CS 170

Collaborators: None

2 Werewolves

(a) The algorithm is to pick everyone else to partner the given person, and ask them whether or not this person is a villager. Since there are always more villagers than there are werewolves, there are more truths than lies. So, if more people says this person is a villager, he or she is a villager. Otherwise, he or she is a werewolf.

However, there is one special case that the number of people who say this person is a villager equal to the number of people who say this person is a werewolf. This case can only occur when there is just one more villagers than werewolves, and the person whom we want to identify is a villager. In this case, we know that he or she is a villager.

(b) The algorithm are described as follows:

Algorithm 1. Find a villager

function search(S)

Input: a set S of all other players. There are more villagers than werewolves or more villagers than werewolves or equal numbers of villagers and werewolves.

Output: an element $p \in \mathbf{S}$ who is a villager.

If $|S| \leq 2$: return any of element in S.

Divide set ${f S}$ into 2 subsets randomly: ${f S}={f S}_1\bigcup {f S}_2$, s.t. ${f S}_1\bigcap {f S}_2=arnothing$ and

 $||\mathbf{S}_1| - |\mathbf{S}_2|| \leqslant 1.$

 $p_1 = \mathtt{search}(\mathbf{S_1})$

 $p_2 = \operatorname{search}(\mathbf{S_2})$

Use algorithm from (1) to identify whether p_1 and p_2 are villagers or not. At least one of them is a villager.

Return the villager.

We use induction to prove that if there are more villagers than there are werewolves in S, Algorithm 1 can give us a correct answer.

Base case: if $|S| \leq 2$, since there are always more villagers than there are werewolves, all people are villagers.

Inductive hypothesis: for |S| < k the algorithm can find a villager correctly $(k \ge 3)$.

Inductive step: we want to prove that when $|\mathbf{S}| = k$, the algorithm can give a right answer when the number of villagers is larger than the number of the werewolves. Note that at least one subset of \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 meets the condition that: the number of villagers is larger than the number of the werewolves. So at least one of the two people p_1 and p_2 is a villager. And we can identify this person using the algorithm described in (1).

The runtime of Algorithm 1 is

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \mathcal{O}(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n).$$

Algorithm 2. Find a villager in linear time

 $\underline{\text{function search}}(S)$

Input: a set ${\bf S}$ of all other players. There are always more villagers than there are werewolves.

Output: an element $p \in \mathbf{S}$ who is a villager.

If $|S| \leq 2$: return any of element in S.

If |S| is odd:

Pick one person in ${\bf S}$, identify he or her by the algorithm described in (1).

If he or she is a villager: return this person;

else: ignore this person in later steps.

Divide all other people into two-people pairs, and query them. For one pair, if the two person identify each other as villager, we call it a good pair. Otherwise, we call it a bad pair.

Choose one person from each good pair to form a new group $\mathbf{S}'.$ Return search(\mathbf{S}').

(c) A villager can be found in linear time by Algorithm 2.

We use induction to prove that if there are more villagers than there are werewolves in S, Algorithm 2 can give us a correct answer.

Base case: if $|\mathbf{S}| \leq 2$, since there are always more villagers than there are werewolves, all people are villagers.

Inductive hypothesis: for |S| < k the algorithm can find a villager in linear time $(k \ge 3)$.

Inductive step: we want to prove that when $|\mathbf{S}| = k$, the algorithm can give a right answer. Note that the two people in a good pair must be either villagers or werewolves. We can divide all good pairs into villager pairs and werewolf pairs. Since a bad pair consists of a villager and a werewolf, and There are always more villagers than there are werewolves among all people in \mathbf{S} , there are more villager pairs than werewolf pairs. So, There are always more villagers than werewolves in \mathbf{S}' . Because $|\mathbf{S}'| < |\mathbf{S}|$, according to inductive hypothesis, we can find a village in $\mathbf{S}' \subset \mathbf{S}$.

Runtime analysis: Let $|\mathbf{S}| = n$. Identifying the possible extra person and querying all pairs take time $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Since $|\mathbf{S}'| < n/2$,

$$T(n) < T(n/2) + \mathcal{O}(n). \tag{1}$$

As a result, $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$.

3 The Resistance

Divide all players into 2k groups evenly, and choose each group to go on a mission. At least k missions succeed. For groups who fail the mission, divide them again into 2k groups, and repeat until there are less than 2k players. Then, choose each player to go on a mission individually. After that, we can identify all spies.

Runtime analysis: there are $\log_2(\frac{n}{2k})$ iterations before the number of players reduces to 2k. In one iteration, we take 2k missions. So, we can identify all spies in about

$$2k \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{n}{2k}\right) = \mathcal{O}(k\log\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)) \tag{2}$$

missions.

