# Homework 3 - Integer Programming

## Adv. Analytics and Metaheuristics

## Daniel Carpenter and Iker Zarandona

### March 2022

# Contents

1	- Pı	coblem 1	2
	1.1	Mathematical Formulation	2
	1.2	Code and Output	4
2	- Pı	roblem 2	6
	2.1	Mathematical Formulation (Part a)	6
	2.2	Code and Output (Part a)	8
	2.3	Problem 2 b	10
	2.4	Code and Output (Part $b)$	10
3	- Pı	roblem 3	12
	3.1	Mathematical Formulation	12
	3.2	Code and Output	15

# 1 - Problem 1

### 1.1 Mathematical Formulation

### 1.1.1 Sets

Set Name	Description
GENERATORS PERIODS	Set of generators $i$ that can be used (A,B,C) 2 possible periods $p$ (1, 2) in the production day

### 1.1.2 Parameters

Parameter Name	Description		
$\overline{S_i}$	Fixed cost to start a generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ in the entire day		
$F_i$	Fixed cost to operate a generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ in any period		
$C_{i}$	Variable cost per megawatt to operator a		
	generator $(i \in GENERATORS)$ in any		
	period		
$U_i$	Max. megawatts generated for generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ in any period		
$demand_p$	Total demanded megawatts for period		
r	$(p \in PERIODS)$		
M	Large constant to map watts used by each		
	generator $(i \in GENERATORS)$		

### 1.1.3 Decision Variables

Variable Name	Description		
$\overline{watts_{i,p}}$	Integer variable: Number of watts to		
	produce per generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ per period		
	$(p \in PERIODS)$		
$x_{i,p}$	Binary variable: 1 if a generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ is in period p		
	$(p \in PERIODS)$ , 0 if not turned on at all		
$y_i$	Binary variable: 1 if a generator		
	$(i \in GENERATORS)$ is used, 0 if not		
	turned on at all		

### 1.1.4 Objective Function

$$minimize\ cost: \sum_{i \in GENERATORS} \left( \left( \sum_{p \in PERIODS} (watts_{i,p}) \times C_i \right) + \left( F_i \times \sum_{p \in PERIODS} x_{i,p} \right) + \left( S_i \times y_i \right) \right)$$

#### 1.1.5 Constraints

C1: For each period, meet the demanded megawatts

$$requiredWatts: \sum_{i \in GENERATORS} (watts_{i,p}) = demand_p, \forall \ p \in PERIODS$$

C2: For each generator, don't surpass the allowable megawatts

$$upperBound: \sum_{p \in PERIODS} (watts_{i,p}) \leq U_i, \forall i \in GENERATORS$$

C3: For each generator, map decision variables together to account for the fixed costs in a given day  $S_i$ 

$$mapVars: \sum_{p \in PERIODS} (watts_{i,p}) \leq M_i \times y_i, \forall i \in GENERATORS$$

C4: For each generator and period, map decision variables y and watts together to account for the fixed costs in a per period p

$$mapVars2: watts_{i,p} \leq M_i \times x_{i,p}, \forall i \in GENERATORS, p \in PERIODS$$

C5 Non-negativity or Binary restraints of decision variables

$$watts_{i,p} \ge 0$$

$$x_{i,p}, y_i \in (0,1)$$

## 1.2 Code and Output

#### 1.2.1 Code

```
Fynich/Millians N

| Particle | Control | Cont
```

### **1.2.2** Output

```
ampl: model 'C:\Users\daniel.carpenter\OneDrive - the Chickasaw
CPLEX 20.1.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 46100
7 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes

Which generators are used?
y [*] :=
A 1
B 1
C 1;

Which periods were the generators used?
x :=
A 1 0
A 2 1
B 1 0
B 2 1
C 1 1
C 2 0;

Optimal Amount of Megawatts for each generator and period:
watts :=
A 1 0
A 2 2100
B 1 0
B 2 1800
C 1 2900
C 2 0;
```

#### 1.2.2.1 Analysis of the Output

- The minimized cost is \$46, 100
- Generator A, B, and C run
- Generator C runs in period 1. Generator A and B run in period 2
- Generator A produces 2, 100 megawatts in total
- Generator B produces 1,800 megawatts in total
- Generator C produces 2,900 megawatts in total

