

Structural Vector Autoregressions Proxy Identification

Dario Caldara

Federal Reserve Board

ECON 597 - Georgetown University

Overview

- Proxy Identification:
- **Mertens K., and Ravn M. O.**, 2013. "The Dynamic Effects of Personal and Corporate Income Tax Changes in the United States," *American Economic Review*, vol. 103(4), pages 1212-1247.
- **Gertler M., and Karadi P.**, 2015. "Monetary Policy Surprises, Credit Costs, and Economic Activity," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, vol. 7(1), pages 44-76.
- **Caldara D., and Kamps C.**, 2017. "The Analytics of SVARs: A Unified Framework to Measure Fiscal Multipliers," *Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 84(3), pages 1015-1040.
- **Caldara D., and Herbst E.**, 2018. "Monetary Policy, Real Activity, and Credit Spreads: Evidence from Bayesian Proxy SVARs," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, forthcoming.

Instrumental Variable Approach

- Refresh memory. Instrumental variable estimation:

$$y_t = \beta x_t + u_t, \quad \mathbb{E}[x_t u_t] \neq 0$$

- Let w_t be an instrument for x_t satisfying:

$$\mathbb{E}[w_t x_t] \neq 0 \quad (\text{Relevance})$$

$$\mathbb{E}[w_t u_t] = 0 \quad (\text{Exogeneity})$$

- Two stage least square (2SLS):
 1. First stage: Regress x_t on w_t and obtain \hat{x}_t .
 2. Second stage: Regress y_t on \hat{x}_t to obtain consistent estimate of β .

Instrumental Variables and SVAR Identification

- Identifying restrictions generate 'instruments'.
- The elements of B_0 (or B_0^{-1}) can also be obtained by IV methods.
- Structural VAR:

$$B_0 y_t = B_1 y_{t-1} + \cdots + B_p y_{t-p} + w_t$$

- Reduced form VAR:

$$y_t = A_1 y_{t-1} + \cdots + A_p y_{t-p} + u_t$$

- Relationship between reduced form residuals and structural shocks:

$$B_0 u_t = w_t$$

Example: 3-Equation Monetary SVAR

- Assume VAR(0), so that $y_t = u_t$.

$$b_{11,0}\Delta x_t + b_{12,0}\pi_t + b_{13,0}i_t = w_{1t}$$

$$b_{21,0}\Delta x_t + b_{22,0}\pi_t + b_{23,0}i_t = w_{2t}$$

$$b_{31,0}\Delta x_t + b_{32,0}\pi_t + b_{33,0}i_t = w_{3t}$$

- Rewrite the system as:

$$\Delta x_t = \psi_{12,0}\pi_t + \psi_{13,0}i_t + \sigma_x w_{x,t}$$

$$\pi_t = \psi_{21,0}\Delta x_t + \psi_{23,0}i_t + \sigma_\pi w_{\pi,t}$$

$$i_t = \psi_{31,0}\Delta x_t + \psi_{32,0}\pi_t + \sigma_{mp} w_{mp,t}$$

Cholesky Identification as IV

- Assume this variable ordering: $[\Delta x_t, \pi_t, i_t]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_t &= \sigma_x w_{x,t} \\ \pi_t &= \psi_{21,0} \Delta x_t + \sigma_\pi w_{\pi,t} \\ i_t &= \psi_{31,0} \Delta x_t + \psi_{32,0} \pi_t + \sigma_{mp} w_{i,t}\end{aligned}$$

- IV implementation:

- Obtain σ_x as the square root of Δx_t .
 - Calculate $w_{x,t} = \sigma_x^{-1} \Delta x_t$.
- Regress π_t on Δx_t using $w_{x,t}$ as an instrument to obtain $\psi_{21,0}$.
 - Obtain σ_π by calculating the standard deviation of the residual $\pi_t - \psi_{21,0} \Delta x_t$.
 - Calculate $w_{\pi,t} = \sigma_\pi^{-1} (\pi_t - \psi_{21,0} \Delta x_t)$.
- Regress i_t on Δx_t and π_t using $w_{x,t}$ and $w_{\pi,t}$ as instruments to obtain $\psi_{31,0}$ and $\psi_{32,0}$.
 - Obtain σ_{mp} by calculating the standard deviation of the residual $i_t - \psi_{31,0} \Delta x_t - \psi_{32,0} \pi_t$.

Proxy Identification

- Identification schemes (e.g. recursive) can be thought as generating 'instruments' that identify the system.
- What if we have **actual** instruments that can help identifying the structure of the model?
- Within the VAR literature, instruments are often referred to as:
 - ▶ **External instruments**: as they are constructed using information that is external to the model, as opposed to the internal instruments we can generate, say, through a Cholesky.
 - ▶ Proxies: as they are assumed to be imperfect measures (proxies) for the structural shocks we want to identify in the structural VAR.

Examples of Instruments

What are these instruments?

- **Event Studies:**

- ▶ Tax reforms legislated for ideological (as opposed to economic) reasons.
Romer and Romer (2010)
- ▶ Changes in military spending.
Ramey (2011)

- **Natural Experiments:**

- ▶ Oil price changes due to wars & natural disasters.
Kilian (2009); Caldara, Cavallo & Iacoviello (2018)

- **High Frequency Data:**

- ▶ Surprise changes in monetary policy calculated within minutes of FOMC statements using high frequency data.
Gürkaynak, Swanson, & Sack (2005); Gertler & Karadi (2014)

Proxy Identification

- Assume we have a **proxy** (instrument), denoted by m_t that measures surprise changes in monetary policy.
- Assume that proxy m_t satisfies the following conditions:

$$\mathbb{E}[m_t w_{mp,t}] \neq 0 \quad (Relevance)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[m_t w_{-mp,t}] = 0 \quad (Exogeneity)$$

where $w_{-mp,t}$ denote all non monetary policy shocks.

