

курс «Глубокое обучение»

Трансформер

Александр Дьяконов


11 апреля 2022 года

План


attention / self- attention – матричная запись
Transformer
Особенности обучения трансформера
BERT
RoBERTa
SpanBERT
ALBERT
T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer
ELECTRA

Рис. из <https://towardsdatascience.com/@remykarem>

Напоминание: внимание seq2seq




seq2seq + attention: Query, Key, Value



attention / self-attention

Парадигма Query, Key, Value (запрос, ключ, значение)



attention / self-attention – матричная запись

идея «attention»...

values – $V_{d \times s}$

keys – $K_{d \times s}$

query – q

размерность – d

число примеров – s

«self-attention»

в X перечислены объекты внимания

$$V_{d \times s} = W_{d \times D}^V X_{D \times s}$$

$$K_{d \times s} = W_{d \times D}^K X_{D \times s}$$

$$Q_{d \times s} = W_{d \times D}^Q X_{D \times s}$$

размерности K и V м.б. разные

$$v = (V_{d \times s} \text{softmax}(K_{s \times d}^T q_{d \times 1}))_{s \times 1}$$

в матричной форме + нормировка

$$(V \text{softmax}(\alpha K^T Q))_{d \times s}$$

$$\text{head}(X | W^V, W^K, W^Q) = W^V X \text{softmax}\left(\alpha (W^K X)^T W^Q X\right), \alpha = 1 / \sqrt{d}$$

+ сделать несколько параллельных матриц


$$W_{D \times 8d} \cdot \text{concat}[\text{head}(W_t^V, W_t^K, W_t^Q)_{d \times s}, \text{axis} = 0]_{t=1}^8$$

Минутка кода: attention

```
def attention(query, key, value, mask=None, dropout=None):
    "Compute 'Scaled Dot Product Attention'"
    d_k = query.size(-1)
    scores = torch.matmul(query, key.transpose(-2, -1)) / math.sqrt(d_k)
    if mask is not None:
        scores = scores.masked_fill(mask == 0, -1e9)
    p_attn = F.softmax(scores, dim = -1)
    if dropout is not None:
        p_attn = dropout(p_attn)
    return torch.matmul(p_attn, value), p_attn
```

```
class MultiHeadedAttention(nn.Module):  
    def __init__(self, h, d_model, dropout=0.1):  
        "Take in model size and number of heads."  
        super(MultiHeadedAttention, self).__init__()  
        assert d_model % h == 0  
        # We assume d_v always equals d_k  
        self.d_k = d_model // h  
        self.h = h  
        self.linears = clones(nn.Linear(d_model, d_model), 4) # W_q, W_k, W_v, W  
        self.attn = None  
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)  
  
    def forward(self, query, key, value, mask=None):  
        if mask is not None:          # Same mask applied to all h heads.  
            mask = mask.unsqueeze(1)  
        nbatches = query.size(0)  
  
        # Получить проекции, т.е. матрицы Q, K, V => h x d_k  
        query, key, value = [l(x).view(nbatches, -1, self.h, self.d_k).transpose(1, 2)  
                             for l, x in zip(self.linears, (query, key, value))]  
        # само внимание.  
        x, self.attn = attention(query, key, value, mask=mask, dropout=self.dropout)  
        # конкатенация + линейность  
        x = x.transpose(1, 2).contiguous().view(nbatches, -1, self.h * self.d_k)  
        return self.linears[-1](x)
```

Transformer: Основная идея «Parallelized Attention»



Vaswani et al. «Attention Is All You Need» <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>
Дальше картинки из <https://jamalmar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/>

Transformer: главное

трансформер – стекинг трансформер-блоков
послойное уточнение представлений токенов

представления токенов не меняют размерность по слоям D
не путать с внутренними размерностями d (запросов, ключей и значений)
и исходными $D_{\text{ОНЕ}}$ (на вход трансформеру)


self-attention можно рассмотреть как граф + пулинг по релевантности
relevance-based pooling

нерекуррентная модель для последовательностей

глубокая модель с блоками внимания


изначально для NMT
последний слой softmax + cross-entropy error

Transformer: общий вид



**опять схема «кодировщик» – «декодировщик» (6 + 6 слоёв)
компоненты одинаковые по архитектуре, но веса разные**

Transformer: Parallelized Attention



Encoder


1й слой – self-attention

видеть семантику контекста – смотрим сразу на всё предложение

**2й - сеть прямого распространения
улучшаем признаковое пространство**

Encoder-Decoder Attention – смотрим на всё предложение на входе

Transformer: Encoder



слова подаём в виде представлений (embeddings) R^{512}

их преобразуем в векторы такой же размерности (представление следующего уровня)

Конценция «Self-Attention»




Figure 3: An example of the attention mechanism following long-distance dependencies in the encoder self-attention in layer 5 of 6. Many of the attention heads attend to a distant dependency of the verb ‘making’, completing the phrase ‘making...more difficult’. Attentions here shown only for the word ‘making’. Different colors represent different heads. Best viewed in color.

к чему относится «making»? Это должно влиять на представление следующего уровня






Figure 4: Two attention heads, also in layer 5 of 6, apparently involved in anaphora resolution. Top: Full attentions for head 5. Bottom: Isolated attentions from just the word 'its' for attention heads 5 and 6. Note that the attentions are very sharp for this word.

Реализация «Self-Attention»



три 64-мерных вектора: Query, Key, Value – получаются из представлений (embeddings) умножением на матрицу (для каждого QKV – своя) – это обучаемый параметр

Реализация «Self-Attention»




Реализация «Self-Attention»: простая матричная


$$\begin{matrix} X \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} \times \begin{matrix} W^Q \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} Q \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} X \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} \times \begin{matrix} W^K \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} K \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} X \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} \times \begin{matrix} W^V \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} V \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}$$




Multi-Headed Attention (несколько головок)



**каждая головка обозревает свой аспект внимания (что это, что делает и т.п.)
как теперь превратить результаты разных головок в вектор нужной размерности?**


Multi-Headed Attention (несколько головок)

1) Concatenate all the attention heads



2) Multiply with a weight matrix W^o that was trained jointly with the model

X





3) The result would be the Z matrix that captures information from all the attention heads. We can send this forward to the FFNN


$$= \begin{matrix} Z \\ \hline \text{---} \\ \begin{matrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

конкатенация и умножение на ещё одну матрицу весов

Multi-Headed Attention (несколько головок) – саммари



- 1) This is our input sentence* 
- 2) We embed each word* 
- 3) Split into 8 heads. We multiply X or R with weight matrices W_0^Q , W_0^K , W_0^V , W_1^Q , W_1^K , W_1^V , ..., W_7^Q , W_7^K , W_7^V .
- 4) Calculate attention using the resulting $Q/K/V$ matrices Q_0, K_0, V_0 , Q_1, K_1, V_1 , ..., Q_7, K_7, V_7 .
- 5) Concatenate the resulting Z matrices, then multiply with weight matrix W^O to produce the output of the layer.

