

1. Linear Regression (75 points)

We will find coefficients A to estimate $X * A \approx Y$, using the provided datasets X and Y . We will compare two approaches least squares and ridge regression.

Least Squares: Set $A = \text{inverse}(X' * X) * X' * Y$

Ridge Regression: Set $As = \text{inverse}(X' * X + s^2 * \text{eye}(15)) * X' * Y$

A(30 points) Solve for the coefficients A (or As) using Least Squares and Ridge Regression with $s = 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30$ (i.e. s will take on one of those 7 values each time you try, say obtaining A_{05} for $s = 5$). For each set of coefficients, report the error in the estimate \hat{Y} of Y as $\text{norm}(Y - X * A, 2)$.

Error $A = 605.6547$

Error $AS\ 1 = 605.6549$

Error $AS\ 5 = 605.7708$

Error $AS\ 10 = 607.1568$

Error $AS\ 15 = 611.3054$

Error $AS\ 20 = 618.4469$

Error $AS\ 25 = 627.9439$

Error $AS\ 30 = 639.1680$

B (30 points): Create three row-subsets of X and Y

$X1 = X(1:66,:)$ and $Y1 = Y(1:66)$

Error $A = 483.0236$

Error $AS\ 1 = 482.7316$

Error $AS\ 5 = 476.4220$

Error $AS\ 10 = 462.9247$

Error $AS\ 15 = 451.7693$

Error $AS\ 20 = 446.5091$

Error $AS\ 25 = 446.8578$

Error $AS\ 30 = 451.7081$

$X2 = X(34:100,:)$ and $Y2 = Y(34:100)$

Error $A = 434.7992$

Error $AS\ 1 = 434.5322$

Error $AS\ 5 = 428.8565$

Error $AS\ 10 = 417.0631$

Error $AS\ 15 = 406.8163$

Error $AS\ 20 = 400.1875$

Error $AS\ 25 = 397.0574$

Error $AS\ 30 = 397.1167$

$X3 = [X(1:33,:); X(67:100,:)]$ and $Y3 = [Y(1:33); Y(67:100)]$

Error $A = 383.0319$

Error $AS\ 1 = 382.7135$

Error $AS\ 5 = 376.3331$

Error AS 10 = 365.6099
 Error AS 15 = 359.6731
 Error AS 20 = 358.5897
 Error AS 25 = 360.6872
 Error AS 30 = 365.0511

C (15 points): Which approach works best (averaging the results from the three subsets): Least Squares, or for which value of s using Ridge Regression?

Error A = 433.6182
 Error AS 1 = 433.3258
 Error AS 5 = 427.2039
 Error AS 10 = 415.1992
 Error AS 15 = 406.0862
 Error AS 20 = 401.7621
 Error AS 25 = 401.5342
 Error AS 30 = 404.6253

Ridge Regression with $s = 25$ seems to be the best because the lowest value out of the average is Error AS 25 = 401.5342. The lowest error is the best

2. Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (25 points)

Consider a linear equation $W = M*S$ where M is a measurement matrix filled with random values (although now that they are there, they are no longer random), and W is the output of the sparse signal S when measured by M . Use Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (as described in the notes as Algorithm 18.2.1) to recover the non-zero entries from S . Record the order in which you find each entry and the residual vector after each step.

The non-zero entries are given by the given indexes below and the residual vectors after each step are also given below.

$r = [-3 \ 0 \ 0 \ -3 \ 5 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 0 \ -2 \ -2 \ -2 \ -4 \ 1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ -1 \ -2 \ -1 \ -2 \ 1 \ -2 \ -2 \ 2 \ -1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 4 \ 2 \ 1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -4 \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ -1 \ -1 \ -3 \ 1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 2 \ -2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 0 \ -1 \ -2 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1 \ -3 \ 0 \ 2 \ -2 \ 0 \ -2 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0 \ -4 \ -3 \ -1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 4 \ 1 \ 3 \ -3 \ -1 \ -3]$

index = 43

$r = [-2 \ 1 \ 1 \ -2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ -2 \ -1 \ -2 \ -3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 3 \ -1 \ -1 \ 0 \ -1 \ 1 \ -2 \ -1 \ 3 \ -1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ -3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ -2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ -2 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -2 \ -1 \ -1 \ 0 \ -2 \ -1 \ 2 \ -2 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ -2 \ 0 \ -3 \ -2 \ -2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ -1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 3 \ -3 \ -1 \ -2]$

index = 66

$r = [-1 \ 0 \ 1 \ -1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -2 \ -2 \ -1 \ -2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ -2 \ -1 \ 3 \ -2 \ -1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ -2 \ 1 \ 0 \ -1 \ -1 \ 0 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 2 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ -1 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 2 \ -1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -2 \ -2 \ -1 \ 0]$

2 0 0 0 2 1 2 -2 -2 -1]

index =16

r = [0 -1 0 -1 2 2 1 1 1 -1 -1 0 -2 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 2 -2 -1 1 2 1 1 1 -1
0 -1 1 -1 0 -1 -1 0 -2 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 -1 0 1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 0 -1 -1 -1 0 -1 1 0
0 0 2 2 2 -1 -1 -1]

index = 65

r = [0 -1 1 -1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 -1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 -1 1 0 0 0 -1 1 -1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 -1
0 -1 0 0 -1 1 -1 0 1 0 0 -1 1 -1 0 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 1 0 -1 0 0 1 1 0 -1 0 -1 -1 0 0 1 0 1
1 1 -1 0 -1]

index = 89

r = [0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]

The Assignment was done in Matlab where index start at 1 instead of 0. The elements at index 43, 66, 16, 65, and 89 are the non-zero entries from S.