# A game-theory modeling approach to fitness and trust dynamics in biomedical research social networks

J. Mario Siqueiros-García ·
Rodrigo García-Herrera · Enrique
Hernández-Lemus · Sergio Alcalá

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract Background:** In an ideal world, access to research resources should be fair and equitative according to the proposals relevance and the researcher's academic record. We know that this is not necessarily so, specially in places where access to some resources, e.g., biological samples, is not regulated. Other factors may come into play like social connections, political power or prestige. In this work we explore the distribution of fitness and trust in a biomedical researchers collaboration network when playing a variation of an iterative prisoner's dilemma in which agents are compromised in either defecting and increasing their individual fitness or cooperating and increase mutual fitness with their neighbors. **Methods:** Fitness is a property of the each agent and trust is a property of the link between two agents. According to a pay-off matrix and a mutual trust  $A_{ij}$  matrix, we get a measure of naïvité or confidence for each node. If the agents' confidence is below certain value then the agent will act suspiciously and will defect, othewise it will cooperate. We tested our simulation on an Erdös-Renyí, a Watts-Strogatz small-world and Barabási-Albert topologies, as well as on a real biomedical research network. Agents behavior is updated in a synchronous manner. Results: All networks find a point of equilibrium before the  $50^{th}$  iteration. Different topologies display different fitness and trust distribution. Fitness in an Erdös-Renyí netwok follows a normal distribution and trust is bimodal. For a Watts-Strogatz, small world networks, both fitness and trust distributions are strongly skewed to the right. Barabási-Albert topology has a heavy left-skewed distribution (resembling to a power-law) and trust is bimodal. The biomedical researchers network has

IIMAS-UNAM. Circuito Escolar 3000, Cd Universitaria, Coyoacn, Ciudad de Mxico, D.F.

Tel.: +123-45-678910 Fax: +123-45-678910

E-mail: jmario.siqueiros@iimas.unam.mx

S. Author second address

J. Mario Siqueiros-García

fitness distribution as in a Barabási-Albert, but trust is distributed as in a Watts-Strogatz, small world topology. **Discussion:** 1) The distribution of fitness in the researchers network suggests that there are mechanisms governing the network that produces an asymetric access to resources. 2) Nevetheless, trust variables behaves as in the small-world model which might reflect some sort of subordination among researchers by which they are obliged to cooperate. 3) The range in the threshold that regulates the stringency to cooperate or defect according to the agent's naïvité is small, suggesting that there is a region in which a phase transition takes place from a population full of defectors to a population of cooperators 4) Finally, we would like to propose that this sort of work may help to make visible the need to develop science policies to promote a better, small world-like, fair fitness distribution.

**Keywords** Game theory  $\cdot$  Trust  $\cdot$  Models  $\cdot$  Biomedical research community  $\cdot$  Social Networks

#### 1 Introduction

Your text comes here. Separate text sections with

## 2 Biomedical research: CONACyT and FOSISS

CONACyT (Council of Science and Technology) is the Mexican government entity in charge of promoting the development of science and technology. It is also in charge of creating the country's scientific policies. In a way, CONACyT is equivalent to the National Science Foundation of the United States.

Among CONACyT's functions it is to develop science and technology policies according to national needs and demands, to offer assessorship to the different instances of the government on scientific and technological topics, to promote the creation of research networks among the scientific community, grant scholarships for masters and doctoral studies, and it also manages different trusts intended to fund individuals and groups for scientific and technological research.

In the year 2002 CONACyT, along with other government agencies and entities have created sectorial funds or *Fondos Sectoriales*. Sectorial funds are trusts for scientific and technological research. The objective of such funds is to cover and equally promote the research capacities of different areas such as energy, agriculture, or technological innovation by means of the generation of human resources and helping research groups to consolidate. It is expected that the knowledge generated under the sponsorship of *Fondos Sectoriales* to be the product of applied research that attends national public needs, and promotes economic growth.

FOSISS or Sectorial Fund for Health and Social Security Research (Fondo Sectorial en Investigación en Salud y Seguridad Social) is among such funds. FOSISS is constituted by CONACyT, SSA, IMSS and ISSSTE <sup>1</sup>, being all of them the major public health providers and research institutions in the country. Every year CONACyT opens a call for funds constrained to a set the health areas previously defined by a group of experts. Elegibility is open to the public and private health research sectors, nevertheless, most applicants are public universities and research institutions.