Thinking
Machines



* In all encoders other than #0, we don't need embedding. We start directly with the output of the encoder right below this one

Кодирование позиции слова: Positional Encoding




Кодирование позиции слова: Positional Encoding

**Проблема: пока наш метод не зависит от перестановки слов,
а надо учитывать порядок \Rightarrow будем кодировать позицию**


**Но надо, чтобы метод не зависел от длины входного предложения
номеру позиции t соответствует d -мерный вектор, позиции которого**

$$(2i, 2i+1) \rightarrow \left(\sin\left(\frac{2t}{10000^{2i/d}}\right), \cos\left(\frac{2t}{10000^{2i/d}}\right) \right)$$



картинка для размерности представления = 4

Кодирование позиции слова: Positional Encoding



128-мерное кодирование 50 позиций

https://kazemnejad.com/blog/transformer_architecture_positional_encoding/


Кодирование позиции слова: Positional Encoding

```
class PositionalEncoding(nn.Module):
    "Implement the PE function."
    def __init__(self, d_model, dropout, max_len=5000):
        super(PositionalEncoding, self).__init__()
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)



        # Compute the positional encodings once in log space.
        pe = torch.zeros(max_len, d_model)
        position = torch.arange(0, max_len).unsqueeze(1)
        div_term = torch.exp(torch.arange(0, d_model, 2) *
                            -(math.log(10000.0) / d_model))
        pe[:, 0::2] = torch.sin(position * div_term)
        pe[:, 1::2] = torch.cos(position * div_term)
        pe = pe.unsqueeze(0)
        self.register_buffer('pe', pe) # не считается параметром модели

    def forward(self, x):
        x = x + Variable(self.pe[:, :x.size(1)], requires_grad=False)
        return self.dropout(x)
```

Transformer: Parallelized Attention



Transformer: residual connection and layer normalization



Transformer block

Multihead attention
2-layer FFNN (ReLU)
Residual connections
LayerNorm

Transformer: residual connection and layer normalization

**Прокидывание связей – всё просто: размерности совпадают,
можно сложить**

$$X_A = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{MultiheadSelfAttention}(X)) + X$$

$$X_B = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{PositionFFN}(X_A)) + X_A$$

```
class SublayerConnection(nn.Module):
    """
    A residual connection + layer norm. For code simplicity the norm is first
    """

    def __init__(self, size, dropout):
        super(SublayerConnection, self).__init__()
        self.norm = LayerNorm(size)
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)

    def forward(self, x, sublayer):
        "Apply residual connection to any sublayer with the same size."
        return x + self.dropout(sublayer(self.norm(x)))
```

Transformer: Layer Norm

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), \mu = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d x_i, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\text{LN}(x) = \gamma \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} + \beta$$

```
class LayerNorm(nn.Module):
    "Construct a layernorm module (See citation for details)."
    def __init__(self, features, eps=1e-6):
        super(LayerNorm, self).__init__()
        self.a_2 = nn.Parameter(torch.ones(features))
        self.b_2 = nn.Parameter(torch.zeros(features))
        self.eps = eps

    def forward(self, x):
        mean = x.mean(-1, keepdim=True)
        std = x.std(-1, keepdim=True)
        return self.a_2 * (x - mean) / (std + self.eps) + self.b_2
```

<http://nlp.seas.harvard.edu/2018/04/03/attention.html>


Transformer: FFNN

$$\text{FFN}(x) = \max(0, xW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2$$

```
class PositionwiseFeedForward(nn.Module):
    "Implements FFN equation."
    def __init__(self, d_model, d_ff, dropout=0.1):
        super(PositionwiseFeedForward, self).__init__()
        self.w_1 = nn.Linear(d_model, d_ff)
        self.w_2 = nn.Linear(d_ff, d_model)
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)

    def forward(self, x):
        return self.w_2(self.dropout(F.relu(self.w_1(x))))
```


Transformer: Encoder




Transformer: виды внимания



Encoder Self-Attention




Encoder-Decoder Attention



Masked Decoder Self-Attention

Transformer: Decoder

**Тонкость: не знаем длину ответа
⇒ будем его получать последовательно**



Transformer: Decoder

**Другая тонкость: при обучении нельзя видеть «будущее ответа» \Rightarrow маскирование
на схеме есть «masked multi-head attention»**




padding mask (in all the scaled dot-product attention)

~ добавляем 0 в конец коротких предложений


sequence mask (in the decoder's self-attention)

чтобы не видел информацию из будущего

ВЫВОДИТ ОДНО СЛОВО ЗА ТАКТ



Transformer: маскирование



```
dot = torch.bmm(queries, keys.transpose(1, 2))
indices = torch.triu_indices(t, t, offset=1)
dot[:, indices[0], indices[1]] = float('-inf')
dot = F.softmax(dot, dim=2)
```

<http://peterbloem.nl/blog/transformers>

Transformer: Output layer


как на выходе получить одно слово:


Which word in our vocabulary
is associated with this index?

am


Get the index of the cell
with the highest value
(`argmax`)

5





Обучение трансформера: Learning rate warm-up



трансформеры обучаются
с такой программой изменения LR




Figure 1: Training loss v.s. # of iterations of Transformers on the De-En IWSLT'14 dataset.

Рис. из <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1908.03265.pdf>

В Adam используется bias correction factors –
он увеличивает дисперсию на первых итерациях

Layer Normalization – может увеличивать норму градиента

https://huggingface.co/transformers/main_classes/optimizer_schedules.html?highlight=cosine#transformers.get_cosine_schedule_with_warmup

Особенности обучения трансформера




Figure 10: Effect of checkpoint averaging. All trained on 6 GPUs.




Figure 9: Effect of the number of GPUs. BLEU=25.6 is marked with a black line.

Особенности обучения трансформера




Figure 7: Effect of the learning rate on a single GPU. All trained on CzEng 1.0 with the default batch size (1500) and warmup steps (16k).




Figure 6: Effect of the batch size with the BIG model. All trained on a single GPU.

Особенности обучения трансформера




Figure 4: Effect of restricting the training data to various `max_length` values. All trained on a single GPU with the BIG model and `batch_size`=1500. An experiment without any `max_length` is not shown, but it has the same curve as `max_length`=400.

Martin Popel, Ondřej Bojar «Training Tips for the Transformer Model» //
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.00247.pdf>

визуализация обучения:


<https://ai.googleblog.com/2017/08/transformer-novel-neural-network.html>

Использование трансформера

encoder-only (классификация)

decoder-only (LM)

encoder-decoder (перевод)



BERT и ELMo



(Devlin et al, 2018)



(Peters et al, 2018)

BERT = Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers

transformer network для **предобучения** модели языка и получения представления слов
– идея трансферного обучения

Идея из GPT:
давайте возьмём LM – обучим на большом корпусе,
будем «поднастраивать» на конкретные задачи



Фишки:

- **дву направленность (точнее, полный обзор) при обучении**
- **разные задачи для преднастройки (в отличие от ELMo и OpenAI-GPT)**
маскирование, предсказание следующего предложения

J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova «Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding». 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>

BERT: учёт контекста

**обычно левый или правый контекст, а надо «двусторонний»
главный подводный камень – слова увидят себя**



слова видят друг друга

BERT: Mask language model (MLM)

решение: маскировать k% слов и предсказывать их

The diagram illustrates the BERT Mask Language Model (MLM) process. A sentence "the man went to the [MASK] to buy a [MASK] of milk" is shown. Two words, "store" and "gallon", are highlighted in blue above the sentence. Arrows point from these words down to the corresponding [MASK] tokens in the sentence, indicating they are the targets for prediction.

