

**Public health impacts of climate change in Washington State: projected mortality risks due to heat events and air pollution**

J. Elizabeth Jackson, MA<sup>1,2</sup>; Michael G. Yost, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Catherine Karr, MD, PhD, MS<sup>4,3</sup>; Cole Fitzpatrick, MA<sup>3</sup>; Brian K. Lamb, PhD<sup>5</sup>; Serena H. Chung, PhD<sup>5</sup>; Jack Chen, PhD<sup>6</sup>; Jeremy Avise, PhD<sup>7</sup>; Roger A. Rosenblatt, MD<sup>1</sup>; Richard A. Fenske, PhD<sup>3</sup>

- 1) Department of Family Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
- 2) Department of Sociology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
- 3) Department of Environmental & Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
- 4) Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
- 5) Laboratory for Atmospheric Research, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington
- 6) Institute for Chemical Process and Environmental Technology, National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- 7) Atmospheric Modeling and Support Section, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California

Corresponding author: Richard Fenske, rfenske@u.washington.edu

## **Abstract**

Climate change is likely to have serious and long-term consequences for public health. Among these are illness and mortality related to heat and worsening air quality. In this study we examined the historical relationship between age- and cause-specific mortality rates and heat events at the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of humidex values in the greater Seattle area (King, Pierce and Snohomish counties), Spokane County, the Tri-Cities (Benton and Franklin counties) and Yakima County from 1980 through 2006; the relative risk of mortality during heat events compared with more temperate periods were then applied to population and climate projections for Washington State to calculate number of deaths above the baseline (1980-2006) expected to occur during projected heat events in 2025, 2045 and 2085. We also estimated excess deaths due to ground-level ozone concentrations for mid century (2045-2054) in King and Spokane counties. Estimates were based on current (1997-2006) ozone measurements and mid-21<sup>st</sup> century ozone projections, using estimates from the scientific literature to determine the effect of ozone on overall and cardiopulmonary mortality. For the historical heat analysis, relative risks derived for the greater Seattle area showed a significant dose-response relationship between duration of the heat event and the daily mortality rate for non-traumatic deaths for persons aged 45 and above, typically peaking at four days of exposure to humidex values above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile. Three different warming scenarios were considered, including high, low and moderate estimates. In the greater Seattle area, the largest number of excess deaths in all years and scenarios was predicted for persons aged 65 and above. Under the middle scenario, this age group is expected to have 96 excess deaths in 2025, 148 excess deaths in 2045 and 266 excess deaths in 2085 from all non-traumatic causes. Daily maximum 8 hour ozone concentrations are forecasted to be 16-28% higher in the mid 21<sup>st</sup> century compared to the recent decade of 1997-

2006. We estimated that the total non-traumatic ozone mortality rate by mid-century for King County would increase from baseline (0.026 per 100,000; 95% confidence interval 0.013-0.038) to 0.033 (0.017-0.049). For the same health outcome in Spokane County, the baseline period rate was 0.058 (0.030- 0.085) and increased to 0.068 (0.035 -0.100) by mid-century. The cardiopulmonary death rate per 100,000 due to ozone was estimated to increase from 0.011 (0.005-0.017) to 0.015 (0.007-0.022) in King County, and from 0.027 (0.013-0.042) to 0.032 (0.015-0.049) in Spokane County. Public health interventions aimed at protecting Washington's population from excessive heat and increased ozone concentrations will become increasingly important for preventing deaths, especially among older adults. Furthermore, heat and air quality related illnesses that do not result in death, but are serious nevertheless, may be reduced by the same measures.

## 1. Introduction

Climate change is likely to have serious and long-term consequences for public health.

Researchers have identified a number of broad health issues associated with climate change, such as severe weather events, worsening air pollution, infectious diseases related to changes in vector biology, food and water contamination and shortages, as well as more indirect impacts such as food security, large-scale migration and civil conflict ([Frumkin et al. 2008](#)). These authors emphasize that the health effects of climate change will vary by region, population group, and capacity for public health responses. Recent reviews of the impacts of climate change have documented variability in mortality and morbidity for the United States ([Patz et al. 2001](#)), and globally ([Patz et al. 2005](#)).

This report was not able to address many of these very important issues, although we hope to do so in subsequent work. Instead, our work has focused on two key public health concerns related to climate change: heat-related illness and worsening air quality ([Luber et al. 2008](#); [Kinney 2008](#)). Annual average temperatures in the United States and globally are rising, although the effects vary from region to region. It is estimated that 400-700 people die from documented thermal stress, or hyperthermia, each year in the United States ([Bernard and McGeehin 2004](#)). Because the immediate cause of death is usually some form of cardiovascular failure, and hyperthermia is often not noted on the death certificate as an underlying factor, the number of heat-related deaths is underestimated ([Wolfe et al. 2001](#); [CDC 2006](#)).

Relatively short but intense heat waves over the last 30 years have been responsible for hundreds of deaths in the United States and Canada, and thousands of deaths in Europe ([Jones et al. 1982](#);

[Semenza et al. 1996](#); [Whitman et al. 1997](#); [Naughton et al. 2002](#); [Kaiser et al. 2007](#)). Climate projections suggest that these events will become more frequent, more intense and longer lasting in the remainder of the 21st century ([Meehl and Tebaldi 2004](#)). The greatest impacts will be in cities with milder summers, less air conditioning and higher population density ([McGeehin and Mirabelli 2001](#)). An aging population also will put more people at risk (Smoyer et al. 2000).

Retrospective epidemiological research has identified groups most likely to be harmed by heat waves and suggests strategies to mitigate these harms through public interventions. The groups at greatest risk include the following: children, due to slower adaptation during exercise (AAP 2000); the elderly, due to changes in the physiological ability to maintain normal body temperature ([Borrell et al. 2006](#); [Basu et al. 2005](#); CDC 2005); poor and socially isolated populations, due to less access to mitigation measures (Greenberg et al. 1983; [McGeehin et al. 2001](#); [Browning et al. 2006](#)); some urban dwellers, due to heat island effects and lack of vegetation ([Grimmond and Oke 1999](#); [DeGaetano and Allen 2002](#)); outdoor laborers, due to extended exposures and lack of access to drinking water and shade (Greenberg et al. 1983; WA Dept Labor and Industries 2008); people with chronic illnesses (e.g., diabetes, heart disease), due to increased vulnerability to sustained heat ([Medina-Ramon et al. 2006](#)); and the mentally ill, due to behavioral factors and the effects of psychoactive medications ([Kaiser et al. 2001](#)).

Methods used for estimating mortality due to heat generally rely on an analysis of regional weather data in combination with daily mortality data. This typically requires large, dense urban areas for daily values to be sufficiently stable to support analyses. Most such studies consider the effects of both temperature and humidity. Studies of heat-related mortality in Philadelphia

and Toronto have used synoptic climate modeling to identify regional conditions associated with elevated mortality ([Kalkstein et al. 1996](#); [Pengelly et al. 2005](#); [Cheng et al. 2005](#)). Regional and temporal differences in the effect of heat on mortality have been identified ([Kalkstein and Davis 1989](#); [Davis et al. 2003](#)).

In addition to heat, adverse effects of climate change on air quality have recently come under investigation. The primary ambient air pollutants of concern for public health risk in Washington State include both fine particulate matter and ozone. An expanding evidence base regarding the relationship of these pollutants to adverse health outcomes has resulted in lowering of the concentrations of these pollutants in federal standards (U.S. EPA 2006, U.S. EPA 2008). Despite overall improvement in regional air quality over the decade, adoption of these more protective federal standards make it likely that future climate change related increases in ozone or PM<sub>2.5</sub> could lead to more days of exposure above health-based guidelines for Washington residents (PSCAA, 2007).

The influence of meteorology on ozone and particulate matter concentrations is well documented (EPRI 2005, Bernard 2001). There is considerable evidence that ozone concentrations would increase in the United States as a result of climate change, if precursor emissions were held constant; data regarding influences of climate change on particulate matter are far fewer, precluding clear conclusions (CCSP 2008). For both of these pollutants regional-specific assessments of potential health impacts are few (Knowlton et al. 2004).

While ozone and fine particulate matter are associated with multiple health outcomes, including increases in prevalence, clinical utilization, and severity of cardiac and respiratory disease, most studies have focused on premature mortality as an endpoint. This reflects recognition of this endpoint as the most serious outcome, as well as its status as the most accessible and reliable health outcome for which data are available for evaluation in large population based studies. Numerous epidemiologic studies in the United States and abroad have identified increased premature mortality in association with increased ozone exposure ([Bell 2004b](#)). The robustness of this evidence base, including several recent multi-city and meta-analyses, has been noted in a recent National Academy of Sciences report (NRC 2008). While the effect estimates vary somewhat by study design and region, the studies viewed as a whole provide a pattern of consistency with generally comparable magnitude of effect estimates.

Increasingly, region-level modeling of ozone and other air pollutants under climate change scenarios is being conducted (Weaver et al, 2009). In the Pacific Northwest regional projections of future air quality at the resolution of approximately county level scales (36 km horizontal grids) have been developed. We sought to integrate knowledge of the concentration-mortality response with Washington State ozone pollution projections to provide an initial quantitative assessment of potential mortality impacts in the mid 21<sup>st</sup> century. Specifically, we estimated the excess mortality due to climate-related ambient ozone concentrations in Spokane County and King County, Washington for the recent decade (1997-2006) and mid century decade (2045-2055).

Increased levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> are an important factor in poor air quality conditions in the State of Washington. Climate change, however, has not been shown conclusively to be a significant factor in projecting future PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels. In an attribution study of various contributions to future air quality projections, [Avisé et al \(2008\)](#) showed that projected changes in weather patterns for the 2050s produced an insignificant (0.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> for EPA Region 10 (Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington). Nevertheless, future changes in local and Asian emissions are projected to increase PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels by 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (from a current value of 4 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) over the same period in this region, and interaction between this increase and climate change may have an amplified impact on human health in the future. Such interactions are beyond the scope of the current project but merit future research given the increasing evidence for adverse public health consequences of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure.