- Because the proxy sounds like a measure of the **unobserved** monetary policy structural shock, many folks think that proxy SVAR identification means estimating a VAR assuming that the shock is observed... **THIS IS WRONG!**
- Yet, it is correct that, if we only have a proxy for monetary policy shocks, we will identify only the monetary policy shock in the SVAR.

Proxy Identification

- The goal is to identify $w_{mp,t}$ without imposing any a-priori restriction (e.g. zeros).

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_t &= \psi_{12,0}\pi_t + \psi_{13,0}i_t + \sigma_x w_{x,t} \\ \pi_t &= \psi_{21,0}\Delta x_t + \psi_{23,0}i_t + \sigma_\pi w_{\pi,t}\end{aligned}$$

- Rewrite these two equations as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_t &= \zeta_1 i_t + \tilde{w}_{x,t} \\ \pi_t &= \zeta_2 i_t + \tilde{w}_{\pi,t}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{\psi_{12,0}\psi_{23,0} + \psi_{13,0}}{1 - \psi_{12,0}\psi_{21,0}}, \quad \zeta_2 = \psi_{21,0}\zeta_1 + \psi_{23,0},$$

$$\tilde{w}_{x,t} = \frac{1}{1 - \psi_{12,0}\psi_{21,0}} (\psi_{12,0}\sigma_\pi w_{\pi,t} + \sigma_x w_{x,t})$$

$$\tilde{w}_{\pi,t} = \psi_{21,0}\tilde{w}_{x,t} + \sigma_\pi w_{\pi,t}$$

Proxy SVAR: Implementation

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_t &= \zeta_1 i_t + \tilde{w}_{x,t} \\ \pi_t &= \zeta_2 i_t + \tilde{w}_{\pi,t} \\ i_t &= \psi_{31,0} \Delta x_t + \psi_{32,0} \pi_t + \sigma_{mp} w_{i,t}\end{aligned}$$

- Proxy SVAR implementation:

- 1a Regress Δx_t on i_t using m_t as an instrument to obtain ζ_1 .
- 1b Regress π_t on i_t using m_t as an instrument to obtain ζ_2 .
- 1c Obtain residuals $\tilde{w}_{x,t} = \Delta x_t - \zeta_1 i_t$ and $\tilde{w}_{\pi,t} = \pi_t - \zeta_2 i_t$.
- 2 Regress i_t on Δx_t and π_t using $\tilde{w}_{x,t}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\pi,t}$ as instruments to obtain $\psi_{31,0}$ and $\psi_{32,0}$.
- 3 Obtain σ_{mp} by calculating the standard deviation of the residual $i_t - \psi_{31,0} \Delta x_t - \psi_{32,0} \pi_t$.

Proxy Identification: Some Considerations

- This is a **SVAR identification strategy**: we impose some restrictions on the structural coefficients.
- The restrictions are **covariance restrictions** coming from the relationship between the proxy and the model variables!
 - ▶ Possibly more appealing than zero (or even sign) restrictions...
 - ▶ Especially if we can use a reliable and exogenous proxy!
- Proxy identification identifies:
 - ▶ Shocks for which we have proxies available
 - ▶ The structural parameters of the equation associated with the identified shock → In our example: **the systematic component of monetary policy**.

Proxy Identification: Some Considerations

- The VAR is partially identified.
 - ▶ How do you see it?
 - ▶ What does it mean?
- The monetary policy equation is fully identified ($\psi_{31,0}$, $\psi_{32,0}, w_{mp,t}$).
- The other two equations are not. We only identify:
 - ▶ Non-linear functions of the structural parameters (ζ_1 and ζ_2);
 - ▶ Linear combinations of the 'true' structural shocks ($\tilde{w}_{x,t}$, $\tilde{w}_{\pi,t}$), where $\text{corr}(\tilde{w}_{x,t}, \tilde{w}_{\pi,t}) \neq 0$.
- To fully identify the system, I should make additional assumptions.
E.g. assume $\psi_{21,0} = 0$.

$$\zeta_1 = \psi_{12,0}\psi_{23,0} + \psi_{13,0}, \quad \zeta_2 = \psi_{23,0},$$

$$\tilde{w}_{x,t} = (\psi_{12,0}\sigma_{\pi}w_{\pi,t} + \sigma_x w_{x,t})$$

$$\tilde{w}_{\pi,t} = \sigma_{\pi}w_{\pi,t}$$

Proxy Identification: Some Considerations

- From the proxy identification we have estimates of:

$$\psi_{31,0}, \psi_{32,0}, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$$

- Then we can obtain:

$$\psi_{23,0} = \zeta_2$$

$$\psi_{12,0} = \frac{\zeta_1 - \psi_{13,0}}{\psi_{23,0}}$$

$$w_{\pi,t} = \sigma_{\pi}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{\pi,t}$$

$$w_{x,t} = \sigma_x^{-1} (\tilde{w}_{x,t} - \psi_{12,0} \sigma_{\pi} w_{\pi,t})$$

- NOTE!!!:** The identification of the two non-policy shocks $w_{x,t}$ and $w_{\pi,t}$ does not affect the identification of the policy shock $w_{mp,t}$!
- The reverse is not true:** the identification of the policy shock affects the identification of the non-policy shocks.