

An Algebraic Introduction to Mathematical Logic

Chapter 4 Predicate Calculus

Section 2 Interpretations

David L. Meretzky

December 20, 2018

Let $P(V, \mathcal{R})$ be the reduced first order algebra on (V, \mathcal{R}) . We would like to create semantics for $P(V, \mathcal{R})$ in such a way that the statement that a certain collection of variables in V which we interpret in the context of some set U , satisfy some relation in \mathcal{R} is true if and only if the relation is satisfied in that context.

In particular, if we are interested in some collection of mathematical objects U we can think of an element $x \in V$ as being the name of the object $u \in U$ by defining a function $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$ such that $\varphi(x) = u$. Similarly, if there exists a relation on the set U and we want to discuss whether or not variables like x satisfy the relation in \mathcal{R}_U , we define a function $\psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_U$. By letting $\psi(r) = r_U$ we represent a relation r_U on U by a relation r on V .

Let $P_k(V, \mathcal{R})$ be the set of all elements p of $P(V, \mathcal{R})$ with $d(p) \leq k$.

Define the valuation $v : P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ by the following properties:

- (a) $v(r(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 1$ if $\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n) \in \psi(r)$ and 0 otherwise.
- (b) v is still a $\{\Rightarrow, \mathbf{F}\}$ -algebra homomorphism.
- (c_k) Suppose $p = (\forall x)q(x)$ has depth k . Put $V' = V \cup \{t\}$ where $t \notin V$. If for every extension $\varphi' : V' \rightarrow U$ of φ and for every $v_{k-1} : P_{k-1}(V, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, such that $(\varphi', \psi, v'_{k-1})$ satisfy (a), (b) and (c_i), for all $i < k$, we have $v'_{k-1}(q(t)) = 1$, then $v(p) = 1$, otherwise $v(p) = 0$.

The idea is that $\forall x q(x)$ should be true if and only if for every possible interpretation t $q(t)$ is still true at depth $k - 1$, where t is a new variable and thus interpreting t differently in U changes only t .

Problem 1. Given (U, φ, ψ) there is exactly one function $v : P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfying (a), (b) and (c_k) for all k .

Proof. Let w and v both be functions which satisfy (a), (b) and (c_k) for all k . Let $p \in P$ at depth 0. By (a), $w(p) = v(p)$. Suppose $v = w$ up to depth $k - 1$. Let $p = (\forall x)q(x) \in P$ at depth k .

We have that $v(p) = 1$ only if for all possible interpretations of a new variable t , $v'_{k-1}(q(t)) = 1$ suppose there is an interpretation of t , $\varphi'(t)$ such that

$v'_{k-1}(q(t)) = 0$, then $w'_{k-1}(q(t)) = 0$ by the induction hypothesis $v'_{k-1} = w'_{k-1}$ since they have the same triple (U, φ', ψ) , therefore, $v = w$. \square

Definition 1. An *interpretation* of $P = P(V, \mathcal{R})$ in the domain U is a quadruple (U, φ, ψ, v) satisfy the conditions (a), (b) and (c_k) for all k .

Problem 2. Let $w(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be any tautology of $Prop(\{u_1, \dots, u_n\})$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P(V, \mathcal{R})$. Prove that $\models w(p_1, \dots, p_n)$

Proof. Note that v is required to be a $\{\Rightarrow, \mathbf{F}\}$ -algebra homomorphism. Thus $v(w(p_1, \dots, p_n)) = w(v(p_1), \dots, v(p_n))$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 . However, regardless of the truth value of $v(p_1), \dots, v(p_n)$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 , $w(v(p_1), \dots, v(p_n)) = 1$. \square

Problem 3. Let $A \subseteq P(V, \mathcal{R})$ and $p(x) \in A$ for all $x \in V$. Does it follow that $A \models (\forall x)p(x)$? No, it does not follow.

Proof. Let $u \in U$ such that $u \notin \text{range}(\varphi) \subseteq U$.ⁱ Let (U, φ, ψ, v) be an interpretation such that $v(q) = 1$ for all $q \in A$. Let φ' be the extension of φ such that $\varphi'(t) = u$. Then we have no knowledge about the value of $v(p(t))$. For contrast, if we take $v(p(x))$, we know that $p(x) \in A$ thus $v(p(x)) = 1$. \square

In particular, the statement “For any x in A , $v(p(x)) = 1$ ” describes quantification over the range of ϕ , a subset of U , while the expression “ $v((\forall x)p(x)) = 1$ ” describes quantification over the entirety of U . The trick of requiring $t \notin V$ is exactly adding a basepoint of V to encode that ϕ is potentially a partial function.ⁱⁱ

ⁱThe text explicitly states “Of course, not every element $u \in U$ need have a name, while some elements u may well have more than one name.”

ⁱⁱThe category of sets and partial functions is equivalent to the category of sets with specified base point and total functions.