Water for Agriculture: Maintaining Food Security under Growing Scarcity

Mark W. Rosegrant, Claudia Ringler, and Tingju Zhu

Environment and Production Technology Division, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, District of Columbia 20006-1002; email: M.Rosegrant@cgiar.org, c.ringler@cgiar.org, t.zhu@cgiar.org

Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 2009. 34:205-22

First published online as a Review in Advance on July 28, 2009

The Annual Review of Environment and Resources is online at environ.annualreviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev.environ.030308.090351

Copyright © 2009 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

1543-5938/09/1121-0205\$20.00

Key Words

depletion, evapotranspirative demand, intersectoral competition, water security

Abstract

Irrigated agriculture is the main source of water withdrawals, accounting for around 70% of all the world's freshwater withdrawals. The development of irrigated agriculture has boosted agricultural yields and contributed to price stability, making it possible to feed the world's growing population. Rapidly increasing nonagricultural demands for water, changing food preferences, global climate change, and new demands for biofuel production place increasing pressure on scarce water resources. Challenges of growing water scarcity for agriculture are heightened by the increasing costs of developing new water, soil degradation, groundwater depletion, increasing water pollution, the degradation of water-related ecosystems, and wasteful use of already developed water supplies. This article discusses the role of water for agriculture and food security, the challenges facing irrigated agriculture, and the range of policies, institutions, and investments needed to secure adequate access to water for food today and in the future.

Contents	
1. INTRODUCTION	206
2. TRENDS IN WATER USE IN	
GLOBAL FOOD	
PRODUCTION	206
3. CHALLENGES TO WATER	
USE	207
3.1. Increasing Intersectoral	
Competition	207
3.2. Degradation of Water and Land	
Resources and the	
Environment	208
3.3. Growing Water Pollution	209
3.4. Unsustainable Groundwater	
Use	209
3.5. Water Use for Biofuel	
Production	209
3.6. Climate Change Impacts	
on Water for Agriculture	210
4. POLICIES, INSTITUTIONS,	
AND INVESTMENTS TO	
ENSURE WATER AND FOOD	
SECURITY	211
4.1. Institutional Reform	212
4.2. Role of Virtual Water Trade	213
4.3. Economic Incentives	214
4.4. Investment in Infrastructure	
and Water Supply	215
4.5. Increasing Water-Use	
Efficiency	
4.6. Increasing Crop Yields	
5 CONCLUSIONS	216

Water withdrawal:

water removed from a source (e.g., rivers, lakes, and groundwater aquifers) and used for human needs

Water consumption: water made unusable for reuse in the same basin through irrecoverable losses including evapotranspiration, seepage to a saline sink, or contamination

1. INTRODUCTION

Water makes a major contribution to both rainfed and irrigated production, spanning a continuum from purely rainfed to purely irrigated with the majority of crops produced from rainwater. Globally, most food is produced from soil moisture that comes exclusively from precipitation. Irrigation systems also receive most of their water from precipitation (1, 2). However, as irrigated cereal yields are 60% higher, on average, than rainfed yields, strategies for yield improvements often focus on how to improve

or expand access to irrigation, ideally in combination with fertilizers and other crop inputs (3, 4).

Without irrigation, the rapid increases in agricultural yields and outputs that have occurred over the past three decades could not have been achieved. Although irrigation is often associated with adverse environmental and sometimes also social impacts, it remains one of the most critical inputs into farming. Irrigation also contributes to poverty reduction, affordable food prices, and—through its significant multiplier effects—improvements in many other livelihood outcomes, such as health and nutrition (5-7). Moreover, irrigation systems often serve many other rural water uses, including those for rural domestic water supplies, household gardens, livestock, fishing, recreation, and other enterprises (8, 9). Although these are generally high-value water uses, it is often women or marginalized social groups (e.g., pastoralists or fishers) who depend on these activities. Irrigation also has multiplier effects for nonagricultural and urban areas (10-11) that increase nonagricultural outputs contributing to poverty reduction in both rural and urban areas.

2. TRENDS IN WATER USE IN GLOBAL FOOD PRODUCTION

Irrigation covers 20% of all cultivated land and accounts for about 40% of agricultural production (12). Global water withdrawals were estimated at about 5000 km³ in 2000, and total water depletion or consumption—which refers to the volume of water that is permanently lost (through evapotranspiration or flow to salty sinks, etc.) and cannot be reused in the water system, typically a river basin—was estimated at about 2900 km³ (3).

By 2050, the global population is expected to increase to 9.2 billion, 86% of whom will live in less-developed countries and 70% in rapidly growing urban areas. Fifty-three percent of cereal production growth during 2000–2050 is expected to be met from irrigated agriculture. A growing share of these cereals is projected to be

used as animal feed to meet the rapidly growing demand for livestock production, particularly in Asia (13). Moreover, more affluent diets will translate into greater demand for more water-intensive crops, such as sugarcane and horticultural crops.

Global water consumption from irrigation, domestic, industrial, and livestock uses is expected to grow by 21% by 2050. Regionally, developing countries are expected to increase consumption by 25%, and in the developed regions, the increase is more moderate, at 11%. Global crop water consumption from both precipitation and irrigation is expected to increase at 0.7% per year, from 6400 km3 in 2000 to 8600 km³ by 2025 and to 9060 km³ by 2050. Irrigation water depletion accounted for 22% of total crop water depletion in 2000. This share is expected to decline to 20% of total crop water depletion by 2050, despite higher growth in the expansion of irrigated area compared to the expansion of rainfed area (14). The reason is the higher projected relative expansion of irrigated area in wetter conditions, where a relatively smaller share of total crop water use is accounted for by irrigation water. Other estimates of the global share of irrigation water depletion in current global crop production are similar (around 20% to 30%) but vary widely across regions depending on the dominant mode of production (1, 15, 16). Rockström et al. (1) also find that the share of irrigation water depletion is likely to fall in the future.

Globally, irrigated harvested area is expected to increase by 0.24% annually during the period 2000–2050, and rainfed harvested area may increase by 0.13% per year. Total harvested area is projected to expand rapidly during 2000–2025 followed by a contraction during 2025–2050 as population pressure declines. Total harvested irrigated area is expected to increase from 421 million hectares (ha) in 2000 to 473 million ha by 2050. Asia continues to contribute the largest share to total irrigated area, followed by Latin America. In sub-Saharan Africa, only 6% of cultivated area is currently irrigated. Despite a projected more than doubling of irrigated area in sub-Saharan Africa, the region will still

account for a very minor share—about 2%—of global harvested irrigated area by 2050 (3).

Growing scarcities of water and land are projected to increasingly constrain food production growth, causing adverse impacts on food security and human well-being goals, and have been an underlying factor of the food price spikes during the years 2005–2007.

Evapotranspiration:

the sum of evaporation and plant transpiration from the earth's land surface to atmosphere

3. CHALLENGES TO WATER USE

Over the next decades, new constraints will be placed on water supplies available for irrigation as well as for rainfed agriculture. Demand for water use in agriculture will continue to increase as a result of growing population and economic growth. Nonirrigation demands are expected to grow even faster, putting pressure on supplies available for irrigation. Unsustainable groundwater use is constraining irrigation water supplies in many already water-scarce areas. Environmental demands for water will also vie for scarce water supplies in the future. Water quality problems are another factor that should be considered in water resources management, as all sectors will increasingly compete for unpolluted water supplies as water quality continues to degrade.

3.1. Increasing Intersectoral Competition

Sharp increases in nonirrigation water demands are expected over the next 50 years, with increases concentrated in the group of developing countries. Nonirrigation water consumption is expected to more than double by 2050, approaching more than 700 km³ per year; developing countries are projected to contribute most of the increase in demand, and total nonirrigation water consumption in developed countries is expected to increase only moderately (3).