15% случайно выбранных токенов маскируем – их предсказываем

p=0.8 – заменять на [MASK]

went to the store → went to the [MASK]

p=0.1 – заменять на случайное слово

went to the store → went to the running

p=0.1 – оставлять

went to the store → went to the store

BERT: Связь между предложениями (Next sentence prediction)

Решаем такую задачу: предложение В после А или нет
т.е. это не совсем, как в дословном переводе «предсказание»

Sentence A = The man went to the store.

Sentence B = He bought a gallon of milk.

Label = IsNextSentence

Sentence A = The man went to the store.

Sentence B = Penguins are flightless.

Label = NotNextSentence

генерация выборки: соотношение классов 1/0 = 50/50

BERT: Представление входа (Input Representation)




Figure 2: BERT input representation. The input embeddings are the sum of the token embeddings, the segmentation embeddings and the position embeddings.

каждый токен = сумма 3х вложений
2 предложения на входе разделяются спецсимволом
Представление позиции – обучаемо

BERT: Детали

- **Data: Wikipedia (2.5B words) + BookCorpus (800M words)**
- **Batch Size: 131,072 words (1024 sequences * 128 length or 256 sequences * 512 length)**
- **Training Time: 1M steps (~40 epochs)**
- **Optimizer: AdamW, 1e-4 learning rate, linear decay (после 10000 шагов к исходному состоянию)**
- **dropout = 0.1 на всех слоях**
- **BERT-Base: 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-head (базовая архитектура)**
- **BERT-Large: 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-head (большая архитектура)**
- **Trained on 4x4 or 8x8 TPU slice for 4 days**
- **WordPiece-токенизация (30 000 токенов)**

BERT vs GPT vs ELMo




Figure 3: Differences in pre-training model architectures. BERT uses a bidirectional Transformer. OpenAI GPT uses a left-to-right Transformer. ELMo uses the concatenation of independently trained left-to-right and right-to-left LSTMs to generate features for downstream tasks. Among the three, only BERT representations are jointly conditioned on both left and right context in all layers. In addition to the architecture differences, BERT and OpenAI GPT are fine-tuning approaches, while ELMo is a feature-based approach.

BERT: схема обучения и дообучения на конкретную задачу






Figure 1: Overall pre-training and fine-tuning procedures for BERT. Apart from output layers, the same architectures are used in both pre-training and fine-tuning. The same pre-trained model parameters are used to initialize models for different down-stream tasks. During fine-tuning, all parameters are fine-tuned. [CLS] is a special symbol added in front of every input example, and [SEP] is a special separator token (e.g. separating questions/answers).

BERT: схема обучения и дообучения на конкретную задачу




(a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks:
MNLI, QQP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC,
RTE, SWAG

для классификации берём финальное состояние специального первого токена [CLS]
умножаем его на обучаемую матрицу и берём softmax




(b) Single Sentence Classification Tasks:
SST-2, CoLA

BERT: схема обучения и дообучения на конкретную задачу




(c) Question Answering Tasks:
SQuAD v1.1



(d) Single Sentence Tagging Tasks:
CoNLL-2003 NER

QA: ответ «кусок текста» – для каждого токена предсказываем вероятность быть началом и концом, обучаемые параметры – две матрицы, которые умножаются на состояния с последующим softmax, чтобы получить вероятности

BERT: Эффект предобучения



Tasks	Dev Set				
	MNLI-m (Acc)	QNLI (Acc)	MRPC (Acc)	SST-2 (Acc)	SQuAD (F1)
BERT _{BASE}	84.4	88.4	86.7	92.7	88.5
No NSP	83.9	84.9	86.5	92.6	87.9
LTR & No NSP + BiLSTM	82.1	84.3	77.5	92.1	77.8

Table 5: Ablation over the pre-training tasks using the BERT_{BASE} architecture. “No NSP” is trained without the next sentence prediction task. “LTR & No NSP” is trained as a left-to-right LM without the next sentence prediction, like OpenAI GPT. “+ BiLSTM” adds a randomly initialized BiLSTM on top of the “LTR + No NSP” model during fine-tuning.


- Для каких-то задач важнее Masked LM, для каких-то NSP
 - на SQuAD плохи модели «слева-направо»

BERT: результаты

System	MNLI-(m/mm) 392k	QQP 363k	QNLI 108k	SST-2 67k	CoLA 8.5k	STS-B 5.7k	MRPC 3.5k	RTE 2.5k	Average -
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1
BERT _{BASE}	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.5	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERT _{LARGE}	86.7/85.9	72.1	92.7	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	82.1


Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (<https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard>). The number below each task denotes the number of training examples. The “Average” column is slightly different than the official GLUE score, since we exclude the problematic WNLI set.⁸ BERT and OpenAI GPT are single-model, single task. F1 scores are reported for QQP and MRPC, Spearman correlations are reported for STS-B, and accuracy scores are reported for the other tasks. We exclude entries that use BERT as one of their components.

BERT: эффект размера модели




**глубина имеет значение (даже для относительно небольших датасетов)
не вышли на асимптоту;)**

Представления слов с помощью BERT



What is the best contextualized embedding for “Help” in that context?
For named-entity recognition task CoNLL-2003 NER



как в ЕIMo можно комбинировать состояния разных уровней

После выхода BERT....

MT-DNN <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11504>

ERNIE <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07129>

XLNet <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.08237>

KERMIT <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.01604>

ERNIE 2.0 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.12412>

RoBERTa <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692> **далше**

SpanBERT <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692> **далше**

ALBERT <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11942> **далше**

T5 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10683> **далше**

ELECTRA <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.10555> **далше**

RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692>

качество BERT ↑
первое место – GLUE лидерборд
SOTA на многих NLP задачах

Предложили способы тренировать BERT
без изменения самой архитектуры модели

Обнаружили, что BERT был «существенно недотренирован»!

код
<https://github.com/pytorch/fairseq>

Различия с оригинальным BERT:

обучать дольше, с большими батчами, на большем объёме

- train ×10: 16GB → 160GB
 - мини-батч: 256 сэмплов → 8к
 - более длинные предложения
-
- убрали использование NSP (next sentence prediction) objective
 - динамический маскинг для каждого сэмпла
 - улучшили ВРЕ-энкодинг переведя базу с юникода на байты

Обучение

1024 карт Nvidia V100 (128 DGX-1 серверов)
в течение 5 дней

Данные оригинального BERT

Wiki-корпус + BookCorpus (16GB)

Новые данные (на английском)

- **CC-News** 63 миллиона новостей за 2.5 года (76GB)
- **OpenWebText** - корпус для GPT2 – скрауленные статьи, на которые были приведены ссылки в постах на реддите минимум с тремя апвотами (38GB)
 - **Stories** - корпус историй из CommonCrawl (31GB)

Оригинальный маскинг в BERT

**в каждом сэмпле маскируются 15% токенов,
и предсказываются по немаскированным**

Маска генерируется для каждого сэмпла один раз при препроцессинге и не меняется.

**Обучающая выборка множится ×10,
поэтому для каждого сэмпла можно встретить 10 масок
при обучении**

Динамический маскинг

формируем маску, когда последовательность поступает на вход

Masking	SQuAD 2.0	MNLI-m	SST-2
reference	76.3	84.3	92.8
<i>Our reimplementation:</i>			
static	78.3	84.3	92.5
dynamic	78.7	84.0	92.9

Table 1: Comparison between static and dynamic masking for BERT_{BASE}. We report F1 for SQuAD and accuracy for MNLI-m and SST-2. Reported results are medians over 5 random initializations (seeds). Reference results are from Yang et al. (2019).

