An Algebraic Introduction to Mathematical Logic Chapter 4 Predicate Calculus Section 3 Proof in $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$

David L. Meretzky

December 29, 2018

We will denote the logic called the First Order Predicate Calculus on (V, \mathcal{R}) by $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$. Now we will have to define the semantics for the logic.

Definition 1. We define the axioms of $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$ to be

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{A}_1 &= \{p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p) | p, q, r \in P(V, \mathscr{R})\}, \\ \mathscr{A}_2 &= \{p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) | p, q \in P(V, \mathscr{R})\}, \\ \mathscr{A}_3 &= \{\sim \sim p \Rightarrow p | p \in P(V, \mathscr{R})\}, \\ \mathscr{A}_4 &= \{(\forall x) (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (\forall x) q) | p, q \in P(V, \mathscr{R}), x \notin var(p)\}^{\mathrm{i}}, \\ \mathscr{A}_5 &= \{(\forall x) p(x) \Rightarrow p(y) | p(x) \in P(V, \mathscr{R}), y \in V\}. \end{split}$$

Let (U, φ, ψ, v) be an interpretation.

Recall the following 3 conditions:

- (a) If $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $x_1, ..., x_n \in V$ then $v(r(x_1, ..., x_n)) = 1$ if $(\varphi x_1, ..., \varphi x_n) \in \psi r$, and 0 otherwise.
- (b) v is an $\{F, \Rightarrow\}$ -algebra homomorphism.
- (c_k) Suppose $p = (\forall x)q(x)$ has depth k. Put $V' = V \cup \{t\}$ where $t \notin V$. If for every extension $\varphi' : V' \to U$ of φ and for every $v_{k-1} : P_{k-1}(V, \mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, such that $(\varphi', \psi, v'_{k-1})$ satisfy (a), (b) and (c_i), for all i < k, we have $v'_{k-1}(q(t)) = 1$, then v(p) = 1, otherwise v(p) = 0.

Problem 1. Show that every axiom of $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$ is a tautology.

Proof. For \mathcal{A}_1 , \mathcal{A}_2 , and \mathcal{A}_3 refer to the notes for Chapter 2 Section 3.

For \mathscr{A}_4 , note by the definition that $(\forall x)(p \Rightarrow q)$ is $(\forall x)p \Rightarrow (\forall x)q$. Since $x \notin var(p)$, then no matter how t is interpreted, $v(p) = v((\forall x)p)$. We compute,

```
v(((\forall x)p \Rightarrow (\forall x)q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (\forall x)q)) = 1 + v((\forall x)p \Rightarrow (\forall x)q)(1 + v(p \Rightarrow (\forall x)q)) = 1 + (1 + v((\forall x)p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q))))) = 1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))).
```

 $^{^{}i}$ var(p) denotes all free variables of p, that is all unquantified variables.

Case 1: If v(p) = 0 we obtain

$$1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + 0(1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + 0(1 + v((\forall x)q)))) =$$

$$1 + (1)(1 + (1)) =$$

$$1 + (1 + 1) = 1.$$

Case 2: If v(p) = 1 we obtain

$$1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + v(p)(1 + v((\forall x)q)))) = 1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))).$$

Case 2a: If $v((\forall x)q) = 1$ then

$$1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + (1 + 1))(1 + (1 + (1 + 1))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + (0))(1 + (1 + (0))) =$$

$$1 + (1)(1 + 1) =$$

$$1 + (1)(0) = 1$$

Case 2b: If $v((\forall x)q) = 0$ then

$$1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))(1 + (1 + (1 + v((\forall x)q)))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + (1 + 0))(1 + (1 + (1 + 0))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + (1))(1 + (1 + (1))) =$$

$$1 + (1 + 1)(1 + (1 + 1)) =$$

$$1 + (0)(1) = 1$$

It follows that \mathcal{A}_4 is a tautology.

To show \mathscr{A}_5 is a tautology, let $t \notin V$, if y is interpreted in such a way that $\varphi y \in U$ makes $v'_{k-1}(p(y)) = 0$, then letting $\varphi'(t) = \varphi(y)$ in U it must also follow that $v'_{k-1}(p(t)) = 0$. Then by definition $v((\forall x)p(x)) = 0$. If y must be interpreted so that $v'_{k-1}(p(y)) = 1$, we have still the possibility that $v((\forall x)p(x)) = 0$ since φ may not be surjective on U. In particular, $\varphi t \in U$ may be such that $v'_{k-1}(p(t)) = 0$. Now since v is a $\{F, \Rightarrow\}$ -algebra homomorphism, it follows that

$$v((\forall x)p(x) \Rightarrow p(y)) = 1 + v((\forall x)p(x))(1 + v(p(y))).$$

If v(p(y)) = 0 then $v((\forall x)p(x)) = 0$ and the expression values to 1. If v(p(y)) = 0, then the expression also values to 1 regardless of the value of $(\forall x)p(x)$.

The semantics of the logic $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$ is similar to semantics for the logic Prop(V), however, there is one additional rule, call the rule of Generalization, that must be implemented to handle quantification. Suppose we exhibit proof of p(x) but the specifics of x are not used in the proof, that is x is general, then we may also deduce $(\forall x)p(x)$. The following is an inductive definition.

Definition 2. Let $A \subseteq P$, $p \in P$. A proof of length n of p from A is a sequence $p_1,...,p_n$ of n elements of P such that $p_n = p$, the sequence $p_1,...,p_{n-1}$ is a proof of length n-1 of p from A and

- 1. $p_n \in \mathcal{A} \cup A$, or
- 2. $p_i = p_j \Rightarrow p_n$, for some i, j < n, or
- 3. $p_n = (\forall x)w(x)$ and some subsequence $p_{k_1},...,p_{k_r}$, of $p_1,...,p_{n-1}$ is a proof of length less than n of w(x) from a subset A_0 of A such that $x \notin var(A_0)$.

Problem 2. Construct a proof in $Pred(V, \mathcal{R})$ of $(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y)$ from $\{(\forall y)(\forall x)p(x, y)\}$.

Proof. By \mathscr{A}_5 ,

$$p_1 = (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x,y) \Rightarrow (\forall x)p(x,y)$$

By assumption,

$$p_2 = (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x,y).$$

By modus ponens,

$$p_3 = (\forall x) p(x, y).$$

Then by \mathcal{A}_5 again,

$$p_4 = (\forall x)p(x,y) \Rightarrow p(x,y).$$

By modus ponens,

$$p_5 = p(x, y).$$

Since $y \notin var(\{(\forall y)(\forall x)p(x,y)\})^{ii}$ we may use generalization

$$p_6 = (\forall y) p(x, y).$$

Since $x \notin var(\{(\forall y)(\forall x)p(x,y)\})$, we may use generalization

$$p_7 = (\forall x)(\forall y)p(x,y).$$

iivar only accounts for unbound variables