This study had three goals. First, we determined the historical relationship between extreme heat events and mortality in different regions of Washington State, for selected age groups and causes of death. Second, we used these findings to predict the number of excess deaths by age group and cause during projected heat events in years 2025, 2045 and 2085. Finally, we used estimates of the relationship between ozone concentration and mortality available from the scientific literature to predict the number of excess deaths in mid-century (2045-2054) due to ozone under a changing climate, assuming a growing population.

## **2. Methods**



## 2.1 Estimates of relative risk of mortality due to heat events, 1980-2006

Four study areas were selected for the heat event analysis (Figure 1): greater Seattle area (King, Pierce and Snohomish counties); Tri-Cities (Benton and Franklin counties); Spokane County; Yakima County. Daily historic weather data were drawn from the 16<sup>th</sup> degree downscaled models ([Elsner et al. 2009](#), this report; Mote and Salathé 2009, this report). Grid points falling within study area counties (grid size ~7.2 km by 4.8km) were identified by spatially joining the grid points and county boundaries using ESRI ArcMap software. The humidex, a measure of the combined effect of heat and humidity on human physiology (Masterton and Richardson 1979, Environment Canada 2008), and has been used in other mortality studies and as a basis for declaring heat warnings (Smoyer-Tomic and Rainham, 2001). The humidex value was calculated for each grid point from daily maximum temperature and relative humidity data using the following formula:

$$\text{Humidex} = T + 5/9 * (v - 10)$$

where:  $v$  = vapor pressure =  $(6.112 \times 10^{(7.5 * T / (237.7 + T))} * H / 100)$   
 $T$  = air temperature (degrees Celsius),  $H$  = humidity (%)

Grid point humidex values were averaged across all grids in each county to yield a county-level humidex value for each day from January 1, 1970 to December 31, 2006. Thresholds at the humidex 99<sup>th</sup> percentile were identified for this entire historical period in each study area. After finding the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile value, we then determined which months in the historical record had heat events and used observation frame for the analysis. This approach allowed us to unambiguously define both the humidex threshold and the months for observing heat events. The duration of events was determined the weather event. Heat events were defined as one or more consecutive

days of the humidex above these thresholds; the number and duration of heat events were counted in each study area over the period. Since only daily observations of mortality were available, it was not possible or necessary to resolve the heat event time periods to less than 1 day intervals.

Annual county population estimates by age group from 1980 through 2006 were obtained from Washington State's Office of Financial Management (OFM 2008a). Complete mortality data from 1980 through 2006 were obtained from the Washington State Department of Health. Computerized mortality data was not available for earlier periods prior to 1980. Daily numbers of deaths for each year were aggregated by cause, age group, and county of residence.

Heat has been cited frequently as a contributing factor in deaths due to failure of the circulatory and respiratory systems. Therefore, the following cause-of-death categories were examined: all non-traumatic causes (ICD-9: 001-799; ICD-10: A00-R99), circulatory (ICD-9: 390-459; ICD-10: I00-I99, G45, G46), respiratory (ICD-9: 460-519; ICD-10: J00-J99), cardiovascular (ICD-9: 393-429; ICD-10: I05-I52), and ischemic (ICD-9: 410-414; ICD-10: I20-I25); cardiovascular and ischemic are subsets of circulatory. The ICD grouping used are from a study of heat- and air quality-related mortality in Toronto (Cheng et al. 2005). Heat events have been shown to present increased risks for older persons, so data were examined according to the following age categories: 45 years and older, 64 years and older and 85 years and older.

Observed and expected crude daily mortality rates for age and cause-of-death specific groupings were calculated for heat event days (days 1 to day 5+) and non-heat event days (day 0) during the

years from 1980-2006. Only data in the months of May – September between 1980 and 2006 were used in the analysis. Daily mortality observed during heat events in the months of May-September were accumulated in 5 time periods of roughly 5-year duration: 1980-1984; 1985-1989; 1990-1994; 1995-1999; and 2000-2006. Mortality was computed in six age-specific categories of 0-4, 5-14, 15-44, 45-64, 65-84, and 85+ years. The deaths occurring in each consecutive day of a heat event were counted for each study area, and classified according to the duration of heat exposure prior to the day of death for heat event days 1 through day 5+ of heat events. The average daily mortality rates on days between May and September with no defined heat event (designated as day 0) were treated as the baseline mortality rates for each time period. Expected values for the number of deaths in each day of a heat event in an annual period were calculated by applying the average daily mortality rate for non-heat event days to the number of days observed in each heat event during a specific time period. The total observed and expected deaths were then summed for each exposure duration category for all heat events. The mortality relative risks by heat event duration, specific age and disease categories were computed from the ratios of observed over expected duration-specific mortality. Calculating separate relative risks for each elapsed day of a heat event (starting with day 1 of the heat event) allows evaluation of the influence of a single day versus more prolonged heat events on mortality.

Confidence intervals were computed assuming Poisson intervals for the observed number of cases as recommended by the Washington State Department of Health (DOH 2002). Exact 95% confidence intervals were computed using Poisson distribution percentiles when the number of observed deaths was <500; for >500 observed deaths, intervals were computed using a normal approximation method ([Breslow and Day 1987](#)). This procedure was repeated separately for each

heat study area in order to control for regional differences in the effect of heat events on mortality. Given the smaller population in Eastern Washington, a combined analysis of Benton, Franklin, Spokane and Yakima county study areas also was performed.

## **2.2 Population projections for Washington State in the 21<sup>st</sup> century**

Projected county population estimates by age group were obtained from the Washington Office of Financial Management for the years 2005-2030 (OFM 2008b). In predicting future excess deaths during extreme heat events, population was held constant at 2025 projected estimates, allowing differences in excess deaths between years to be interpreted as the component due to climate change. For the analysis of excess deaths related to ozone concentrations, calculated total and age-group populations were calculated by extending the Office of Financial Management linear projections to 2045 through 2054. Washington State population forecasts are developed from a cohort component demographic forecast model that accounts for births, deaths and net migration. Projected births are derived from a natural change model component of the childbearing population, applying historical trends in fertility rates by county. Annual deaths, in terms of life expectancy generally follow national trends, and survival expectations are adjusted to follow Social Security Administration projections in 2007. Migration is the most important variable component of the population forecasts. The state's future net migration is based on an econometric model where Washington's relative attractiveness to job seekers is weighed against the attractiveness of California and other state destinations. A historical comparison of the actual

and fitted net migration for 1978-2008 using OFM's migration model found an  $R^2$  of 0.91, indicating reasonably good agreement.

### **2.3 Projected excess mortality due to heat events**

Projected heat events were determined for three years: 2025, 2045 and 2085. Three climate change scenarios were selected for high, moderate and low summer (May-Sept.) warming, for a total of nine modeled future heat regimes. The low scenario chosen was the PCM1-B1 model, the high scenario chosen was the HADCM-A1B model, and the middle scenario was the mean of the two composite models using either the A1B or B1 emissions scenario (Salathé et al., 2009, this report). Expected monthly temperature deviations in Celsius for each scenario and time period were added to the observed daily temperature and relative humidity distributions in each study area from 1970 to 1999; the daily humidex was then calculated for each of the new temperature distributions. Historical humidex thresholds at the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile were applied to the estimated future distributions, and the number and duration of expected heat events in 2025, 2045 and 2085 were calculated for each scenario.

Projections of heat-related mortality applied the baseline mortality rate and duration-specific relative risks derived from the historical analysis to the expected future population structure and expected number and duration of heat events in each of three heat scenarios for 2025, 2045 and 2085. Excess deaths, which are the number of expected deaths above the baseline number of deaths, were calculated for each heat scenario for each year. The use of a 30-year baseline

allowed us to calculate mean annual excess deaths in a sample of 30 simulated years for each region and year.

## **2.4 Projected excess mortality due to air pollution**

We adapted a health risk assessment modeling approach described by Knowlton et al. (2004) in their effort to assess ozone mortality impacts in the northeastern United States. We selected two populous but distinct climatological areas of the State for this initial assessment. Using the following formula, we estimated ozone related mortality for King County and Spokane County in the recent decade (1997-2006) and at mid-century (2045-2054):

$$M = (P/100,000) * B * CR * E$$

where M is the excess mortality due to ozone, P is the estimated population in the county for the period of interest, B is the baseline county-level mortality rate, CR is the concentration-response function that describes the expected change in daily mortality per incremental increase in ozone, and E is the concentration of ozone during the period of interest. We calculated overall non-traumatic mortality as well as mortality specific to cardiopulmonary causes.

The population (P) data were derived from annual population size estimates available from the U.S. Census for King and Spokane County for 1997-2006 and projections of the annual population for these counties in 2045-2054, as described above. The mean of each decade's annual averages was calculated. These data demonstrated that from the period of 1997-2006 to mid-century (2045-2054), the annual average population size for King County is expected to

increase from 1,758,260 to 2,629,160 (50% increase). In Spokane County, the population is expected to grow from 424,636 to 712,167 (68% increase).

The county-level non-traumatic (categorized as above) and cardiopulmonary (ICD-9: 393-429, 460-519; ICD-10: I05-I52, J00-J99) mortality rates were calculated by dividing the daily average number of total non-traumatic deaths and cardiopulmonary deaths in the baseline decade of each county by its annual population average. For 1997-2006, the mean daily total non-traumatic and cardiopulmonary death rates per 100,000 for King County were 1.55 and 0.57, respectively. For Spokane County, these rates were 2.03 and 0.78, respectively.