## 2 - Problem 2

## 2.1 Mathematical Formulation (Part a)

### 2.1.1 Sets

Set Name	Description
PRODUCTS	5 types of landscaping and construction products (e.g., cement, sand, etc.) labeled product $(p)$ $A, B, C, D$ , and $E$
SILOS	8 different silos $s$ that each product must be stored in $(1, 2,, 8)$

### 2.1.2 Parameters

Parameter Name	Description		
$cost_{s,p}$	Cost of storing one ton of product $p \in PRODUCTS$ in silo $s \in SILOS$		
$supply_p$	Total supply in tons available of product $p \in PRODUCTS$		
$capacity_s$	Total capacity in tons of silo $s \in SILOS$ . Can store products.		
M	Variable to map decision variable $tonsOfProduct_{p,s}$ to $isStored_{p,s}$ . Uses big M method.		

### 2.1.3 Decision Variables

Variable Name	Description		
$tonsOfProduct_{p,s}$ $isStored_{p,s}$	Tons of product $p \in PRODUCTS$ to store in silo $s \in SILOS$ . Non-negative. Binary variable indicating if product $p \in PRODUCTS$ is stored in silo $s \in SILOS$ .		

### 2.1.4 Objective Function

$$minimize\ costOfStorage: \sum_{p \in PRODUCTS} \sum_{s \in SILOS} tonsOfProduct_{p,s} \times cost_{p,s}$$

#### 2.1.5 Constraints

C1: For each silo s, the tons of the supplied product p must be less than or equal to the capacity limit of silo s

$$meetCapacity: \sum_{p \in PRODUCTS} tonsOfProduct_{p,s} \leq capacity_s, \ \forall \ s \in SILOS$$

C2: For each product p, must use all of the total product that is available

$$useAllProduct: \sum_{s \in SILOS} tonsOfProduct_{p,s} = supply_p, \ \forall \ p \in PRODUCTS$$

C3: For each silo s and product p,

$$oneProductInSilo: \sum_{pinPRODUCTS} isStored_{p,s} = 1, \ \forall \ s \in SILOS$$

C4: Map the decision variables together using the Big M method

$$mapVars: tonsOfProduct_{p,s} \leq M \times isStored_{p,s}, \ \forall \ p \in PRODUCTS, \ \forall \ s \in SILOS$$

C5 Non-negativity or Binary restraints of decision variables

$$tonsOfProduct_{p,s} \geq 0$$

$$isStored_{p,s} \in (0,1)$$

## 2.2 Code and Output (Part a)

#### 2.2.1 Code

```
# gracinal part of the control of th
```

### 2.2.2 Output (Part a)

```
ampl: model 'C:\Users\daniel.carpenter\OneDrive - the Chickasaw Nation\D CPLEX 20.1.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 320 48 MIP simplex iterations 0 branch-and-bound nodes
0
0
0
               0
0
0
0
12345678
                              1
0
               1
0
0
0
                              1
1
                                      0
                       0
Optimal tons of product allocated to each silo: tonsOfProduct [*,*] (tr)
: A B C D E :=
                 0
0
0
0
50
                                      0
                                               0000
                                    25 0 0 0
                                             20
```

### 2.2.2.1 Analysis of the Output

- Minimized loading cost for 250 tons of 5 products over the 8 silos is 320 (problem does not state cost units).
- Product A stores 25 tons in silo 1 and 50 tons in silo 4
- Product B stores 50 tons in silo 5
- Product C stores 25 tons in silo 3
- Product D stores 25 tons in silo 2, 5tons in silo 7, and and 50 tons in silo 8
- Product E stores 20 tons in silo 6

### 2.3 Problem 2 b

- Create a new objective that also minimizes the distance between capacity and stored tons of product
- For each silo, minimize the variance between the total capacity and the tons of product

 $minimize\ capacity Actual Variance: capacity_s - \sum_{p \in PRODUCTS} tonsOfProduct_{p,s},\ \forall s \in SILOS$ 