The projected rapid growth in livestock production is a significant factor in increasing water demand, particularly owing to the demand for water to grow crops that are used as livestock feed, such as maize, other coarse grains, and soybeans (17). However, high

estimates of livestock water consumption, such as the 100,000 liters of water per kilogram of beef production estimated by Pimentel et al. (18) for the United States, are not representative of most livestock systems. A welldocumented analysis by Beckett & Oltjen (19) of the highly water-intensive U.S. feedlot beef production system shows that 3682 liters of water are required to produce 1 kilogram of boneless beef. This figure is much lower compared to other studies, i.e., 10,060 liters per kilogram (20), 100,000 liters per kilogram (18), and 20,559 liters per kilogram (21, 22). On the basis of Beckett & Oltjen's findings (19), direct consumption of water accounts for only about 145 liters of water per kilogram of boneless beef, with the vast majority of water for beef production consumed by irrigated pasture and feed

Peden et al. (23) examine the opposite extreme of livestock intensity—extensive beef production in northern Africa. In a typical northern African system, one head of cattle consumes 25 liters of water per day over a two-year period to produce 125 kg of dress weight meat and consumes crop residues for which no additional water input is required. This yields a direct water consumption of 146 liters per kilogram. Under the most extreme hot/dry conditions, direct consumption could double to nearly 300 liters per kilogram. Even these values overstate the direct consumptive use of livestock because much of the water consumed by livestock is recycled into the soil as urine providing soil nutrients and soil moisture (17). However, as noted below, if the competition for land for feed and biofuels increases even more rapidly, shifts of livestock production to more intensive systems will further boost the competition of livestock for water.

Given that water supply growth is limited but domestic, industrial, and livestock water demands are growing rapidly, a significant share of the additional water for these other uses will come from the irrigation sector. This transfer will lead to a substantial increase in water scarcity in terms of the amount of water available for irrigation compared to irrigation water demand, as the projected decline in irrigation water use for China and some countries in the Middle East and North Africa shows. As a result, the irrigation water supply reliability (IWSR) index, which measures the availability of water relative to full water demand for irrigation, declines from 0.71 globally in 2000 to 0.66 by 2050; the decline will be steeper in water-scarce basins. As water supply reliability declines, irrigators are hurt not only on average, but because irrigation water supply reliability decreases considerably in low-rainfall years. The problem will be compounded by increasing variability in rainfall, with significant increases in the number and severity of droughts in much of the world owing to climate change (24).

Growing competition over water resources will adversely affect freshwater ecosystems, which support human well-being through a range of provisioning, supporting, and regulating services, particularly in less-developed countries (25, 26). Long-term hydrological records have already shown a marked reduction in the annual discharge on some of the world's major rivers, particularly as a result of irrigation (27–29). The poor, whose livelihoods often depend most directly on ecosystem services, suffer most when ecosystems are degraded. The criticality ratio, the ratio of water withdrawal to total renewable water, is a broad indicator of environmental water stress. High criticality ratios (values above 0.40) signify more intensive use of river basin water, a high probability of lower water availability and quality, and absolute water shortages during low-flow periods. Environmental water stress is already high and increasing rapidly in critical areas in China, India, the United States, West Asia, and North Africa (29).

3.2. Degradation of Water and Land Resources and the Environment

Poor irrigation practices accompanied by inadequate drainage have often damaged soils through oversaturation and salt buildup. A notable example is the Aral Sea Basin in Central Asia, which shrank drastically as a result of excessive withdrawals for irrigation. It is estimated that on a global scale there are about 20–30 million hectares of irrigated lands severely affected by salinity. An additional 60–80 million hectares are affected to some extent by waterlogging and salinity (30). Pumping groundwater at unsustainable rates has contributed to the lowering of groundwater tables and to saltwater intrusion in some coastal areas. Rising human demands on water resources only increase pressure on ecosystems and intensify the need to maintain an adequate water supply to wetlands, lakes, rivers, and coastal areas to ensure the healthy functioning of ecosystems (31).

Land degradation reduces not only land productivity, but it can also reduce water-use efficiency. De Vries et al. (32) state that the 13% yield loss, as a result of severe degradation on 40% of agricultural land and moderate degradation on a further 9% of agricultural land, is equivalent to a decline in water-use efficiency of at least 13%.

3.3. Growing Water Pollution

Water pollution affects human health, economic development, and the environment. Water quality impairments can lead to increased competition among water users for the shrinking supplies of unpolluted water. Pollutants can include both human-induced pollution (such as salinization, microbiological contamination, eutrophication, excess nutrients, acidification, metal pollutants, toxic wastes, saltwater contamination, thermal pollution, and increases in total suspended solids) as well as natural pollutants (such as arsenic and fluoride). Poor water quality increasingly constrains agricultural and economic development in densely populated regions that experience water scarcity and are plagued by poor wastewater treatment. Water pollution reduces agricultural production and threatens the health of fish, other aquatic life, and humans. Salinity is one of the largest water quality problems facing the agricultural sector. In almost all developing countries, freshwater biodiversity and associated fisheries are on a decline, which negatively affects protein availability for the poor. Gleick (33) estimates that, assuming the proportion of deaths to total global population of today continues, 59–135 million people may die of preventable water-related diseases between 2002 and 2020. Even if the Millennium Development Goal for water and sanitation is met, an estimated 34–76 million people are still projected to die from water-related diseases.

3.4. Unsustainable Groundwater Use

Globally, about 15% to 35% of irrigation withdrawals are estimated to be nonsustainable; many of these withdrawals are from groundwater sources, which are nonrenewable or exploited beyond recharge rates (25). Annual global groundwater use was estimated at 925 km³ in 1995 (29). Excessive groundwater extraction can lead to both water scarcity and water quality concerns. According to estimates, India has the largest extraction rate at 150 km³ of groundwater and produces around half of its food from groundwater. China and the United States are two other key food producers that rely on groundwater (34, 35). Groundwater overabstraction in coastal areas can cause saltwater intrusion, making the aquifers unusable. In China, India, and elsewhere, falling groundwater tables have resulted in income losses to irrigating farmers, health risks from arsenic to fluorosis, and dried-up springs and small streams.

3.5. Water Use for Biofuel Production

Currently, around 1% of all water withdrawn for agricultural purposes is used to irrigate feed-stocks for biofuels. The production of biofuels affects water resources in two ways: directly through water withdrawals for irrigation and the industrial processes of feedstock conversion and indirectly by increasing water loss through evapotranspiration that would otherwise be available as runoff and groundwater recharge (36). Biofuel production can also affect water quality by increasing nutrient loads in rivers and lakes.

Even though globally the amount of water withdrawn for the production of biofuels is modest, local water scarcity problems may worsen owing to irrigation of feedstocks (37). In many countries, there is little land and water available for biofuel expansion; the use of water for biofuel production in these areas is likely to affect existing water allocation across sectors as well as within agriculture and involve serious trade-offs between energy, environment, food security, and livelihood protection (38, 39). Comparing actual and projected land and water use for food production with and without additional demand for biofuels, De Fraiture et al. (40) find that, although biofuels are of lesser concern at the global level, local and regional impacts could be substantial. They argue that the strain on water resources in China and India makes it unlikely that policy makers will pursue biofuel options, at least those using traditional field crops.

However, these trade-offs can be reduced by careful planning of the development of biofuel crops (38). For instance, if biofuel crops, such as jatropha and sweet sorghum, are grown on marginal lands under rainfed conditions, their pressure on water and land resources can be minimized (38, 41). The negative impacts of rising energy prices and the introduction of biofuels can also be partially offset by the development and adoption of new technologies. For example, commercial technologies that allow conversion of cellulosic substances, like straws, stover, and leaves, to fuel will increase the productivity of food crops in producing biofuels (42). U.S. maize ethanol mandates, subsidies, and import tariffs have worked against the development of new generations of biofuels, but cellulosic ethanol credits have now been implemented, and blending mandates will be phased in beginning in 2010.