We examined concentration-response (CR) functions for ozone based on three meta-analyses, two multi-city time series, and one case-crossover study of populations in the United States, all of which were reviewed in a recent National Academy of Science report which summarized estimates of the percentage increase in mortality from short-term increases in ozone (NAS 2008). We decided to apply the analysis by Bell et al. (2004b) to our data. This analysis included data and methods developed for the National Mortality and Morbidity Air Pollution Study (NMMAPS). This landmark study estimated a national average relative rate of mortality (non-injury mortality and cardiopulmonary mortality) associated with short-term average ambient ozone concentrations in 1987-2000 based on 95 large U.S. urban communities made up of almost 40% of the U.S. population (including Spokane and Seattle). Of note, the city-specific estimates for King and Spokane County within the NMMAPS analyses were nearly identical to the combined multi-city concentration-response function employed in this assessment, further supporting its appropriateness. Estimates available per 24-hour average ozone concentration

were converted to 8-hour maximum concentrations based on the recommended ratio of 8-hour ozone to a 24-hour average of 1.53 (NAS 2008). The concentration-response for ozone-related non injury mortality and cardiopulmonary mortality derived from this analysis was 0.80% (95% confidence interval 0.41%-1.18%), and 0.98% (0.47%- 1.50%), respectively per 10 parts per billion (ppb) increase in 8-hour maximum daily ozone concentration over the previous week.

Exposure to ozone ( $E_{1997-2006}$ ) in the recent decade of each county was assessed based on 8-hour maximum daily ozone (ppb) concentration data drawn from the Washington State Department of Ecology state monitoring network for each county for the months May-September (warm season) from 1997-2006. A warm season “baseline” decadal daily average was calculated.

We then estimated future comparable measurements of ozone in the mid-century decade ( $E_{2045-2054}$ ). To accomplish this, we derived the change (delta) in ozone concentration predicted from a modeling framework which calculated both daily 8 hour maximum concentrations for the baseline decade of this century (1990-1999) as well as for 2045-2054. Specifically, daily 8 hour maximum daily average ozone concentration for May-September of the mid-century decade (2045-2054) were derived by coupling a global climate model projection with regional meteorology and chemistry models for the 36 km grids that coincide with King and Spokane Counties.

The modeling framework is described in detail in Chen et al 2008 (online discussion paper under review). Briefly, the regional Mesoscale Meteorological model version 5 (MM5) was used to downscale the Parallel Climate Model (PCM) to produce regional meteorological fields which



were used to drive the Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) model, which downscaled the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers, version 2.4 (MOZART2 outputs) and accounted for regional pollutant emissions to predict photochemical ozone and PM levels. The MM5/CMAQ modeling treats increased ozone formation under climate change as a direct effect of increasing temperature as well as broad indirect effects. The 2050's projections were based on the IPCC A2 scenario, changes in U.S. emissions due to population growth and economic expansion, and alterations in land use/land cover that can affect both meteorological conditions and biogenic emissions important for ozone formation. Future chemical boundary conditions were obtained through downscaling of MOZART-2 based on the IPCC A2 emissions scenario. Projected changes in U.S. anthropogenic emissions are estimated using the EPA Economic Growth Analysis System (EGAS), and changes in land-use are projected using data from the Community Land Model (CLM) and the Spatially Explicit Regional Growth Model (SERGOM).

It is important to recognize that the county monitoring data are influenced by fresh nitrogen oxide emissions largely derived from traffic sources which cause titration (loss) of ozone in the urban areas, while the model results, based upon 36 km grids, tend to minimize this effect since the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are diluted significantly due to the size of the grid. This is clear from evaluation of the modeling system which consistently shows that the model overestimates low ozone levels. Consequently, urban monitors will record relatively low ozone concentrations while nearby more rural monitors will record higher ozone concentrations. The model results will not correctly reflect these differences. This is clear from evaluation of the modeling system which consistently shows that the model overestimates low ozone levels ([Chen et al., 2008](#)).

Because of this bias in the model, we employed the model results in a relative sense where the change in predicted ozone levels between the baseline period and the future decade were added to the baseline measured values at each site to yield an estimate of future levels. This is essentially the same approach that EPA uses for analysis of ozone control strategies where it is recognized that the models perform better in predicting the change in ozone due to a control compared to predictions of absolute levels.

### **3. Results**

#### **3.1 Estimates of excess mortality due to heat events, 1980-2006**

The heat study areas accounted for approximately two-thirds of Washington State's population in 2006; King, Pierce and Snohomish counties combined made up just over half of the state's 2006 population of 6.3 million (Table 1). Persons aged 85 and over made up approximately one percent of the total population in most study areas, and one half of one percent in the Tri-Cities region in 1980; by 2006 this age group had roughly doubled in all areas as a proportion of total population. Among study areas, the mean daily maximum humidex from May to September, 1970-2006, was lowest in the greater Seattle area (23.2°C, 73.8°F) and highest in the Tri-Cities (28.1°C, 82.6°F). The 99<sup>th</sup> percentile for the annual daily maximum humidex ranged from 10°C to 12°C (18-20°F) higher than the May-September mean daily maximum. Number of heat events above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile averaged 1.6 to 1.8 per year, with a mean duration of 2.0 to 2.3 days, and maximum duration from 6 days (greater Seattle area) to 10 days (Yakima).

Residents of the greater Seattle area experienced 14,250 deaths from all non-traumatic causes in all months of 1980, and 19,341 in 2006; in the Spokane, Tri-Cities and Yakima areas combined, there were 4,676 deaths from non-traumatic causes in 1980, and 6,264 in 2006 (not shown in tables). Annual mortality rates by non-traumatic causes in all study areas ranged from 36 to 130 per 100,000 for persons aged zero to 14 and from 36 to 58 per 100,000 for those aged 15 to 44. Deaths for specific causes (e.g. ischemic disease) in these age groups were on the order of 20 per 100,000 or fewer annually in all study areas.

Mortality rates for all non-traumatic causes, circulatory causes and respiratory causes increased with age, and were highest for persons 85 years of age or older. In the greater Seattle area, the non-traumatic annual mortality rate among those aged 85 and above was 14,937 per 100,000 in 1980 and 12,460 per 100,000 in 2006; in the other study areas combined there were similar rates in this age group: 14,871 per 100,000 and 12,517 per 100,000 in 1980 and 2006, respectively. Annual mortality rates for all causes but respiratory were higher for all age groups in 1980 than in 2006. About half of all non-traumatic deaths in 1980, and about one third in 2006, were from circulatory causes, the bulk of these from cardiovascular causes. Only about one-tenth of non-traumatic deaths occurred due to respiratory causes annually (not shown in tables).

In the greater Seattle area, risk of death due to all non-traumatic causes and circulatory causes rose for the overall population aged 45 years and above beginning on day 1 of heat events, peaked on day 4, and declined slightly for days 5 and beyond (Table 2a; Figure 2). The highest relative risk (RR) estimated for non-traumatic deaths was 1.3 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.2-1.5) for persons aged 65 and above, and 1.5 for those aged 85 and above (95% CI: 1.2-1.8).

Relative risk of death due to circulatory causes followed a similar pattern for persons aged 65 and above, and 85 and above, with the highest effect observed in association with 4 days of exposure (RR=1.4, 95% CI: 1.1-1.7, and 1.5, 1.1-2.0, respectively)(Figure 3). Risk of death from non-traumatic and circulatory causes was significantly elevated for all ages on most days of heat events. Duration-specific relative risks due to respiratory causes were less likely to reach statistical significance and were based on smaller sample sizes (Figure 4); the risk was greatest on day 3 for persons aged 45 and over (RR = 1.4; 95% CI: 1.1-1.7) and 65 and over (RR = 1.4; 95% CI: 1.1-1.8). However, the highest estimates were observed on day 5 for all age ranges, and confidence intervals suggest the possibility of substantially elevated risks on day 5 and beyond for anyone aged 45 and above (RR = 1.5; 95% CI: 0.9-2.3), and particularly for persons aged 65 and above (RR = 1.6; 95% CI: 0.9-2.5). The overall relative risk of death for non-traumatic causes was 1.1 for persons aged 65 and above and 1.2 for persons aged 85 and above (which can also be expressed as elevated risks of death during heat events of 10% and 20%, respectively), compared with more temperate periods; overall RRs were similar for circulatory causes (not shown in tables).

Relative risks were derived for Eastern Washington study areas combined as a group (Table 2a). For residents of these areas, the risk of death by any cause on any given day of a heat event was not significantly elevated for any age group. However, risk estimates for death due to all non-traumatic causes, and for circulatory causes specifically, initially increased as the duration of heat event increased, rising from approximately 1.0 on day 1 to 1.1-1.2 on days 2-3, and falling back to about 1.0 on day 5 and beyond, for all age ranges. Non-traumatic death risk estimates on days 2 and 3 for persons aged 45 and above approached statistical significance (RR = 1.07 95%

CI: 0.96-1.19 and 1.12 95% CI: 0.96-1.31, respectively). Relative risks were more variable for death due to respiratory causes, and followed no clear pattern. The overall relative risk of death for non-traumatic causes was 1.03 for persons aged 65 and above and 1.02 for persons aged 85 and above, for elevated risks of death during heat events of 2% and 3%, respectively, compared with more temperate periods. For circulatory causes, overall relative risks were 1.06 for persons aged 65 and over and 1.10 for those aged 85 and over, indicating elevated risks during heat wave of 6% and 10%, respectively (not shown in tables).