тут различают заявленное в BERTe и свою реализацию

NSP (next sentence prediction) loss

**Просто убрали и посмотрели...
то что не нужен заметили ещё авторы XLNet**

Model	SQuAD 1.1/2.0	MNLI-m	SST-2	RACE
<i>Our reimplementation (with NSP loss):</i>				
SEGMENT-PAIR	90.4/78.7	84.0	92.9	64.2
SENTENCE-PAIR	88.7/76.2	82.9	92.1	63.0
<i>Our reimplementation (without NSP loss):</i>				
FULL-SENTENCES	90.4/79.1	84.7	92.5	64.8
DOC-SENTENCES	90.6/79.7	84.7	92.7	65.6
BERT _{BASE}	88.5/76.3	84.3	92.8	64.3
XLNet _{BASE} (K = 7)	-/81.3	85.8	92.7	66.1
XLNet _{BASE} (K = 6)	-/81.0	85.6	93.4	66.7

Table 2: Development set results for base models pretrained over BookCORPUS and WIKIPEDIA. All models are trained for 1M steps with a batch size of 256 sequences. We report F1 for SQuAD and accuracy for MNLI-m, SST-2 and RACE. Reported results are medians over five random initializations (seeds). Results for BERT_{BASE} and XLNet_{BASE} are from Yang et al. (2019).

Увеличение размера мини-батча

«чем больше мини-батч, тем лучше финальные результаты трейна»

bsz	steps	lr	ppl	MNLI-m	SST-2
256	1M	1e-4	3.99	84.7	92.7
2K	125K	7e-4	3.68	85.2	92.9
8K	31K	1e-3	3.77	84.6	92.8

Table 3: Perplexity on held-out training data (*ppl*) and development set accuracy for base models trained over BookCORPUS and WIKIPEDIA with varying batch sizes (*bsz*). We tune the learning rate (*lr*) for each setting. Models make the same number of passes over the data (epochs) and have the same computational cost.

Более длинные предложения

Каждый вход – полные предложения длины не больше 512 токенов

BPE (Byte Pair Encoding)

– кодировка частых слов и букв

**Оригинальный BERT:
юникод символы как subword units**

**В GPT2 предложили использовать не юникод символы, а байты
(если использовать BPE словарь размером 50k,
то у нас не будет unknown токенов)**

**Размер модели BERT по сравнению с оригинальным вырос на 5-10%:
+ 15M параметров base
+ 20M large**

Результаты

Для сравнения используют BERT-large и XLNet-large

	MNLI	QNLI	QQP	RTE	SST	MRPC	CoLA	STS	WNLI	Avg
<i>Single-task single models on dev</i>										
BERT _{LARGE}	86.6/-	92.3	91.3	70.4	93.2	88.0	60.6	90.0	-	-
XLNet _{LARGE}	89.8/-	93.9	91.8	83.8	95.6	89.2	63.6	91.8	-	-
RoBERTa	90.2/90.2	94.7	92.2	86.6	96.4	90.9	68.0	92.4	91.3	-
<i>Ensembles on test (from leaderboard as of July 25, 2019)</i>										
ALICE	88.2/87.9	95.7	90.7	83.5	95.2	92.6	68.6	91.1	80.8	86.3
MT-DNN	87.9/87.4	96.0	89.9	86.3	96.5	92.7	68.4	91.1	89.0	87.6
XLNet	90.2/89.8	98.6	90.3	86.3	96.8	93.0	67.8	91.6	90.4	88.4
RoBERTa	90.8/90.2	98.9	90.2	88.2	96.7	92.3	67.8	92.2	89.0	88.5

Table 5: Results on GLUE. All results are based on a 24-layer architecture. BERT_{LARGE} and XLNet_{LARGE} results are from Devlin et al. (2019) and Yang et al. (2019), respectively. RoBERTa results on the development set are a median over five runs. RoBERTa results on the test set are ensembles of *single-task* models. For RTE, STS and MRPC we finetune starting from the MNLI model instead of the baseline pretrained model. Averages are obtained from the GLUE leaderboard.

new SOTA on 4/9 of the GLUE tasks: MNLI, QNLI, RTE and STS-B

Результаты GLUE

9 datasets (natural language understanding systems)

6 single-sentence / sentence-pair classification

**Использовали single-task файнтюнинг,
в отличии от многих других подходов из топа GLUE бенчмарка,
которые делают multi-task файнтюнинг**

RACE (The ReADING Comprehension from Examinations)

**Экзамены по английскому в Китае (28,000 passages ~ 100,000) текста,
вопрос и 4 варианта ответа
надо выбрать правильный**

**конкатенируют текст, вопрос и ответ → BERT → репрезентация из CLF токена → один
полносвязанный слой и предсказывают является ли ответ правильным (×4 раза – для
каждого варианта ответа)**

SpanBERT

лучше представлять и прогнозировать отрезки текста (spans of text)

отличия:

- маскирование целыми отрезками (а не отдельными токенами)**




Figure 2: We sample random span lengths from a geometric distribution $\ell \sim \text{Geo}(p = 0.2)$ clipped at $\ell_{\max} = 10$.

- обучение – по границе маски прогнозировать участок текста
span-boundary objective (SBO)**

span-boundary objective (SBO)

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{football}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{MLM}}(\text{football}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SBO}}(\text{football}) = -\log P(\text{football} \mid \mathbf{x}_7) - \log P(\text{football} \mid \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_9, \mathbf{p}_3)$$




Figure 1: An illustration of SpanBERT training. The span *an American football game* is masked. The span boundary objective (SBO) uses the output representations of the boundary tokens, \mathbf{x}_4 and \mathbf{x}_9 (in blue), to predict each token in the masked span. The equation shows the MLM and SBO loss terms for predicting the token, *football* (in pink), which as marked by the position embedding \mathbf{p}_3 , is the *third* token from \mathbf{x}_4 .

Mandar Joshi «SpanBERT: Improving Pre-training by Representing and Predicting Spans» //
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.10529.pdf>

Результаты

	CoLA	SST-2	MRPC	STS-B	QQP	MNLI	QNLI	RTE	(Avg)
Google BERT	59.3	95.2	88.5/84.3	86.4/88.0	71.2/89.0	86.1/85.7	93.0	71.1	80.4
Our BERT	58.6	93.9	90.1/86.6	88.4/89.1	71.8/89.3	87.2/86.6	93.0	74.7	81.1
Our BERT-1seq	63.5	94.8	91.2/87.8	89.0/88.4	72.1/89.5	88.0/87.4	93.0	72.1	81.7
SpanBERT	64.3	94.8	90.9/ 87.9	89.9/89.1	71.9/89.5	88.1/87.7	94.3	79.0	82.8

Table 4: Test set performance metrics on GLUE tasks. MRPC: F1/accuracy, STS-B: Pearson/Spearmanr correlation, QQP: F1/accuracy, MNLI: matched/mistached accuracies. WNLI (not shown) is always set to majority class (65.1% accuracy) and included in the average.

ALBERT = A Lite BERT

**существенное «сжатие» модели
обучается в 1.7 раз быстрее
параметров – /18**

«лёгкий» в смысле параметров, но не скорости!


