

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227985064>

Land-Use Change and Hydrologic Processes: A Major Focus for the Future

Article *in* Hydrological Processes · August 2004

DOI: 10.1002/hyp.5584

CITATIONS

250

READS

1,605

2 authors:



[Ruth S. Defries](#)

Columbia University

278 PUBLICATIONS 27,859 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Keith Neal Eshleman](#)

University of Maryland Center for Environme...

87 PUBLICATIONS 2,451 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Millennium Assessment [View project](#)



Long Term Monitoring of Western Maryland Watersheds [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by [Keith Neal Eshleman](#) on 16 April 2014.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file. All in-text references [underlined in blue](#) are added to the original document and are linked to publications on ResearchGate, letting you access and read them immediately.

Land-use change and hydrologic processes: a major focus for the future

R. DeFries^{1*}
K. N. Eshleman²

¹ Department of Geography and
Earth System Science
Interdisciplinary Center, University
of Maryland, College Park, MD,
USA

² University of Maryland Center for
Environmental Science,
Appalachian Laboratory,
Frostburg, MD, USA

*Correspondence to:

R. DeFries, Department of
Geography, 2181 LeFrak Hall,
University of Maryland, College
Park, MD 20742, USA.
E-mail: rdefries@geog.umd.edu

Conversion of land to feed and shelter the growing human enterprise has been one of the primary modes for human modification of the global environment. Over the coming decades, expansion and intensification of agriculture, growth of urban areas, and extraction of timber and other natural resources will likely accelerate to satisfy demands of increasing numbers of people at higher standards of living. Human transformation of the Earth's land surface has multiple consequences for biophysical systems at all scales, ranging from local urban heat islands (Kalnay and Cai, 2003) and alterations in streamflow patterns (Storck *et al.*, 1998; Rose and Peters, 2001) to altered patterns of global atmospheric circulation (Werth and Avissar, 2002) and long-term extinction of species (Pimm and Raven, 2000). Land-use change is a major issue for this century. Some suggest that the consequences may outweigh those from climate change (Sala *et al.*, 2000; Vorosmarty *et al.*, 2000).

To date, much of the research investigating the consequences of land-use change has focused on two issues: (1) the effects of land-use change on climate, either indirectly through emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases from burning and decaying biomass (Houghton, 1995), or directly through altered exchanges of energy, water, and momentum between the land surface and atmosphere (Bonan, 1997), and (2) the effects of habitat loss on biodiversity (Sala *et al.*, 2000). Although the study of linkages between vegetative cover, hydrologic processes and water quality has a relatively long history based on modelling, experimental watersheds, and measurements, the consequences of anthropogenic land-use change for hydrology have received little attention in the study of land-use change (Lambin *et al.*, 2002).

We suggest that understanding the consequences of land-use change for hydrologic processes, and integrating this understanding into the emerging focus on land-change science (Turner *et al.*, 2003), are major needs for the future. These consequences include: changes in water demands from changing land-use practices, such as irrigation and urbanization; changes in water supply from altered hydrological processes of infiltration, groundwater recharge and runoff; and changes in water quality from agricultural runoff and suburban development. Understanding these consequences requires transcending traditional boundaries between disciplines such as hydrology, ecology, geography and even the social sciences.

Identifying and quantifying the hydrological consequences of land-use change are not trivial exercises, and are complicated by: (1) the relatively short lengths of hydrological records; (2) the relatively high natural variability of most hydrological systems; (3) the difficulties in 'controlling' land-use changes in real catchments within which changes are occurring; (4) the relatively small number of controlled small-scale experimental studies that have been performed; and (5) the challenges involved in extrapolating or generalizing results from such studies to other systems. Given the diversity and complexity of land-use changes that are taking place about the globe, satisfactory techniques for analysing the hydrological consequences of land use must be considered to be in an early stage of development. The development of mathematical tools (i.e. models) for reliably predicting the hydrological effects of future land-use changes is literally in its infancy (Beven, 2000).