Care must also be taken in the development of second-generation biofuels, which will still require water resources that may prohibit their sustainable production in arid regions. If second-generation biofuels are based on crop residues, they may cause long-term degradation of soil quality. Other environmental considerations include their impacts on biodiversity and land use. Moreover, in the longer run, the challenges posed by biofuels for water (and land) scarcity are likely to become even more serious. Long-term modeling of climate change scenarios indicates that biofuels will likely become a major source of emissions reductions, if climate change mitigation is to be successful in reaching targets of 550 ppm of atmospheric CO₂ (43, 44).

3.6. Climate Change Impacts on Water for Agriculture

Climate change affects the global hydrological cycle in many ways, and these changes have serious implications for agricultural production and food security. This section reviews the main hydrological impacts of climate change and their implications for agricultural production and also discusses the need for adaptation of the water and agriculture sectors in response to climate change.

Some of the principal water-related climate changes include changes in the volume, intensity, and variability of precipitation. Changes in the timing and distribution of rainfall (i.e., rainfall variability) are associated with more frequent, severe flooding and drought in many regions. Areas where precipitation is expected to increase face more frequent and severe floods as well as increased erosion and reservoir sedimentation, whereas areas expecting decreases in rainfall face decreased water availability and increased droughts (45, 46). Although there is a high degree of uncertainty in predictions of future precipitation, increases in precipitation are mainly expected at high latitudes, and decreases are expected in subtropical and lower-latitude regions (46, 47).

Furthermore, rising temperatures will increase the rate of snow cap and glacier melt, affecting agricultural production in river basins fed by mountain ranges (48). Sea-level rise owing to the thermal expansion of seawater and the melting of continental glaciers will lead to inundation of low-lying coastal areas, with significant adverse effects, including

salinization of coastal agricultural lands, damage to infrastructure, and tidal incursions into coastal rivers and aquifers (45). Important food-producing areas potentially affected by sealevel rise include Bangladesh and the Mekong Delta in Southeast Asia. In addition, higher temperatures will increase the rate of evaporation/evapotranspiration because of the greater water-holding capacity of the atmosphere, reducing the store of water in reservoirs and soils (46). These adverse effects of climate change on freshwater systems exacerbate the negative impacts of other stresses, such as population growth, changing economic activity, land-use change, and urbanization (45).

Agricultural productivity depends largely on the temporal and spatial distribution of rainfall and availability of water. Changes in the volume, timing, and intensity of rainfall can damage crop growth, disrupting food production and threatening food security. More than the impact of mean changes in climate, changes in climate variability and increases in climate extremes, such as floods and droughts, will have particularly detrimental effects on crop yields (46, 49). Furthermore, modeling results show that, although warming in high-latitude regions would increase crop yields, even slight warming in low-latitude or seasonally dry areas would have a detrimental effect on yields (46). Analyses of multiple climate change scenarios indicate that climate change will likely have a slightly to moderately negative effect on crop yields (50, 51), but crop irrigation requirements would increase (52-54), as would overall water stress in many areas dependent on irrigation (47, 54).

Climate change is also expected to have a significant effect on livestock and fisheries. In particular, climate variability and drought may lead to a loss in livestock productivity given the vulnerability of rangelands to water deficits. Climate change may also reduce pastoral and farming lands resulting from land degradation. The effects of climate change on fisheries include stress attributable to increased temperature and oxygen demand, decreased pH, declining water quality and volume, extreme weather events,

increased frequency of disease, sea-level rise, and an uncertain supply of fishmeal and oils from capture fisheries (46).

The uncertainty over future rainfall patterns and water availability presents a challenge for both water management and agricultural systems as historic rainfall indicators are no longer good predictors for the future (45, 46). Adaptation by both sectors is important to ensure water and food security for future generations. Decision makers, from the farm level to the policy level, must be able to factor in uncertainty considerations in their decisions and choose options that are capable of dealing with multiple alternative futures. In water-stressed areas, adoption of irrigation (and improved varieties of irrigation), improvements in irrigation efficiency and development, as well as soil and water conservation technologies and techniques appear to be particularly promising adaptation options in the face of climate change (55-58). Investments in drainage infrastructure would be wise in areas facing increased precipitation and flooding (59). For livestock, altered rotation of pasture, modification of grazing times, the use of supplementary feeds, and integration within crop-livestock systems are several options to deal with the negative effects of climate change. Climate change considerations should also be integrated into development, infrastructure, and other policy decisions and plans. This will require better coordination across multiple institutions as well as better climate information, early warning systems, and information services that reach multiple stakeholders and decision makers (60).

4. POLICIES, INSTITUTIONS, AND INVESTMENTS TO ENSURE WATER AND FOOD SECURITY

Throughout history, the most common response to growing water demands has been to develop new supplies, but by the end of the twentieth century, most of the easily exploited water resources had been tapped. Increasing water supplies carries increasing financial and

ecological costs. In Africa, substantial water resources are untapped, but the cost of exploiting them is, in most cases, high.

There is considerable scope for improved performance, water savings, and economic gains through water reallocation to high-value uses and through reduction in the number of withdrawals and increasing the degree of reuse, particularly if secure water rights and efficiency pricing for agricultural water can be effectively and equitably implemented (61).

4.1. Institutional Reform

Water is allocated through a variety of mechanisms, ranging from complete control by the government to a mixture of market and government allocation, to predominantly market allocation. The structure of any particular system of water allocation is influenced by the existing institutional and legal frameworks as well as the water resources infrastructure. Public allocation of water has usually been associated with water quantities, on the basis of physical norms and political influence. The state's role is particularly strong in intersectoral allocation, as the state is often the only institution that includes all users of water resources and has jurisdiction over all sectors of water use. Userbased allocation requires collective action institutions with authority to make decisions on water rights and requires strong, but not necessarily formalized, property rights to water. Market-based allocation refers to an exchange of water-use rights. For markets to function effectively, the original allocation of water rights needs to be defined, an institutional and legal framework for trade is needed, and the basic necessary infrastructure to allow water transfers must exist (62). Most water allocation situations represent a mix of these three allocation mechanisms. Under growing water scarcity, the combination of appropriate mechanisms might well change, requiring a supportive enabling framework that allows flexible incorporation of elements of these three approaches.

There have been several broad institutional approaches to improving water-use efficiency

and productivity. These include making the public sector more efficient, devolving more responsibility to farmer groups, and greater involvement of the private sector. Institutional reform of public irrigation agencies holds some promise for long-term improvements in system performance. Possible reforms include shifting from a line department to a semi-independent or public utility mode, applying financial viability criteria to irrigation agencies, franchising rights to operate publicly constructed irrigation facilities, and strengthening accountability mechanisms, such as providing for farmer oversight of operating agencies (63). Reform means fundamental change in the activities undertaken by an agency or set of agencies. These may include (a) river basin planning, (b) watershed management, (c) water resource allocation, (d) environmental monitoring and enforcement, (e) groundwater monitoring and control, and (f) technology transfer and/or advisory services to water user associations (64, 65). Farmers' participation in irrigation management at the tertiary and secondary level has been widely promoted by governments to improve local management. The level of success depends on the degree of farmer cooperation and their incentives to take on an expanded role (66).