### 2.4 Code and Output (Part b)

#### 2.4.1 Code

```
Common interactions of Colonia in Colonia State Colonia (Colonia) (Colonia)
```

### 2.4.2 Output (Part b)

```
ampl: model 'C:\Users\daniel.carpenter\OneDrive - the Chickasav CPLEX 20.1.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 320 48 MIP simplex iterations 0 branch-and-boundes
Objective = costOfStorage
which silo(s) stores what product?
isStored [*,*] (tr)
: A B C D E :=
        A
1
0
                                         0
                0
                         0
                                 0
                         ŏ
                0
                                 1
                                 0
        0
                0
                                         0
                                         0
        1
                0
                                 0
        ō
                                 ŏ
                                         1
0
0
        0
Optimal tons of product allocated to each silo: tonsOfProduct [*,*] (tr)
: A B C D E :=
                                       0
25
                             0
0
25
0
0
0
12345678
                                                    0
          0
                    000
          0
                                       0
0
5
50
                                                  0
20
0
0
                   50
0
0
          0
```

### 2.4.2.1 Analysis of the Output

- The optimal cost actually stays the same, but the amount of iterations to get to that solution is much more.
- The values of the decision variables are the same.

# 3 - Problem 3

### 3.1 Mathematical Formulation

### 3.1.1 Parameters

Parameter Name	Description
theDemand	The demanded amount of products
M	Large scaler that is not inf, used for
	logical constraints via Big M Method
mcWII	Marginal cost component of WII. Set to
	\$4.95
availWII	Amount of WII that is available. Set to
mcWRS	18,000 Marginal cost component of WPS. Set to
IIICW KS	Marginal cost component of WRS. Set to \$2.30
fixWRS	Fixed Cost component of WRS. Set to
IIX VV TGS	20,000
availWRS	Amount of WRS that is available. Set to
	14,000
mcWE1	If we buy from WRS, then Marg. cost for
	WE set to \$3.95
mcWE2	If we do not buy from WRS, then Marg.
	cost for WE set to \$4.10
availWE	Amount of WE that is available. Set to
****	7,000
mcWU	Marginal cost component of WU. Set to
availWU	4.25 Amount of WU that is available. Set to
avanwo	22,000
minBuyAmt	Must buy at least 15k of WU. Set to
mmbay1mio	15,000
mcWOW1	Marginal cost of 9.50 for WOW 3000
	upper bound
mcWOW1Upper	3000 upper bound
mcWOW2	Marginal cost of 4.90 for WOW 3000 $+$
	6000 = 9000 upper bound
mcWOW2Upper	3000 + 6000 = 9000 upper bound
mcWOW3	Marginal cost of 2.75 for WOW Cannot
	exceed 25000 due to supply

 $\operatorname{mcWOW3Upper}$  Cannot exceed 25000 due to supply 25000

### 3.1.2 Decision Variables

### 3.1.2.1 Main Decision Variables:

Variable Name	Description
WII	Amount of product WOW to produce
WRS	Amount of product $WRS$ to produce
WU	Amount of product $WU$ to produce
WE	Amount of product $WE$ to produce
WE1	Decision variable associated with 3.95 marginal cost for $WE$
WE2	Decision variable associated with 4.10 marginal cost for $WE$
WOW	Amount of product WOW to produce
d1WOW	Piece wise component 1 of var $WOW$
d2WOW	Piece wise component 2 of var $WOW$
d3WOW	Piece wise component 3 of var $WOW$

### 3.1.2.2 Binary Helper Decision Variables:

Variable Name	Description
yWRS1	Used for fixed $WRS$ cost if used
yWRS	Used if $WRS$ is selected
yWII	Used if $WII$ is selected
yWE1	Used if $WE1$ is selected
yWE2	Used if $WE2$ is selected
$\mathbf{Z}$	Used to activate only one constraint for $WE$ (below)
yWU	Used for fixed cost if $WU$ used
y1WOW	To model piecewise cost for var $WOW$
y2WOW	To model piecewise cost for var $WOW$

### 3.1.3 Objective Function

minimize cost:

```
mcWII*WII \\ + fixWRS*yWRS1 + mcWRS*WRS \\ + mcWE1*WE1 + mcWE2*WE2 \\ + mcWU*WU \\ + mcWOW1*d1WOW + mcWOW2*d2WOW + mcWOW3*d3WOW
```

