[9]: fig6, ax6 = plt.subplots(figsize = (8,8))
ap.plots.target_image(fig6, ax6, VV166Group.target)
ap.plots.model_window(fig6, ax6, VV166Group)
plt.show()
```



[10]: # Initialize will only set parameter values for the new models, the old ones⊔
→will just be skipped

VV166Group.initialize()

```
[11]: result = ap.fit.LM(VV166Group, verbose = 1).fit()
     print(result.message)
     L: 1.0
     -----init-----
     LM loss: 5.016153922027963
     L: 1.0
     -----iter----
     LM loss: 4.8679205007499995
     accept
     L: 0.1111111111111111
     -----iter----
     LM loss: 4.786639295431487
     accept
     L: 0.012345679012345678
     ----iter----
     LM loss: 4.774644816150637
     accept
     L: 0.0013717421124828531
     ----iter----
     LM loss: 4.772523387043163
     accept
     L: 0.00015241579027587256
     ----iter----
     LM loss: 4.77231571074332
     accept
     L: 1.6935087808430286e-05
     ----iter----
     LM loss: 4.7721515365637215
     accept
     success
[12]: # Now we can see what the fitting has produced
     fig7, ax7 = plt.subplots(1,3,figsize = (17,5))
     ap.plots.model_image(fig7, ax7[0], VV166Group)
     # let's just plot the 3 main object profiles
     for M in VV166Group.model_list[1:4]:
         ap.plots.galaxy_light_profile(fig7, ax7[1], M)
     ax7[1].legend()
     ax7[1].set_ylim([27,15])
     ap.plots.residual_image(fig7, ax7[2], VV166Group)
     plt.show()
```



Which is even better than before. As more models are added, the fit should improve. In principle one could model eventually add models for every little smudge in the image. In practice, it is often better to just mask anything below a certain size.


## 1.2 Working with segmentation maps

A segmentation map provides information about the contents of an image. It gives the location and shape of any object which the algorithm was able to separate out and identify. This is exactly the information needed to construct the windows for a collection of AutoProf models.

Photutils provides an easy to use segmentation map implimentation so we use it here for simplicity. In many cases it may be required to use a more detailed segmentation map algorithm such as those implimented in Source Extractor and ProFound (among others), the principle is the same however since the end product for all of them has the same format.

```
[13]: from photutils.segmentation import detect_sources, deblend_sources segmap = detect_sources(target_data, threshold = 0.1, npixels = 20, mask = mask) # threshold and npixels determined just by playing around with the values

fig8, ax8 = plt.subplots(figsize=(8,8))
ax8.imshow(segmap, origin = "lower")
plt.show()
```



```
# This will convert the segmentation map into boxes that enclose the identified pixels

windows = ap.utils.initialize.windows_from_segmentation_map(segmap.data)

# Next we filter out any segments which are too big, these are the NGC models we already have set up

windows = ap.utils.initialize.filter_windows(windows, max_size = 100)

# Next we scale up the windows so that AutoProf can fit the faint parts of each object as well

windows = ap.utils.initialize.scale_windows(windows, image_shape = target_data.


shape, expand_scale = 3, expand_border = 10)

del windows[20] # this is a segmented chunk of spiral arm, not a galaxy del windows[23] # this is a segmented chunk of spiral arm, not a galaxy
```

```
del windows[24] # this is a segmented chunk of spiral arm, not a galaxy del windows[28] # this is a segmented chunk of spiral arm, not a galaxy del windows[29] # this is a repeat of little 2 del windows[7] # this is a repeat of little 3 print(windows)
```

{2: [[499, 540], [0, 24]], 3: [[689, 721], [49, 84]], 4: [[312, 392], [102, 182]], 5: [[348, 401], [123, 176]], 6: [[259, 297], [138, 176]], 8: [[183, 227], [172, 222]], 10: [[352, 390], [209, 253]], 11: [[522, 563], [227, 268]], 12: [[124, 156], [235, 267]], 13: [[697, 750], [206, 346]], 14: [[196, 249], [238, 309]], 15: [[85, 147], [251, 301]], 16: [[671, 703], [264, 299]], 17: [[42, 77], [295, 333]], 19: [[688, 723], [327, 362]], 21: [[6, 83], [354, 434]], 22: [[193, 243], [367, 420]], 25: [[225, 305], [420, 494]], 26: [[96, 143], [434, 484]], 27: [[525, 563], [451, 492]], 30: [[0, 63], [583, 666]], 31: [[191, 229], [620, 658]]}