Private sector irrigation development has been limited mainly to groundwater development and, to a lesser extent, smaller commercial surface water systems growing high-valued crops. Two-thirds of groundwater irrigation in India and Mexico is privately managed (6). In India and elsewhere in South Asia, public investments in large surface systems have facilitated private irrigation investment. More recently, private investment in treadle pumps has been taking off. Water rights are a key to establishing incentives for irrigation management. Although some system of water rights is found to operate in virtually any setting where water is scarce, systems that are not firmly grounded in formal or statutory law are likely to be more vulnerable to expropriation. If well-defined rights are established, the water user can benefit from investing in water-saving technology.

However, the establishment of formal water rights is not likely to be effective if it ignores existing customary arrangements (67, 68).

4.2. Role of Virtual Water Trade

The concept of virtual water can be used to examine the linkage between trade, food security, and water resources. Virtual water is defined as the volume of water used to produce a good or service, including agricultural commodities, where it can be measured in crop water depletion or in irrigation water depletion (69, 70). Considering water as a factor of production indicates that countries in which water is particularly scarce might benefit by importing water-intensive agricultural goods. The importation of agricultural products that require large amounts of water in their production thus can become an instrument to import water into a water-scarce country. That is, by substituting cereal and other food imports for irrigated agricultural production (so-called imports of virtual water), countries can effectively reduce their agricultural water use. Importing countries benefit from this trade as water originally intended for agriculture can be allocated to other uses. Global water savings take place when agricultural exporters are more water efficient than importers, and global irrigation water savings occur when exporters produce agricultural products under rainfed conditions and importers would have used irrigation water to produce the same agricultural commodities (71).

Examining the impact of trade on global water use, De Fraiture et al. (71) find substantial irrigation water savings owing to cereal trade. The authors show that the majority of cereal exports come from countries, such as the United States, Canada and the European Union, where grains are cultivated in a highly productive rainfed environment, allowing extensive conservation of irrigation water. Importing countries are more diverse and spread out all over the world, including large importers such as China, Japan, Korea, Indonesia, Egypt, Mexico, and Iran. On the basis of simulation modeling, Rosegrant

et al. (29) estimate an increase in cereal trade from water-abundant to water-deficit areas from 23% in 1995 to 38% by 2025, because food demand growth outstrips food production growth in water-deficit areas.

Looking at the case of China, Liao et al. (72) highlight the importance of considering water as a factor of production in global agricultural trade. The authors argue that previous studies suggesting that China will increase the export of labor-intensive agricultural products, such as vegetables and fruits, following World Trade Organization accession are unrealistic owing to water scarcity in China. Rather, they suggest that China may import more wheat and export fewer vegetables and fruits than has typically been predicted, given concerns over water constraints.

Overall, economic and political processes are major driving forces behind food trade, whereas water scarcity plays a modest role in global trade patterns. The level of trade protection of, and domestic support for, agricultural production (e.g., tariffs, duties, and subsidies) by countries distorts the virtual water movement worldwide (73). The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries generally have the highest agricultural trade barriers (although Australia, for example, has open trade policies), but many developing countries have also maintained barriers to agricultural trade to protect domestic agricultural economies. Trade liberalization can also potentially have large positive impacts on the economies of many countries, especially in the developing world. But these income benefits to developing countries from agricultural trade liberalization are the result of increased food prices and increased access to developed country markets, so trade liberalization can actually increase pressure on developing country water resources. Evidence is mixed on the impact of liberalization on water use because of the complex changes in comparative advantage and incentives that come into play with trade liberalization. Ramirez-Vallejo & Rogers (73) found that full liberalization of agriculture would generate a significant net effect on Virtual water (also known as embedded water, embodied water, or hidden water): the water used in the production of a good or service virtual water flows mainly from the relocation of meat trade, with the United States and Latin America increasing virtual water exports and all other regions reducing water imports. Berrittella et al. (74) found that overall trade liberalization has a small effect (less than 10%) on water use. Water use may go up for partial liberalization and down for more complete liberalization. This is because different crops respond differently to tariff reductions, but also because trade and competition matter too. Trade liberalization tends to reduce water use in water-scarce regions and increase water use in water-abundant regions.

4.3. Economic Incentives

Economic incentives for water management include prices, taxes, subsidies, quotas, and ownership/rights. These incentive measures, when implemented appropriately, can affect the decision-making process and motivate water users to conserve and use water efficiently in irrigation and in other uses. At the zero or low levels of current water prices, irrigation water use is highly price inelastic; however, in many cases, prices high enough to induce significant changes in water allocation (or recover capital costs) can severely reduce farm income (75–78). Irrigation water pricing for full capital cost recovery in existing systems is highly unlikely for most irrigation systems in both the developing and developed world (79–81).

Water pricing policies can improve efficiency and sustainability when combined with appropriate supporting policies (82–84). But there are significant barriers to using direct pricing of water, especially in developing countries. Water pricing may conflict with the idea that the provision of water services is a basic right to all individuals if water prices rise to a level that low-income households cannot afford. The high costs of measuring and monitoring water use, where infrastructure and institutions are weak, can also be a major constraint to implementation of water pricing. Adding to the difficulty of pricing reform, both long-standing practices and cultural and religious beliefs have

treated water as a free good, and entrenched interests benefit from the existing system of subsidies and administered allocations of water (61).

Water markets, which are underpinned by secure water rights, empower water users and can be a better option to improve water-use efficiency. By requiring user consent to any reallocation of water and compensating the user for any water transferred, marketable rights to water induce water users to consider the full opportunity cost of water, including its value in alternative uses, thus providing incentives to economize on the use of water and gain additional income through the sale of saved water. Finally, a properly managed system of tradable water rights will provide incentives for water users to internalize (take account of) the external costs imposed by their water use, reducing the pressure to degrade resources (85, 86). But as noted above, there are important institutional and legal requirements for effective water markets. Water markets can be found in a limited number of developed and developing countries. In Asia, informal groundwater markets prevail, whereas in Chile, Australia, and the United States, surface water markets can be found. Water trading efficiency depends on the prevailing infrastructure, the legal environment, the water scarcity situation, and the number of buyers and sellers with varying needs in the market (87–93).

An alternative system to implement incentives in water allocation would be to pay farmers to use less water on the basis of the chargesubsidy approach suggested by Pezzey (94) for pollution control (95, 96). This approach, in which the government, irrigation agency, or river basin authority acts as a broker of water transfers, would establish base water rights at major turnouts, with allocation below the turnout handled by water user associations. For demand greater than the base water right, an efficiency price equal to the value of water in alternative uses would be charged to the users; for demand below the base right, the same efficiency price would be paid to the water users. The establishment of base water rights would increase the political feasibility of water pricing

by formalizing existing water rights. With efficiency prices paid on only the marginal demand above the base right, and farmers being paid if they reduce water use, nonpunitive incentives are introduced. The reliance on water user associations to manage water below the turnout improves local accountability, transparency, and the flexibility of water allocation. Information costs would be reduced because local irrigators with expert knowledge of the value of water would bear the costs and generate the necessary information on the value and opportunity costs of water below major turnouts (61).

4.4. Investment in Infrastructure and Water Supply

Because new investments are increasingly expensive and politically sensitive, some of the increasing demand for water must be met from the carefully selected, economically efficient development of new water through impoundment of surface water, sustainable exploitation of groundwater resources, and expansion in the development of nontraditional water sources. Hard infrastructure investment has a role to play in the future in some regions but a reduced one compared with past trends when dam-building and expansion of irrigated area drove rapid increases in irrigated area and crop yields, particularly in developing countries.

Future construction of irrigation and water supply projects will require balanced development approaches that are acceptable to diverse constituencies. The full social, economic, and environmental costs of development must be considered, but so must the cost of failure to develop new water sources. Project design must ensure comprehensive accounting of full costs and benefits, including not only irrigation benefits but also health, household water use, and catchment improvement benefits. Of utmost importance is improved design and implementation of compensation programs for those who are displaced or negatively affected by water projects (29).