Zhenzhong Lan et. al. «**ALBERT: A Lite BERT for Self-supervised Learning of Language Representations**» // <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11942>

ALBERT: факторизация матрицы параметров

В BERT размерность пространства представлений (WordPiece) E = размерность пространства состояний (hidden state) H
но по смыслу они разные – контекстно-независимое / зависимое

пусть размер словаря (vocabulary) = V

$V \times H$ -матрица раскладывается $V \times E \bullet E \times H$



ALBERT: Sentence-Order Prediction (SOP)


**Задача next sentence prediction (NSP) оказалась лёгкой...
вместо этого «предложения в правильном порядке» / «в неправильном»
– всё из одного документа**

ALBERT: Cross-layer Parameter Sharing

немного уменьшает качество, но увеличивает компактность

разные техники:

- **только в feed-forward part**
 - **только во внимании**
 - **все параметры**

ALBERT: RACE (задача на понимание текстов из экзаменов SAT)

DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter

модель-ученик DistilBERT учится воспроизводить ответы модели-учителя BERT

хитрая функция ошибки

у ученика в два раза меньше слоёв

инициализация – как в берте, берём один из каждой пары слоёв

есть более подробный материал (далее)

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer (Google)

1) интерфейс текст → текст
а не как принято в BERT – метка или отрезок текста на выходе




Figure 1: A diagram of our text-to-text framework. Every task we consider – including translation, question answering, and classification – is cast as feeding our model text as input and training it to generate some target text. This allows us to use the same model, loss function, hyperparameters, etc. across our diverse set of tasks. It also provides a standard testbed for the methods included in our empirical survey. “T5” refers to our model, which we dub the “Text-to-Text Transfer Transformer”.

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

2) A Large Pre-training Dataset (C4)

Для предтренировки нужен хороший и большой датасет

Wikipedia – хороший, но однообразный по стилю и «небольшой»

the Common Crawl web scrapes – большой и разнообразный, но низкого качества
сделали его очищенную версию

- **удаление дубликатов**
- **удаление неполных предложений**
- **удаление оскорблений и шума**

Dataset	Size	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
★ C4	745GB	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
C4, unfiltered	6.1TB	81.46	19.14	78.78	68.04	26.55	39.34	27.21
RealNews-like	35GB	83.83	19.23	80.39	72.38	26.75	39.90	27.48
WebText-like	17GB	84.03	19.31	81.42	71.40	26.80	39.74	27.59
Wikipedia	16GB	81.85	19.31	81.29	68.01	26.94	39.69	27.67
Wikipedia + TBC	20GB	83.65	19.28	82.08	73.24	26.77	39.63	27.57

Table 8: Performance resulting from pre-training on different datasets. The first four variants are based on our new C4 dataset.

<https://www.tensorflow.org/datasets/catalog/c4>

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

3) систематическое изучение «Transfer Learning Methodology»

- архитектуры (model architectures)

лучше кодировщик-декодировщик, а не чистый декодировщик в LM

- функции преднастройки (pre-training objectives)

подтвердили, что надо «заполнять пропуски»

- неразмеченные данные (unlabeled datasets)

лучше предобучать на том же домене, но если данных мало, то очень плохо

- стратегии обучения (training strategies)

multitask learning ~ pre-train-then-fine-tune approach

- масштабирование

Colin Raffel «Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer» // <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10683>

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

4) финальная модель

11 млрд. параметров

SOTA: GLUE, SuperGLUE, SQuAD, CNN/Daily Mail

За базу – BERT-BASE

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
★ Baseline average	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
Baseline standard deviation	0.235	0.065	0.343	0.416	0.112	0.090	0.108
No pre-training	66.22	17.60	50.31	53.04	25.86	39.77	24.04

Table 1: Average and standard deviation of scores achieved by our baseline model and training procedure. For comparison, we also report performance when training on each task from scratch (i.e. without any pre-training) for the same number of steps used to fine-tune the baseline model. All scores in this table (and every table in our paper except Table 14) are reported on the validation sets of each data set.

что даёт предобучение

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer




Figure 4: Schematics of the Transformer architecture variants we consider. In this diagram, blocks represent elements of a sequence and lines represent attention visibility. Different colored groups of blocks indicate different Transformer layer stacks. Dark grey lines correspond to fully-visible masking and light grey lines correspond to causal masking. We use “.” to denote a special end-of-sequence token that represents the end of a prediction. The input and output sequences are represented as x and y respectively. Left: A standard encoder-decoder architecture uses fully-visible masking in the encoder and the encoder-decoder attention, with causal masking in the decoder. Middle: A language model consists of a single Transformer layer stack and is fed the concatenation of the input and target, using a causal mask throughout. Right: Adding a prefix to a language model corresponds to allowing fully-visible masking over the input.

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

Architecture	Objective	Params	Cost	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
★ Encoder-decoder	Denoising	$2P$	M	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
	Denoising	P	M	82.81	18.78	80.63	70.73	26.72	39.03	27.46
	Denoising	P	$M/2$	80.88	18.97	77.59	68.42	26.38	38.40	26.95
	Language model	P	M	74.70	17.93	61.14	55.02	25.09	35.28	25.86
	Prefix LM	P	M	81.82	18.61	78.94	68.11	26.43	37.98	27.39
Encoder-decoder	LM	$2P$	M	79.56	18.59	76.02	64.29	26.27	39.17	26.86
	LM	P	M	79.60	18.13	76.35	63.50	26.62	39.17	27.05
	LM	P	$M/2$	78.67	18.26	75.32	64.06	26.13	38.42	26.89
	LM	P	M	73.78	17.54	53.81	56.51	25.23	34.31	25.38
	Prefix LM	LM	P	79.68	17.84	76.87	64.86	26.28	37.51	26.76

Table 2: Performance of the different architectural variants described in Section 3.2.2. We use P to refer to the number of parameters in a 12-layer base Transformer layer stack and M to refer to the FLOPs required to process a sequence using the encoder-decoder model. We evaluate each architectural variant using a denoising objective (described in Section 3.1.4) and an autoregressive objective (as is commonly used to train language models).

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer




Figure 2: Schematic of the objective we use in our baseline model. In this example, we process the sentence “Thank you for inviting me to your party last week.” The words “for”, “inviting” and “last” (marked with an \times) are randomly chosen for corruption. Each consecutive span of corrupted tokens is replaced by a sentinel token (shown as $<X>$ and $<Y>$) that is unique over the example. Since “for” and “inviting” occur consecutively, they are replaced by a single sentinel $<X>$. The output sequence then consists of the dropped-out spans, delimited by the sentinel tokens used to replace them in the input plus a final sentinel token $<Z>$.

использованное маскирование

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

Objective	Inputs	Targets
Prefix language modeling	Thank you for inviting	me to your party last week .
BERT-style	Thank you <M> <M> me to your party apple week .	(original text)
Deshuffling	party me for your to . last fun you inviting week Thank	(original text)
I.i.d. noise, mask tokens	Thank you <M> <M> me to your party <M> week .	(original text)
I.i.d. noise, replace spans	Thank you <X> me to your party <Y> week .	<X> for inviting <Y> last <Z>
I.i.d. noise, drop tokens	Thank you me to your party week .	for inviting last
Random spans	Thank you <X> to <Y> week .	<X> for inviting me <Y> your party last <Z>

Table 3: Examples of inputs and targets produced by some of the unsupervised objectives we consider applied to the input text “Thank you for inviting me to your party last week.” Note that all of our objectives process *tokenized* text. For this particular sentence, all words were mapped to a single token by our vocabulary. We write *(original text)* as a target to denote that the model is tasked with reconstructing the entire input text. <M> denotes a shared mask token and <X>, <Y>, and <Z> denote sentinel tokens that are assigned unique token IDs. The BERT-style objective (second row) includes a corruption where some tokens are replaced by a random token ID; we show this via the greyed-out word apple.

