


DANIEL RAFAEL MIRANDA-ESQUIVEL & SUSY ECHEVERRÍA

MARTITRACKS MANUAL

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORS



Contents

Forewords 11

Introduction 13

PanTrack, the program 21

Worked examples 23

PanTrack commands 29

List of Figures

List of Tables

Dedicated to those who appreciate good Biogeography and love dogs.

Forewords

This manual in intended to be a clear and comprensive introduction to Panbiogeography and geometric track analyses, using the program PanTrack. The book is divided into four main chapters and some appendices. First chapter is a general introduction to Panbiogeography and related terms, second chapter presents PanTrack's algorithm while third chapter is devoted to commands used. The fourth and final chapter presents some theorethical and empirical data and its analyses to explore PanTrack options.

The program was developed using FreePascal, as Pascal is an intuitive and easy to handdle language for programming, the debate is open to consider whether C/C++ or Phython/Perl/Java are more suitable languages for this kind of problem. As a free software project, feel free to criticize the code or the algorithm, and if you improve it, we will be more than delighted and thankful.

This manual has been developed using Tufte-LATEX, maybe (in our humble opinion), the best environment to write a book or a handout.

We are grateful to many people,

We are open to new ideas or suggestions to improve our analyses, the program, and this manual itself. ohttp://ciencias.uis.edu.co/ labsist/pantrack

 $^{\rm o}\,{\rm http://www.freepascal.org}$

ohttp://code.google.com/p/
tufte-latex

Introduction

Panbiogeography

Panbiogeography is a unique and very useful approach to capture any distributional pattern/structure in studies that use geographical data. Mapping biogeographic tracks is a cost efficient way to reduce the initial complexity that we find in the data sets [?], as Croizat's tracks analysis [??] provides a conceptual framework for understanding the biogeographic structure and the relationships of areas.

Panbiogeography was developed by Croizat in his books, "Manual of phytogeography"[?], "Panbiogeography"[?] and "Space, Time, Form: The Biological Synthesis"[?]. Panbiogeography was inspired by Croizat's idea of connecting two essential elements in evolution: Space and Time: Earth and Life evolve together, which means that biotas evolve together with the geographic barriers [??].

Croizat's panbiogeography constituted a unique critique to Darwin's biogeography ideas about natural selection, means of dispersal and geographic distribution [?]. This approach challenged the idea that means of dispersal are the principal factors responsible for the evolution of the distribution [??].

Panbiogeography assumes that taxa distribution evolves through two stages: mobilism and immobilism. The interaction of these two states is called vicariant form-making model. Within the mobilism stage, an ancestor taxon expands to establish on new territory through its means of dispersal ("means of survival") when the geographic and climatic factors are favorable. Later, when the range geographic is established (immobilism) the appearance of barriers allows the isolation and differentiation of taxa (vicariant form-making) [? ? ? ?].

Panbiogeography has been considered an independent research program because is the only approach that focuses on the spatial or geographical sector as a fundamental pre-condition to any analysis of the patterns and processes of evolutionary change [???].

The assumptions that make the Panbiogeography different from other historical biogeography approaches are [?,:19]: "1. Distribution patterns constitute an empirical databases for biogeographical analysis.

- Distribution patterns provide information about where, when, and how animals ans plants evolve;
- The spatial and temporal component of these distribution patterns can be graphically represented;
- 4. Testable hypothesis about historical relationship between the evolution of distributions and Earth history can be derived from geographic correlations between distribution graphs as geological/geomorphic features."

Track analysis

Panbiogeography as a biogeographic method is called track analysis. There are four principal concepts within this method: track, node, main massing, and baseline [????].

Individual tracks are the basic units of panbiogeography. These are lines drawn on a map that connect different localities or distribution points of a particular taxon or group of taxa, so that the sum of the segment lengths that connect all the distribution points is the smallest possible. In the graph theory words, the individual track is a minimum spanning tree [? ? ?]

Generalized tracks or standard tracks are called repetitive patterns because summarized distributions of diverse individual taxa [?]. These are lines on a map resulting from the superimposition of the individual tracks. Generalized tracks are interpreted as distributional patterns of ancestral biota that had been fragmented by tectonic and climatic events [?]. These panbiogeographic element is considered conjectures on a common biogeography history or primary biogeography homology sensu?], which means that analyzed taxa are spatiotemporally integrated in a biota [???].