Sustainable development of groundwater resources also offers significant opportunities

for many countries and regions where groundwater extraction remains below natural recharge, including southern China; central, western, and eastern sub-Saharan Africa; much of Southeast Asia; and localized regions elsewhere. Groundwater irrigation is more flexible than surface water irrigation and can be used in conjunction with surface water to improve water-use efficiency (97, 98).

4.5. Increasing Water-Use Efficiency

The scope for increasing water-use efficiency in agriculture is large—simply because agriculture uses the largest volumes of water. At the same time, enhancing water-use efficiency is a highly complex task because much of the apparent losses at the system level is reused elsewhere in the hydrologic basin. Conserving water ideally should be achieved without adversely affecting third parties who rely on return flows or downstream water reuses; without simply taking water away from farmers, thereby reducing crop yields and farm incomes; without causing long-term adverse impacts on water quality and farm soils; and by taking into account the full scarcity value of water for all the ecosystem services this resource provides.

At the irrigation system level, water use can be reduced during transport from the source to the farm, typically along canals (conveyance efficiency), from the farm gate to the field (distribution efficiency), and during application to crops (application efficiency). For example, conveyance efficiency can be increased by lining canals; distribution efficiency can be enhanced by lining and maintaining on-farm canals; and application efficiency can be increased by using more sophisticated irrigation technologies, such as drip or sprinklers, or through the application of deficit irrigation (99, 100). At the plant level, several water-use ratios can be changed to reduce water use, including the consumptive efficiency, which indicates how much water is not taken up by plant roots; the ratio of water use for transpiration (direct crop water use) versus for evaporation (nonbeneficial evaporation from the soil); the transpiration efficiency,

Deficit irrigation: a practice whereby water supply is reduced below maximum levels, and mild stress is allowed with minimal effects on crop yield

which describes the ratio of the mass of carbon dioxide taken up by plant photosynthesis versus the amount of water transpired; the biomass efficiency, which relates crop biomass to carbon dioxide assimilated by photosynthesis; and finally yield efficiency or harvest index, which relates harvested yield to the crop biomass produced (99). Some of these water conservation options are also available for rainfed agriculture and for pastures and grazing lands used by livestock. Finally, water use can also be saved under some conditions through substitution by other crop inputs, such as fertilizer or labor (101). The increase in crop yield and improvement in water application and basin-wide efficiencies contribute to the increase in water productivity, but the major contribution comes from the crop yield increase. However, the actual water savings from increasing water-use efficiency at the system level are often below the potential suggested by typically low system-wise efficiency figures, as downstream recovery of irrigation drainage water and recharge and extractions of groundwater result in basin-wide efficiencies substantially greater than those for individual systems (100, 102).

4.6. Increasing Crop Yields

Increasing crop yields, for example, through closing the yield gap between developed and developing regions and between rainfed and irrigated crops can save significant water resources and help conserve ecosystems and remaining forest areas in the developing world. If agricultural research investments can be sustained, the continued application of conventional breeding and the recent developments in nonconventional breeding offer considerable potential for improving cereal yield growth, particularly in rainfed environments. Three major breeding strategies include research to increase the harvest index, plant biomass, and stress tolerance (particularly drought resistance). The first two methods increase yields by altering the plant architecture, whereas the third focuses on increasing the ability of plants to survive stressful environments (29). The first of these may

have only limited potential for generating further yield growth owing to physical limitations, but there is considerable potential from the latter two (103, 104). For example, the New Rice for Africa, a hybrid between Asian and African species, was bred to fit the rainfed upland rice environment in West Africa. It produces over 50% more grain than current varieties when cultivated in traditional rainfed systems without fertilizer. In addition to higher yields, these varieties mature 30 to 50 days earlier than current varieties and are far more disease and drought tolerant than previous varieties (105, 106).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Irrigation is, and will remain, the largest single user of water, but its share of world water consumption is projected to decline. Growing scarcities of water and land are projected to progressively constrain food production growth, slowing progress toward the goals of food security and human well-being. In the absence of policy and investment reform, water for the environment and for food production will increasingly conflict in many parts of the world. Water pollution will affect growing populations in developing countries, harming both agricultural and economic development. Adverse impacts from climate change on agricultural production are expected to become more pronounced, especially in developing countries. Even under moderate climate change scenarios, impacts are projected to be negative for dryland areas in Africa, Asia, and the Mediterranean. Increasing water scarcity for agriculture not only limits crop area expansion but also slows irrigated cereal yield growth in developing countries. Despite recent commitments to increase investment in irrigation, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, projected irrigation expansion will be insufficient to reduce rapidly growing levels of net food imports in the developing world. Moreover, given that water supply growth is limited and that domestic and industrial water demand are growing rapidly, a significant share of the additional water for domestic and industrial uses will come from

the irrigation sector. This transfer will lead to a substantial increase in water scarcity for irrigation.

The most promising avenue for addressing water shortfalls into the future is water management and incentive policy reform to enhance the efficiency of existing water use, supported by infrastructure investment to modernize and upgrade existing irrigation and water delivery systems. Improvements in the irrigation sector to increase water-use efficiency must be made at the technical, managerial, and institutional levels. Key to inducing higher water efficiency gains in all sectors is introducing market (or market-style) incentives into water-use decision

making. Although much can be done in the irrigation sector to conserve water, enhanced domestic sewage treatment and industrial recycling and effluent treatment can go a long way in improving water availability in many developing countries.

Water scarcity could severely and easily worsen if policy and investment commitments from national governments and international donors and development banks weaken. Policy reform, including agricultural research and management in rainfed areas and changes in the management of irrigation and water supplies, is therefore urgently needed to ensure sustainable water access and affordable food prices.

SUMMARY POINTS

- Agriculture continues to be the largest user of freshwater resources through 2050 for all regions, although its share is expected to decline relative to industrial and domestic uses. Sectoral competition and other water scarcity-related problems will intensify.
- 2. Growing water scarcity will increasingly constrain food production growth, causing adverse impacts on the goals of food security and human well-being. An increasing share of food production stems from often unsustainable groundwater use.
- 3. Reliability of agricultural water supply is projected to decline without improved water management policies.
- 4. Water pollution will increasingly constrain water availability and food production, particularly in developing countries. Water quality problems are more difficult to resolve and have long-run consequences.
- 5. Although globally the amount of water withdrawn for biofuels is modest, local water scarcity problems may worsen owing to direct and indirect impacts from agricultural water use for feedstock production, and scenarios for climate change mitigation show that biofuel production is likely to expand dramatically in the future.
- 6. Climate change will affect water resources for all uses and, in particular, water use in agriculture through gradual changes in precipitation, runoff, evaporative demands, and sea-level rise in productive delta areas, as well as through increased numbers of extreme events, including floods, droughts, and storm surges.
- 7. Water conservation and productivity enhancements in rainfed and irrigated agriculture are needed to offset the growing impacts of water scarcity on the environment and risks to farmers.
- 8. There is significant untapped potential to use economic incentives for agricultural water management. A number of alternative approaches to implementing incentives are available; the appropriate choice of approach should be conditioned on institutional, infrastructural, and legal capacity.

