RISC-V XBitmanip Extension

Document Version 0.34-draft

Editor: Clifford Wolf Symbiotic GmbH clifford@symbioticeda.com April 14, 2018 Contributors to all versions of the spec in alphabetical order (please contact editors to suggest corrections): Steven Braeger, Rex McCrary, Po-wei Huang, and Clifford Wolf.

This document is released under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Contents

| 1 | Intr | roduction | 1 |
|----------|------|---|----|
| | 1.1 | ISA Extension Proposal Design Criteria | 1 |
| | 1.2 | B Extension Adoption Strategy | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Next steps | 2 |
| 2 | RIS | SC-V XBitmanip Extension | 3 |
| | 2.1 | Count Leading Zeros (clz) | 3 |
| | 2.2 | Count Bits Set (pcnt) | 4 |
| | 2.3 | Generalized Reverse (grev, grevi) | 4 |
| | 2.4 | Shift Ones (Left/Right) (slo, sloi, sro, sroi) | 5 |
| | 2.5 | Rotate (Left/Right) (rol, ror, rori) | 7 |
| | 2.6 | And-with-complement (andc) | 8 |
| | 2.7 | Bit Extract/Deposit (bext, bdep) | 9 |
| | 2.8 | Compressed instructions (c.not, c.neg, c.clz, c.brev) | 10 |
| 3 | Alte | ernatives to bext and bdep | 11 |
| | 3.1 | Generalized Reverse Masked (grevm0, grevm1,) | 12 |
| | 3.2 | Bit Shuffle (zip, unzip) | 13 |
| 4 | Disc | cussion and Evaluation | 15 |
| | 4.1 | Frequently Asked Questions | 15 |
| | 4.2 | Analysis of used encoding space | 16 |

| 5 | Pse | udo-Ops, Macros, Algorithms | 19 |
|---|-----|---|----|
| | 5.1 | GREVI Pseudo-Ops | 19 |
| | 5.2 | Bit Scanning Operations | 20 |
| | 5.3 | MIX/MUX Operations | 20 |
| | 5.4 | Emulating other Bit Manipulation ISAs using macro-op fusion | 21 |
| | 5.5 | Decoding RISC-V Immediates | 22 |
| | 5.6 | Butterfly Operations | 23 |
| 6 | Ten | nporary encoding using NSE opcode space | 25 |
| 7 | Cha | nge History | 29 |

Introduction

This is the RISC-V XBitmanip Extension draft spec. Originally it was the B-Extension draft spec, but the work group got dissolved for burocratic reasons in November 2017.

It is currently an independently maintained document. We'd happily donate it to the RISC-V foundation as starting point for a new B-Extension work group, if there will be one.

1.1 ISA Extension Proposal Design Criteria

Any proposed changes to the ISA should be evaluated according to the following criteria.

- Architecture Consistency: Decisions must be consistent with RISC-V philosophy. ISA changes should deviate as little as possible from existing RISC-V standards (such as instruction encodings), and should not re-implement features that are already found in the base specification or other extensions.
- Threshold Metric: The proposal should provide a *significant* savings in terms of clocks or instructions. As a heuristic, any proposal should replace at least four instructions. An instruction that only replaces three may be considered, but only if the frequency of use is very high.
- Data-Driven Value: Usage in real world applications, and corresponding benchmarks showing a performance increase, will contribute to the score of a proposal. A proposal will not be accepted on the merits of its *theoretical* value alone, unless it is used in the real world.
- Hardware Simplicity: Though instructions saved is the primary benefit, proposals that dramatically increase the hardware complexity and area, or are difficult to implement, should be penalized and given extra scrutiny. The final proposals should only be made if a test implementation can be produced.
- Compiler Support: ISA changes that can be natively detected by the compiler, or are already used as intrinsics, will score higher than instructions which do not fit that criteria.

1.2 B Extension Adoption Strategy

The overall goal of this extension is pervasive adoption by minimizing potential barriers and ensuring the instructions can mapped to the largest number of ops, either direct or pseudo, that are supported by the most popular processors and compilers. By adding generic instructions and taking advantage of the RISC-V base instruction that already operate on bits, the minimal set of instructions need to added while at the same time enabling a rich of operations.

The instructions cover the four major categories of bit manipulation: Count, Extract, Insert, Swap. The spec supports RV32, RV64, and RV128. "Clever" obscure and/or overly specific instructions are avoided in favor of more straight forward, fast, generic ones. Coordination with other emerging RISC-V ISA extensions groups is required to ensure our instruction sets are architecturally consistent.

1.3 Next steps

- Add support for this extension to processor cores and compilers so we can run quantitative evaluations on the instructions.
- Create assembler snippets for common operations that do not map 1:1 to any instruction in this spec, but can be implemented easily using clever combinations of the instructions. Add support for those snippets to compilers.

RISC-V XBitmanip Extension

In the proposals provided in this section, the C code examples are for illustration purposes. They are not optimal implementations, but are intended to specify the desired functionality.

The sections on encodings are mere placeholders.

2.1 Count Leading Zeros (clz)

This operation counts the number of 0 bits before the first 1 bit (counting from the most significant bit) in the source register. This is related to the "integer logarithm". It takes a single register as input and operates on the entire register. If the input is 0, the output is XLEN. If the input is $^{\circ}$ 0, the output is 0.

```
uint_xlen_t clz(uint_xlen_t rs1)
{
    for (int count = 0; count < XLEN; count++)
        if ((rs1 << count) >> (XLEN-1))
        return count;
    return XLEN;
}
```

| 31 | 2 | 20 19 | 15 14 | 12 1 | 1 7 | 7 6 | 0 |
|----|------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| | imm[11:0] | rs1 | func | et3 | rd | opcode | |
| | 12 | 5 | 3 | | 5 | 7 | |
| | ?????????? | src | CLZ | m ZW | dest | OP-IMM-32 | |
| | ?????????? | src | CL | \mathbf{Z} | dest | OP-IMM | |

One possible encoding for clz is as a standard I-type opcode somewhere in the brownfield surrounding the shift-immediate instructions.