Relative risks of death during heat events were examined for all three eastern study areas individually as well (Table 2b). No statistically significant excess risk for the cause- and age-groups considered was observed and confidence intervals were much wider due to smaller population size, although a few patterns emerged. In Spokane, relative risks for non-traumatic cause-of-death remained close to 1.0, but for all age ranges, wherein point estimates for the relative risks were approximately 1.0 on day 1, they increased to 1.1 on days 2 and 3 (95% CI: 0.9-1.4 for ages 45+ and 65+) and then decreased to 0.9 on day 5 and beyond. Relative risks for circulatory cause-of-death followed a similar pattern. In the Tri-Cities, elevated relative risk of death by all non-traumatic or circulatory causes for persons 45 years of age and older approached statistical significance on day 1 (RR = 1.1; 95% CI: 0.9-1.3 and RR = 1.1; CI: 0.9-1.4, respectively). In Yakima, relative risk of death for all non-traumatic causes or by circulatory causes peaked on day 5 for persons aged 45 and above (RR = 1.3 and 1.4; 95% CI: 0.9-1.8 and 0.8-2.1, respectively). In general, although not statistically significant, the estimates suggested an increased risk of death for all non-traumatic causes and circulatory causes among persons aged 45 and above.

### **3.2 Projected mortality due to heat events: 2025-2085**

Projected population and climate factors are shown in Table 3. Population projections for Washington State indicate an expected increase in total population between 2006 and 2025 of 14% to 21%. The group expected to grow fastest in all areas are persons aged 65 to 84; this age group is expected to grow by 121% in the greater Seattle area, by 84% in Spokane and the Tri-Cities, and by 49% in Yakima. The expected number and duration of heat events above the humidex historical 99<sup>th</sup> percentile thresholds will also increase. Under the moderate warming scenario, the greater Seattle area can expect 3.6 heat events with a mean duration of 2.3 days, and in 2085 this will increase to 7.2 heat events of 2.9 days mean duration. Spokane can expect approximately 3.2 heat events of 2.6 days mean duration in 2025, and 6.0 heat events of 3.4 days mean duration in 2085.

The mean numbers of excess deaths that can be expected annually from heat events above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile are presented in Table 4 for the greater Seattle area and for Spokane, the Tri-Cities and Yakima combined, holding population constant at 2025 projected levels. Holding the population level constant allows for the comparison of excess deaths due to heat events alone, without introducing uncertainty in the population projections beyond 2025, which are increasingly speculative. Under a climate scenario that yields relatively low summer (May-Sept.) warming, during heat events the greater Seattle area can expect 68 excess deaths in 2025, and 89 excess deaths in 2045 and 107 excess deaths in 2085 from all non-traumatic causes among persons 45 years of age and older, than during more temperate periods. Under the moderate

warming scenario, which is also the most reliable estimate, Seattle can expect 101 excess deaths in 2025, 156 excess deaths in 2045 and 280 excess deaths in 2085 from all non-traumatic causes among adults 45 and above. Under the highest warming scenario, 211 excess deaths in 2025, 401 excess deaths in 2045 and 988 excess deaths in 2085 are expected during extreme heat in the same cause- and age-group. The bulk of all non-traumatic deaths will happen in persons 65 years old or older, with approximately one third to one half of these occurring among those aged 85 and above. Under the moderate scenario, just under half of all excess deaths in the greater Seattle area will occur by circulatory failure, and about 1 in 7 will be due to respiratory failure.

In the combined eastern study areas, 12 to 31 excess deaths by non-traumatic causes in persons aged 45 and older are expected in 2025, depending on the scenario. By 2085, this same age-cause group is expected to yield between 17 and 76 excess deaths. As in Seattle, most non-traumatic deaths among the population aged 45 and above will occur among persons aged 65 and above; however, comparatively few deaths are expected to occur in persons 85 years of age or older, even though the proportion of the population aged 85 and older is similar between regions.

### **3.3 Projected excess mortality due to air pollution**

Using the modeling framework, the delta or forecasted change in ozone for the mid century was calculated and determined to be +5.8 ppb in King County and +6.1 ppb in Spokane County. This was then applied to the baseline decade measurements made at monitoring stations. Baseline decade summertime (May-Sept.) average 8 hour average maximum daily ozone concentrations for King County based on regulatory monitoring measurements were 20.7 ppb for 1997-2006.

So, applying the model delta, the future ozone concentrations in the mid century are forecasted to be approximately 26.5 ppb, a 28% increase. In Spokane County, the measured ozone concentrations were higher than in King County, with a 35.5 ppb average 8 hour maximum ozone concentration based on regulatory monitor data for 1997-2006. Applying the model delta predicts future ozone concentration at approximately 41.6 ppb in Spokane County, a 17% increase.

Using the health risk assessment framework, estimates of the total ozone related non-traumatic mortality and cardiopulmonary mortality as rates (per 100,000) and numbers of death for each county for each decade were summarized (Table 5). We estimated that the total non traumatic ozone mortality rate in the recent and mid-century period for King County will increase from 0.026 (95% confidence interval 0.013-0.038) to 0.033 (95% confidence interval 0.017-0.049) (Table 1). For the same health outcome in Spokane County, the rate is 0.058 (0.030-0.085) in the recent decade and increases to 0.068 (0.035-0.100) in the mid century. The estimated annual number of May-September excess deaths in King County due to ozone in 1997-2006 is 69 (95% CI 35-102). Using projections of the future population size and ozone concentration increase this to 132 (95% CI 68-195). For Spokane County the warm season excess deaths due to ozone in the recent decade are estimated to be 37 (95% CI 19-55). In mid-century this is predicted to be 74 (95% CI 38-109).

The cardiopulmonary death rate per 100,000 due to ozone was estimated to increase from 0.011 (95% CI 0.005-0.017) to 0.015 (0.007-0.022) in King County comparing the recent decade to mid-century. In Spokane, the daily cardiopulmonary death rate attributed to ozone increases from



0.027 (95% CI 0.013-0.042) to 0.032 (95% CI 0.015-0.049) across the decades. This translates to an estimated annual number of May - September excess deaths in King County due to ozone in 1997-2006 of 31 (95% CI 14.7-47) and an increase in mid century to 59 (95% CI 28-90). For Spokane, the estimated baseline deaths due to ozone is 18 (95% CI 9-27) and in the mid century is estimated to increase to 35 (95% CI 17-54).

## **4. Discussion**

### **4.1 Mortality and Heat Events**

In the greater Seattle area there is a clear relationship between heat events and elevated risk of mortality for persons aged 45 and above. The elevated risk is apparent for non-traumatic causes in general, and for circulatory and respiratory causes specifically. The majority of circulatory deaths are due to cardiovascular causes; an analysis of cardiovascular deaths (not presented) showed that the relative risks associated with circulatory cause-of-death were driven primarily by cardiovascular deaths. Respiratory deaths were too small in number to allow for an analysis of more specific causes. The highest relative risks were for persons aged 65 and above; relative risks for persons aged 45 to 64 were smaller (not presented) and this age group contributed relatively few excess deaths in the historical period (not shown). Analyses of age groups younger than 45 were inconclusive, as there were insufficient numbers of deaths to produce stable relative risk values (not presented). We did not attempt to extend the mortality analysis beyond the duration of the heat event itself. This approach may have missed some latent deaths if they occurred after the heat event ended. However, by limiting the analysis just to the heat event, the

calculated risk estimates should be conservative because they would tend to understate the deaths attributable to the event.

In the Spokane, Tri-Cities and Yakima study areas, separately or combined, only a few, isolated relative risks were statistically significant. Some patterns in relative risk, however, suggest real differences in mortality rates during heat events, but with samples perhaps too small to support statistical significance.

Projected annual numbers of excess deaths in the greater Seattle area were substantial under some conditions; even under moderate summer (May-Sept.) warming, the area can expect around 100 excess non-traumatic deaths in 2025 and more than 150 excess in 2045. The projections for the eastern study areas combined were much smaller. Even when projected population is taken into account, excess deaths per 100,000 were much lower in Spokane, Tri-Cities and Yakima than in the greater Seattle area. This could be explained in a number of ways. The urban heat island effect may be stronger in the more densely settled Seattle area. To the extent that socioeconomic inequality is greater in urban portions of the Seattle area, this may explain the higher relative risks for mortality during heat waves.

Perhaps the best possible explanation is the greater market penetration of residential air conditioning in Spokane, Tri-Cities, and Yakima in comparison to the greater Seattle area. According to a corresponding study by [Elsner et al. \(2009\)](#), market penetration of residential air conditioning is significantly higher in the study areas east of the Cascade Mountains. As of 1980, the Spokane (24%), Tri-Cities (54%), and Yakima (21%) study areas had significantly higher

percentages of residential air conditioning than the greater Seattle area (8%). According to projections for 2020, the disparity will grow even more as the Seattle study area (10%) will still have significantly lower percentages of residential air condition than the Spokane (41%), Tri-Cities (68%), and Yakima (30%) study areas. This association between lowered risks for heat related illness and higher prevalence of residential air conditioning has also been cited by a number of authors ([McGeehin et al. 2001](#); [Chestnutt et al. 1998](#)) as a mitigating factor on heat related illness during heat events.

The numbers of excess deaths shown in Table 4 are estimates averaged across 30 annual climate scenarios. The variability in the estimates, due to the changing frequency and duration of heat events in the annual scenarios, is reflected in the standard error term for each value. We acknowledge that in using the inter-annual variation as a measure of uncertainty, not all sources of uncertainty may have been included, and therefore the standard errors likely will be artificially small. Although variability in the climate data contributes much to uncertainty in these estimates, we did not account for additional uncertainty due to the underlying risk estimates. In some cases, age-specific mortality rates for some disease categories are very close to baseline, and may not indicate a net excess. For example, the projections for circulatory deaths in the greater Seattle area show slightly fewer excess deaths in the 45+ category than in the 65+ category, because the overall point estimates indicate a small protective effect for the 45-64 age group (data not shown). This probably reflects statistical uncertainties in the age-specific relative risk calculations, which have some confidence limits which overlapped unity. However in the remaining categories where the relative risk estimates were significantly elevated, there are consistent trends in excess deaths across projection scenarios.