FUTURE ISSUES

- High uncertainty remains regarding the impacts of climate change on agricultural water use.
- Higher energy costs will affect water supply and use in uncertain ways, increasing the cost of groundwater and pump irrigation, and increasing the viability of large storage systems that can be used for irrigation.
- Water quality will increasingly constrain agricultural production and availability for other
 uses, but little research has been done on how to reduce adverse impacts in developing
 countries.
- 4. More research on the implementation of appropriate economic incentives for agricultural water management is needed.
- The appropriate role of state, market, and local collective action institutions needs to be identified for sustainable agricultural water management under increasing scarcity.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

LITERATURE CITED

- Rockström J, Lannerstad M, Falkenmark M. 2007. Assessing the water challenge of a new green revolution in developing countries. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104:6253–60
- 2. Schiermeier Q. 2008. A long dry summer. Nature 452:270-73
- Rosegrant MW, Fernandez M, Sinha A (coordinating lead authors). 2009. Looking into the future for agriculture and AKST (Agric. Knowledge, Sci. Technol.). In Agriculture at a Crossroads: Global Report: International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge Science and Technology, ed. BD McIntyre, HR Herren, JW Wakhungu, RT Watson, pp. 307–76. Washington, DC: Island
- 4. World Bank. 2007. World Development Report 2008: Agriculture for Development. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Rosegrant MW, Cai X, Cline S, Nakagawa N. 2002. The role of rainfed agriculture in the future of global food production. EPTD Discuss. Pap. 90. IFPRI, Washington, DC
- Lipton M, Litchfield J, Faures J-M. 2003. The impact of irrigation on poverty: a framework for analysis. Water Policy 5:413–27
- Hasnip N, Mandel S, Morrison J, Pradhan P, Smith L. 2001. Contribution of irrigation to sustaining rural livelihoods. Rep. OD/TN 109 HR. Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK
- Meinzen-Dick R. 1997. Valuing the multiple uses of irrigation water. In Water: Economic, Management and Demand, ed. M Kay, T Franks, L Smith, pp. 50–58. London: E&FN Spon
- 9. Bakker M, Barker R, Meinzen-Dick R, Konradsen F, eds. 1999. Multiple uses of water in irrigated areas: a case study from Sri Lanka. SWIM Pap. 8. Int. Water Manag. Inst., Colombo, Sri Lanka
- Bhattarai M, Barker R, Narayanamoorthy A. 2007. Who benefits from irrigation development in India? Irrig. Drain. 56:207–25
- Strzepek KM, Yohe GW, Tol RSJ, Rosegrant MW. 2008. The value of the high Aswan Dam to the Egyptian economy. Ecol. Econ. 66:117–26
- 12. Molden D, ed. 2007. Water for Food, Water for Life: A Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture. London/Colombo, Sri Lanka: Earthscan/Int. Water Manag. Inst.

- 13. Delgado CL, Rosegrant MW, Steinfeld H, Ehui S, Courbois C. 1999. *Livestock to 2020. The next food revolution*. Food, Agric. Environ. Discuss. Pap. 28. Int. Food Policy Res. Inst., Washington, DC
- Sulser TB, Ringler C, Zhu T, Msangi S, Bryan E, Rosegrant M. 2009. Green and blue water accounting in the Ganges and Nile basins: implications for food and agricultural policy. 7. Hydrol. In press
- Falkenmark M, Rockström J. 2004. Balancing Water for Humans and Nature: The New Approach in Ecohydrology. London: Earthscan
- Gerten D, Hoff H, Bondeau A, Lucht W, Smith P, Zaehle S. 2005. Contemporary "green" water flows: simulations with a dynamic global vegetation and water balance model. *Phys. Chem. Earth* 30:334

 –38
- Rosegrant MW, Valmonte-Santos RA, Cline SA, Ringler C, Li W. 2005. Water resources, agriculture
 and pasture: implications of growing demand and increasing scarcity. In *Grassland: A Global Resource*, ed.
 DA McGilloway, pp. 227–38. Neth.: Wageningen Acad.
- 18. Pimentel D, Houser J, Preiss E, White O, Fang H, et al. 1997. Water resources: agriculture, the environment and society. *BioScience* 47:97–106
- Beckett JL, Oltjen JW. 1993. Estimation of the water requirement for beef production in the United States. 7. Anim. Sci. 71:818–26
- Chapagain AK, Hoekstra AY. 2003. Virtual water flows between nations in relation to trade in livestock and livestock products. Water Res. Rep. Ser. 13, UNESCO-IHE, Delf, Neth.
- 21. Robbins J. 1987. Diet for a New America. Walpole, NH: Stillpoint
- 22. Kreith M. 1991. Water Inputs in California Food Production. Sacramento, CA: Water Educ. Found.
- Peden D, Tadesse G, Mammo M. 2003. Improving the water productivity of livestock: an opportunity for poverty reduction. Presented Integr. Water Land Manag. Res. Capacity Build. Prior. Ethiopia Conf., Dec. 2–4, 2002, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia http://www.ilri.cgiar.org/InfoServ/Webpub/Fulldocs/IntegratedWater/iwmi/Documents/Papers/Don.htm
- 24. Meehl GA, Stocker TF, Collins WD, Friedlingstein P, Gaye AT, et al. 2007. Global climate projections. In Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. S Solomon, D Qin, M Manning, Z Chen, M Marquis, et al., pp. 747–845. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Vörösmarty CJ, Lévêque C, Revenga C. 2005. Fresh water. In Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Current State and Trends. Findings of the Condition and Trends Working Group. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, Vol. 1, ed. R Hassan, R Scholes, pp. 165–207. Washington, DC: Island
- Dudgeon D, Arthington AH, Gessner MO, Kawabata Z-I, Knowler DJ, Lévêque C, et al. 2006. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biol. Rev.* 81:163–82
- Nilsson C, Reidy C, Dynesius M, Revenga C. 2005. Fragmentation and flow regulation of the world's large river systems. Science 308:405–8
- Walling DE, Fang D. 2003. Recent trends in the suspended sediment loads of the world's rivers. Glob. Planet. Change 39:111–26
- Rosegrant MW, Cai X, Cline SA. 2002. World Water and Food to 2025: Dealing with Scarcity. Washington, DC: IFPRI/Sri Lanka: Int. Water Manag. Inst.
- 30. FAO. 1996. Food Production: The Critical Role of Water. Rome: World Food Summit
- 31. Shiklomanov IA. 1997. Comprehensive assessment of the freshwater resources of the world: assessment of water resources and water availability in the world. *Rep. prepared under UNESCO-sponsored program. publ.* World Meteorol. Organ., Geneva. 88 pp.
- 32. de Vries FP, Acquay H, Molden D, Scherr S, Valentin C, Cofie O. 2008. Learning from bright spots to enhance food security and to combat degradation of water and land resources. In *Conserving Land*, *Protecting Water*, ed. D Bossio, K Geheb, pp. 1–19. Wallingford, UK: CABI
- Gleick PH. 2002. Dirty water: estimated deaths from water-related diseases 2000/2020. Pac. Inst. Stud. Dev., Environ. Secur., Oakland, CA. http://www.pacinst.org/reports/water_related_deaths/water_related_deaths_report.pdf
- Shah T, Molden D, Sakthivadivel R, Seckler D. 2000. The global groundwater situation: overview of opportunities and challenges. Int. Water Manag. Res. Inst., Colombo, Sri Lanka. http://www.lk.iwmi.org/ pubs/WWVisn/GrWater.pdf
- 35. Foster S, Garduño H. 2004. China: Towards sustainable groundwater resource use for irrigated agriculture on the North China Plain. Case Profile Collect. No. 8. World Bank, Washington, DC