Much of our present understanding of land-use effects on hydrology is derived from controlled, experimental manipulations of the land surface, coupled with pre- and post-manipulation observations of hydrological processes, commonly precipitation inputs and stream discharge outputs. Most notable are studies of the effects of forest management practices (including cutting, removal activities, and regrowth of forest vegetation) on annual and seasonal water yields, evapotranspirative losses, interception rates, and flood peaks that have been conducted in forests throughout the world, including the pioneering work completed at Coweeta (Swank and Crossley, 1988) and Hubbard Brook (Hornbeck *et al.*, 1970; Likens *et al.*, 1977) in the eastern USA. Forest cutting and removal activities usually cause increases in water yield (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982) and flood peaks (Hornbeck *et al.*, 1970; Harr *et al.*, 1975; Harr, 1981, 1986) for several years following disturbance, but some studies have suggested that these effects can be at least partially attributed to soil compaction during road and skid trail construction (Reinhart *et al.*, 1963; Jones and Grant, 1996; Whitehead and Robinson, 1993). Comparable experimental studies of urbanization and agricultural management practices are much less common in the literature, but both of these types of disturbance have generated an extensive literature of their

own, mostly from analysis of observational data from 'comparative' or 'case' studies (Hollis, 1975; Potter, 1991; Rose and Peters, 2001). Two of the more widely used models for assessing the effects of land-use practices on hydrological response (the rational method and the USDA-SCS curve number approach) were based on field data collected from these types of study. The literature contains very few examples of controlled studies of the effects of permanent land 'conversions' (e.g. forest to agriculture, agriculture to urban, etc.), however.

A major limitation of paired watershed studies is the obvious lack of experimental replication across a full range of natural conditions. Fortunately, paired studies usually provide very high quality experimental data with which to advance our mechanistic understanding of the hydrologic response of watersheds to land-use change and allow testing of mathematical models. Therefore, we expect that experimental approaches combining hydrometric measurements from paired watersheds with process modelling will serve to unravel rapidly the response to land-use change of watersheds of varying size, topography, and spatial configuration and contribute to a progressive 'whitening' of the watershed 'black box'.

Several factors now make a focus on the hydrological impacts of land-use change feasible. First, the feasibility of observing land-cover changes with satellite data is unprecedented. Landsat data are now collected systematically around the world (Goward and Williams, 1997) and data from moderate-resolution sensors, such as MODIS, are freely available (Justice *et al.*, 2002). Laboratories can now manage the data volumes and image processing not possible a decade ago. Satellite data, appropriately calibrated and validated with ground data, provide spatial information on the distribution of land cover types and changes over time (Hansen and DeFries, 2004). Whereas such information could previously only be obtained over small areas from ground surveys or aerial photographs, satellite data extend the coverage over larger areas at more frequent time intervals. Information on land-use over larger areas allows new kinds of investigation, such as the effects of spatial patterns of land-use within a watershed on hydrological processes and modelling of large drainage basins. For example, quantifying

the effects of nitrate leaching on fisheries in the Mississippi Delta requires study of the land-use within the entire Mississippi River basin (Donner *et al.*, 2002).

Second, modelling capabilities for evaluating and predicting hydrological consequences of land-use change at multiple scales have advanced at a rapid rate in recent years, owing largely to technological improvements in data collection and computing capabilities. Satellite remote sensing now has the potential to provide extensive coverage of key variables such as precipitation (Smith *et al.*, 1996; Sturdevant-Rees *et al.*, 2001), soil moisture (Sano *et al.*, 1998) and flooding (Townsend and Foster, 2002), as well as many of the parameters such as vegetation cover (Nemani *et al.*, 1993), vegetation change (Nemani *et al.*, 1996) and imperviousness (Slonecker *et al.*, 2001) that are important inputs to modern hydrological models. These inputs would have been virtually impossible to obtain through traditional data collection techniques (Entekhabi *et al.*, 1999). At the same time, computational improvements now allow far faster data processing and more rigorous testing of hydrological paradigms. Modelling of land-use change has thus rapidly evolved from simple empirical approaches (e.g. unit hydrographs; Jakeman *et al.*, 1993) to lumped-parameter models (Blackie and Eeles, 1985; Eeles and Blackie, 1993) and to spatially distributed methods (Abbott *et al.*, 1986; Adams *et al.*, 1995; Dunn and Mackay, 1995) that can make use of high-resolution information on land-use patterns and processes.

Third, collaboration among scientists from different disciplines, though still problematic, is gaining acceptance as a necessary approach to addressing global environmental issues (Steffen *et al.*, 2003). Perspectives from fields such as remote sensing to observe land cover, from economics and other social sciences to develop future land-use scenarios, from ecology to assess the biological implications of changes in water flow and quality, as well as from hydrology to understand hydrological effects of land-cover changes in a watershed, are all required to comprehend fully the hydrological consequences of land-use change.