T5: Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer

Objective	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
Prefix language modeling	80.69	18.94	77.99	65.27	26.86	39.73	27.49
BERT-style [Devlin et al., 2018]	82.96	19.17	80.65	69.85	26.78	40.03	27.41
Deshuffling	73.17	18.59	67.61	58.47	26.11	39.30	25.62

Table 4: Performance of the three disparate pre-training objectives described in Section 3.3.1.

Objective	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
BERT-style [Devlin et al., 2018]	82.96	19.17	80.65	69.85	26.78	40.03	27.41
MASS-style [Song et al., 2019]	82.32	19.16	80.10	69.28	26.79	39.89	27.55
★ Replace corrupted spans	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
Drop corrupted tokens	84.44	19.31	80.52	68.67	27.07	39.76	27.82

Table 5: Comparison of variants of the BERT-style pre-training objective. In the first two variants, the model is trained to reconstruct the original uncorrupted text segment. In the latter two, the model only predicts the sequence of corrupted tokens.

Corruption rate	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
10%	82.82	19.00	80.38	69.55	26.87	39.28	27.44
★ 15%	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
25%	83.00	19.54	80.96	70.48	27.04	39.83	27.47
50%	81.27	19.32	79.80	70.33	27.01	39.90	27.49

Table 6: Performance of the i.i.d. corruption objective with different corruption rates.

Number of tokens	Repeats	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
★ Full dataset	0	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
2^{29}	64	82.87	19.19	80.97	72.03	26.83	39.74	27.63
2^{27}	256	82.62	19.20	79.78	69.97	27.02	39.71	27.33
2^{25}	1,024	79.55	18.57	76.27	64.76	26.38	39.56	26.80
2^{23}	4,096	76.34	18.33	70.92	59.29	26.37	38.84	25.81

Table 9: Measuring the effect of artificially shrinking our C4 dataset. This results in the dataset being repeated over the course of pre-training, which may result in memorization (see Figure 6).




Figure 6: Pre-training loss for our original C4 dataset as well as 4 artificially truncated versions. The sizes listed refer to the number of tokens in each dataset. The four sizes considered correspond to repeating the dataset between 64 and 4,096 times over the course of pre-training. Using a smaller dataset size results in smaller training loss values, which may suggest some memorization of the unlabeled dataset.

T5: заполнение заданного числа пропусков

N=1

N=2

N=4

N=8

N=16

N=32

N=64

N=512

I love peanut butter and *jelly* sandwiches.

N=1

N=2

N=4

N=8

N=16

N=32

N=64

N=512

I love peanut butter and *jelly on my* sandwiches.

N=1

N=2

N=4

N=8

N=16

N=32

N=64

N=512

I love peanut butter and *jelly, which is what makes good* sandwiches.

N=1

N=2

N=4

N=8

N=16

N=32

N=64

N=512

I love peanut butter and *jelly, Yum! You can't beat peanut butter and jelly* sandwiches.

<https://ai.googleblog.com/2020/02/exploring-transfer-learning-with-t5.html>

ELECTRA = Efficiently Learning an Encoder that Classifies Token Replacements Accurately

pre-training task –«replaced token detection» (RTD)

вместо маскирования (как в BERT) заменять некоторые токены генератором
и пытаться распознать замены




Figure 2: An overview of replaced token detection. The generator can be any model that produces an output distribution over tokens, but we usually use a small masked language model that is trained jointly with the discriminator. Although the models are structured like in a GAN, we train the generator with maximum likelihood rather than adversarially due to the difficulty of applying GANs to text. After pre-training, we throw out the generator and only fine-tune the discriminator (the ELECTRA model) on downstream tasks.

**Двунаправленная модель, но учится по всем токенам
а не настраивается лишь на 15% маскированных...**

ELECTRA**аналогия с GAN**

**генератор – masked language modeling (MLM) – маленькая модель
(в 2-4 раза меньше дискриминатора)**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MLM}}(x, \theta_G) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in m} -\log p_G(x_i | x^{\text{masked}}) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Disc}}(x, \theta_D) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n -\mathbb{1}(x_t^{\text{corrupt}} = x_t) \log D(x^{\text{corrupt}}, t) - \mathbb{1}(x_t^{\text{corrupt}} \neq x_t) \log(1 - D(x^{\text{corrupt}}, t)) \right)$$

генератор и дискриминатор – трансформеры

нет MASK-токенов

**weight sharing для входных эмбеддингов между генератором и дискриминатором
для снижения числа параметров**

Очень быстро учится – small ELECTRA – 4 дня на одной GPU (и лучше GPT)

**Clark et al «ELECTRA: Pre-training Text Encoders as
Discriminators Rather Than Generators», 2020 <https://openreview.net/pdf?id=r1xMH1BtvB>**

ELECTRA = Efficiently Learning an Encoder that Classifies Token Re-placements Accurately

после обучения – генератор не нужен,
а дискриминатор доучивается на «downstream»-задачах




Figure 1: Replaced token detection pre-training consistently outperforms masked language model pre-training given the same compute budget. The left figure is a zoomed-in view of the dashed box.

ELECTRA

Model	Train FLOPs	Params	SQuAD 1.1		SQuAD 2.0	
			EM	F1	EM	F1
BERT-Base	6.4e19 (0.09x)	110M	80.8	88.5	–	–
BERT	1.9e20 (0.27x)	335M	84.1	90.9	79.0	81.8
SpanBERT	7.1e20 (1x)	335M	88.8	94.6	85.7	88.7
XLNet-Base	6.6e19 (0.09x)	117M	81.3	–	78.5	–
XLNet	3.9e21 (5.4x)	360M	89.7	95.1	87.9	90.6
RoBERTa-100K	6.4e20 (0.90x)	356M	–	94.0	–	87.7
RoBERTa-500K	3.2e21 (4.5x)	356M	88.9	94.6	86.5	89.4
ALBERT	3.1e22 (44x)	235M	89.3	94.8	87.4	90.2
BERT (ours)	7.1e20 (1x)	335M	88.0	93.7	84.7	87.5
ELECTRA-Base	6.4e19 (0.09x)	110M	84.5	90.8	80.5	83.3
ELECTRA-400K	7.1e20 (1x)	335M	88.7	94.2	86.9	89.6
ELECTRA-1.75M	3.1e21 (4.4x)	335M	89.7	94.9	88.1	90.6

Семейство BERT




Figure 9: The family of recent typical PTMs, including both pre-trained language models and multimodal models.

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2106.07139.pdf>

SQuAD2.0

The Stanford Question Answering Dataset

The English name "Normans" comes from the French words Normans/Norman, plural of Normant, modern French normand, which is itself borrowed from Old Low Franconian Nortmann "Northman" or directly from Old Norse Norðmaðr, Latinized variously as Nortmannus, Normannus, or Nordmannus (recorded in Medieval Latin, 9th century) to mean "Norseman, Viking".