- Berndes G, Hoogwijk M, van den Broek R. 2003. The contribution of biomass in the future global energy supply: a review of 17 studies. Biomass Bioenergy 25:1–28
- Rosegrant MW, Zhu T, Msangi S, Sulser T. 2008. Global scenarios for biofuels: impacts and implications. Rev. Agric. Econ. 30:495–505
- McCornick PG, Awulachew SB, Abebe M. 2008. Water–food–energy–environment synergies and tradeoffs: major issues and case studies. Water Policy 10(Suppl. 1):23–36
- Müller A, Schmidhuber J, Hoogeveen J, Steduto P. 2008. Some insights in the effect of growing bioenergy demand on global food security and natural resources. Water Policy 10(Suppl. 1):83–94
- de Fraiture C, Giordano M, Yongsong L. 2008. Biofuels and implications for agricultural water use: blue impacts of green energy. Water Policy 10(Suppl. 1):67–81
- Rajagopal D. 2008. Implications of India's biofuel policies for food, water and the poor. Water Policy 10(Suppl. 1):95–106
- Zilberman D, Sproul T, Rajagopal D, Sexton S, Hellegers P. 2008. Rising energy prices and the economics of water in agriculture. Water Policy 10(Suppl. 1):11–21
- 43. Gurgel A, Reilly JM, Paltsev S. 2007. Potential land use implications of global biofuels industry. Special Issue J. Agric. Food Ind. Organ. 5(2):Article 9
- 44. Wise MA, Calvin KV, Thomson AM, Clarke LE, Bond-Lemberty B, et al. 2009. The implications of limiting CO₂ concentrations for agriculture, land use, land-use change emissions and bioenergy. Rep. prepared by Pacific Northwest Lab. Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830 for US Dep. Energy. http://www.pnl.gov/gtsp/publications/2009/200902_co2_landuse.pdf
- 45. Kundzewicz ZW, Mata LJ, Arnell NW, Döll P, Kabat P, et al. 2007. Freshwater resources and their management. In Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Working Group II Contribution to the 4th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. ML Parry, OF Canziani, JP Palutikof, PJ van der Linden, CE Hanson, pp. 173–210. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Bates BC, Kundzewicz ZW, Wu S, Palutikof JP, eds. 2008. Climate change and water. Tech. Pap. Intergov. Panel Clim. Change. IPCC Secr., Geneva. 210 pp.
- 47. Arnell NW. 1999. Climate change and global water resources. Glob. Environ. Change 9:S31-49
- Barnet TP, Adam JC, Lettenmaier DP. 2005. Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. *Nature* 438:303–9
- Rosenzweig C, Tubiello FN, Goldberg R, Mills E, Bloomfield J. 2002. Increased crop damage in the US from excess precipitation under climate change. Glob. Environ. Change 12:197–202
- Parry ML, Rosenzweig C, Iglesias A, Livermore M, Fischer G. 2004. Effects of climate change on global food production under SRES emissions and socio-economic scenarios. Glob. Environ. Change 14:53–67
- Cline WR. 2007. Global Warming and Agriculture: Impact Estimates by Country. Washington, DC: Cent. Global Dev.
- 52. Frederick KD, Major DC. 1997. Climate change and water resources. Clim. Change 37:7-23
- Döll P. 2002. Impact of climate change and variability on irrigation requirements: a global perspective. Clim. Change 54:269–93
- Fischer G, Tubiello FN, van Velthuizen H, Wiberg D. 2006. Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirements: effects of mitigation. 1990/2080. Tech. Forecast. Soc. Change 74:1083–107
- Wallace JS. 2000. Increasing agricultural water use efficiency to meet future food production. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 82:105–19
- 56. Ragab R, Prudhomme C. 2002. Climate change and water resources management in arid and semi-arid regions: prospective and challenges for the 21st century. *Biosyst. Eng.* 81:3–34
- 57. Mendelsohn R, Dinar A. 2003. Climate, water, and agriculture. Land Econ. 79:328-41
- Akpalu W. 2009. Climate variability and maize yield in South Africa: results from GME and MELE methods. IFPRI Discuss. Pap. 843. IFPRI, Washington, DC
- Rosenzweig C, Strezpek KM, Major DC, Iglesias A, Yates DN, et al. 2004. Water resources for agriculture in a changing climate: international case studies. Glob. Environ. Change 14:345–60
- Nhemachena C, Hassan R. 2007. Micro-level analysis of farmers' adaptation to climate change in southern Africa. IFPRI Discuss. Pap. 714. IFPRI, Washington, DC
- Rosegrant MW, Cline S. 2002. The politics and economics of water pricing in developing countries. Water Resour. IMPACT 4:6–8

- Dinar A, Rosegrant M, Meinzen-Dick R. 1997. Water allocation mechanisms: principles and practices. World Bank Policy Res. Work. Pap. 1779. World Bank, Washington, DC
- Rosegrant MW, Svendsen M. 1993. Asian food production in the 1990s: irrigation investment and management policy. Food Policy 18:13–32
- Johnson SH III, Svendsen M, Gonzales F. 2004. Institutional reform and options for the irrigation sector. Agric. Rural Dev. Discuss. Pap. 5. World Bank, Washington, DC
- Svendsen M, Trava J, Johnson SH III. 1997. Participatory irrigation management: benefits and secondgeneration problems. In *Lessons from an International Workshop, CLAT, Cali, Columbia*. Washington, DC: World Bank Econ. Dev. Inst.
- Vermillion DL, Sagardoy JA. 1999. Transfer of irrigation management: guidelines. FAO Irrig. Drain. Pap. 58. Rome
- 67. Bruns BR, Meinzen-Dick RS. 2005. Frameworks for water rights: an overview of institutional options. In Water Rights Reform Lessons for Institutional Design, ed. BR Bruns, C Ringler, RS Meinzen-Dick, pp. 3–25. Washington, DC: IFPRI
- 68. Bruns BR, Meinzen-Dick RS. 2000. Negotiating Water Rights. London: Intermed. Technol. Publ. 326 pp.
- Allan JA. 1998. Virtual water: a strategic resource. Global solutions to regional deficits. Groundwater 36:545–46
- Hoekstra AY, Hung PQ. 2003. Virtual water trade: a quantification of virtual waterflows between nations in relation to international crop trade. Value of Water Res. Rep. Ser. 11. Delft, Neth.: IHE
- de Fraiture C, Cai X, Amarasinghe U, Rosegrant MW, Molden D. 2004. Does international cereal trade save water? The impact of virtual water trade on global water use. *Compr. Assess. Res. Rep. 4*. Int. Water Manag. Inst., Colombo, Sri Lanka
- Liao Y, de Fraiture C, Giordano M. 2008. Global trade and water: lessons from China and the WTO. Glob. Gov. 14:503–21
- 73. Ramirez-Vallejo J, Rogers P. 2009. Failure of the virtual water argument: possible explanations using the case study of Mexico and NAFTA. In *Global Change: Implications for Water and Food Security*, ed. C Ringler, A Biswas, SA Cline. Washington, DC: IFPRI. In press
- Berrittella M, Rehdanz K, Tol RSJ, Zhang J. 2007. The impact of trade liberalization on water use: a computable general equilibrium analysis. Work. Pap. FNU-142. Univ. Hamburg
- 75. Ringler C. 2005. The role of economic incentives for the optimal allocation and use of water resources—case study of the Dong Nai River Basin in Vietnam. In Water and Sustainable Development, ed. PM Schmitz, pp. 61–92. Frankfurt: Peter Lang GmbH.
- Perry CJ. 2001. Charging for irrigation water: the issues and options, with a case study from Iran. Res. Rep. 52. Int. Water Manag. Inst., Colombo, Sri Lanka
- Rosegrant MW, Ringler C, McKinney DC, Cai X, Keller A, Donoso G. 2000. Integrated economichydrologic water modeling at the basin scale: the Maipo river basin. Agric. Econ. 1:33–46
- Löfgren H. 1996. The cost of managing with less: cutting water subsidies and supplies in Egypt's agriculture.
 TMD Discuss. Pap. 7. Int. Food Policy Res. Inst., Washington, DC
- 79. OECD. 1999. Water Subsidies and Environment. Paris: OECD
- Dinar A, Subramanian A. 1998. Policy implications from water pricing experiences in various countries.
 Water Policy 1:239–50
- 81. Barker R, Rosegrant MW. 2007. Establishing efficient use of water resources in Asia. In Reasserting the Rural Development Agenda: Lessons Learned and Emerging Challenges in Asia. Institute of the South East Asian Studies and South East Asia Regional Center for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture (SEARCA), ed. A Balisacan, F Nobuhiko, pp. 227–66. San Pablo City, Philipp.: Laguna Coll. 414 pp.
- 82. Dinar A, Mody J. 2004. Irrigation water management policies: allocation and pricing principles and implementation experience. *Nat. Resour. Forum* 28:112–22
- Rosegrant MW, Schleyer RG, Yadav SN. 1995. Water policy for efficient agricultural diversification: market-based approaches. Food Policy 20:203–23
- 84. Gardner BD. 1983. Water pricing and rent seeking in California agriculture. In *Water Rights Scarce Resources Allocation, Bureaucracy, and the Environment*, ed. TL Anderson, pp. 83–113. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger

- 85. Rosegrant MW, Binswanger HP. 1994. Markets in tradable water rights: potential for efficiency gains in developing country water resource allocation. *World Dev.* 22:1613–25
- Horbulyk TM, Adamowicz WL. 1997. The role of economic instruments to resolve water quantity problems. Proj. Rep. 97–02. Univ. Alberta, Edmonton, Can.
- Mukherji A. 2004. Groundwater markets in Ganga-Meghna-Brahmaputra basin: theory and evidence. Econ. Polit. Week. 30:3514–20
- Fujita K, Hossain F. 1995. Role of the groundwater market in agricultural development and income distribution: a case study in a North-West Bangladesh village. Dev. Econ. 33:442–63
- 89. Palmer-Jones RW. 2001. Irrigation service markets in Bangladesh: private provision of local public goods and community regulation. Presented at Symp. Managing Common Resources: What is the Solution? Lund Univ., Sweden. Sept. 10–11. http://www.sasnet.lu.se/palmer.jones.pdf
- Rinaudo JD, Strosser P, Rieu T. 1997. Linking water market functioning, access to water resources and farm production strategies: example from Pakistan. Irrig. Drain. Syst. 11:261–80
- Easter KW, Rosegrant MW, Dinar A, eds. 1998. Markets for Water: Potential and Performance. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Acad.
- Hearne RR, Easter KW. 1997. The economic and financial gains from water markets in Chile. Agric. Econ. 15:187–99
- 93. Cai X, Ringler C, Rosegrant MW. 2006. Modeling water resources management at the basin level: methodology and application to the Maipo River Basin. *IFPRI Res. Rep. 149*, Washington, DC
- Pezzey J. 1992. The symmetry between controlling pollution by price and controlling it by quantity. Can. 7. Econ. 25:983–91
- Rosegrant MW, Ringler C, Rodgers C. 2005. The water brokerage mechanism—efficient solution for the irrigation sector. Proc. XII World Water Congr. Water for sustainable development—towards innovative solutions, Nov. 22–25, New Delbi, India. London: Int. Water Assoc.
- Ringler C, Huy NV, Msangi S. 2006. Water allocation policy modeling for the Dong Nai River basin: an integrated perspective. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 42:1465–82
- Oweis T, Hachum A. 2001. Coping with Increased Water Scarcity in Dry Areas: ICARDA's Research to Increase Water Productivity. Aleppo, Syria: Int. Cent. Agric. Res. Dry Areas
- Frederiksen HD, Berkoff J, Barber W. 1993. Water resources management in Asia. Vol. I, Main report. World Bank Tech. Pap. 212. Washington, DC
- 99. Hsiao TC, Steduto P, Fereres E. 2007. A systematic and quantitative approach to improve water use efficiency in agriculture. *Irrig. Sci.* 25:209–31
- Cai X, Rosegrant MW, Ringler C. 2003. Physical and economic efficiency of water use in the river basin: implications for efficient water management. Water Resour. Res. 39:1013
- Cai X, Ringler C, You JY. 2008. Substitution between water and other agricultural inputs: implications for water conservation in a river basin context. Ecol. Econ. 66:38–50
- 102. Keller J. 1992. Implications of improving agricultural water use efficiency on Egypt's water and salinity balances. In *Roundtable on Egyptian Water Policy*, ed. M Abu-Zeid, D Seckler. *Proc. Semin. Egypt. Water Policy, Alexandria, Egypt*, Apr. 11–13. Cairo, Egypt/Arlington, VA: Water Res. Cent./Winrock Int.
- Cassman KG. 1999. Ecological intensification of cereal production systems: yield potential, soil quality, and precision agriculture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:5952–59
- Evans LT. 1998. Feeding the Ten Billion: Plants and Population Growth. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- West Africa Rice Dev. Assoc. (WARDA). 2001. Consortium formed to rapidly disseminate New Rice for Africa. http://www.warda.org/warda/newsrel-consortiumapr01.asp
- 106. Fujii M, Andoh C, Ishihara S. 2004. Drought resistance of NERICA (New Rice for Africa) compared with Oryza sativa L. and millet evaluated by stomatal conductance and soil water content. In New Directions for a Diverse Planet: Proc. 4th Int. Crop Sci. Congr., Brisbane, Aust., 26 Sept.–1 Oct. Gosford, Aust.: Reg. Inst.



Annual Review of Environment and Resources

Volume 34, 2009

Contents

Prefacev
Who Should Read This Series?
I. Earth's Life Support Systems
The Detection and Attribution of Human Influence on Climate Dáithí A. Stone, Myles R. Allen, Peter A. Stott, Pardeep Pall, Seung-Ki Min, Toru Nozawa, and Seiji Yukimoto
On the Increasing Vulnerability of the World Ocean to Multiple Stresses Edward L. Miles
Global Biogeochemical Cycling of Mercury: A Review Noelle E. Selin
Interactions Between Biogeochemistry and Hydrologic Systems Kathleen A. Lohse, Paul D. Brooks, Jennifer C. McIntosh, Thomas Meixner, and Travis E. Huxman
Nitrogen in Agriculture: Balancing the Cost of an Essential Resource G. Philip Robertson and Peter M. Vitousek
II. Human Use of Environment and Resources
Nuclear Power: Economic, Safety, Health, and Environmental Issues of Near-Term Technologies M.V. Ramana
Global Groundwater? Issues and Solutions Mark Giordano
Crop Yield Gaps: Their Importance, Magnitudes, and Causes David B. Lobell, Kenneth G. Cassman, and Christopher B. Field

Water for Agriculture: Maintaining Food Security under Growing Scarcity Mark W. Rosegrant, Claudia Ringler, and Tingju Zhu
Emerging Threats to Human Health from Global Environmental Change Samuel S. Myers and Jonathan A. Patz 223
III. Management, Guidance, and Governance of Resources and Environment
Connectivity and the Governance of Multilevel Social-Ecological Systems: The Role of Social Capital Eduardo S. Brondizio, Elinor Ostrom, and Oran R. Young
Economic Globalization and the Environment *Kevin P. Gallagher
Voluntary Environmental Programs: Assessing Their Effectiveness **Jonathan C. Borck and Cary Coglianese** 305
The Economic Valuation of Environmental Amenities and Disamenities: Methods and Applications Robert Mendelsohn and Sheila Olmstead
Infrastructure and the Environment Martin W. Doyle and David G. Havlick
Scientific Bases of Macroenvironmental Indicators Gordon H. Orians and David Policansky
Environmental Justice Paul Mohai, David Pellow, and J. Timmons Roberts
We Speak for the Trees: Media Reporting on the Environment Maxwell T. Boykoff
Indexes
Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 25–34
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volumes 25–34

Errata

An online log of corrections to Annual Review of Environment and Resources articles may be found at http://environ.annualreviews.org