Nodes are areas or localities where two or more generalized tracks are overlapped. These are complex areas or tectonic and biotic convergence zones [???]. The nodes are considered priority areas for conservation or sites of biological endemism because represented localities of high diversity, distribution boundaries, disjunction, incongruence and recombination, specimens that are difficult to identify and unusual hybrids [???].

The Panbiogeographic method involves basically main three steps [?]: Firstly, construction of two or more taxon individual tracks (minimum spanning tree from distributional localities). Secondly, delimitation of generalized tracks through geographic congruence between individual tracks. Finally, determination of nodes within the intersection areas between generalized tracks.

There are different approaches within panbiogeographic method. Croizat's manual reconstruction [??], Page's Spanning graphs [?], Craw's Track compatibility [?] and PAE ("Parsimony Analysis of Endemicity") [????]

Croizat's manual reconstruction

Croizat's manual reconstruction is done by drawing on a map taxon's individual tracks and then overlapping them to determinate generalized tracks. Basically the method consists of the following steps [?

]:

- Connect the disjunct areas of distribution by lines forming individual tracks,
 - 2. The individual tracks are oriented using baselines,
 - 3. Overlap individual tracks to determinate generalized tracks,
- 4. Recognize the nodes where two or more generalized tracks are intersected,
 - 5. Indicate on a map the generalized tracks, baselines and nodes.

Page's Spanning graphs

Page's Spanning graphs was the first attempt to quantify the panbiogeographic analysis using graph theory. Its main methodological steps are [?]:

- 1. connect the disjunct areas of distribution through a MST (Minimum Spanning Trees) forming an individual track for each species,
- 2. Construct incidence and connectivity matrices for individual tracks to recognized shared elements and track congruence,
- Construct a connectivity matrix for all tracks together to search circuits that indicate incongruence tracks,
 - 4. Indicate on a map the generalized tracks, baselines and nodes.

Craw's tracks compatibility analysis

Craw's tracks compatibility analysis [?] involves finding the largest clique of compatible tracks through a locality/distribution per track matrix [?]. In general, its algorithm involves the following steps [???]:

- Construct individual tracks for different taxa from distribution localities using a MST,
- 2. Generate an areas matrix for individual tracks where the presence of the areas is represented by "1" and absence by "0".
 - 3. Find the largest clique for compatible individual tracks which is

considered the generalized track.

- 4. Evaluate statistically the generalized track,
- 5. Indicate on a map the generalized tracks, baselines, and nodes.

Parsimony Analysis of Endemicity

PAE ("Parsimony Analysis of Endemicity") has been considered a panbiogeographic method [???]. Its algorithm includes the following steps [?]:

- 1. Construct individual tracks for different taxa from distribution localities using a MST,
- 2. Generate an areas matrix for individual tracks where the presence of a species is represented by "1" and the absence by "0". Within this step, an extra area is added with "o" (all species absence) for polarized areas cladogram,
- 3. Analize the matrix using a parsimony algorithm. Each clade formed by at least two individual tracks is considered a generalized track.
- 4. "Disconnect" or delete the species that support the different clades and reanalyze the matrix to search clades that are supported by other taxa,
- 5. Indicate on a map the generalized tracks, baselines and nodes. Since ? ?] presented his panbiogeographic method, the above mentioned quantitative techniques had been proposed, Page's graph theory method [?], Henderson's analysis [?], which is similar to Page's analysis, and Craw's compatibility track analysis [?]. These techniques have emerged taking into account Croizat's framework for analysis: individual tracks congruence to define generalized tracks [? ? ?]. ?] suggested a quantitative approach using graph theory by incidence and connectivity matrices, but this method has not been applied to real data, perhaps because of its difficult calculation and computational complexity. ?] proposed the compatibility track anal-

ysis based on distributional compatibility which is analogous with Meacham's character compatibility approach [?] to phylogenetic systematics [?]. Within the latter method, two or more individual tracks are considered compatible if either one is included within or replicated by the other. ?] claimed that the compatibility in Panbiogeography is used in a restricted way depending on the method. "Non-overlapping tracks" are incompatible according to compatibility track analysis and should be compatible in Croizat's track analysis by geographic proximity.

Within the quantitative techniques proposed in the history of the panbiogeography [? ? ?], only Craw's compatibility tracks analysis has been implemented and now part of the algorithm, the minimal spanning tree, has been automated in the software package "Croizat" [?].