2.2 Count Bits Set (pcnt)

The purpose of this instruction is to compute the number of 1 bits in a register. It takes a single register as input and operates on the entire register.

This operation counts the total number of set bits in the register.

```
uint_xlen_t pcnt(uint_xlen_t rs1)
{
   int count = 0;
   for (int index = 0; index < XLEN; index++)
        count += (rs1 >> index) & 1;
   return count;
}
```

| 31 | 5 | 20 19 | $15 \ 14$ | 12 | 2 11 | 7 6 | 0 |
|----|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| | imm[11:0] | rs1 | | funct3 | rd | opcode | |
| | 12 | 5 | · | 3 | 5 | 7 | |
| | ?????????? | src | I | PCNTW | dest | OP-IMM-32 | |
| | ?????????? | src | | PCNT | dest | OP-IMM | |

One possible encoding for pcnt is as a standard I-type opcode somewhere in the brownfield surrounding the shift-immediate instructions.

2.3 Generalized Reverse (grev, grevi)

The purpose of this instruction is to provide a single hardware instruction that can implement all of byte-order swap, bitwise reversal, short-order-swap, word-order-swap (RV64I), nibble-order swap, bitwise reversal in a byte, etc, all from a single hardware instruction. It takes in a single register value and an immediate that controls which function occurs, through controlling the levels in the recursive tree at which reversals occur.

This operation iteratively checks each bit immed_i from i=0 to XLEN-1, in XLEN stages, and if the corresponding bit of the 'function_select' immediate is true for the current stage, swaps each adjacent pair of 2^i bits in the register.

grevi 'butterfly' implementation in C on various architectures

```
uint32_t grevi32(uint32_t rs1, int12_t immed)
{
    uint32_t x = rs1;
    uint5_t k = (uint12_t)immed;
    if (k & 1) x = ((x & 0x55555555) << 1) | ((x & 0xAAAAAAAA) >> 1);
    if (k & 2) x = ((x & 0x333333333) << 2) | ((x & 0xCCCCCCCC) >> 2);
```

```
if (k \& 4) x = ((x \& 0x0F0F0F0F) << 4) | ((x \& 0xF0F0F0F0) >> 4);
   if (k \& 8) x = ((x \& 0x00FF00FF) << 8) | ((x \& 0xFF00FF00) >> 8);
   if (k \& 16) x = ((x \& 0x0000FFFF) <<16) | ((x \& 0xFFFF0000) >> 16);
   return x;
}
uint64_t grevi64(uint32_t rs1, int12_t immed)
{
   uint64_t x = rs1;
   uint6_t k = (uint12_t)immed;
   if (k \& 4) x = ((x \& 0x0F0F0F0F0F0F0F0F) << 4) | ((x \& 0xF0F0F0F0F0F0F0F0) >> 4);
   if (k & 8) x = ((x & 0x00FF00FF00FF00FF) << 8) | ((x & 0xFF00FF00FF00FF00) >> 8);
   if (k \& 16) x = ((x \& 0x0000FFFF0000FFFF) <<16) | ((x \& 0xFFFF0000FFFF0000) >> 16);
   if (k & 32) x = ((x & 0x00000000FFFFFFF) <<32) | ((x & 0xFFFFFFF00000000) >> 32);
   return x;
}
```

The above pattern should be intuitive to understand in order to extend this definition in an obvious manner for RV128+.

| 31 | 25 24 | 20 19 | | 15 | 14 | 12 1 | 11 | 7 6 | | 0 |
|-----------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----|--------|------|-----------------------|-----|-----------|---|
| imm[11:5] | imm[4: | 0] | rs1 | | funct3 | | rd | | opcode | |
| 7 | 5 | | 5 | | 3 | | 5 | | 7 | |
| ??????? | $\operatorname{mod}\epsilon$ | : | src | | GREVIW | | dest | | OP-IMM-32 | |
| 31 | 27 26 | 20 19 | | 15 | 14 | 12 1 | 11 | 7 6 | | 0 |
| imm[11:7] | imm[6: | 0] | rs1 | | funct3 | | rd | | opcode | |
| 5 | 7 | | 5 | , | 3 | | 5 | | 7 | |
| ????? | $\operatorname{mod}\epsilon$ | : | src | | GREVI | | dest | | OP-IMM | |
| 31 | 25 24 | 20 1 | 9 | 15 | 5 14 | 12 | 2 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 |
| funct7 | rs2 | ; | rs1 | | funct3 | | rd | | opcode | |
| 7 | 5 | | 5 | | 3 | | 5 | | 7 | |
| ??????? | src | 2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | | GREV | | dest | | OP | |
| ??????? | src | 2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | | GREVW | | dest | | OP-32 | |

grev is encoded as standard R-type opcode and grevi is encoded as standard I-type opcode.

2.4 Shift Ones (Left/Right) (slo, sloi, sro, sroi)

These instructions are similar to shift-logical operations from the base spec, except instead of shifting in zeros, it shifts in ones. This can be used in mask creation or bit-field insertions, for example.