What is the original meaning of the word Norman?
Ground Truth Answers: Viking Norseman,
Viking Norseman, Viking

When was the Latin version of the word Norman first recorded?
Ground Truth Answers: 9th century 9th century 9th century

What name comes from the English words Normans/Norman?
Ground Truth Answers: <No Answer>

When was the French version of the word Norman first recorded?
Ground Truth Answers: <No Answer>

<https://rajpurkar.github.io/SQuAD-explorer/explore/v2.0/dev/Normans.html>

2022

SQuAD 2.0

The Stanford Question Answering Dataset

Rank	Model	EM	F1
	Human Performance <i>Stanford University</i> (Rajpurkar & Jia et al. '18)	86.831	89.452
1 Jun 04, 2021	IE-Net (ensemble) RICOH_SRCB_DML	90.939	93.214
2 Feb 21, 2021	FPNet (ensemble) Ant Service Intelligence Team	90.871	93.183
3 May 16, 2021	IE-NetV2 (ensemble) RICOH_SRCB_DML	90.860	93.100
4 Apr 06, 2020	SA-Net on Albert (ensemble) QIANXIN	90.724	93.011
5 May 05, 2020	SA-Net-V2 (ensemble) QIANXIN	90.679	92.948
5 Apr 05, 2020	Retro-Reader (ensemble) <i>Shanghai Jiao Tong University</i> http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.09694	90.578	92.978
5 Feb 05, 2021	FPNet (ensemble) YuYang	90.600	92.899
6 Apr 18, 2021	TransNets + SFVerifier + SFEensembler (ensemble) <i>Senseforth AI Research</i> https://www.senseforth.ai/	90.487	92.894

Rank	Model	EM	F1
	Human Performance <i>Stanford University</i> (Rajpurkar & Jia et al. '18)	86.831	89.452
1 Mar 12, 2020	ALBERT + DAAF + Verifier (ensemble) PINGAN Omni-Sinitic	90.386	92.777
2 Jan 10, 2020	Retro-Reader on ALBERT (ensemble) <i>Shanghai Jiao Tong University</i> http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.09694	90.115	92.580
3 Nov 06, 2019	ALBERT + DAAF + Verifier (ensemble) PINGAN Omni-Sinitic	90.002	92.425
4 Sep 18, 2019	ALBERT (ensemble model) <i>Google Research & TTIC</i> https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11942	89.731	92.215
12 Nov 27, 2019	RoBERTa+Verify (ensemble) CW	86.933	90.037
12 Mar 20, 2019	BERT + DAE + AoA (ensemble) <i>Joint Laboratory of HIT and iFLYTEK Research</i>	87.147	89.474
12 Jul 20, 2019	RoBERTa (single model) <i>Facebook AI</i>	86.820	89.795
28 Dec 12, 2018	BERT finetune baseline (single model) Anonymous	82.126	84.820

Результаты GLUE (The General Language Understanding Evaluation)

2020

Rank	Name	Model	Score
1	ERNIE Team - Baidu	ERNIE	90.9
2	DeBERTa Team - Microsoft	DeBERTa / TuringNLRv4	90.8
3	HFL iFLYTEK	MacALBERT + DKM	90.7
4	Alibaba DAMO NLP	StructBERT + TAPT	90.6
5	PING-AN Omni-Sinitic	ALBERT + DAAF + NAS	90.6
6	T5 Team - Google	T5	90.3
7	Microsoft D365 AI & MSR AI & GATECHMT-DNN-SMART		89.9
8	Huawei Noah's Ark Lab	NEZHA-Large	89.8
9	Zihang Dai	Funnel-Transformer (Ensemble B10-10-10H1024)	89.7
10	ELECTRA Team	ELECTRA-Large + Standard Tricks	89.4
11	Microsoft D365 AI & UMD	FreeLB-RoBERTa (ensemble)	88.4
12	Junjie Yang	HIRE-RoBERTa	88.3
13	Facebook AI	RoBERTa	88.1
14	Microsoft D365 AI & MSR AI	MT-DNN-ensemble	87.6
15	GLUE Human Baselines	GLUE Human Baselines	87.1

2022

Rank	Name	Model	URL Score
1	JDExplore d-team	Vega v1	91.3
2	Microsoft Alexander v-team	Turing NLR v5	91.2
3	DIRL Team	DeBERTa + CLEVER	91.1
4	ERNIE Team - Baidu	ERNIE	91.1
5	AliceMind & DIRL	StructBERT + CLEVER	91.0
6	DeBERTa Team - Microsoft	DeBERTa / TuringNLRv4	90.8
7	HFL iFLYTEK	MacALBERT + DKM	90.7
8	PING-AN Omni-Sinitic	ALBERT + DAAF + NAS	90.6
9	T5 Team - Google	T5	90.3
10	Microsoft D365 AI & MSR AI & GATECH MT-DNN-SMART		89.9
11	Huawei Noah's Ark Lab	NEZHA-Large	89.8
12	Zihang Dai	Funnel-Transformer (Ensemble B10-10-10H1024)	
13	ELECTRA Team	ELECTRA-Large + Standard Tricks	

<https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard>

SuperGLUE

SuperGLUE Tasks				
Name	Identifier	Download	More Info	Metric
Broadcoverage Diagnostics	AX-b			Matthew's Corr
CommitmentBank	CB			Avg. F1 / Accuracy
Choice of Plausible Alternatives	COPA			Accuracy
Multi-Sentence Reading Comprehension	MultiRC			F1a / EM
Recognizing Textual Entailment	RTE			Accuracy
Words in Context	WiC			Accuracy
The Winograd Schema Challenge	WSC			Accuracy
BoolQ	BoolQ			Accuracy
Reading Comprehension with Commonsense Reasoning	ReCoRD			F1 / Accuracy
Winogender Schema Diagnostics	AX-g			Gender Parity / Accuracy

<https://super.gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard>

Table 2: Development set examples from the tasks in SuperGLUE. **Bold** text represents part of the example format for each task. Text in *italics* is part of the model input. **Underlined** text is specially marked in the input. Text in a monospaced font represents the expected model output.

BoolQ	<p>Passage: <i>Barq's – Barq's is an American soft drink. Its brand of root beer is notable for having caffeine. Barq's, created by Edward Barq and bottled since the turn of the 20th century, is owned by the Barq family but bottled by the Coca-Cola Company. It was known as Barq's Famous Olde Tyme Root Beer until 2012.</i></p> <p>Question: <i>is barq's root beer a pepsi product</i> Answer: No</p>
CB	<p>Text: <i>B: And yet, uh, I we-, I hope to see employer based, you know, helping out. You know, child, uh, care centers at the place of employment and things like that, that will help out. A: Uh-huh. B: What do you think, do you think we are, setting a trend?</i></p> <p>Hypothesis: <i>they are setting a trend</i> Entailment: Unknown</p>
COPA	<p>Premise: <i>My body cast a shadow over the grass.</i> Question: <i>What's the CAUSE for this?</i></p> <p>Alternative 1: <i>The sun was rising.</i> Alternative 2: <i>The grass was cut.</i></p> <p>Correct Alternative: 1</p>
MultRC	<p>Paragraph: <i>Susan wanted to have a birthday party. She called all of her friends. She has five friends. Her mom said that Susan can invite them all to the party. Her first friend could not go to the party because she was sick. Her second friend was going out of town. Her third friend was not so sure if her parents would let her. The fourth friend said maybe. The fifth friend could go to the party for sure. Susan was a little sad. On the day of the party, all five friends showed up. Each friend had a present for Susan. Susan was happy and sent each friend a thank you card the next week</i></p> <p>Question: <i>Did Susan's sick friend recover?</i> Candidate answers: Yes, she recovered (T), No (F), Yes (T), No, she didn't recover (F), Yes, she was at Susan's party (T)</p>