A limitation of this analysis was the use of the county as the geographic level at which mortality data were linked with climate data. This decision was driven by the ready availability of both death certificate and population data at that level, and the substantial difficulty of creating smaller areas of analysis that were geographically stable (and therefore containing a consistent population base) for each year over the historical period. The necessity of averaging climate variables over a comparatively large area meant that local extremes in temperature and humidity were dampened, and the estimated effect of heat on mortality may have been attenuated. However, this suggests that our analysis yielded conservatively-biased estimates of the relationship between heat and mortality, and that the actual effects may be larger.

In addition, the reliability of the projections for excess deaths in each of the nine future heat regimes depends upon the reliability of both climate projections and population projections. The middle 2025 scenario, combining the closest time period with the average climate scenario, is the most reliable of the nine simulations. Excess death estimates using the low and high warming scenarios must be interpreted cautiously, as extremes bracketing the best estimate. Estimates of excess deaths for 2045 and 2085 were made using 2025 projected populations. To the extent that population continues to grow beyond 2025, particularly if more growth occurs in higher age ranges, excess death estimates will be conservative.

Other issues that should be mentioned concern our use of ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes to categorize deaths by cause. First, ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes are not perfectly comparable, so cause-specific rates may appear to change between years when different coding schemes were in use for no

other reason than deaths are grouped somewhat differently in each system. However, we did not aim to analyze changing mortality rates over time, so the change in coding scheme is not central to the analysis. Second, since deaths are not classified as being *caused* by heat, some inference is necessary in choosing cause-of-death groupings that are believed to be influenced by heat. Since we cannot precisely isolate cause of deaths that are due solely or substantially to heat, inaccurate cause of death information could create potential non-differential misclassification and estimates of the effect of heat on mortality are potentially conservatively biased.

Finally, the analytic method we chose relies upon a dense population with substantial numbers of deaths each day. Members of smaller, more isolated populations may also experience elevated risk of mortality during heat events, perhaps to an even greater extent than in larger, central populations, perhaps due to increased exposure or lack of access to cooling. This analysis is not sensitive enough to determine relative risks for smaller, rural locales.

## **4.2 Mortality and Ozone**

We assessed the potential health impacts of ozone related climate change at a locally relevant regional scale, the county, for two highly populated regions of Washington State; King and Spokane counties. Given the assumptions of our models, increases in projected ozone concentrations will increase the mortality rate due to this pollutant in both areas. The higher ozone concentrations and underlying mortality rates observed in Spokane County yield higher current and future decade mortality rates due to ozone in this eastern Washington setting. However, the relative change in ozone related mortality is predicted to be greater in King

County, due to a larger relative change (increase) in predicted ozone concentrations for this Western Washington region in mid-century.

The availability of regionally downscaled climate models and meteorological and air pollution models provides an opportunity for this initial public health assessment of climate change and ozone in Washington State. However, the models and subsequent estimates are subject to influence based on assumptions for the underlying components and the scope of available data sources. We applied a single climate change scenario-ozone model to forecast future ozone concentrations that incorporates the range of influences on ozone formation through both direct and indirect meteorological changes. Previous application of climate change related ozone forecasting and subsequent health impact have relied on ozone projections focused on the direct impacts of climate change and do not incorporate land use/land cover projections, anthropogenic emission changes, and future boundary conditions (Knowlton et al. 2004; Bell et al. 2007). We used a concentration response function from the NMMAPS study. Several features support its selection. The effect estimates fall within the range of those reported among the National Academy of Sciences recent review of U.S. based studies that include multiple cities or meta analyses where the point estimates ranged between 0.46% - 1.50 % increase in mortality per 10 ppb increase in 8 hour ozone concentrations, with the lower and upper bounds of the confidence intervals ranging from 0.23%-2.10 % (Thurston 2001, Levy 2001, Stieb 2002, Bell 2004, Bell 2006, [Schwartz 2006](#), NAS 2008). NMMAPS and the studies cited include temperature and particulate matter air pollution in the ozone concentration-response model, to remove confounding by the influence of these factors on mortality.

There is an ongoing need for better data on the portion of mortality that represents people who are at risk of death within a few days regardless of ozone exposure - the so-called “harvesting effect”. However, the current evidence suggests that mortality due to ozone is not restricted to this subgroup of individuals (NRC 2008). While individuals within the population with pre-existing disease, particularly cardiopulmonary conditions and at extremes of the age range are likely more vulnerable to the effects of increasing ozone, the distribution of ozone-mortality effects on subpopulations are not well characterized unlike the overall (population-weighted) average concentration effects such as applied in this study.

In the first study of this kind to apply regional climate model outputs to county level public health risk assessment for ozone mortality (Knowlton, 2004), the estimated 1990s baseline decade (1990s) ozone mortality for 31 northeast U.S. counties were between 5 and 123 (for June-August period). This was calculated based on modeling the baseline 1990s decade ozone concentrations using a regional climate ozone model under the IPCC A2 scenario. Our baseline 1990s ozone mortality estimates for King and Spokane County yield comparable findings (69 and 37, respectively for May-September period), although our baseline decade ozone concentrations were based on regulatory monitoring network measurements, rather than application of the regional model for the 1990s. We predict slightly larger increases between our measurements in the current decade and the mid century modeled projections, a +6.1 ppb change for Spokane County and +5.8 ppb for King County compared to more modest increases of 1-4 ppb in the northeastern county based analysis. This likely reflects that the climate change ozone model employed by Knowlton et al did not incorporate land use/land cover projections, anthropogenic emission changes, and future boundary conditions (Knowlton et al. 2004; Bell et

al. 2007) which would be expected to increase future ozone concentrations above the influence of more direct effects of climate on ozone.

The application of projected population increases on mortality rates had a strong influence on future mortality projections. This demonstrates the relative public health impact that even modest increases in ozone concentrations may have as the population grows but also underscores the uncertainties inherent in risk assessment such as this. In the future, we plan to employ both alternative models of climate change-ozone concentrations with differing underlying assumptions as they become available for our region.

## **6. Research Gaps and Recommendations for Future Research**

Social and economic factors have been shown to influence mortality during periods of excessive heat (Greenberg et al. 1983; [McGeehin et al. 2001](#); [Browning et al. 2006](#)). A logical next stage in the study of the effect of heat events on mortality in Washington State would be to consider socioeconomic factors that shape exposure to heat and mitigation of the effects of heat, in particular, race/ethnicity, income and occupation. Moreover, we were unable to study the mitigating influence of such things as distribution of residential air conditioning or access to cooling at work or leisure; such access is unlikely to be equally distributed across the state or adequately available to persons most at risk of serious illness or death.

A refinement of the estimated relationship between heat events and mortality could be made by reducing the size of the geographic unit used to link climate variables with mortality, so that a



more precise approximation of the local heat history surrounding the decedent could be made. If fatalities were geocoded to census blocks then climate variables at the grid level could be assigned to specific blocks individually, rather than averaged over a much larger area. In addition, a variety of block-level contextual factors (e.g., neighborhood characteristics) available from Census data that might be relevant to heat-related mortality risk could be linked and analyzed in concert with other factors.

Finally, this analysis considered only fatalities, the end stage of a progression of heat-induced morbidity that many individuals will not reach. A more sensitive and perhaps more revealing analysis of the effects of heat on the health and welfare of a population would consider other outcomes, such as emergency room and hospital admissions for heat-related illnesses, and even lost income and productivity due to illness.

Complexities not considered in the analysis of ozone and mortality include differences within population subgroups regarding vulnerability, housing characteristics, and activity patterns which may vary in the future. As the climate warms, people may spend more time indoors or in air conditioned settings which will decrease exposure. We applied a single baseline mortality rate based on current decade but this may change due to medical advances, access to medical care and changes in other risk factors such as smoking and diet, and aging of the population. Some acclimatization may occur but quantifying this is outside the scope of this study. We focused on short term mortality increases due to increased ozone, but other important but less severe health conditions that are known to be influenced by short term increases in ozone include hospitalization for asthma and other chronic respiratory disease, lost work and school days due to

respiratory symptoms. The adverse health consequences of chronic elevated ozone exposure on health is less well-studied although an expanding literature suggests such exposure increase the prevalence of asthma and asthma symptoms ([McConnell 2002, Lin 2008](#)).

In regard to ozone and mortality, the following issues need to be addressed:

- Development of a range of climate - ozone projections reflecting different assumptions regarding population growth, emission changes, and land use changes would allow consideration of the range of potential changes in ozone concentration and the influence of potential future policy-making options on those changes.
- Consideration of other important health outcomes and medical/public health system burdens due to increases in ozone such as asthma hospitalizations, asthma prevalence, and cardiovascular disease events should be applied to future policy-making options
- Development of robust models forecasting regional scale changes in particulate matter (e.g. PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and application in health risk studies in Washington State would further enhance climate-preparedness efforts.
- Better understanding of the effects of ozone on vulnerable subpopulations such as those with pre-existing diseases and differing age groups, particularly the very young and elderly.

Finally, a great deal more study is needed to understand the multiple effects of climate change on incidence of death or illness from causes not considered in this focused initial effort. For example, the currently observed wintertime increases in cardiopulmonary disease may be

lessened with future decreases in wintertime temperatures. Characterizing this will be helpful to fully understand the global context of climate change and health in the population.

These include food- and water-borne illnesses, vector-borne disease, and exposure to risk of traumatic injury and death from extreme weather events such as flooding, storm surges and sea-level rise.

## **7. Conclusions**

Heat stress is a significant factor in mortalities during the warmer months in Washington State, especially for persons aged 65 and above. As summer (May-Sept.) heat increases and the population grows, Washington can expect an increase in the number of heat-related deaths annually. More research should be done to explore other important factors influencing the effect of heat on mortality in Washington, including individuals' socioeconomic status and access to cooling in very hot weather.