Grid analysis such as PAE (Parsimony Analysis of Endemicity) has been considered a panbiogeographic approach [????], but some authors do not consider it a historical biogeography method [? ?]. Although this method has been widely used [? ? ? ? ? ?], its implementation to the panbiogeographic analysis was secondary. Its direct objective is the analysis of endemism and its relation to the track analysis sensu Croizat could become ambiguous.

Despite of these methods, Croizat's manual reconstruction has been the most used in panbiogeographic studies because its simplicity and the alleged direct connection to Croizat's panbiogeographic concept. Nevertheless, the debate about the reliability of the manual reconstruction is still valid. The method turns to be ambiguous and generates subjective results with large data set due to overcrowded points [??].

Suggested literature

• ?] is a good summary of theories and methods, while the empiri-

cal approach could be flawed.

• ?] is an historical account of biogeography, and is ilustrative of the so called forces that shaped present biogeographic thought.

PanTrack, the program

Definitions

PanGeotrack algorithm has been presented in our paper (CITA), therefore we suggest you to read the paper first.

The program tracks MST or segments, therefore you can conduct your analysis in either of those two ways.

onsp = number of species

The program and its calculations

If you use MST, the species' MST will be compared to all species MST, comparing each segment but outputing the answer by species, so you can expect at most nsp posible tracks, where nsp is the number of initial species.

If you use segments each segment will be compared to all species MST, comparing each segment but outputing the answer by segments, so you can expect at most nsegs, where nseg is

sum MST(i) j=1 -> nsp i=number of segments MST(j)

As all segments or MST are not congruent, the real number of answers lies in a number smaller than those.

PT files. general information

PT input files

PT uses three types of files,

- 1. -the input file with the distributional data. Only the input file is mandatory
- -the values file with the values for áźŢarameters and the commands to be executed in bash

PT output file

and creates the kml output file

PT input file

mto5accepts as an input, a text file conformed of three columns, labels lat long

separated by tabs or spaces but not commas (,), most spreadsheet and SIG programs can output shuch text files, or you can use awk/bash to reshape them.

As an example we include

- -a bash+awk command file that converts a comma delimitated file in a PT inputfile (csv2pt.sh)
- -a bash+awk command file that converts a GlobalMapper output in a PT inputfile (gm2pt.sh)

PT output file

PT writes kml files, with or without the initial tracks. With all the analyses made before closing, in the form of tracks or points. The output is easily readable using google-earth or GIS programs as QGIS. As some programs may or mat not read the output, we only keep compatibility with google-earth/QGIS. If your beloved GIS program does not read PT output, please consider read it with google-earth.

[°] a valid data set = testo.dat

sp1	1	9
sp1	3	11
sp1	6	12
sp2	1	9
sp2	1	10
sp2	3	10
sp2	4	11
sp2	5	12
sp2	6	12
sp3	4	13
sp3	5	11
sp3	8	8
sp4	4	12
sp4	6	11
sp4	7	8
sp5	8	8
sp5	7	6
sp5	8	2
sp6	8	8
sp6	7	5
sp6	8	3

o note: if your label field includes inner tabs/spaces, these will be considered as field separator therefore are NOT allowed, you can replace such character by an underscore or delete them all (PT converts the dash to underscore)
A common mistake is different labels for the same species, although PT is not case sensitive, it is (quite) sensitive to

mispellings therefore Agnus agnus are the same, while Agnus, ajnus or Egnus

are not.

Worked examples

Download the program and a data set

You must download a binary for your plataform of choice, to use mto5

```
Linux version for 64 bits at http://tux.uis.edu.co/labsist/
pantrack/mt64.gz

Linux version for 32 bits at http://tux.uis.edu.co/labsist/
pantrack/mt32.gz

Windows version for 32, and 64 bits at http://tux.uis.edu.co/
labsist/pantrack/mt-win.zip

and the data sets at http://tux.uis.edu.co/labsist/pantrack/
data-example.zip
```

 $^{\circ}$ For linux version you might need to convert the file mto5-xx to an execute file by typing in a command-line window:

\$ chmod +x mt05

Command modes

PGTracks has two uses-interfaces: 1. A text user interface, and 2. a command-line interface.

in the text user interface (TUI), the user can choice among different options including: changes of parameters for analysis, track a pair, groups or the whole data, find the index of congruence (IC) between pairs of tracks, or among sevaral tracks. print kml file, etc. The command line interface was created for search strategies previously defined. The input file, output file and parameters files must be defined.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
Text_user_interface#TUI_under_
Unix-like_systems

Text user interface

^o TUI typography: commands will be [**C**]ut, to indicate that the instruction is cut and the letter to be pressed is c]

You can use the text interface by simply typing at the promt:

\$	mt05
for	Linux, and
\$	mt05-winXX.exe


for Windows in a command-line window

or by clicking on the PGTrack icon.