In summary, we propose that interactions between land-use change and hydrologic processes

will be a major issue in the decades ahead. Research aimed towards explicit understanding of these interactions will provide necessary input to decisions that must balance trade-offs between the positive benefits of land-use change and potentially negative unintended consequences. Such a research focus calls for a multidisciplinary approach with a comprehensive view towards the hydrologic processes that maintain ecological health and human requirements for food, water, and shelter.

References

- Abbott MB, Bathurst JC, Cunge JA, O'Connell PE, Rasmussen J. 1986. An introduction to the European Hydrological System—System Hydrologique Européen, SHE. 1. History and philosophy of a physically-based, distributed modelling system. *Journal of Hydrology* 87: 45–59.
- Adams R, Dunn SM, Lunn R, Mackay R, O'Callaghan JR. 1995. Assessing the performance of the NELUP hydrological models for river basin planning. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 38: 53–78.
- Beven KJ. 2000. *Rainfall-Runoff Modelling, The Primer*. John Wiley: Chichester.
- Blackie JR, Eeles CWO. 1985. Lumped catchment models. In *Hydrological Forecasting*, Anderson MG, Burt TP (eds). John Wiley: Chichester; 311–346.
- Bonan G. 1997. Effects of land-use on the climate of the United States. *Climatic Change* 37: 449–486.
- Bosch JM, Hewlett JA. 1982. A review of catchment experiments to determine the effect of vegetation changes on water yield and evapotranspiration. *Journal of Hydrology* 55: 3–23.
- Donner SD, Coe MT, Lenters JD, Twine TE, Foley JA. 2002. Modeling the impact of hydrological changes on nitrate transport in the Mississippi River basin from 1955–1994. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* in press. DOI: 10.1029/2001GB001396.
- Dunn SM, Mackay R. 1995. Spatial variation in evapotranspiration and the influence of land-use on catchment hydrology. *Journal of Hydrology* 171: 49–73.
- Eeles CWO, Blackie JR. 1993. Land-use changes in the Balquidder catchments simulated by a daily streamflow model. *Journal of Hydrology* 145: 315–336.
- Entekhabi D, Asrar GR, Betts AK, Beven KJ, Bras RL, Dutty CJ, Dunne T, Koster RD, Lettenmaier DP, McLaughlin DB, Shuttleworth WJ, van Genuchten MT, Wei M-Y, Wood EF. 1999. An agenda for land surface hydrology research and a call for the second international hydrological decade. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 80: 2043–2058.
- Goward S, Williams D. 1997. Landsat and Earth system science: development of terrestrial monitoring. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 63: 887–900.
- Hansen M, DeFries R. 2004. Detecting long term forest change using continuous fields of tree cover maps from 8 km AVHRR data for the years 1982–1999. *Ecosystems* in press.