In the last decades, overall ambient air quality has improved in Washington State through regulatory policy but health impacts continue and climate change related effects may threaten gains that have been made. A better understanding of climate change impacts on ambient air quality is critical to prepare for and alleviate potential worsened public health consequences.

## References

- American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Sports Medicine and Fitness (2000) Climatic heat stress and the exercising child and adolescent. *Pediatrics* 106:158-159
- [Avisé J, Chen J, Lamb B, Wiedinmyer C, Guenther A, Salathé E, and Mass C \(2008\) Attribution of projected changes in US ozone and PM2.5 concentrations to global changes. \*Atmos Chem Phys Discuss J1 - ACPD\* 8:15131-15163](#)
- [Basu R, Dominici F, Samet JM \(2005\) Temperature and mortality among the elderly in the United States: A comparison of epidemiologic methods. \*Epidemiology\* 16:58-66](#)
- [Bell, ML, Samet JM, Dominici F \(2004a\) Time-series of particulate matter. \*Annu Rev Public Health\* 25:247-80](#)
- [Bell, ML, McDermott A, Zeger SL, Samet JM, Dominici F \(2004b\) Ozone and short-term mortality in 95 urban communities, 1987-2000. \*JAMA\* 292:2372-78](#)
- [Bell ML, Goldberg R, Hogrefe C, Kinney PL, Knowlton K, Lynn B, Rosenthal J, Rosenzweig C, Patz JA \(2007\) Climate change, ambient ozone, and health in 50 US cities. \*Climatic Change\* 82:61–76](#)
- [Bernard SM, McGeehin MA \(2004\) Municipal heat wave response plans. \*AJPH\* 94:1520-1522](#)
- Bernard SM, Samet JM, Grambsch A, Ebi KL, Romieu I (2007) The potential impacts of climate variability and change on air pollution-related health effects in the United States. *Environ. Health Perspect* 109:199-209 (Supplement 2)
- Borrell C, Mari-Dell'Olmo M, Rodriguez-Sanz M, Garcia-Olalla P, Cayla Ja, Benach J, Muntaner C (2006) Socioeconomic position and excess mortality during the heat wave of 2003 in Barcelona. *European J Epidemiology* 21:633-640

Breslow NE and Day NE (1987) Statistical Methods in Cancer Research: Volume II: The Design and Analysis of Cohort Studies. Lyon, International Agency for Research on Cancer

Browning CR, Wallace D, Feinberg SL, Cagney KA (2006) Neighborhood social processes, physical conditions, and disaster-related mortality: The case of the 1995 Chicago heat wave.  
Am Sociol Rev 71:661-678

California Air Resources Board (2008) Methodology for Estimating Premature Deaths Associated with Long-term Exposure to Fine Airborne Particulate Matter in California. Accessed 24 October 2008 at [http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/health/pm-mort/pm-mort\\_final.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/health/pm-mort/pm-mort_final.pdf)

CCSP: Climate Change Science Program (2008) Analyses of the effects of global change on human health and welfare and human systems. A Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. [Gamble JL (ed.), Ebi KL, Sussman FG, Wilbanks TJ (Authors)] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2005) Heat-related mortality--Arizona, 1993-2002, and United States, 1979-2002. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly 54:628-630

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2006) Heat-related deaths--United States, 1999-2003. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 55:796-798

Changnon SA, Kunkel KE, Reinke BC (1996) Impacts and responses to the 1995 heat wave: A call to action. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 77:1497-1506

- Chen J, Avise J, Lamb B, Salathé E, Mass C, Guenther A, Wiedinmyer C, Lamarque J-F, O'Neill S, McKenzie D, and Larkin N (2008) The Effects of Global Changes upon Regional Ozone Pollution in the United States. Atmos Chem Phys Discuss 8:15165-15205
- Cheng CS, Campbell M, Li Q, Li G, Auld H, Day N, et al. (2005) Differential and Combined Impacts of Winter and Summer Weather and Air Pollution due to Global Warming on Human Mortality in South-central Canada. Toronto Public Health, Toronto, Canada. Accessed July 21, 2008 at [http://www.toronto.ca/health/hphe/pdf/weather\\_air\\_pollution\\_impacts.pdf](http://www.toronto.ca/health/hphe/pdf/weather_air_pollution_impacts.pdf)
- Chestnutt LG, Breffle WS, Smith JB, Kalkstein LS (1998) Analysis of differences in hot-weather-related mortality across 44 U.S. metropolitan areas. Environ Sci Poly 1:59-70
- Davis RE, Knappenberger PC, Novicoff WM, Michaels PJ (2003) Decadal changes in summer mortality in U.S. cities. Int.J.Biometeorol. 47(3):166-175
- DeGaetano AT, Allen RJ (2002) Trends in Twentieth-century temperature extremes across the United States. J of Climate 15:3188-3205
- DOH: Washington Department of Health (2002) Guidelines for Using Confidence Intervals for Public Health Assessment. Washington State Department of Health, Olympia, WA. Accessed November 30, 2008 at <http://www.doh.wa.gov/data/guidelines/guidelines.htm>
- Elsner MM, Cuo L, Voisin N, Hamlet AF, Deems JS, Lettenmaier DP, Mickelson KEB, Lee SY (2009) Implications of 21st century climate change for the hydrology of Washington State. Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment: Evaluating Washington's Future in a Changing Climate. In Press

Environment Canada (2008) Humidex Brochure/Fact Sheet. Accessed November 30, 2008 at [http://www.msc.ec.gc.ca/cd/brochures/humidity\\_e.cfm](http://www.msc.ec.gc.ca/cd/brochures/humidity_e.cfm)

EPRI (2005) Interactions of Climate Change and Air Quality: Research Priorities and New Directions. Electric Power Research Institute. [http://www-as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/publications/Air\\_Climate\\_Workshop1.pdf](http://www-as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/publications/Air_Climate_Workshop1.pdf)

Frumkin H, Hess J, Luber G, Malilay J, McGeehin M. (2008) Climate change: the public health response. *AJPH* 98:435-445

Greenberg JH, Bromberg J, Reed CM, Gustafson TL, Beauchamp RA (1983) The epidemiology of heat-related deaths, Texas--1950, 1970-79, and 1980. *AJPH* 73:805-807

Grimmond CSB and Oke TR (1999) Heat storage in urban areas: Local-scale observations and evaluation of a simple model. *J Appl Meteorol* 38:922-940

Jones TS, Liang AP, Kilbourne EM, Griffin MR, Patriarca PA, Wassilak SG, Mullan RJ, Herrick RF, Donnell Jr, HD, Choi K, Thacker SB (1982) Morbidity and mortality associated with the July 1980 Heat Wave in St Louis and Kansas City, Mo. *JAMA* 247:3327-3331

Kaiser R, Rubin CH, Henderson AK, Wolfe MI, Kieszak S, Parrott CL, Adcock M (2001) Heat-related death and mental illness during the 1999 Cincinnati heat wave. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 22:303-307

Kaiser R, Le Tertre A, Schwartz J, Gotway CA, Daley WR, Rubin CH (2007) The effect of the 1995 heat wave in Chicago on all-cause and cause-specific mortality. *AJPH* 97:S158-62 (Supplement 1)

- [Kalkstein LS, Davis RE \(1989\) Weather and Human Mortality: An Evaluation of Demographic and Interregional Responses in the United States. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 79\(1\):44-64](#)
- [Kalkstein LS, Jamason PF, Greene JS, Libby J, Robinson L \(1996\) Philadelphia Hot Weather-Health Watch/Warning System: Development and Application, Summer 1995. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 77\(7\):1519-1528](#)
- [Karl T, Knight R \(1997\) The 1995 Chicago heat wave: How likely is a recurrence? Bull Am Meteorol Soc 78:1107-1119](#)
- [Kinney PL \(2008\) Climate change, air quality, and human health. Am J Prevent Med 35:459-467](#)
- [Knowlton K, Rosenthal JE et al. \(2004\) Assessing Ozone-Related Health Impacts under a Changing Climate. Environ Health Perspect 112:1557–1563](#)
- [Lin S, Liu X, Le LH, Hwang SA \(2008\) Chronic exposure to ambient ozone and asthma hospitalizations among children. Environ Health Perspect 116:1725–1730](#)
- [Luber G, McGeehin M \(2008\) Climate change and extreme heat events. Am J Prevent Med 35:429-435](#)
- [Masterton JM, Richardson FA \(1979\) A method of quantifying human discomfort due to excessive heat and humidity. Downsview, Ontario, Canada: AES, Env. Canada, CLI 1-79.](#)
- [McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. \(2002\) Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. Lancet 359:386–391](#)
- [McGeehin MA, Mirabelli M \(2001\) The potential impacts of climate variability and change on temperature-related morbidity and mortality in the United States. Environ Health Perspect 109:185-189 \(Supplement 2\)](#)



Medina-Ramon M, Zanobetti A, Cavanagh DP, Schwartz J (2006) Extreme temperatures and mortality: Assessing effect modification by personal characteristics and specific cause of death in a multi-city case-only analysis. Environ Health Perspect 114:1331-1336

Meehl GA, Tebaldi C (2004) More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in the 21st century. Science 305:994-997

Mote PW, Salathé Jr. EP (2009) Future climate in the Pacific Northwest. Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment: Evaluating Washington's Future in a Changing Climate. In Press

Naughton MP, Henderson A, Mirabelli MC, Kaiser R, Wilhelm JL, Kieszak SM, Rubin CH, McGeehin MA (2002) Heat-related mortality during a 1999 heat wave in Chicago. Am J Prevent Med 22:221-227

NRC: National Research Council (2008) Estimating Mortality Risk Reduction and Economic Benefits from Controlling Ozone Air Pollution. Decreasing Tropospheric Ozone Exposure. National Academies Press, Washington, DC

OFM: Washington State Office of Financial Management (2008a). Age and Historical Data. Accessed on November 30, 2008 at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/pop/historical.asp>

OFM: Washington State Office of Financial Management (2008b). Estimates and Forecasts. Accessed on November 30, 2008 at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/pop/stfc/default.asp>

Patz J, Campbell-Lendrum D, Holloway T, Foley JA (2005) Impact of regional climate change on human health. Nature 438:310-317

Patz JA, McGeehin MA, Bernard SM, Ebi KL, Epstein PR, Grambsch A, et al. (2001) The potential health impacts of climate variability and change for the United States. Executive

summary of the report of the health sector of the U.S. national assessment. [Electronic version]. Journal of Environmental Health 64(2), 20-28

Pengelly D, Cheng C, Campbell M (2005) Influence of Weather and Air Pollution on Mortality in Toronto. Toronto Public Health, Toronto, Canada. Accessed July 21, 2008 at [http://www.toronto.ca/health/hphe/pdf/weather\\_air\\_pollution\\_summary\\_june\\_2005.pdf](http://www.toronto.ca/health/hphe/pdf/weather_air_pollution_summary_june_2005.pdf).