### 3.1.4 Constraints

Description	Constraint
Upper bound on WII production	$upperBoundWII:WII \leq availWII$
Upper bound on $WU$ production	$upperBoundWU:WU \leq availWU$
Upper bound on $WE$ production	$upperBoundWE:WE \leq availWE$
Upper bound and map to $WRS$ via Big M	$map\_yWRS1:WRS \le$
	$availWRS \times yWRS1$
Map the $W$ vars to the $y$ binary	$mapWE1: WE1 \le M \times yWE1$
**	$mapWE2: WE2 \le M \times yWE2$
Map the $WRS$ vars to the $y$ binary	$mapWRS: WRS \leq M \times yWRS$
Map the $WII$ vars to the $y$ binary	$mapWII: WII \leq M \times yWII$
WE: If buy from WRS, then can do WE1.	$ifWRS\_ThenWE1: yWRS \le$
(Use of Mz to choose one constraint)	$yWE1 + M \times z$
WE: If $WE2$ , cannot do $WII$ . (Use of $Mz$ to	$ifWRS\_thenNotWII:$
choose one constraint)	$yWE2 + yWII \le 1 + M \times (1 - z)$
WE: If $WE1$ , then cannot do $WE2$ , Must	$only1WE: yWE1 + yWE2 \le 1$
choose one	
WE: Finally, set WE to the sum of WE1 and	setWE: WE = WE1 + WE2
WE2 for the final output	
WU: Buy at least min amount	$buyAtLeastMin:WU \leq$
	$availWU \times yWU$
WU: Under the upper bound	$map\_yWU:WU \ge minBuyAmt \times yWU$
WOW: connect WOW with d1WOW,	$X_WOW: WOW =$
d2WOW, and d3WOW;	d1WOW + d2WOW + d3WOW
WOW: ensure that the piece wise costs are	$piece1a: mcWOW1Upper \times y1WOW \leq$
used correctly,	d1WOW
WOW: First Piece (Between 0 and Upper)	$piece1b:d1WOW \leq mcWOW1Upper$
WOW: Second Piece (Between last piece and	$piece2a: mcWOW2Upper \times y2WOW \leq$
Upper)	d2WOW
WOW: Second Piece (Between last piece and	$piece2b:d2WOW \leq$
Upper)	$mcWOW2Upper \times y1WOW$
WOW: Third Piece (Between last piece and	$piece3:d3WOW \leq$
Upper)	$mcWOW3Upper \times y2WOW$
WOW: Cannot go over upper	$upperBoundWOW: WOW \leq$
	mcWOW3Upper
Meet the total demand	meetTheDemand:WII+WRS+
	$WE + WU + WOW \ge theDemand$

