March 23, 2023

Elara Language Specification

Alexander Wood

Contents

l	Inti	Introduction		
	1.1	Code Examples	3	
		1.1.1 Hello World	3	
		1.1.2 Pattern Matching over Lists and Higher Order Functions	3	
		1.1.3 Custom Data Types	3	
		1.1.4 Pattern Matching on Data Types	4	
		1.1.5 Type Classes	4	
		1.1.6 Monad Comprehension / Notation	4	
	1.2	Syntax	5	
		1.2.1 Literals	5	
		1.2.2 Identifiers	5	
		1.2.3 Patterns	5	
		1.2.4 Multi-line Environments	6	
		1.2.5 Lightweight Syntax	6	
	1.3	Code Structure	8	
		1.3.1 Packages	8	
		1.3.2 Modules	9	
	1.4	Types	10	
		1.4.1 Basic Types		
		1.4.2 Record Types	11	
		1.4.3 Custom Data Types	11	
		1.4.4 Formal Syntax	13	
		1.4.5 Expanding User Defined Types	13	

1 Introduction

Elara is a statically-typed multi-paradigm programming language targeting the JVM and based on the Hindley-Milner type system. It supports a succinct, Haskell-like syntax while preserving readability and ease of use.

Elara focuses on the purely functional paradigm, but also supports Object Oriented programming and imperative programming.

Elara's notable features include:

- Structural pattern matching with exhaustiveness checking
- Type classes for polymorphism
- Complete sound type inference with higher-kinded and higher-rank types

1.1 Code Examples

While all the following examples are syntactically correct, they may assume the existence of functions not provided in the examples in order to compile.

1.1.1 Hello World

```
let main = println "Hello World!"
```

1.1.2 Pattern Matching over Lists and Higher Order Functions

```
let map f list =
   match list with
    [] → []
    (x :: xs) → f x :: map xs f

let main =
   let list = [1, 2, 3, 4]
   let doubleNum i = i * 2
   println (map doubleNum list)
```

1.1.3 Custom Data Types

Elara has a very flexible type system which allows for many different forms of data types to be defined.

```
type Name = String // Simple type alias

type Animal = Cat | Dog // Simple Discriminated Union

type Person = { // Record Type
    name : Name,
    age : Int,
}

type RequestState = // Complex Discriminated Union with different constructor arities
    Connected String
    | Pending
    | Failed Error

type Option a = // Polymorphic (generic) data types
    Some a
    | None

type Fix f = Fix (f (Fix f)) // Recursive, higher-kinded data types
```

```
// Combination of multiple type features
type JSONElement =
      JSONString String
    JSONNumber Int
    JSONNull
    | JSONArray [JSONElement]
    | JSONObject [{ // record syntax can be used anonymously
        key: String,
        value : JSONElement
    }]
1.1.4 Pattern Matching on Data Types
type JSONElement =
      JSONString String
    JSONNumber Int
    JSONNull
    | JSONArray [JSONElement]
    | JSONObject [{
        key: String,
        value : JSONElement
    }]
let jsonToString elem = match elem with
    JSONNull → "null"
    JSONString str \rightarrow str
    JSONNumber num \rightarrow toString num
    JSONArray arr \rightarrow "[" \Leftrightarrow (String.join ", " (map jsonToString arr)) \Leftrightarrow "]"
    JSONObject components \rightarrow
        let componentToString { key, value } =
             "\"" <> key <> "\" : " <> jsonToString value
        in "{" <> String.join ", " (map componentToString components) <> "}"
1.1.5 Type Classes
type String = [Char]
type class ToString a where
    toString : a → String
instance ToString String where
    toString s = s
instance ToString Char where
    toString c = [c]
-- Impure function taking any s with an instance ToString s, returns Unit
def print : ToString s \Rightarrow s \rightarrow IO ()
let print s = println (toString s)
let main =
    print "Hello"
    print 'c'
    print 123 // Doesn't compile, no ToString instance for Int
1.1.6 Monad Comprehension / Notation
def sequenceActions : Monad m \Rightarrow [m \ a] \rightarrow m \ [a]
def sequenceActions list = match list with
    [] \rightarrow pure []
```

```
(x:xs) →
    let! x' = x
    let! xs' = sequenceActions xs
    pure (x' : xs')

def sequenceActions_ : Monad m ⇒ [m a] → m ()
def sequenceActions_ list = match list with
[] → pure ()
(x:xs) →
    do! x
    sequenceActions_ xs
```

1.2 Syntax

1.2.1 Literals

1.2.2 Identifiers

1.2.2.1 Variable Identifiers

1.2.3 Patterns

A large part of Functional Programming is *pattern matching*, the process of matching a value against a pattern and extracting information from it.