4 Modular Fourier Transform

(a)
$$1^{4} = 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$
$$2^{4} = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$
$$3^{4} = 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$
$$4^{4} = 256 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

For $\omega = 2$:

$$1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

(b) $f(x) = 0 + 2x + 3x^2 + 0x^3 = (0 + 3x^2) + x(2 + 0x^2) = f_e(x^2) + x \cdot f_o(x^2)$, where $f_e(x) = 0 + 3x$, $f_o(x) = 2 + 0x$. We evaluate f_e and f_o at 1 and 4: $f_e(1) = 3$, $f_e(4) = 12 \equiv 2$, $f_o(1) = 2$, $f_o(4) = 2$. So,

$$f(1) = f_e(1) + f_o(1) = 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$f(2) = f_e(4) + 2f_o(4) = 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$f(4) = f_e(1) - f_o(1) = 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$f(3) = f_e(4) - 2f_o(4) = -2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

(c)

5 Pattern Matching

- (a) A simple algorithm is to compare all length-n-substrings of s. There are (m-n+1) substrings, and comparing one substring takes $\mathcal{O}(m)$ time. The total time is $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.
- (b) Let $a_0a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ and $b_0b_1 \cdots b_{m-1}$ denote the pattern g and the sequence s respectively. Construct two polynomials:

$$P(x) = (-1)^{a_{n-1}} + (-1)^{a_{n-2}}x + \dots + (-1)^{a_1}x^{n-2} + (-1)^{a_0}x^{n-1},$$

$$Q(x) = (-1)^{b_0} + (-1)^{b_1}x + \dots + (-1)^{b_{m-2}}x^{m-2} + (-1)^{b_{m-1}}x^{m-1}.$$
(3)

Algorithm 3 can solve the problem.

Algorithm 3. Pattern Matching by FFT

Input: pattern g, sequence s and an integer k

Output: the (starting) locations of all length-n substrings of s which match g in at least (n-k) positions.

Construct P(x) and Q(x) as eq.(3). Use FFT to mutiply P(x) and Q(x) and get

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-2} t_i x^i.$$

Return all $j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m-n\}$ s.t. $t_{j+n-1} \geqslant n-2k$.

The main body of this algorithm is FFT, so it takes $\mathcal{O}(m \log m)$ time.

Now we show the correctness of Algorithm 3. Consider

$$t_{n-1}, t_n, \cdots, t_{m-1}.$$

Note that for $n-1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$t_{i} = p_{0}q_{i} + p_{1}q_{i-1} + \dots + p_{n-1}q_{i-n+1}$$

$$= (-1)^{a_{n-1}+b_{i}} + (-1)^{a_{n-2}+b_{i-1}} + \dots + (-1)^{a_{0}+b_{i-n-1}}.$$
(4)

If g matches perfectly with the substring starting at i - n - 1, $t_i = n$. t_i is reduced by 2 each time one of the position in the substring fails to match. So, that the substring matches g in at least (n - k) positions means $t_i \ge n - 2k$.

As a result, all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-n\}$ s.t. $t_{j+n-1} \ge n-2k$ are just the locations of all length-n substrings of s which match g in at least (n-k) positions.

(c) We can regard A as 0, and C, T, G as 1. For the j-th substring of s, we compute the number of positions where g and the substring match $r_j^{(A)}$. Similarly, we can define $r_j^{(C)}$, $r_j^{(T)}$ and $r_j^{(G)}$. We claim that the number of positions where the gene pattern and the subsequence of DNA match is

$$r_j = \frac{r_j^{(A)} + r_j^{(C)} + r_j^{(T)} + r_j^{(G)}}{2} - n.$$
 (5)

We only consider the case that g has only one character, for these rs for multi-character strings are the summation over these for a single character. If the two characters are different, say X and Y, then $r_j^{(X)} = r_j^{(Y)} = 0$, and the other two $r_j^{(?)} = 1$. In this case, $r_j = (1+1+0+0)/2-1=0$. If the two characters are the same, then $r_j^{(A)} = r_j^{(C)} = r_j^{(T)} = r_j^{(G)} = 1$, so $r_j = (1+1+1+1)/2-1=1$.

This algorithm consists of 4 FFTs, so the runtime is also $\mathcal{O}(m \log m)$.

CS170-Fall 2022 Homework 2 Deming Chen cdm@pku.edu.cn Last modify: September 22, 2022 7 5.

YOUR ANSWER GOES HERE

CS170-Fall 2022 Homework 2 Deming Chen cdm@pku.edu.cn Last modify: September 22, 2022 8 6.

YOUR ANSWER GOES HERE