ReCoRD

Paragraph: (*CNN*) *Puerto Rico* on Sunday overwhelmingly voted for statehood. But Congress, the only body that can approve new states, will ultimately decide whether the status of the *US* commonwealth changes. Ninety-seven percent of the votes in the nonbinding referendum favored statehood, an increase over the results of a 2012 referendum, official results from the *State Electoral Commission* show. It was the fifth such vote on statehood. "Today, we the people of *Puerto Rico* are sending a strong and clear message to the *US* Congress ... and to the world ... claiming our equal rights as *American* citizens, *Puerto Rico* Gov. *Ricardo Rossello* said in a news release. @highlight *Puerto Rico* voted Sunday in favor of *US* statehood

Query For one, they can truthfully say, “Don’t blame me, I didn’t vote for them,” when discussing the <placeholder> presidency **Correct Entities:** US

RTE

Text: *Dana Reeve*, the widow of the actor *Christopher Reeve*, has died of lung cancer at age 44, according to the *Christopher Reeve Foundation*.

Hypothesis: *Christopher Reeve* had an accident. **Entailment:** False

WiC

Context 1: *Room and board*. **Context 2:** *He nailed boards across the windows*.

Sense match: False

WSC

Text: *Mark* told *Pete* many lies about himself, which *Pete* included in his book. *He* should have been more truthful. **Coreference:** False

Rank	Name	Model	URL	Score	BoolQ	CB	COPA	MultiRC	ReCoRD	RTE	WiC	WSC	AX-g	AX-b
1	DeBERTa Team - Microsoft	DeBERTa / TuringNLv4		90.3	90.4	95.7/97.6	98.4	88.2/63.7	94.5/94.1	93.2	77.5	95.9	93.3/93.8	66.7
2	Zirui Wang	T5 + Meena, Single Model (Meena Team - Google Brain)		90.2	91.3	95.8/97.6	97.4	88.3/63.0	94.2/93.5	92.7	77.9	95.9	88.8/89.9	66.5
3	SuperGLUE Human Baselines	SuperGLUE Human Baselines		89.8	89.0	95.8/98.9	100.0	81.8/51.9	91.7/91.3	93.6	80.0	100.0	99.3/99.7	76.6
4	T5 Team - Google	T5		89.3	91.2	93.9/96.8	94.8	88.1/63.3	94.1/93.4	92.5	76.9	93.8	92.7/91.9	65.6
5	Huawei Noah's Ark Lab	NEZHA-Plus		86.7	87.8	94.4/96.0	93.6	84.6/55.1	90.1/89.6	89.1	74.6	93.2	87.1/74.4	58.0
6	Alibaba PAI&ICBU	PAI Albert		86.1	88.1	92.4/96.4	91.8	84.6/54.7	89.0/88.3	88.8	74.1	93.2	98.3/99.2	75.6
7	Infosys : DAWN : AI Research	RoBERTa-iCETS		86.0	88.5	93.2/95.2	91.2	86.4/58.2	89.9/89.3	89.9	72.9	89.0	88.8/81.5	61.8
8	Tencent Jarvis Lab	RoBERTa (ensemble)		85.9	88.2	92.5/95.6	90.8	84.4/53.4	91.5/91.0	87.9	74.1	91.8	89.3/75.6	57.6
9	Zhuiyi Technology	RoBERTa-mtl-adv		85.7	87.1	92.4/95.6	91.2	85.1/54.3	91.7/91.3	88.1	72.1	91.8	91.0/78.1	58.5
10	Facebook AI	RoBERTa		84.6	87.1	90.5/95.2	90.6	84.4/52.5	90.6/90.0	88.2	69.9	89.0	91.0/78.1	57.9
11	Anuar Sharafudinov	AllLabs Team Transformers		77.5	88.1	62.2/90.4	86.8	85.1/54.7	76.2/74.9	86.6	74.1	62.3	100.0/100.0	100.0
12	Timo Schick	iPET (ALBERT) - Few-Shot (32 Examples)		75.4	81.2	79.9/88.8	90.8	74.1/31.7	85.9/85.4	70.8	49.3	88.4	97.8/57.9	36.2
13	Adrian de Wynter	Bort (Alexa AI)		74.1	83.7	81.9/86.4	89.6	83.7/54.1	49.8/49.0	81.2	70.1	65.8	96.1/61.5	48.0
14	IBM Research AI	BERT-mtl		73.5	84.8	89.6/94.0	73.8	73.2/30.5	74.6/74.0	84.1	66.2	61.0	97.8/57.3	29.6
15	Ben Mann	GPT-3 few-shot - OpenAI		71.8	76.4	52.0/75.6	92.0	75.4/30.5	91.1/90.2	69.0	49.4	80.1	90.4/55.3	21.1
16	SuperGLUE Baselines	BERT++		71.5	79.0	84.8/90.4	73.8	70.0/24.1	72.0/71.3	79.0	69.6	64.4	99.4/51.4	38.0
		BERT		69.0	77.4	75.7/83.6	70.6	70.0/24.1	72.0/71.3	71.7	69.6	64.4	97.8/51.7	23.0

SuperGLUE 2022

Rank	Name	Model	URL	Score	BoolQ	CB	COPA	MultiRC	ReCoRD	RTE	WiC	WSC	AX-g	AX-b	
+	1	Liam Fedus	ST-MoE-32B		91.2	92.4	96.9/98.0	99.2	89.6/65.8	95.1/94.4	93.5	77.7	96.6	96.1/94.1	72.3
	2	Microsoft Alexander v-team	Turing NLR v5		90.9	92.0	95.9/97.6	98.2	88.4/63.0	96.4/95.9	94.1	77.1	97.3	93.3/95.5	67.8
	3	ERNIE Team - Baidu	ERNIE 3.0		90.6	91.0	98.6/99.2	97.4	88.6/63.2	94.7/94.2	92.6	77.4	97.3	92.7/94.7	68.6
+	4	Zirui Wang	T5 + UDG, Single Model (Google Brain)		90.4	91.4	95.8/97.6	98.0	88.3/63.0	94.2/93.5	93.0	77.9	96.6	92.7/91.9	69.1
+	5	DeBERTa Team - Microsoft	DeBERTa / TuringNLVRv4		90.3	90.4	95.7/97.6	98.4	88.2/63.7	94.5/94.1	93.2	77.5	95.9	93.3/93.8	66.7
	6	SuperGLUE Human Baselines	SuperGLUE Human Baselines		89.8	89.0	95.8/98.9	100.0	81.8/51.9	91.7/91.3	93.6	80.0	100.0	99.3/99.7	76.6

Итог

**Трансформер – оригинальная нерекуррентная архитектура
Сейчас почти все лучшие решения основаны на трансформере**

Тренды:
обучение на большом корпусе
подстраивание под конкретные задачи
«упрощение»: уменьшение числа параметров, слоёв

**Центральная идея современного NLP –
«Transfer Learning»**
предобучаемся на задаче с большими данными и дешёвой разметкой
переносим модель и дообучаем под нашу задачу

Пример аннотированного кода
<http://nlp.seas.harvard.edu/2018/04/03/attention.html>