PSCAA: Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (2007) Air Quality Data Summary. Accessed November 30, 2008 at <http://www.pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/2007AQDSFinal.pdf>

Salathé Jr, EP, Zhang Y, Leung LR, Qian Y (2009) Regional Climate Model Projections for the State of Washington. Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment: Evaluating Washington's Future in a Changing Climate. In Press

Semenza JC, Rubin CH, Falter KH, Selanikio JD, Flanders WD, Howe HL, Wilhelm JL (1996) Heat-related deaths during the July 1995 heat wave in Chicago. N.Engl.J.Med. 335(2):84-90

Smoyer KE, Rainham DC, Hewko JN (2000) Heat-stress-related mortality in five cities in Southern Ontario: 1980-1996. Int J Biometeorol 44:190-197

Smoyer-Tomic, KE, Rainham DCG (2001), Beating the Heat: Development and Evaluation of a Canadian Hot Weather Health-Response Plan, Environmental Health Perspectives V109(12).

USEPA: Environmental Protection Agency (2004) Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter. Volume II. EPA/600/P-99/002bF

USEPA: Environmental Protection Agency (2005) Air Quality Criteria for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants: Volume II, January. EPA/600/R-05/004bA

USEPA: Environmental Protection Agency (2006) PM National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter; Final Rule October 17, 2006. Federal Register 71(200).

<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pm/data/fr20061017.pdf>

USEPA: Environmental Protection Agency (2008) PM National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone; Final Rule March 27, 2008. Federal Register 73(60). [http://www.epa.gov/EPA-](http://www.epa.gov/EPA-AIR/2008/March/Day-27/a5645.pdf)

[AIR/2008/March/Day-27/a5645.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/EPA-AIR/2008/March/Day-27/a5645.pdf)

WA Dept of Labor and Industries (2008) Outdoor Heat Exposure Rule. Washington

Administrative Code 296-62-095. Accessed 30 November 2008 at

<http://www.lni.wa.gov/safety/topics/atoz/heatstress/>

Weaver CP et al (2009) A Preliminary Synthesis of Modeled Climate Change Impacts on U.S.

Regional Ozone Concentrations. Submitted to Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc

[Whitman S, Good G, Donoghue ER, Benbow N, Shou W, Mou S \(1997\) Mortality in Chicago](#)

[attributed to the July 1995 heat wave. AJPH 87:1515-1518](#)

Wolfe MI (2001) Heat-related mortality in selected United States cities, summer 1999. Am J

Forensic Med Pathol 22:352-357

**Table 1.** Baseline Climate and Population Parameters 1980-2006

	Greater Seattle Area	Spokane	Tri-Cities	Yakima
Counties included	King, Pierce, Snohomish	Spokane	Benton, Franklin	Yakima
1980 Population				
Total	2,236,898	367,867	157,983	187,226
45 to 64	395,521	62,823	25,928	32,670
65 to 84	184,078	35,232	9,141	19,009
85 and above	20,398	4,221	739	1,912
2006 Population				
Total	3,488,123	471,872	242,781	251,381
45 to 64	847,217	113,889	55,611	52,829
65 to 84	288,330	46,746	19,633	22,134
85 and above	51,580	9,502	2,774	4,493
Humidex, °C (°F)				
Mean daily high, May-Sep	23.2(73.8)	26.2(79.2)	28.1(82.6)	24.9(76.8)
99th pctl of daily high, annually	33.6(92.5)	38.1(100.6)	38.3(100.9)	35.5(95.9)
Heat events above 99th pctl				
Mean annual number	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6
Mean(max) duration in days	2.2(6)	2.0(9)	2.2(9)	2.3(10)

**Table 2a.** Mortality Relative Risks for Selected Causes and Age Groups by Heat Event Duration, Greater Seattle Area and the Spokane, Tri-Cities & Yakima combined, 1980-2006†

Day of heat event	Greater Seattle Area					Spokane, Tri-Cities, Yakima				
	1	2	3	4	5+	1	2	3	4	5+
All non-traumatic causes										
aged 45+	<b>1.0</b> (1,1,1)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,1.3)	<b>1.1</b> (1,1,2)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,1.5)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,4)	1.0 (0.9,1.1)	1.1 (1,1,2)	1.1 (1,1,3)	1.0 (0.8,1.3)	1.0 (0.9,1.3)
aged 65+	<b>1.1</b> (1,1,1)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,1.3)	<b>1.1</b> (1,1,2)	<b>1.3</b> (1,2,1.5)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,4)	1.0 (0.9,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.8,1.3)	1.0 (0.8,1.2)
aged 85+	1.1 (1,1,1)	<b>1.3</b> (1,2,1.5)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,1.5)	<b>1.5</b> (1,2,1.8)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.0 (0.8,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.1 (0.7,1.6)	1.0 (0.6,1.4)
Circulatory										
aged 45+	1.0 (1,1,1)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,1.3)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,3)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,1.6)	1.1 (0.8,1.3)	1.0 (0.9,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	1.0 (0.7,1.4)	1.1 (0.8,1.4)
aged 65+	<b>1.1</b> (1,1,2)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,1.3)	<b>1.2</b> (1,1,3)	<b>1.4</b> (1,1,1.7)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	1.0 (0.9,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.2 (0.9,1.5)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.0 (0.7,1.4)
aged 85+	1.1 (1,1,2)	<b>1.4</b> (1,2,1.6)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,1.6)	<b>1.5</b> (1,1,2)	1.2 (0.8,1.7)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.8,1.4)	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	1.1 (0.6,1.8)	1.1 (0.6,1.7)
Respiratory										
aged 45+	1.0 (0.8,1.1)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,1.5)	<b>1.4</b> (1,1,1.7)	1.1 (0.7,1.7)	1.5 (0.9,2.3)	0.9 (0.7,1.1)	1.0 (0.7,1.4)	0.9 (0.5,1.5)	0.6 (0.2,1.3)	0.8 (0.3,1.5)
aged 65+	1.0 (0.8,1.1)	<b>1.3</b> (1,1,5)	<b>1.4</b> (1,1,1.8)	1.2 (0.7,1.8)	1.6 (0.9,2.5)	0.8 (0.6,1.1)	1.0 (0.7,1.4)	1.0 (0.6,1.7)	0.5 (0.1,1.4)	0.8 (0.3,1.6)
aged 85+	0.8 (0.6,1)	1.3 (0.9,1.7)	1.3 (0.9,2)	1.4 (0.6,2.7)	1.5 (0.5,3.2)	0.6 (0.3,1)	1.3 (0.7,2.2)	0.7 (0.1,2)	0.8 (0.1,2.9)	0.6 (0.1,2.3)

† Bolded relative risk values are significantly greater than 1 (p < .05)

**Table 2b.** Mortality Relative Risks for Selected Causes and Age Groups by Heat Event Duration, Spokane, Tri-Cities & Yakima, 1980-2006