1. Enter the input name: As soon as you open PGTrack, it asks for the input file name:



2. Set up the analysis: Once you specified the input name, a list of commands help the users to set up the analysis.



First of all, we must define the values of the congruence parameters for the analysis.

There are five parameters: [c]ut value,

three [r]ules of decision R1, R2, R3, and a value for the [m]inimal congruence.

For testo.dat we will use the following values:

[c]ut 0.25 [**r**]ules 0.75 0.5 1 [**m**]inimal congruence

3. Search options: Once the parameters have been defined, we will search the general patterns of distribution.

First, s we might have similar initial MSTs, we need to find the similarity among the individual tracks to join a $gro[\mathbf{u}]p$ of tracks according to the minimum value of [m]inimal congruence fixed

In the case of testo.dat, we group from the track number 1 to the track number 6. Thus, we find if there are similar individual tracks that can be consider like the same track.

Later, we need to redifined the values of the paramaters, in the case of testo.dat we will set:

o please remember:

to set each of these parameters you have to type the letters inside the square brackets [] and write the corresponding value foollowed by and enter

[**c**]ut 0.5 [**r**]ules 1.5 1 2 [**m**]inimal congruence 0.8

Then, Typing [a]ll, we will find the congruent segments for each individual tracks in order to delimitate the generalized tracks or distributional patterns of species.

Finally, we need to find the similarity among the generalized tracks, typing again $[\mathbf{u}]$, but joint from the track number 7 to the track 9, because first six track belong to the individual tracks.

4. write a kml file

Now, we need to write our results (the generalized tracks) in a kml file. For do that, first we must type $[\mathbf{k}]$ to create a kml file, and [w] if we want to write the whole information of the analysis including: individual tracks, and generalized tracks, or we can type $[\mathbf{e}]$ to write only the generalized tracks into the kml file.

Command line interface

For the command-line user interface we need to specified: the input file, output file, and parameters file.

For linux 64 bits:

\$./mt05-64 <input file> <output file> <parameters file>
output file> <parameters file>
output file> <parameters file>
output file> output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file>
output file> output file> output file>
output file> output file> output file>

parameters file: This interface was designed for parameters/searching strategies defined previously. These search strategies have to be set in the parameters file. There are two main strategies, *croizato*, and

Output file: it must have an extension .kml.

croizat1.

The framework of *croizato* is:

- 1. Find similar individual tracks by [u] from the first, to the last individual tracks
- 2. Calculate the congruent segments among individual tracks (delimitate generalized tracks) by [a]
- 3. Find similar generalized tracks by $[\mathbf{u}]$ from the first, to the last generalized tracks

The framework of *croizato* is:

- 1. Calculate the congruent segments among individual tracks (delimitate generalized tracks) by [a]
- 2. Find similar generalized tracks by $[\mathbf{u}]$ from the first, to the last generalized tracks

Parameters five values of the parameters could be defined:

set cut <real value o-360> [c]ut

r1 set lmax <real value o-360> [**r**]ules

r2 set lmin <real value o-360>

r₃ set maxline <real value o-360>

set ci <real value o-1> [m]inimal congruence

These values are used to calculate the similarity among individual tracks in all analyses joint or track

Thus, the commands for the analysis of testo.dat, using par1search1.txt are:

For linux 64 bits:

./mt05-64 test0.dat test0.kml par1-search1.txt

For linux 32 bits:

\$./mt05-32 test0.dat test0.kml par1-search1.txt

For Windows 64 bits:

° CL typography: commands will be croizato, to indicate that the instruction is named Croizato and you must type *Croizato* in your parameter file, the command could be written using lower or upper case.