 $\mathbf{CX:}$  Non-negatit<br/>vity of Decision Vars

3.2 Code and Output

#### 3.2.1 Code

```
options solver cplex; # Using cplex for simplex alg
 11 param theDemand := 55000; # The demanded amount of products
        param mcWII := 4.95; # Marginal cost compnent of WII
        param availWII := 18000; # Amount of WII that is available
        s.t. upperBoundWII: WII <= availWII;</pre>
         param mcWRS := 2.30; # Marginal cost compnent of WRS
        param fixWRS := 20000; # Fixed Cost component of WRS
        param availWRS := 14000; # Amount of WRS that is available
         var WRS >= 0; # amt of product WRS to produce
        var yWRS1 binary; # Binary used for fixed cost if used
         s.t. map_yWRS1: WRS <= availWRS * yWRS1; # Upper bound and map</pre>
        param mcWE1 := 3.95; # If buy from WRS, m. cost for WE
        param mcWE2 := 4.10; # Else m. cost for WE
        param availWE := 7000; # Amount of WE that is available
           var yWRS binary; # If WRS is selected
            var yWII binary; # If WII is selected
            var yWE1 binary; # If WE is selected
             var yWE2 binary;
                      binary;
```

```
s.t. mapWE1: WE1 <= M * yWE1; # Map the W vars to the y binary
s.t. mapWE2: WE2 <= M * yWE2; # ""
s.t. mapWRS: WRS <= M * yWRS; # ""
s.t. mapWII: WII <= M * yWII; # ""</pre>
                    s.t. ifWRS_ThenWE1:    yWRS <= yWE1 + M*z;</pre>
                      # If WE2, cannot do WII. (Use of Mz to choose one constraint)
s.t. ifWRS_thenNotWII: yWE2 + yWII <= 1 + M*(1-z);</pre>
                     s.t. only1WE: yWE1 + yWE2 <= 1;
                     s.t. setWE: WE == WE1 + WE2;
                 s.t. upperBoundWE: WE <= availWE; # Meet the upper bound limit
           param mcWU
           param availWU := 22000; # Amount of WU that is available
           param minBuyAmt := 15000; # Must buy at Least 15k
           var yWU binary; # Binary used for fixed cost if used
            s.t. buyAtLeastMin: WU <= availWU * yWU; # Buy at Least min amount
s.t. map_yWU: WU >= minBuyAmt * yWU; # Under the upper bound
            param mcWOW1 := 9.50; param mcWOW1Upper := 3000; # 3000 upper bound
            param mcWOW2 := 4.90; param mcWOW2Upper := 6000; # 3000 + 6000 = 9000 upper bound
            param mcWOW3 := 2.75; param mcWOW3Upper := 25000; # Cannot exceed 25000 due to supply
            var WOW >= 0; #amt of product WOW to produce
            var d1WOW >=0; # piecewise component 1 of var WOW
            var d2WOW >=0; # piecewise component 2 of var WOW
var d3WOW >=0; # piecewise component 3 of var WOW
            var y1WOW binary; #to model piecewise cost for var WOW
            var y2WOW binary; #to model piecewise cost for var WOW
```

```
group23_HW3_p3.mod ×

□

group23_HW3_p3.mod ×
              s.t. X_WOW: WOW = d1WOW + d2WOW + d3WOW;
              s.t. piece1a: mcWOW1Upper*y1WOW <= d1WOW;</pre>
              s.t. piece1b: d1WOW <= mcWOW1Upper;</pre>
             s.t. piece2a: mcWOW2Upper*y2WOW <= d2WOW;</pre>
              s.t. piece2b: d2WOW <= mcWOW2Upper*y1WOW;</pre>
              s.t. piece3: d3WOW <= mcWOW3Upper*y2WOW;</pre>
              s.t. upperBoundWOW: WOW <= mcWOW3Upper;</pre>
      s.t. meetTheDemand: WII + WRS + WE + WU + WOW >= theDemand;
163 minimize cost: mcWII*WII
                      + fixWRS*yWRS1 + mcWRS*WRS # WRS: Fixed plus variable
                      + mcWE1*WE1 + mcWE2*WE2 # WE: Continguint mc based on scenario
                      + mcWU*WU
                      + mcWOW1*d1WOW + mcWOW2*d2WOW + mcWOW3*d3WOW # WOW: Piecewise
      printf "Demand\t| WII\t| WRS\t| WE\t| WU\t| WOW\t| Total Cost";
      printf "\n%s\t %s\t %s\t %s\t %s\t %f", theDemand, WII, WRS, WE, WU, WOW, cost;
```

3.2.2 Output
Summary table of Output

Demand	WII	WRS	WE	WU	WOW	Total Cost
5000	0	0	5000	0	0	19750.000000
10000	3000	0	7000	0	0	42500.000000
25000	4000	14000	7000	0	0	99650.000000
35000	0	14000	6000	15000	0	139650.000000
45000	0	14000	6000	0	25000	177800.000000
50000	4000	14000	7000	0	25000	201550.000000
55000	0	14000	1000	15000	25000	221800.000000

#### **Snapshots of Compilation**

ampl: model 'C:\Users\daniel.carpenter\OneDrive - the Chickasaw ! CPLEX 20.1.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 19750 3 MIP simplex iterations 0 branch-and-bound nodes Demand WII WRS WE WU WOW | Total Cost 5000 19750.000000 5000 0 0 0 | Total Cost Demand | WII l WRS l WE WOW WU 10000 3000 7000 0 42500.000000 ampl. | Total Cost Demand | WII WRS WE WU WOW 25000 4000 14000 7000 0 0 99650.000000 WRS | Total Cost Demand WII WE WU WOW 139650.000000 35000 0 14000 6000 15000 0 Demand WII l WRS l WE WU WOW | Total Cost 45000 25000 177800.000000 14000 6000 0 - Lamp 1 . Demand WII WRS WE WU WOW | Total Cost 50000 4000 14000 7000 0 25000 201550.000000 Demand WII WRS WE WU WOW | Total Cost 55000 0 14000 1000 15000 25000 221800.000000