# 3 Methodology

In this work we developed a model in order to have a better understanding the distribution of fitness and trust in a biomedical researchers collaboration under certain social constrictions or topological properties of real collaborative networks of biomedical research.

Our model is based on the iterative version of the prisoner's dilemma (PD) instantiated on networks. Implementing games on networks is not new and is an active area of research aimed to understand the evolution of cooperation in networks populated by selfish agents [1,?]. In many network models on which some of game theory games are simulated, agents decision to cooperate or defect depends on a specific strategy, as the well known tit-for-tat [?,4]. In some other cases, agents can modify the weight of the interactions with their neighbors [?]. And even some others explore the effect of different topologies on the emergence of cooperation [3]. In our case, agents decision to cooperate or defect is a probabilistic outcome that depends on the agent's confidence. Confidence, as it turns out, depends on how positive the agent's gains and relations towards its neighbors have been in the past.

In the model that we developed, agents are embedded in a network with varying number of neighbors. Following the traditional PD game, the strategy chosen by an agent and the strategy chosen by its neighbors will produce a pay-off. Pay-off follows the rule: T > R > P > S. T is for temptation to defect. It is the highest pay-off and it takes place when the player defects and the other cooperates. R is for reward for when both players cooperate. P is the punishment for when both players defect. And S is for suckers pay-off. Fitness is a property of agents in which pay-off is accumulated.

# Prisoner's Dilemma fitness pay-off matrix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SSA is the acronym for Secretariat of Health Secretaría de Salud; IMSS is the acronym for Social Security Mexican Institute (Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social); ISSSTE stands for Institute for Social Security and Services for State Workers (Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado)

	Cooperate	Defect
Cooperate	R,R	S,T
Defect	T, S	P, P

Trust is a property of the link between two agents and it is updated according to a mutual trust  $A_{ij}$  matrix. In the trust matrix, the highest value goes to an edge when both agents cooperate, getting an R for reward, if one of them defects, trust is negatively affected or P for the trust being punished. If both agents defect, trust value doesn't change, which in a sense, it means that agents didn't interact or that the interaction gets nullified.

#### Trust pay-off matrix

	Cooperate	Defect
Cooperate	R	P
Defect	P	N

After each game, the agent adds-up fitness (w), which is the sum of the pay-offs following the PD matrix. The agent also adds-up the amount of trust  $(t_{ji})$  that shares with its neighbors. We measure global fitness and trust for the whole network. Global fitness is the sum of all individual fitness and trust is the sum of every pair of agents links trust. normalized. In order for the agent to choose to cooperate or defect, it is assigned a degree of naïvité. Naïvité is calculated as the sum of the agent's normalized averages of fitness and trust over the number of the agent's neighbors.

Naïvité = 
$$\langle w \rangle + \langle t_{ji} \rangle$$

If the agents' naïvité is below certain global confidence threshold then the agent will act suspiciously and will defect, othewise it will cooperate. We tested our simulation on an Erdös-Renyí, a Watts-Strogatz small-world and Barabási-Albert topologies, as well as on a real biomedical research network. Agents naïvité or confidence, state, fitness and edge's trust is updated in a synchronous manner.

- 3.1 Implementation of the model in different topologies
- 3.1.1 Erdös-Rényi
- 3.1.2 Small-World
- 3.1.3 Barbsi-Albert
- 3.1.4 Biomedical research community network
- 4 Results

## 5 Discussion

**Acknowledgements** The authors gratefully acknowledge support by grants: CB-222220-R/2013 and CB-179431/2012 (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología). We would also like to aknowledge CONACyT for letting heve access to their database. Finally, we aknowledge the help of Francisco Allende for his work cleaning researchers databases.

## References

- 1. Nowak, Martin A. & May, Robert M, Evolutionary Games and Spatial Chaos. Nature, 359: 826-829, (1992)
- 2. Hauert, Christoph & Doebeli, Michael, Spatial structure often inhibits the evolution of cooperation in the snowdrift game. *Nature*, 428: 643-646, (2004)
- Santos, F.C. & Pacheco, J.M., Scale-Free Networks Provide a Unifying Framework for the Emergence of Cooperation. PRL, 95: 098104-1 to 098104-4, (2005)
- 4. Nowak, Martin A. & Highfield, Roger, Supercooperators. Altruism, Evolution, and Why We Need Each Other to Succeed. Free Press, New York, NY (2011)