° cut value is a real <0 - 360> value expresed in degrees 0.0 = no cut - 360 = all points will be collapsed to one minimal congruence is a real < o-1> value. 0.0 = no congruence - 1.0 = totally congruent. r1 to r3 is a real <0 - 360> value expresed in degrees please refer to our paper (CITA) for further information

\$ mt05-64.exe test0.dat test0.kml par1-search1.txt

For Windows 64 bits:

\$ mt05-32.exe test0.dat test0.kml par1-search1.txt

Final results of testo.dat

Figure 1: Individual tracks from testo.dat





Figure 2: Generalized tracks from testo.dat



PanTrack commands

Text interface mode

```
general
```

```
l == list List all trackscomparisons *tracks*a == all Track all the species using MSTs to compareg == group Track a group of contiguous species
```

p == pair Track a pair of species (contiguous or not)

In all the three cases a new MST=track will be created if the species compared are congruent, while in a [p]air comparison only a single track could be created. In an [a]ll/[g]roup comparison, there could be more than one track.

```
comparisons *segments* s == \text{ all Track all the species using segments to compare} \\ t == \text{pair Track a pair of species (contiguous or not)} \\ join
```

u == join group Join a group of contiguous tracks if a given pair has a minimal congruence equal or higher than the minimal congruence inputed in the value file or the default value (0.85). If you want to join a group regardless of the minimal congruence value, reduce the min. cong. value to 0.0. After this late analysis, replace the original min. cong. value

 $j == join\ pair\ Join\ two,$ contiguous or not, tracks independent of the minimal congruence value

congruence calculation

o == congr. group Calculate the congruence by pairs of a contiguous group, as ther output is a list, is easier to reade a small group than a large group

n == congr. pair Calculate the congruence of a single pair modify decision rules

c == cut Change the minimal cut value r == rules Modify R1, R2, and R3 m == min. cong. Change the minimal congruence value (see join)

Bibliography

- [] Contreras-Medina, R., Luna Vega, I., & Morrone, J. (2007) Application of parsimony analysis of endemicity to Mexican gymnosperm distributions: grid-cells, biogeographical provinces and track analysis. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 92(3), 405–417.
- [] Craw, R. C. (1989a) New Zealand biogeography: a panbiogeographic approacha. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*, 16(4), 527–547.
- [] Craw, R. (1988a) Continuing the synthesis between panbiogeography, phylogenetic systematics and geology as illustrated by empirical studies on the biogeography of New Zealand and the Chatham Islandsa. *Systematic Zoology*, 37(3), 291–310 Date of Input: 1/28/2005 Date Modified: 2/2/2005 Priority: normal.
- [] Craw, R. C. (1987) Panbiogeography and Structuralist Biology. *Rivista di Biologia Biology Forum*, 80, 226–229.
- [] Craw, R. C. (1988b) Continuing the Synthesis Between Panbiogeography, Phylogenetic Systematics and Geology as Illustrated by Empirical Studies on the Biogeography of New Zealand and the Chatham Islandsb. *Systematic Zoology*, 37(3), 291–310.
- [] Craw, R. C. (1989b) Quantitative panbiogeography: Introduction to methodsb. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*, 16(4), 485–494 Date of Input: 1/28/2005 Date Modified: 2/2/2005 Priority: normal.
- [] Craw, R. C., Grehan, J. R., & Heads, M. J. (1999) *Panbiogeography: Tracking the History of Life.* Oxford University Press.

- [] Crisci, J. V. & Morrone, J. J. (1992) Panbiogeografía y biogeografía cladística: paradigmas actuales de la biogeografía histórica. *Ciencias*, 6, 87–97 Date of Input: 1/28/2005 Date Modified: 2/2/2005 Priority: normal.
- [] Croizat, L. (1952) Manual of Phytogeography. The Hague: Junk.
- [] Croizat, L. (1958) *Panbiogeography*. Caracas: Published by the author.
- [] Croizat, L. (1964) *Space, Time, Form: The Biological Synthesis*. Caracas: Published by the author.
- [] Espinos-Organista, D., Morrone, J. J., Llorente-Bousquets, J., & Villela-Flores, O. (2002) Patrones de homologiá espacial, chapter 4, (pp. 53–64). Las Prensas de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico.
- [] Espinosa-Perez, M., E., H. M., & J., M. J. (2009) Identification of generalized tracks for the species of Isopoda (Peracarida) from the Eastern Pacific. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, 29, 224–231.
- [] Franco-Rosselli, P. (2001) Estudios panbiogeograficos en Colombia, (pp. 221–224). Las Prensas de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico: Mexico.
- [] Garcia-Barros, E., Gurrea, P., Lucianez, M. J., Cano, J. M., Munguira, M. L., Moreno, J. C., Sainz, H., Sanz, M. J., & Simon, J. C. (2002) Parsimony analysis of endemicity and its application to animal and plant geographical distributions in the Ibero-Balearic region (western Mediterranean). *Journal of Biogeography*, 29(1), 109–124.
- [] Grehan, J. R. (1993) Conservation biogeography and the biodiversity crisis: a global problem in space/time. *Biodiversity Letters*, 1, 134–140.