OP-32

10?????

src2

src1

SLOW

dest

These instructions are exactly the same as the equivalent logical shift operations, except the shift shifts in ones values.

```
uint_xlen_t slo(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs2)
     int12_t shamt = rs2 & (XLEN-1);
    return ~(~rs1 << shamt);</pre>
}
uint_xlen_t sloi(uint_xlen_t rs1, int12_t immed)
{
     int12_t shamt = immed & (XLEN-1);
    return ~(~rs1 << shamt);</pre>
}
uint_xlen_t sro(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs2)
{
     int12_t shamt = rs2 & (XLEN-1);
    return ~(~rs1 >> shamt);
}
uint_xlen_t sroi(uint_xlen_t rs1, int12_t immed)
{
     int12_t shamt = immed & (XLEN-1);
    return ~(~rs1 >> shamt);
}
                 25 24
                               20 19
                                            15 14
                                                            12 11
                                                                           7 6
     imm[11:5]
                     imm[4:0]
                                                   funct3
                                     rs1
                                                                    rd
                                                                                  opcode
                         5
                                                                    5
                                       5
                                                     3
       10?????
                       shamt
                                                  SLOIW
                                                                   dest
                                                                               OP-IMM-32
                                      \operatorname{src}
       10?????
                       shamt
                                      \operatorname{src}
                                                  SROIW
                                                                   dest
                                                                               OP-IMM-32
                 27 26
                               20 19
                                            15 14
                                                            12 11
                                                                           7 6
     imm[11:7]
                     imm[6:0]
                                     rs1
                                                   funct3
                                                                    rd
                                                                                  opcode
                         7
                                                                    5
                                                                                     7
          5
                                      5
                                                     3
        10???
                                                   SLOI
                                                                                 OP-IMM
                       shamt
                                                                   dest
                                      \operatorname{src}
        10???
                       shamt
                                                   SROI
                                                                                 OP-IMM
                                                                   dest
                                      \operatorname{src}
                 25 24
                               20 19
                                            15 \ 14
                                                            12 11
                                                                           7 6
   31
                                                                                              0
       funct7
                        rs2
                                     rs1
                                                   funct3
                                                                    rd
                                                                                  opcode
          7
                         5
                                      5
                                                     3
                                                                    5
                                                                                     7
       10?????
                                                                                    OP
                        src2
                                     src1
                                                    SRO
                                                                   dest
       10?????
                        src2
                                     src1
                                                    SLO
                                                                   dest
                                                                                   OP
       10?????
                                                   SROW
                                                                                  OP-32
                        src2
                                     src1
                                                                   dest
```

s(1/r)o(i) is encoded similarly to the logical shifts in the base spec. However, the spec of the entire family of instructions is changed so that the high bit of the instruction indicates the value to be inserted during a shift. This means that a sloi instruction can be encoded similarly to an slli instruction, but with a 1 in the highest bit of the encoded instruction. This encoding is backwards compatible with the definition for the shifts in the base spec, but allows for simple addition of a ones-insert.

When implementing this circuit, the only change in the ALU over a standard logical shift is that the value shifted in is not zero, but is a 1-bit register value that has been forwarded from the high bit of the instruction decode. This creates the desired behavior on both logical zero-shifts and logical ones-shifts.

2.5 Rotate (Left/Right) (rol, ror, rori)

These instructions are similar to shift-logical operations from the base spec, except they shift in the values from the opposite side of the register, in order. This is also called 'circular shift'.

```
uint_xlen_t rol(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs2)
{
    int12_t shamt = rs2 & (XLEN-1);
    return (rs1 << shamt) | (rs1 >> (XLEN-shamt));
}

uint_xlen_t ror(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs2)
{
    int12_t shamt = rs2 & (XLEN-1);
    return (rs1 >> shamt) | (rs1 << (XLEN-shamt));
}

int_xlen_t rori(uint_xlen_t rs1, int12_t immed)
{
    int12_t shamt = immed & (XLEN-1);
    return (rs1 >> shamt) | (rs1 << (XLEN-shamt));
}</pre>
```

| 31 | 25 24 | 20 19 | $15 \ 14$ | 12 | $2 11 \qquad 7$ | 6 | 0 |
|-----------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| imm[11:5] | imm[4:0] | rs1 | f | unct3 | rd | opcode | |
| 7 | 5 | 5 | | 3 | 5 | 7 | |
| 11????? | shamt | src | R | ORIW | dest | OP-IMM-32 | |

| 31 | 27 26 | 20 19 | 15 14 | 12 | 2 11 7 | 6 | 0 |
|-----------|---------|--------|-------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---|
| imm[11:7] | imm[6:0 |)] rs1 | | funct3 | rd | opcode | |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | | 3 | 5 | 7 | |
| 11??? | shamt | src | | RORI | dest | OP-IMM | |

| 31 | 25 | 24 2 | 0 19 | 15 14 | 12 11 | 7 6 | 0 |
|--------|----|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---|
| funct7 | | rs2 | rs1 | funct3 | rd | opcode | |
| 7 | | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | |
| 11???? | ? | $\mathrm{src}2$ | $\operatorname{src}1$ | RORW | dest | OP-32 | |
| 11???? | ? | $\mathrm{src}2$ | $\operatorname{src}1$ | ROLW | dest | OP-32 | |
| 11???? | ? | $\mathrm{src}2$ | $\operatorname{src}1$ | ROR | dest | OP | |
| 11???? | ? | ${ m src}2$ | $\operatorname{src}1$ | ROL | dest | OP | |

Rotate shift is implemented very similarly to the other shift instructions. One possible way to encode it is to re-use the way that bit 30 in the instruction encoding selects 'arithmetic shift' when bit 31 is zero (signalling a logical-zero shift). We can re-use this so that when bit 31 is set (signalling a logical-ones shift), if bit 31 is also set, then we are doing a rotate. The following table summarizes the behavior. The generalized reverse opcodes can be encoded using the bit pattern that would otherwise encode an "Arithmetic Left Shift" (which is an operation that does not exist).