Elara supports a large number of patterns and pattern combinators to try and make this as painless as possible:

• Var patterns:

vn where vn is any valid variable identifier (see Section 1.2.2.1) matches any value and binds it to the name vn.

• Wildcard patterns:

_ matches any value and discards it. This is useful when you only care about some of the arguments of a function or constructor.

• Literal patterns:

Any of the supported literals (see Section 1.2.1) may be used as patterns. These match only if the value is equal to the literal.

• Constructor patterns:

(C p1 p2 ... pn) matches a value v if v is a constructor C applied to n arguments, and if p1, p2, ..., pn match the arguments of v respectively.

• Tuple patterns:

(p1, p2, ..., pn) matches a value v if v is a tuple of n elements, and if p1, p2, ..., pn match the elements of v respectively.

• List Patterns:

[] matches the empty list.

[p1, p2, ..., pn] matches a list of n elements, and if p1, p2, ..., pn match the elements of the list respectively.

p1:: p2 matches a list of n elements, and if p1 matches the first element of the list and p2 matches the rest of the list. (Note that this is technically a constructor pattern, just with special syntax)

• Named Record Patterns

 $\{f1: v1, f2: v2, ..., fn: vn\}$ matches a value v if v is a record with fields f1, f2, ..., fn and if v1, v2, ..., vn match the values of the fields respectively. As records are first-class types, this pattern

• As patterns:

p as vn matches a value v if p matches v and binds the name vn to v. This is useful in more complex patterns. For example ([1, _, _] as l, _) matches a 2-tuple whose first element is a list of exactly 3 elements, starting with 1, and binds the name l to the whole list

• If patterns (guards):

p if e matches a value v if p matches v and e evaluates to True. The expression e is evaluated in the same scope as the pattern, so it can refer to any variables bound by the pattern.

A practical example of this is n if is Even n which only matches even numbers (assuming is Even works as the name suggests)

Note that guards often break exhaustiveness checking. For example, this will not compile:

```
def f : Int \rightarrow Int
let f n = match n with
n if isEven n \rightarrow n + 1
n if isOdd n \rightarrow n - 1
```

This is because the compiler cannot prove that is Even and is Odd are mutually exclusive so cannot prove that the match covers all cases.

1.2.4 Multi-line Environments

Some syntactic structures in Elara can create multi-line environments. Formally, this means that rather than a single expression, a semicolon-separated list of *statements*, surrounded by braces, can be used where a multi-line environment is permitted.

Practically, this allows the imperative idea of "blocks" of code to be used, rather than having a binding be a single long expression. Note that this feature is merely syntax-sugar and does not change the purely-functional semantics of Elara. When in a multi-line environment, the syntax is extended to allow imperative statements:

• Standalone let bindings:

```
let x = 1;
```

• Monadic let expressions:

```
let! x = action in x + 1;
```

• Monadic let bindings:

```
let! x = action;
```

• Monadic do statements:

```
do! action;
```

• Monadic (applicative) return statements:

```
return! 1;
```

1.2.5 Lightweight Syntax

Elara allows lightweight syntax, a feature heavily inspired by F#. This makes newlines and indentation significant, allowing the omission of many braces and other tokens. Its use is recommended in almost every case.

Note that the tokens that can be ignored when using lightweight syntax may still be written manually, making the use of lightweight syntax effectively optional. The only difference is that

the lexer should insert them implicitly if and only if they are missing when using lightweight syntax.

1.2.5.1 Lightweight Syntax Rules by Example

The following describes the lightweight syntax rules in an informal, example-based manner.

1.2.5.1.1 Optional Semicolons

In normal syntax, semicolons are required to separate statements and must appear at the end of every declaration or statement. In lightweight mode, semicolons are optional and are inferred by the presence of a newline (\n) character.

Normal Syntax

Lightweight Syntax

```
let x = 1;
let y = 2;
let main = println (x + y);
let main = println (x + y)
```

1.2.5.1.2 Optional Braces

In normal syntax, braces are required when beginning a multi-line environment (see Section 1.2.4) or in a few other cases (such as the body of a match expression)

In lightweight syntax, braces are optional and can be inferred by newlines and indentation.