Day of heat event	Spokane					Tri-Cities					Yakima				
	1	2	3	4	5+	1	2	3	4	5+	1	2	3	4	5+
All non-traumatic causes															
aged 45+	1.0 (0.9,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	0.9 (0.6,1.3)	0.9 (0.6,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.8,1.4)	1.0 (0.7,1.5)	1.0 (0.6,1.6)	1.3 (0.8,2.1)	1.0 (0.8,1.1)	1.0 (0.8,1.3)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.2 (0.8,1.7)	1.3 (0.9,1.8)
aged 65+	1.0 (0.9,1.1)	1.1 (0.9,1.3)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	0.9 (0.6,1.3)	0.9 (0.6,1.2)	1.0 (0.8,1.2)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.2 (0.7,1.8)	1.1 (0.6,1.9)	1.1 (0.6,2)	0.9 (0.8,1.1)	1.0 (0.8,1.2)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	1.2 (0.8,1.7)
aged 85+	1.0 (0.8,1.2)	1.1 (0.8,1.4)	1.1 (0.7,1.6)	1.0 (0.5,1.7)	0.9 (0.5,1.5)	1.0 (0.7,1.4)	1.0 (0.6,1.7)	1.5 (0.7,2.9)	0.7 (0.2,2.2)	1.5 (0.4,3.7)	0.9 (0.7,1.3)	0.9 (0.6,1.4)	1.0 (0.5,1.7)	1.4 (0.7,2.5)	1.0 (0.4,2.1)
Circulatory															
aged 45+	1.0 (0.9,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	0.9 (0.5,1.5)	0.8 (0.5,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	1.1 (0.7,1.6)	1.1 (0.6,2)	1.3 (0.6,2.4)	1.4 (0.5,2.6)	1.0 (0.8,1.2)	0.9 (0.6,1.2)	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	1.0 (0.5,1.8)	1.4 (0.8,2.1)
aged 65+	1.1 (0.9,1.2)	1.1 (0.9,1.4)	1.1 (0.8,1.6)	1.0 (0.6,1.7)	0.8 (0.5,1.3)	1.0 (0.7,1.3)	1.2 (0.8,1.7)	1.3 (0.7,2.3)	1.3 (0.6,2.6)	1.0 (0.3,2.4)	1.0 (0.7,1.2)	0.9 (0.6,1.3)	1.2 (0.7,1.8)	1.1 (0.5,1.9)	1.3 (0.7,2.1)
aged 85+	1.1 (0.8,1.4)	1.1 (0.8,1.6)	1.2 (0.7,2)	1.0 (0.4,2.1)	0.8 (0.3,1.6)	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	0.8 (0.3,1.7)	1.7 (0.6,3.8)	0.9 (0.1,3.1)	1.8 (0.4,5.2)	1.0 (0.7,1.5)	1.0 (0.6,1.7)	1.0 (0.4,2)	1.2 (0.4,2.8)	1.4 (0.5,3.1)
Respiratory															
aged 45+	0.9 (0.7,1.3)	1.0 (0.6,1.6)	0.9 (0.4,1.8)	0.2 (0.1,2)	0.9 (0.3,1.9)	0.8 (0.4,1.5)	1.7 (0.8,3.2)	1.4 (0.3,4)	1.3 (0.2,4.9)	0.0 (0.3,4)	0.7 (0.3,1.2)	0.5 (0.1,1.3)	0.7 (0.1,2.1)	0.8 (0.1,2.9)	0.8 (0.2,5)
aged 65+	0.9 (0.6,1.3)	1.1 (0.7,1.6)	1.0 (0.4,2)	0.0 (0.0,9)	0.8 (0.3,1.9)	0.8 (0.3,1.5)	1.7 (0.8,3.4)	1.6 (0.3,4.6)	1.6 (0.2,5.7)	0.0 (0.4)	0.6 (0.3,1.2)	0.3 (0.0,9)	0.8 (0.2,2.3)	0.9 (0.3,3)	0.9 (0.1,3.3)
aged 85+	0.6 (0.2,1.1)	1.3 (0.5,2.5)	0.8 (0.1,2.7)	0.0 (0.2,6)	0.5 (0.2,6)	0.4 (0.2,1)	2.5 (0.5,7.2)	0.0 (0.7,6)	0.0 (0.11,3)	0.0 (0.17,4)	0.7 (0.1,1.9)	0.8 (0.1,2.8)	0.8 (0.4,2)	2.6 (0.3,9.4)	1.3 (0.7,1)

**Table 3.** Projected Climate and Population Parameters

	Greater Seattle Area			Spokane			Tri-Cities			Yakima		
	2025	2045	2085	2025	2045	2085	2025	2045	2085	2025	2045	2085
Population (in thousands)												
Total	4,091	--	--	561	--	--	293	--	--	287	--	--
45 to 64	980	--	--	131	--	--	62	--	--	59	--	--
65 to 84	638	--	--	86	--	--	36	--	--	33	--	--
85 and above	73	--	--	11	--	--	4	--	--	5	--	--
Low summer warming												
Mean high humidex, °C (°F), May-September	24.0 (75.2)	24.4 (75.9)	25.1 (77.2)	26.9 (77.2)	27.2 (81.0)	27.8 (82.0)	28.7 (83.7)	29.0 (84.2)	29.6 (85.3)	25.6 (78.1)	25.9 (78.6)	26.5 (79.7)
Expected annual heat events	2.6	3.1	3.8	2.5	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.9	3.3	2.5	3.0	3.4
Mean(max) event duration in days	2.2(6)	2.3(7)	2.3(8)	2.3(9)	2.6(9)	2.7(9)	2.4(9)	2.5(12)	2.6(13)	2.4(11)	2.5(13)	2.6(13)
Moderate summer warming												
Mean high humidex, °C (°F), May-September	24.8 (76.6)	25.8 (78.4)	27.5 (81.5)	27.6 (81.7)	28.5 (83.3)	30.1 (86.2)	29.4 (84.9)	30.2 (86.4)	31.7 (89.1)	26.2 (79.2)	27.1 (80.8)	28.6 (83.5)
Expected annual heat events	3.6	4.7	7.2	3.2	4.1	6.0	3.2	4.2	5.9	3.2	4.3	5.9
Mean(max) event duration in days	2.3(7)	2.6(14)	2.9(18)	2.6(9)	3.0(14)	3.4(17)	2.7(13)	3.0(14)	3.6(17)	2.8(13)	2.9(14)	3.5(17)
High summer warming												
Mean high humidex, °C (°F), May-September	26.3 (79.3)	28.1 (82.6)	31.3 (88.3)	29.0 (84.2)	30.6 (87.1)	33.5 (92.3)	30.6 (87.1)	32.2 (90.0)	34.8 (94.6)	27.5 (81.5)	29.1 (84.4)	31.8 (89.2)
Expected annual heat events	5.8	8.8	10.1	4.8	6.6	8.4	4.9	6.9	8.9	5.2	6.8	9.4
Mean(max) event duration in days	2.7(18)	3.2(18)	6.1(57)	3.4(16)	3.8(17)	5.6(50)	3.5(16)	3.9(24)	5.6(50)	3.4(17)	3.9(24)	5.4(42)

**Table 4.** Projected Annual Excess Deaths by Cause and Age Group for Low, Middle and High Warming Scenarios

	<b>Low</b>			<b>Middle</b>			<b>High</b>		
	2025 mean (se)	2045 mean (se)	2085 mean (se)	2025 mean (se)	2045 mean (se)	2085 mean (se)	2025 mean (se)	2045 mean (se)	2085 mean (se)
<b>Greater Seattle Area</b>									
Non-traumatic deaths									
aged 45+	68(10)	89(12)	107(13)	101(12)	156(17)	280(22)	211(20)	401(26)	988(32)
aged 65+	64(9)	84(11)	102(12)	96(12)	148(17)	266(21)	200(19)	382(25)	956(32)
aged 85+	32(4)	40(5)	48(6)	46(5)	68(7)	117(8)	89(8)	160(9)	304(8)
Circulatory deaths									
aged 45+	34(5)	43(6)	52(6)	49(6)	72(7)	124(8)	95(8)	170(9)	326(8)
aged 65+	35(5)	45(6)	54(6)	51(6)	75(8)	130(9)	99(9)	178(10)	351(9)
aged 85+	20(3)	26(3)	31(3)	30(3)	44(5)	76(5)	58(5)	105(6)	215(5)
Respiratory deaths									
aged 45+	9(1)	11(2)	14(2)	13(2)	22(3)	44(5)	31(4)	66(6)	218(11)
aged 65+	8(1)	11(2)	13(2)	13(2)	22(3)	42(5)	30(4)	64(6)	213(11)
aged 85+	1(0)	2(0)	2(1)	2(1)	4(1)	8(1)	6(1)	14(2)	53(3)
<b>Spokane, Tri-Cities, Yakima</b>									
Non-traumatic deaths									
aged 45+	12(2)	15(2)	17(2)	17(2)	24(2)	37(2)	31(2)	45(2)	76(2)
aged 65+	9(1)	11(1)	13(1)	13(1)	18(2)	27(2)	23(2)	32(1)	45(2)
aged 85+	1(0)	1(0)	1(0)	1(0)	2(0)	3(0)	3(0)	4(0)	4(1)

† Population held constant at 2025 projections



**Table 5.** Baseline decade (1997-2006) and mid-century decade (2045-2054) estimates of population size, daily ozone concentration, mortality rate due to ozone, and excess deaths due to ozone (May-September).

Estimates	King County		Spokane County	
	1997-2006	2045-2054	1997-2006	2045-2054
May -September				
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb) <sup>1</sup>	20.7	26.5	35.5	41.6
Population	1,758,260	2,629,160	424,636	712,617
O <sub>3</sub> Non Traumatic Mortality rate (95% CI) <sup>2</sup>	0.026 (0.013- 0.038)	0.033 (0.017 -0.049)	0.058 (0.030-0.085)	0.068 (0.035-0.100)
O <sub>3</sub> Cardiopulmonary mortality rate (95% CI) <sup>2</sup>	0.011 (0.005-0.017)	0.015 (0.007-0.022)	0.027 (0.013-0.042)	0.032 (0.015-0.049)
O <sub>3</sub> Non traumatic deaths (95% CI) <sup>3</sup>	69 (35-102)	132 (68-196)	37 (19-55).	74 (38-109).
O <sub>3</sub> Cardiopulmonary deaths (95% CI) <sup>3</sup>	31 (15-47)	59 (28-90)	18 (9-27)	35 (17-54)

<sup>1</sup>Average daily maximum 8 hour ozone concentration

<sup>4</sup>Rate expressed per 100,000 for May-September with 95% confidence interval

<sup>5</sup>Number of deaths May-September




Figure 1. Map of Study Areas




Figure 2. Mortality Relative Risk Estimates (solid lines) for All Non-Traumatic Causes (ICD-9: 001-799; ICD-10: A00-R99) by Heat Event Duration (99<sup>th</sup> percentile), Greater Seattle Area (King, Pierce and Snohomish counties), 1980-2006. Dotted lines show estimated 95% confidence limits.




Figure 3. Mortality Relative Risk Estimates (solid lines) for Circulatory Causes (ICD-9: 390-459; ICD-10: I00-I99, G45, G46) by Heat Event Duration (99<sup>th</sup> percentile), Greater Seattle Area (King, Pierce and Snohomish counties), 1980-2006. Dotted lines show estimated 95% confidence limits.




Figure 4. Mortality Relative Risk Estimates (solid lines) for Respiratory Causes (ICD-9: 460-519; ICD-10: J00-J99) by Heat Event Duration (99<sup>th</sup> percentile), Greater Seattle Area (King, Pierce and Snohomish counties), 1980-2006. Dotted lines show estimated 95% confidence limits.