Bit 31 Bit 30 Meaning

0 0 Logical Shift-Zeros

0 1 Arithmetic Shift

1 0 Logical Shift-Ones

1 1 Rotate

Table 2.1: Rotate Encodings

2.6 And-with-complement (andc)

| 31 | 25 | 24 20 | 0 19 | $15 \ 14$ | - | 12 11 | 7 6 | 0 |
|-------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---|
| funct | 7 | rs2 | rs1 | | funct3 | rd | opcode | |
| 7 | | 5 | 5 | | 3 | 5 | 7 | |
| ????? | | src2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | | ANDC | dest | OP | |
| ????? | / / | $\operatorname{src2}$ | $\operatorname{src}1$ | A | ANDCW | dest | OP-32 | |

This is an R-type instruction that implements the and-with-complement operation x=(a & ~b) with an assembly format of and ro,r1,r2.

Additionally, the four positive bitwise operations can all be implemented with the same ALU area (e.g. as a single microcode instruction) using a scheme described in the implementation commentary, and fused versions can be implemented as well.

```
uint_xlen_t andc(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs2)
{
    return rs1 & ~rs2;
}
```

This instruction should be encoded similarly to the instruction in the base spec. The exact instruc-

tion encoding is to be decided, however.

2.7 Bit Extract/Deposit (bext, bdep)

This is an R-type instruction that implements the generic bit extract and bit deposit functions. Similar implementation can be referred to as gather/scatter or pack/unpack.

BEXT[W] rd,rs1,rs2 collects LSB justified bits to rd from rs1 using extract mask in rs2.

BDEP[W] rd,rs1,rs2 writes LSB justified bits from rs1 to rd using deposit mask in rs2.

```
uint_xlen_t bext(uint_xlen_t v, uint_xlen_t mask)
    uint_xlen_t c = 0, m = 1;
    while (mask) {
        uint_xlen_t b = mask & -mask;
        if (v & b)
            c \mid = m;
        mask -= b;
        m \ll 1;
    }
    return c;
}
uint_xlen_t bdep(uint_xlen_t v, uint_xlen_t mask)
    uint_xlen_t c = 0, m = 1;
    while (mask) {
        uint_xlen_t b = mask & -mask;
        if (v & m)
            c |= b;
        mask -= b;
        m \ll 1;
    }
    return c;
}
```

| 31 | 25 24 | 20 | 19 | 15 14 | 12 11 | 7 6 0 |
|--------|-------|------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| funct7 | 7 | rs2 | rs1 | funct3 | rd | opcode |
| 7 | | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| ?????? | ?? | src2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | BEXT | dest | OP |
| ?????? | ?? | src2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | BDEP | dest | OP |
| ?????? | ?? | src2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | BEXTW | dest | OP-32 |
| ?????? | ?? | src2 | $\operatorname{src}1$ | BDEPW | dest | OP-32 |

This instruction should be encoded similarly to the instruction in the base spec. The exact instruction encoding is to be decided, however.

2.8 Compressed instructions (c.not, c.neg, c.clz, c.brev)

The RISC-V ISA has no dedicated instructions for bitwise inverse (not) and arithmetic inverse (neg). Instead not is implemented as xori rd, rs, -1 and neg is implemented as sub rd, x0, rs.

In bitmanipulation code **not** and **neg** are very common operations. But there are no compressed encodings for those operations because there is no **c.xori** instructions and **c.sub** can not operate on **x0**.

Many bit manipulation operations that have dedicated opecodes in other ISAs must be constructed from smaller atoms in RISC-V XBitmanip code. But implementations might chose to implement them in a single micro-op using macro-op-fusion. For this it can be helpful when the fused sequences are short. **not** and **neg** are good candidates for macro-op-fusion, so it can be helpful to have compressed opcodes for them.

Likewise, clz and brev (an alias for grevi rd, rs, -1, i.e. bitwise reversal) are very common atoms for building common bit manipulation operations. So it is helpful to have compressed opcodes for them as well.

For example, the equivalent to the x86 instruction BSR (find index of highest set bit) can be implemented using the following 64 bit sequence that does not spill other registers (and thus is a prime candidate for macro-op-fusion):

```
bsr rsd:
  c.clz rsd
  c.neg rsd
  addi rsd, rsd, 32
```

Likewise, the AMD TBM extension adds 10 x86 bit manipulation instructions. The equivalent RISC-V code sequences can utilize c.not in half of the cases.

The compressed instructions c.not, c.neg, c.clz, c.brev must be supported by all implementations that support the C extension and XBitmanip.

| | 15 	 14 	 13 | 12 | 11 10 | 9 8 7 | 6 	 5 	 4 	 3 	 2 | 1 0 | |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----|----------------------------------|
| | 011 | nzimm[9] | 2 | | nzimm[4 6 8:7 5] | 01 | C.ADDI16SP $(RES, nzimm=\theta)$ |
| Ī | 011 | nzimm[17] | $rd \neq \{0, 2\}$ | | nzimm[16:12] | 01 | C.LUI (RES, nzimm=0; HINT, rd=0) |
| | 011 | 0 | 00 | rs2'/rd' | 0 | 01 | C.NEG |
| | 011 | 0 | 01 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 01 | C.NOT |
| | 011 | 0 | 10 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 01 | C.CLZ |
| | 011 | 0 | 11 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 01 | C.BREV |

This four instructions fit nicely in the reserved space in C.LUI/C.ADDI16SP. They only occupy 0.13% of the ≈ 15.6 bits wide RVC encoding space.

Alternatives to bext and bdep

There are issues with bext and bdep. One is the high hardware cost of the unit performing the operations. Another is the open question of patents potentially preventing implementations to use the best-known single-cycle implementations.

Various multi-cycle implementations have been proposed, but preliminary evaluations of real-world bit manipulation tasks show that multi-cycle bext and bdep often are not fast outperform algorithms that use sequences of shifts and bit masks.

However, some operations that would benefit from bext and bdep would be very hard to implement without them. Some examples are Bit scatter/gather (compress/uncompress) operations, goats-and-sheeps, and calulating the index of the Nth set bit in a word. For example, the following code efficiently calculates the index of the twelfth set bit in a0 using bdep:

```
li a1, 0x00000800
bdep a0, a0, a1
brev a0, a0
clz a0, a0
```

The instruction encoding space used by bext and bdep is minimal, i.e. not much is gained by preserving the opcode space occupied by the two instructions. So one possible solution would be to make bext and bdep optional features that may be emulated by software, and add fast implementations of the much simpler instructions below that simplify some of the most common cases that would otherwise benefit from a fast bext and bdep unit.

For small cores this would be an immense simplification because they would not need to implement bext and bdep in hardware. For large cores that provide bext and bdep hardware, adding the instructions below is only a minor additional effort. But this additional effort would not be in vain because the instructions below are somewhat orthogonal to bext and bdep, so that overall the combined feature set of bext and bdep and the instructions below would be even more powerful than bext and bdep alone.

A compiler would decide to use bext or bdep based on the optimization profile for the concrete processor it is optimizing for, similar to the decision whether to use MUL or DIV with a constant,

or to perform the same operation using a longer sequence of simpler operations.

3.1 Generalized Reverse Masked (grevm0, grevm1, ...)

The grevmN instructions performs the grev operation with argument 2^N , followed by a mask uperation using the lower XLEN/2 bits of the second argument, one mask bit for each pair of bits that may be swapped by the grev operation. If the mask bit is set then the swap is taken, otherwise the bit values stay in their position.

```
uint_xlen_t swapbits(uint_xlen_t x, int p, int q)
    assert(p < q);
    x = x ^ ((x & (1 << p)) << (q-p));
    x = x^{((x & (1 << q)) >> (q-p))};
    x = x ^ ((x & (1 << p)) << (q-p));
}
uint_xlen_t grevmN(uint_xlen_t rs1, uint_xlen_t rs1, int N)
    int a = 1 << N, b = 2*b;
    uint_xlen_t x = rs1;
    for (int i = 0; i < XLEN/2; i++) {
        int p = b*(i/a) + i\%a, q = b*(i/a) + i\%a + a;
        if (rs1 & (1 << i))
            x = swapbits(x, p, q);
    }
    return x;
}
```

The assembler should implement those instructions using a generic grevm mnemonic with a fourth constant parameter for N, and also provide grevmN pseudo-ops.

With the help of grevmN an arbitrary bit permutation can be computed using $4(log_2XLEN) - 2$ instructions and $(log_2XLEN) - 0.5$ data words using a Bene network. For example on RV32:

```
lhu a2, 4(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 4
lhu a2, 2(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 3
lhu a2, 4(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 2
lhu a2, 6(a1)
```

```
grevm a0, a0, a2, 1

lhu a2, 8(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 0

lhu a2, 10(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 1

lhu a2, 12(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 2

lhu a2, 14(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 3

lhu a2, 16(a1)
grevm a0, a0, a2, 4
```

Similarly, a butterfly network or inverse butterfly network can be implemented in $2(log_2XLEN)$ instructions and $(log_2XLEN)/2$ data words.

3.2 Bit Shuffle (zip, unzip)

The zip instruction interleaves the bits of the lower and upper half of its argument. The unzip instruction performs the inverse.

In other words, zip performs a rotate left shift on the bit indices, and unzip performs a rotate right shift on the bit indices.

Performing zip log_2 XLEN times is the identity. Performing it $(log_2$ XLEN) – 1 times is equivalent to one execution of unzip.

```
uint_xlen_t zip(uint_xlen_t rs1)
{
    uint_xlen_t x = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < XLEN/2; i++) {
        x |= ((rs1 >> i) & 1) << (2*i);
        x |= ((rs1 >> (i+XLEN/2)) & 1) << (2*i+1);
    }
    return x;
}

uint_xlen_t unzip(uint_xlen_t rs1)
{
    uint_xlen_t x = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < XLEN/2; i++) {</pre>
```

```
x |= ((rs1 >> (2*i)) & 1) << i;
x |= ((rs1 >> (2*i+1)) & 1) << (i+XLEN/2);
}
return x;
}</pre>
```

The zip instruction followed by a grevm0 instruction implements a single stage of an omega network.

The zip instruction with the upper half of its input cleared performs the commonly needed "fanout" operation. (Equivalent to bdep with a 0x55555555 mask.) The zip instruction applied twice fans out the bits in the lower quarter of the input word by a spacing of 4 bits.

For example, calculating the bitwise prefix sum of the bits in the lower byte of a 32 bit word on RV32:

```
andi a0, a0, 0xff
zip a0, a0
zip a0, a0
slli a1, a0, 4
add a0, a1
slli a1, a0, 8
add a0, a1
slli a1, a0, 16
add a0, a1
```

The final prefix sum is stored in the 8 nibbles of the a0 output word.

Discussion and Evaluation

4.1 Frequently Asked Questions

Why clz instead of ctz? Why not XYZ?

The important thing is that we wanted to have a small ISA that only provides useful atoms for building most of the other "usual" bit manipulation instructions.

If we chose clz or ctz is not that important. But we want to pick only one and let the used build the other by combining the supported instruction with bitwise reverse (which is provided by grevi).

grev[i] seems to be overly complicated? Do we really need it?

The grevi instruction can be used to build a wide range of common bit permutation instructions. For example on RV32:

Table 4.1: Some GREVI Pseudo-Instructions

| Mode | Meaning on RV32 |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| 4 | swap the nibbles of each byte |
| 7 | reverse the bits in each byte |
| 15 | reverse the bits in each halfword |
| 16 | swap the two half words halfword |
| 24 | reverse the byte order |
| 31 | bitwise reverse |

If grevi were removed from this spec we would need to add a few new instructions in its place for operations such as bitwise reverse or endianness convertion.

Do we really need all the *W opcodes for 32 bit ops on RV64?

I don't know. I think nobody does know at the moment. But they add very little complexity to the core. So the only question is if it is worth the encoding space. We need to run proper experiments with compilers that support those instructions. So they are in for now and if future evaluations show that they are not worth the encoding space then we can still throw them out.

Why only andc and not any other complement operators?

Early versions of this spec also included other *c operators. But experiments¹ have show that andc is much more common in bit manipulation code than any other operators. Especially because it is commonly used in mix and mux operations.

BEXT/BDEP look like really expensive operations. Do we really need them?

Yes, they are expensive, but not as expensive as one might expect. A single-cycle 32 bit BEXT+BDEP+GREV core can be implemented in less space than a single-cycle 16x16 bit multiplier with 32 bit output.²

4.2 Analysis of used encoding space

So how much encoding space is used by the XBitmanip extension?

Table 4.2: XBitmanip encoding space (log2, i.e. in equivalent number of bits)

| R | V32 | RV | 64 | Instruction |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| 2x | 10 | 4x | 10 | CLZ, CLZW, PCNT, PCNTW |
| 1x 1x | 15 15 | 3x 1x | 15 16 | GREV, GREVW, GREVIW GREVI |
| 2x 2x | 15 15 | 6x 2x | 15 16 | SLO, SRO, SLOW, SROW, SLOIW, SROIW SLOI, SROI |
| 2x 1x | 15 15 | 5x 1x | 15 16 | ROR, ROL, RORW, ROLW, RORIW RORI |
| 3x | 15 | 6x | 15 | ANDC, BEXT, BDEP, ANDCW, BEXTW, BDEPW |
| 4x | 4 | 4x | 4 | C.NEG, C.NOT, C.CLZ, C.BREV |

In the compressed encoding space XBitmanip needs the equivalent of a 6 bit encoding space, or

¹http://svn.clifford.at/handicraft/2017/bitcode/

 $^{^2} https://github.com/cliffordwolf/bextdep$

 $\approx 0.13\%$ of the total ≈ 15.6 bits available. $(100/(2^{15.6-6}) \approx 0.13)$

On RV32, XBitmanip requires the equivalent of a \approx 18.6 bit encoding space in the uncompressed encoding space. For comparison: A single standard I-type instruction (such as ADDI or SLTIU) requires a 22 bit encoding space. I.e. the entire RV32 XBitmanip extension needs less than one-eighth of the encoding space of the SLTIU instruction.

$$\frac{\log(2 \cdot 2^{10} + 12 \cdot 2^{15})}{\log(2)} \approx 18.6$$

On RV64, XBitmanip requires the equivalent of a \approx 19.8 bit encoding space in the uncompressed encoding space. I.e. the entire RV64 XBitmanip extension needs less than one-quarter of the encoding space of the SLTIU instruction.

$$\frac{\log(4\cdot2^{10}+20\cdot2^{15}+4\cdot2^{16})}{\log(2)}\approx19.8$$

Pseudo-Ops, Macros, Algorithms

This chapter contains a collection of psudo-ops, macros, and algorithms using the XBitmanip extension. For the sake of simplicity we assume RV32 for most examples in this chapter.

Most assembler routines in this chapter are written as if they were ABI functions, i.e. arguments are passed in a0, a1, ... and results are returned in a0. Registers t0, t1, ... are used for spilling.

Some of the assembler routines below can not or should not overwrite their first argument. In those cases the arguments are passed in a1, a2, ... and results are returned in a0.

5.1 GREVI Pseudo-Ops

Tables 5.1 and 5.2 list assembler pseudo-ops for commonly used grevi commands.

| Pseudoinstruction | Base Instruction(s) | Meaning |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| brev rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 31 | bitwise reverse |
| bswap rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 24 | reverse the byte order |
| bswap.h rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 8 | reverse the byte order in each half word |
| hswap rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 16 | reverse the order of half words |

Table 5.1: RV32 GREVI Pseudoinstructions.

| Pseudoinstruction | Base Instruction(s) | Meaning |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| brev rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 63 | bitwise reverse |
| bswap rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 56 | reverse the byte order |
| bswap.h rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 8 | reverse the byte order in each half word |
| bswap.w rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 24 | reverse the byte order in each word |
| hswap rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 48 | reverse the order of half words |
| hswap.w rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 16 | reverse the order of half words in each word |
| wswap rd, rs | grevi rd, rs, 32 | reverse the order of words |

Table 5.2: RV64 GREVI Pseudoinstructions.

5.2 Bit Scanning Operations

Count Leading Zeros

This is trivially just the clz instruction:

```
clz a0, a0
```

Count Leading Ones

Simply invert before executing the clz instruction:

```
not a0, a0 clz a0, a0
```

Find index of highest bit set (aka ilog2)

```
clz a0, a0
neg a0, a0
addi a0, a0, 32
```

Find index of lowest bit set

```
brev a0, a0 clz a0, a0
```

5.3 MIX/MUX Operations

MIX Operation

A MIX operation selects bits from arguments a1 and a2 based on the bits in the control word a0.

```
and t0, a1, a0 andc a0, a2, a0 or a0, a0, t0
```

MUX Operation

A MUX operation selects word a1 or a2 based on if the control word a0 is zero or nonzero, without branching. (The snez instruction is optional if a0 is already either 0 or 1.)

snez a0, a0
neg a0, a0
and t0, a1, a0
andc a0, a2, a0
or a0, a0, t0

5.4 Emulating other Bit Manipulation ISAs using macro-op fusion

The following code snippets implement all instructions from the x86 bit manipulation ISA extensions ABM, BMI1, BMI2, and TBM using RISC-V code that does not spill any registers and thus could easily be implemented in a single instruction using macro-op fusion. (Some of them simply map directly to instructions in this spec and so no macro-op fusion is needed.) Note that shorter RISC-V code sequences are possible if we allow spilling to temporary registers.

Table 5.3: Emulating other Bit Manipulation ISAs using macro-op fusion

| x86 Ext | x86 Instruction | Ву | tes | RISC-V Code |
|---------|---------------------------|-----|-----|------------------|
| | | x86 | RV | |
| ABM | popcnt | 5 | 2 | c.pcnt a0 |
| | lzcnt | 5 | 2 | c.clz a0 |
| BMI1 | andn | 5 | 4 | andc a0, a2, a1 |
| | bextr (regs) ¹ | 5 | 12 | c.add a0, a1 |
| | | | | slo a0, zero, a0 |
| | | | | c.and a0, a2 |
| | | | | srl a0, a0, a1 |
| | blsi | 5 | 6 | neg a0, a1 |
| | | | | c.and a0, a1 |
| | blsmsk | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, -1 |
| | | | | c.xor a0, a1 |
| | blsr | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, -1 |
| | | | | c.and a0, a1 |
| BMI2 | bzhi | 5 | 6 | slo a0, zero, a2 |
| | | | | c.and a0, a1 |
| | \mathtt{mulx}^2 | 5 | 4 | mul |
| | pdep | 5 | 4 | bdep |
| | pext | 5 | 4 | bext |
| | $rorx^2$ | 6 | 4 | rori |
| | \mathtt{sarx}^2 | 5 | 4 | sra |
| | \mathtt{shrx}^2 | 5 | 4 | srl |
| | shlx^2 | 5 | 4 | sll |

¹ The BMI1 bextr instruction expects the length and start position packed in one register operand. Our version expects the length in a0, start position in a1, and source value in a2.

 $^{^2}$ The *x BMI2 is nstructions just perform the indicated operation without changing any flags. RISC-V does not use flags, so this instructions trivially just map to their regular RISC-V counterparts.

| x86 Ext | x86 Instruction | Ву | tes | RISC-V Code |
|---------|-----------------|-----|-----|---------------------|
| | | x86 | RV | |
| TBM | bextr (imm) | 7 | 4 | , |
| | | | | c.srli a0, (32-LEN) |
| | blcfill | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, 1 |
| | | | | c.and a0, a1 |
| | blci | 5 | 8 | addi a0, a1, 1 |
| | | | | c.not a0 |
| | | | | c.or a0, a1 |
| | blcic | 5 | 10 | addi a0, a1, 1 |
| | | | | andc a0, a1, a0 |
| | | | | c.not a0 |
| | blcmsk | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, 1 |
| | | | | c.xor a0, a1 |
| | blcs | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, 1 |
| | | | | c.or a0, a1 |
| | blsfill | 5 | 6 | addi a0, a1, -1 |
| | | | | c.or a0, a1 |
| | blsic | 5 | 10 | addi a0, a1, -1 |
| | | | | andc a0, a1, a0 |
| | | | | c.not a0 |
| | t1mskc | 5 | 10 | addi a0, a1, +1 |
| | | | | andc a0, a1, a0 |
| | | | | c.not a0 |
| | t1msk | 5 | 8 | addi a0, a1, -1 |
| | | | | andc a0, a0, a1 |

5.5 Decoding RISC-V Immediates

The following code snippets decode the immedate from RISC-V S-type, B-type, and J-type instructions using \mathtt{bext} .

| 31 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 1 | О | U | |
|-----|----------|------|-----|----------|-----------|-------|----|-----|-----|-------|---------|-----|-----------------------|--------|
| in | m[11: | 5] | | r | s2 | rs | 1 | fun | ct3 | imm | [4:0] | opo | ode | S-type |
| imn | n[12 10] |):5] | | r | s2 | rs | 1 | fun | ct3 | imm[4 | 1:1 11] | opo | ode | B-type |
| | | | imn | n[20 10] | 0:1 11 1: | 9:12] | | | | r | d | opo | code | J-type |

decode_s:

li t0, 0xfe000f80 bext a0, a0, t0 c.slli a0, 20 c.srai a0, 20

```
decode_b:
  li t0, 0x80000080
  li t1, 0x7e000f00
  bext a1, a0, t0
  bext a0, a0, t1
  c.slli a1, 30
  c.slli a0, 20
  c.or a0, a1
  c.srai a0, 19
decode_j:
  li t0, 0x800ff000
  li t1, 0x00100000
  li t2, 0x7fe00000
  bext a2, a0, t0
  bext a1, a0, t1
  bext a0, a0, t2
  c.slli a2, 23
  c.slli a1, 22
  c.slli a0, 12
  c.or a0, a2
  c.or a0, a1
  c.srai a0, 11
```

5.6 Butterfly Operations

The grev, bext, and bdep instructions are commonly implemented using butterfly circuits³. (Butterfly circuits are a powerful tool for implementing bit permutations.) However, sometimes one wants to use butterfly operations directly in a program and it is not immediately obvious how to use GREVI and BDEP to perform butterfly operations.

One can easily implement a single butterfly stage using GREVI in just four instructions:

```
grevi t0, a0, N
and t0, t0, a1
andc a0, a0, a1
or a0, a0, t0
```

With a0 containing the data input on entry and the result on exit. N is a power of two indicating which butterfly stage to implement, and a1 contains an XLEN bitmask derived from the XLEN/2 butterfly control word.

This bitmask can either be pre-computed or, if it needs to be generated on-the-fly, it can easily be calculated with the help of BDEP. For example for the first butterfly stage (using a0 as input and output):

³http://programming.sirrida.de/bit_perm.html#butterfly

li t0, 0x55555555 bdep t0, a0, t0 slli a0, t0, 1 or a0, a0, t0

Likewise for the second butterfly stage:

li t0, 0x33333333 bdep t0, a0, t0 slli a0, t0, 2 or a0, a0, t0

For the third stage:

li t0, 0x0f0f0f0f
bdep t0, a0, t0
slli a0, t0, 4
or a0, a0, t0

For the fourth stage:

li t0, 0x00ff00ff bdep t0, a0, t0 slli a0, t0, 8 or a0, a0, t0

And for the fifth stage:

li t0, 0x0000ffff and t0, a0, t0 slli a0, t0, 16 or a0, a0, t0

Temporary encoding using NSE opcode space

This is currently a non-standard extension so we need instruction encodings using "custom" opcodes. The long-term goal for this spec is to become the official B extension. So the encoding in this chapter is a temporary solution for the meantime, allowing us to build compilers and processors to evaluate the extension.

The temporary encoding uses the funct3=000 space in opcode *custom-0* for the uncompressed instructions and the nzuimm[5]=1, nzuimm[4:0]=0 space in C.SLLI for the compressed RV32 instructions.

On RV64 there is no NSE space in the C instruction listing, therefore no encoding for compressed bit manipulation instructions is defined on RV64. (The availability of compressed bit manipulation instructions on RV32 should be sufficient to evaluate the utility of those instructions.)

| 15 14 1 | 3 12 | 11 10 | 9 8 1 | 0 0 4 0 2 | 1 0 | |
|---------|-----------|-------|----------|-------------|-----|--|
| 000 | nzuimm[5] | rs1 | ./rd≠0 | nzuimm[4:0] | 10 | C.SLLI (HINT, rd=0; RV32 NSE, nzuimm[5]=1) |
| 000 | 1 | 00 | rs2'/rd' | 0 | 10 | C.NEG |
| 000 | 1 | 01 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 10 | C.NOT |
| 000 | 1 | 10 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 10 | C.CLZ |
| 000 | 1 | 11 | rs1'/rd' | 0 | 10 | C.BREV |

| 31 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 | |
|----|--------|-----|------|----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|----|---|-----|------|--------|
| | funct7 | | | | rs2 | rs | s1 | fun | ct3 | rd | | ope | code | R-type |
| | in | nm[| 11:0 |)] | | rs | s1 | fun | ct3 | rd | | ope | code | I-type |

RV32 XBitmanip Instruction Set

| | 0000000 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | $^{\mathrm{rd}}$ | 0001011 | CLZ |
|---|---------|-------|-----|-----|------------------|---------|-------|
| | 0001000 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | PCNT |
| | 0010000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREV |
| | 0010100 | mode | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREVI |
| | 0011000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ANDC |
| | 0100000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BEXT |
| | 0101000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BDEP |
| | 1000100 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLOI |
| | 1010100 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SROI |
| | 1110100 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | RORI |
| | 1000000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLO |
| | 1010000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SRO |
| | 1100000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ROL |
| ĺ | 1110000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ROR |
| | | | | | | | |

| 31 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | | 20 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 | |
|----|--------|-----|------|----|-----|----|----|----|------|-----|---------------------|---|-----|------|--------|
| | funct7 | | | | rs2 | | rs | 1 | func | ct3 | rd | | opo | code | R-type |
| | ir | nm[| 11:0 | | | | rs | 1 | func | ct3 | rd | | opo | code | I-type |

RV64 XBitmanip Instruction Set

| | | - | | | | |
|---------|------------------------|-----|-----|---------------------|---------|--------|
| 0000000 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | CLZ |
| 0000010 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | CLZW |
| 0001000 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | PCNT |
| 0001010 | 00000 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | PCNTW |
| 0010000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREV |
| 0010010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREVW |
| 001010 | mode | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREVI |
| 0010110 | mode | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | GREVIW |
| 0011000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ANDC |
| 0011010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ANDCW |
| 0100000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BEXT |
| 0100010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BEXTW |
| 0101000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BDEP |
| 0101010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | BDEPW |
| 100010 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLOI |
| 1000110 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLOIW |
| 101010 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SROI |
| 1010110 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SROIW |
| 111010 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | RORI |
| 1110110 | shamt | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | RORIW |
| 1000000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLO |
| 1000010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SLOW |
| 1010000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SRO |
| 1010010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | SROW |
| 1100000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ROL |
| 1100010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ROLW |
| 1110000 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | ROR |
| 1110010 | rs2 | rs1 | 000 | rd | 0001011 | RORW |
| | | | | | | |

Change History

Table 7.1: Summary of Changes

| Date | Rev | Changes |
|------------|------|---|
| 2017-07-17 | 0.10 | Initial Draft |
| 2017-11-02 | 0.11 | Removed roli, assembler can convert it to use a rori |
| | | Removed bitwise subset and replaced with andc |
| | | Doc source text same base for study and spec. |
| | | Fixed typos |
| 2017-11-30 | 0.32 | Jump rev number to be on par with associated Study |
| | | Moved pdep/pext into spec draft and called it scattergaher |
| 2018-04-07 | 0.33 | Move to github, throw out study, convert from .md to .tex |
| | | Fixed typos and fixed some reference C implementations |
| | | Rename bgat/bsca to bext/bdep |
| | | Remove post-add immediate from clz |
| | | Clean up encoding tables and code sections |
| ????-??-?? | 0.34 | Add GREV and compressed instructions |
| | | Restructure document: Move discussions to extra sections |
| | | Add FAQ, add analysis of used encoding space |
| | | Add chapter on Pseudo-Ops, Macros, Algorithms |
| | | Add chapter on temporary encoding using custom opcode space |
| | | Add chapter on alternatives to bext/bdep |