```
[16]: fig9, ax9 = plt.subplots(figsize = (8,8))
    ap.plots.target_image(fig9, ax9, VV166Group.target)
    ap.plots.model_window(fig9, ax9, VV166Group)
    plt.show()
```



```
[17]: # This is now a very complex model composed of about 30 sub-models! In totalual 253 parameters! While it is

# possible for the AutoProf Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm to fullyual optimize this model, it is faster in this

# case to apply an iterative fit. AutoProf will apply LM optimization one modelual at a time and cycle through all

# the models until the results converge. See the tutorial on AutoProf fittingual for more details on the fit methods.

result = ap.fit.Iter(VV166Group, verbose = 1).fit()

print(result.message)

# Other technques that can help for difficult fits:

# - Try running some gradient descent steps (maybe 100) before doing LM
```

```
# - Try changing the initial parameters. AutoProf seeks a local minimum so make_
sure its the right one!

# - Fit the large models in the frame first, then add in the smaller ones_
(thats what we've done in this tutorial)

# - Fit a simplier model (say a sersic or exponential instead of spline) first,
then use that to initialize the complex model

# - Mix and match optimizers, if one gets stuck another may be better suited_
for that area of parameter space
```


```
-----iter-----
sky
NGC0070
NGC0071
NGC0068
litte 1
litte 2
litte 3
minor object 02
minor object 03
minor object 04
minor object 05
minor object 06
minor object 08
minor object 10
minor object 11
minor object 12
minor object 13
minor object 14
minor object 15
minor object 16
minor object 17
minor object 19
minor object 21
minor object 22
minor object 25
minor object 26
minor object 27
minor object 30
minor object 31
Update Chi^2 with new parameters
Loss: 2.0171676494917197
-----iter----
sky
NGC0070
NGC0071
NGC0068
```

litte 1


```
litte 2
litte 3
minor object 02
minor object 03
minor object 04
minor object 05
minor object 06
minor object 08
minor object 10
minor object 11
minor object 12
minor object 13
minor object 14
minor object 15
minor object 16
minor object 17
minor object 19
minor object 21
minor object 22
minor object 25
minor object 26
minor object 27
minor object 30
minor object 31
Update Chi^2 with new parameters
Loss: 2.0163737451917734
-----iter----
sky
NGC0070
NGC0071
NGC0068
litte 1
litte 2
litte 3
minor object 02
minor object 03
minor object 04
minor object 05
minor object 06
minor object 08
minor object 10
minor object 11
minor object 12
minor object 13
minor object 14
minor object 15
minor object 16
minor object 17
```

```
minor object 19
     minor object 21
     minor object 22
     minor object 25
     minor object 26
     minor object 27
     minor object 30
     minor object 31
     Update Chi^2 with new parameters
     Loss: 2.0163307612089683
     -----iter----
     sky
     NGC0070
     NGC0071
     NGC0068
     litte 1
     litte 2
     litte 3
     minor object 02
     minor object 03
     minor object 04
     minor object 05
     minor object 06
     minor object 08
     minor object 10
     minor object 11
     minor object 12
     minor object 13
     minor object 14
     minor object 15
     minor object 16
     minor object 17
     minor object 19
     minor object 21
     minor object 22
     minor object 25
     minor object 26
     minor object 27
     minor object 30
     minor object 31
     Update Chi^2 with new parameters
     Loss: 2.016317256162882
     success
[18]: # Indeed the fit converges successfully! These tricks are really useful for
       \hookrightarrow complex fits.
```

```
# Now we can see what the fitting has produced
fig10, ax10 = plt.subplots(1,2,figsize = (16,7))
ap.plots.model_image(fig10, ax10[0], VV166Group)
ap.plots.residual_image(fig10, ax10[1], VV166Group)
plt.show()
```



Now that's starting to look like a complete model, and the Chi^2/ndf is much lower! And all for very little effort considering the level of detail. Looking at the residuals there is a clear improvement from the other attempts, that said there is a lot of structure in the residuals around the small objects, suggesting that a sersic alone is not the best model for these galaxies. That's not too surprising, at the very least we should apply PSF convolution to the models to get the proper blurring. PSF convolution is very slow though, so it would be best to do on a GPU, which you can try out if you have access to one! Simply set psf\_mode = "full" and run fit again. For now though, we'll forgo the PSF convolution in the interest of time.



[]: