

Gnosis Chain SBC Withdrawals Smart Contracts Review

By: ChainSafe Systems

May 2023

Gnosis Chain SBC Withdrawals Smart Contracts Review

Auditors: Tanya Bushenyova, Anderson Lee, Oleksii Matiiasevych

WARRANTY

This Code Review is provided on an "as is" basis, without warranty of any kind, express or implied. It is not intended to provide legal advice, and any information, assessments, summaries, or recommendations are provided only for convenience (each, and collectively a "recommendation"). Recommendations are not intended to be comprehensive or applicable in all situations. ChainSafe Systems does not guarantee that the Code Review will identify all instances of security vulnerabilities or other related issues.

Introduction

Gnosis Chain requested ChainSafe Systems to perform a review of the contracts used for SBC (Stake Beacon Chain) deposit and withdrawal. The contracts in scope can be identified as the following git commit hash:

```
63d522e40dfaacde5f00891ca45c86ad474e6184 (pull request #25)
```

Gnosis Chain has the following contracts in scope:

```
SBCWrapper.sol (unwrap() function)
SBCDepositContract.sol (contract modifications in the pull request
#25)
```

After the initial review, Gnosis Chain team applied a number of updates which can be identified by the following git commit hash:

```
13e155500b626612844e3d0fccc11b02b11ea785
```

Additional verification was performed after that.

Disclaimer

The review makes no statements or warranties about the utility of the code, safety of the code, suitability of the business model, regulatory regime for the business model, or any other statements about the fitness of the contracts for any specific purpose, or their bug free status.

Executive Summary

There are **no** known compiler bugs for the specified compiler version (0.8.9), that might affect the contracts' logic.

There were no critical, major or minor issues found. 11 informational/optimizational issues were identified in the contracts. The most important optimizational issue, which is using the NonReentrant modifier, was addressed along with a couple of less important ones. As there were no logic issues identified, the team decided to acknowledge most of the optimizational findings in favor of code readability.

Critical Bugs and Vulnerabilities

No critical bugs or vulnerabilities were identified in the contracts.

Line by Line Review. Fixed Issues

1. SBCDepositContract, line 290. Optimization, the FailedWithdrawalRecord storage variable could check if the amount is zero instead of storing a processed value.

- 2. SBCDepositContract, line 311. Optimization, the processFailedWithdrawal() function could omit using the NonReentrant modifier if the failedWithdrawalRecord update is moved to be done before the processWithdrawal() call.
- 3. SBCDepositContract, line 348. Optimization, the processFailedWithdrawalsFromPointer() function could omit using the NonReentrant modifier if the failedWithdrawalRecord update is moved to be done before the _processWithdrawal() call, and undoing the changes in case of fail.

Line by Line Review. Acknowledged Findings.

- 1. SBCDepositContract, line 266. Note, the gasLimit parameter of the _processWithdrawal() function is not described.
- 2. SBCDepositContract, line 317. Optimization, the processFailedWithdrawal() function reads FailedWithdrawalRecord values from storage multiple times, consider using a local variable instead.
- 3. SBCDepositContract, line 320. Note, the processFailedWithdrawal() function uses a msgSender() helper while the deposits processing part of the contract uses msg. sender.
- 4. SBCDepositContract, line 355. Optimization, the processFailedWithdrawalsFromPointer() function reads failedWithdrawalsPointer value from storage multiple times, consider using a local variable instead.
- 5. SBCDepositContract, line 358. Optimization, the processFailedWithdrawalsFromPointer() function reads FailedWithdrawalRecord values from storage multiple times, consider using a local variable instead.
- 6. SBCDepositContract, line 406. Optimization, the executeSystemWithdrawals() function reads _addresses[i] from calldata multiple times, it would be cheaper to store it in a local variable.
- 7. SBCDepositContract, line 411. Optimization, the executeSystemWithdrawals() function reads numberOfFailedWithdrawals value from storage multiple times, consider using a local variable instead.
- 8. SBCDepositContract, line 425. Note, the _token parameter of the unwrapTokens() function is not described.

Tanya Bushenyova

Anderson Lee

Oleksii Matiiasevych

superson Mann