- Harr RD. 1981. Some characteristics and consequences of snow-melt during rainfall in western Oregon. *Journal of Hydrology* 53: 277–304.
- Harr RD. 1986. Effects of clear-cut logging on rain-on-snow runoff in western Oregon: a new look at old studies. *Water Resources Research* 22: 1095–1100.
- Harr RD, Harper WC, Krygier JT, Hsieh FS. 1975. Changes in storm hydrographs after road building and clear-cutting in the Oregon Coast Range. *Water Resources Research* 11: 436–444.
- Hollis GE. 1975. The effect of urbanization on floods of different recurrence interval. *Water Resources Research* 11: 431–435.
- Hornbeck JW, Pierce RS, Federer CA. 1970. Streamflow changes after forest clearing in New England. *Water Resources Research* 6: 1124–1132.
- Houghton RA. 1995. Land-use change and the carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology* 1: 275–287.
- Jakeman AJ, Littlewood IG, Whitehead PG. 1993. An assessment of the dynamic response characteristics of streamflow in the Balquidder catchments. *Journal of Hydrology* 145: 337–355.
- Jones JA, Grant GE. 1996. Peak flow responses to clear-cutting and roads in small and large basins, western Cascades, Oregon. *Water Resources Research* 32: 959–974.
- Justice CO, Townshend JRG, Vermote EF, Masouka E, Wolfe RE, Saleous S, Roy DP, Morisette JT. 2002. An overview of MODIS land data processing and product status. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 83: 3–15.
- Kalnay E, Cai M. 2003. Impact of urbanization and land-use change on climate. *Nature* 423: 528–531.
- Lambin EF, Baulies X, Bockstael NE, Fischer G, Krug T, Leemans R, Moran EF, Rindfuss R, Sato Y, Skole DL, Turner II BL, Vogel C. 2002. *Land-use and land-cover change implementation strategy*. IGBP Report No. 48 and IHDP Report No. 10, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
- Likens GE, Bormann FH, Pierce RS, Eaton JS, Johnson NM. 1977. *Biogeochemistry of a Forested Ecosystem*. Springer-Verlag: New York.
- Nemani R, Pierce L, Running S, Band L. 1993. Forest ecosystem processes at the watershed scale—sensitivity to remotely-sensed leaf-area index estimates. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 14: 2519–2534.
- Nemani RR, Running SW, Pielke RA, Chase TN. 1996. Global vegetation cover changes from coarse resolution satellite data. *Journal of Geophysical Research—Atmospheres* 101: 7157–7162.
- Pimm SL, Raven P. 2000. Extinction by numbers. *Nature* 403: 843–845.
- Potter KW. 1991. Hydrological impacts of changing land management practices in a moderate-sized agricultural catchment. *Water Resources Research* 27: 845–855.
- Reinhart KG, Eschner AR, Trimble Jr GR. 1963. *Effect on streamflow of four forest practices in the mountains of West Virginia*. US Forest Service Research Paper NE-1, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Upper Darby, PA.
- Rose S, Peters NE. 2001. Effects of urbanization on streamflow in the Atlanta area (Georgia, USA): a comparative hydrological approach. *Hydrological Processes* 15: 1441–1457.
- Sala OE, Chapin FSI, Armesto JJ, Berlow E, Bloomfield J, Dirzo R, Huber-Sanwald E, Huenneke LF, Jackson RB, Kinzig A, Leemans R, Lodge DM, Mooney HA, Oesterheld M, Poff NL, Sykes MT, Walker BH, Walker M, Wall DH. 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287: 1770–1774.
- Sano EE, Huete AR, Troufleau D, Moran MS, Vidal A. 1998. Relation between ERS-1 synthetic aperture radar data and measurements of surface roughness and moisture content of rocky soils in a semiarid rangeland. *Water Resources Research* 34: 1491–1498.
- Slonecker E, Jennings D, Garofalo D. 2001. Remote sensing of impervious surfaces: a review. *Remote Sensing Reviews* 20: 227–255.
- Smith JA, Baeck ML, Steiner M, Miller AJ. 1996. Catastrophic rainfall from an upslope thunderstorm in the central Appalachians: the Rapidan storm of June 27, 1995. *Water Resources Research* 32: 3099–3113.
- Steffen W, Jäger J, Carson DJ, Bradshaw C (eds). 2003. *Challenges of a Changing Earth. Series: Global Change—The IGBP Series*. Springer: Heidelberg, Germany.
- Storck P, Bowling L, Wetherbee P, Lettenmaier D. 1998. Application of a GIS-based distributed hydrology model for prediction of forest harvest effects on peak stream flow in the Pacific Northwest. *Hydrological Processes* 12: 889–904.
- Sturdevant-Rees P, Smith JA, Morrison J, Baeck ML. 2001. Tropical storms and the flood hydrology of the central Appalachians. *Water Resources Research* 37: 2143–2168.
- Swank WT, Crossley DA (ed). 1988. *Forest Hydrology and Ecology at Coweeta*. Ecological Studies 66. Springer-Verlag: New York.
- Townsend PA, Foster JR. 2002. A SAR-based model to assess historical changes in lowland floodplain hydroperiod. *Water Resources Research* 38(7): DOI: 10.1029/2001WR001046.
- Turner II BL, Matson PA, McCarthy J, Corell RW, Christensen L, Eckley N, Hoverlsrud-Broda GK, Kasperson JX, Kasperson RE, Luers A, Martello ML, Mathiesen S, Naylor R, Polsky C, Pulsipher A, Schiller A, Selin H, Tyler N. 2003. Illustrating the coupled human–environment system for vulnerability analysis: three case studies. *Proceedings of the National Academies of Sciences* 100(14): 8080–8085.
- Vorosmarty CJ, Green P, Salisbury J, Lammers R. 2000. Global water resources: vulnerability from climate change and population growth. *Science* 289: 284–288.
- Werth D, Avissar R. 2002. The local and global effects of Amazon deforestation. *Journal of Geophysical Research—Atmospheres* 107(D20)808: DOI: 10.1029/2002J8000717.
- Whitehead PG, Robinson M. 1993. Experimental basin studies—an international and historical perspective of forest impacts. *Journal of Hydrology* 145: 217–230.