- [] Grehan, J. R. (1994) The Beginning and End of Dispersal: The Representation of Panbiogeography. Journal of Biogeography, 21(5), 451-462.
- [] Grehan, J. R. (2001a) Introducción a la Biogeografía en Latinoamerica: Teorias, Conceptos, Métodos y Aplicaciones, chapter PanbiogeografÃ∎a y la geografÃ∎a de la vida, (pp. 181-195). Las Prensas de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico: Mexico.
- [] Grehan, J. R. (2001b) Islas Galapagos: biogeografia, tectonica y evolucion en un archipelago oceanico, (pp. 153-160). Las Prensas de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico: Mexico.
- [] Henderson, I. (1989) Quantitative panbiogeography: an investigation into concepts and methods. New Zealand Journal of Zoology, 16(4), 495-510 Date of Input: 1/28/2005 Date Modified: 2/2/2005 Priority: normal.
- [] Huidobro, L., Morrone, J. J., Villalobos, J. L., & Alvarez, F. (2006) Distributional patterns of freshwater taxa (fishes, crustaceans and plants) from the Mexican Transition Zone. Journal of Biogeography, 33(4), 731–741.
- [] Humphries, C. J. (2000) Form, Space and Time; Which Comes First?. *Journal of Biogeography*, 27(1), 11–15.
- [] Liria, J. (2008) Geographic information systems and spatial analysis: a combined method for panbiogeographic studies. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad, 79(1), 281-284.
- [] Luna-Vega, I., Ayala, O. A., Morrone, J. J., & Espinosa-Organista, D. (2000) Track analysis and conservation priorities in the cloud forests of Hidalgo, Mexico. Diversity and Distributions, 6(3), 137-143 Date of Input: 1/28/2005 Date Modified: 2/2/2005 Priority: normal Web: http://www.blackwellsynergy.com/links/doi/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2000.00079.x/abs

- [] Meacham, C. A. (1984) The role of hypothesized direction of characters. *Taxon*, 33, 26–38.
- [] Michaux, B. (1989) Generalized Tracks and Geology. *Systematic Zoology*, 38(4), 390–398.
- [] Mihoc, M. A. K., Morrone, J. J., Negritto, M. A., & Cavieres, L. A. (2006) Evolution of the series Microphyllae (Adesmia, Fabaceae) in the Andean Cordillera: a biogeographic approach. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, 79(3), 389–404.
- [] Morrone, J. J. (2000) A new regional biogeography of the Amazonian subregion, mainly based on animal taxa. *Anales del Instituto de Biologia Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Serie Zoologia*, 71(2), 99–123.
- [] Morrone, J. J. (2001) Homology, biogeography and areas of endemism. *Diversity and Distributions*, 7, 297–300.
- [] Morrone, J. J. & Crisci, J. V. (1995) Historical biogeography: introduction to methods. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 26, 373–401.
- [] Morrone, J. J. & Marquez, J. (2001) Halffter's Mexican Transition Zone, beetle generalized tracks, and geographical homology. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28(5), 635–650.
- [] Morrone, J. J. (2004) Homología Biogeográfica: Las Coordenadas Espaciales de la Vida, volume 37 of Cuadernos del Instituto de Biología.
 Mexico: Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico.

- [] Page, R. D. M. (1987) Graphs and generalized tracks: quantifying Croizat's panbiogeography. Systematic Zoology, 36(1), 1–17.
- [] Rosen, B. R. (1984) Fosils and climate, chapter Reef coral biogeography and climate through the late Cainozoic: just islands in the sum or a critical pattern of islands?, (pp. 201-262). Wiley, Chichester, UK.

Index

cli:ommand line interface, 26	license, 2	Track analysis, 14
command modes, 23		Track analysis:generalized tracks, 14
commands, 29	PAE, 15	Track analysis:individual tracks, 14
commands:text interface, 29 Craw's tracks compatibility analysis, 16	PAE:algorithm, 17 Page's Spanning graphs, 16 Panbiogeography:assumptions, 14	Track analysis:manual reconstruction
		15
		Track analysis:nodes, 15
Croizat:books, 13	r and loge ography assumptions, 14	tui:text user interface, 24
1 1 1. (1	www.to.do.do.lof.ac	P.P. and Johnson
download the program, 23	running testo.dat, 27	valid input data set, 22