Normal Syntax

Lightweight Syntax

```
let x = {
                                                    let x =
    1;
                                                         1
                                                    let y = \x \rightarrow
let y = \x \rightarrow \{
    1:
let main = match x with {
                                                    let main = match x with
    1 \rightarrow \{
         println "it's 1";
                                                              println "it's 1"
    };
                                                              println "it's not 1"
         println "it's not 1";
    };
}
let test x = {
                                                    let test x =
    if x then {
                                                         if x then
         1;
                                                              1
    } else {
                                                         else
         2;
    }
}
```

1.2.5.2 Offside Rule

When using lightweight syntax, the indentation is flexible but not arbitrary. The "offside rule" is used to determine which columns code should be indented to. The offside rule marks specific columns in the source code as "offside" for a given syntactic construct. Code on proceeding lines must be indented to the same column to be considered part of the same syntactic construct. If the code is indented further and a new offside rule cannot be triggered, an error is raised. If

the code is indented less, the offside rule is exited and the code is considered to be part of the parent syntactic construct

The following tokens trigger the offside rule at their respective columns:

- The first non-whitespace token after the = token of a let construct
- The first non-whitespace token after the \rightarrow token of a lambda
- The first non-whitespace token after the then token of an if expression
- The first non-whitespace token after the else token of an if expression
- The first non-whitespace token after the with token of an match expression
- The first non-whitespace token after the \rightarrow token of an match case
- The first non-whitespace token after a { token
- The start of a let, if or module token

1.2.5.2.1 The Offside Rule in Action

The following examples demonstrate the offside rule in action, noting when it is used incorrectly and errors should be raised.

As the module keyword triggers the offside rule, all top-level declarations must be indented to the same column. This can produce some interesting, but correct results. All top-level declarations can be indented as long as it's consistent:

```
module Main
                           module keyword triggers the offside rule
  let x = 1
                          marks offside column
  let y = 2
                          | same column, fine
let z = 3
                          | bad! not considered part of the module, error!
let x =
                          = triggers the offside rule
    let y = 1
                          | correctly indented
      let z = 2
                          | bad! indented too much
                          | correctly indented
    y + z
let main =
                          = triggers the offside rule
  match [1, 2, 3] with
                          | with triggers the offside rule
    x :: [] \rightarrow "one"
                          x's column marked as offside
   x :: xs \rightarrow "many"
                          | bad! not indented enough
     [] → "empty list"
                          | bad! too far indented
```

1.3 Code Structure

1.3.1 Packages

The basic unit of compilation in Elara is a *package*. A package is a collection of modules, which are typically compiled and distributed together. Packages are defined in a elara.json file located in the root directory of the package. This file provides metadata about the package such as name, author, version, etc.

1.3.1.1 elara.json structure

The elara.json file is a standard JSON file that must contain the following attributes at the top level:

• name: string - The package's name. This may only contain alphanumeric characters, and the - symbol. Conventionally, package names are written in lower-snake-case

• version: string - The package's version. This must be a valid semantic version string. Typically 1.0.0 is used for initial releases and should usually be the default value when generating elara.json files.

1.3.2 Modules

Inside packages, Elara code is organised into hierarchial *modules*. Modules are single files containing a (possibly empty) list of declarations which define namespaces for these declarations. Modules are named using the module keyword which must appear at the start of the file, and must be named as at least 1 UpperCamelCase section, separated by . characters. For example, the module names Foo, Foo.Bar, and Foo.Bar.Baz are all valid. Module names must be unique within a package, and must reflect the file structure of the package. For example, a module named Foo.Bar must be located at src/Foo/Bar.elara.

Importantly, modules are hierarchial with respect to importing. Given modules Foo.Bar, the module Foo.Bar is a "child" of Foo. This has 2 important implications:

- The module Foo.Bar can reference declarations in Foo without explicitly importing it
- Modules importing Foo will also import Foo.Bar, and any other child modules of Foo

The following code across multiple files demonstrates the above points:

src/Foo.elara	src/Foo/Bar.elara	src/Main.elara	
module Foo	module Foo.Bar		
		module Main	
def x : Int	def y : Int	import Foo	
let x = 1	let y = Foo.x + 1		
		def main : IO ()	
		<pre>let main = print Foo.Bar.y</pre>	

1.3.2.1 Imports

Importing is the action of bringing a module's declarations into scope. This is done using the import keyword, which must appear at the top of the file under the module declaration.

By default, imports are **qualified** and expose **everything**. This means that when referencing a member imported from another module, the module name must be prefixed, e.g. Foo.Bar.y rather than y

1.3.2.1.1 Qualification

Qualified imports can be made unqualified by using the unqualified keyword after the module name, e.g. import Foo.Bar unqualified.

Unqualified imports should be used sparingly as they can lead to name clashes and scope pollution. Note that even with an unqualified import, explicit qualification is still permitted.

1.3.2.1.2 Expositions

By default, all declarations in a module are exposed (brought into scope) when imported. If this is not desired, a subset of the declarations can be imported using the exposing keyword after the module name, e.g. import Foo.Bar exposing (x, y).

This can be quite useful when combined with unqualified imports. For example, suppose a library provides a <code>HashMap</code> module whose members' names clash with the Prelude. We could write something like

```
import HashMap unqualified exposing (HashMap)
import HashMap
```

```
def testMap : HashMap String Int
let testMap = HashMap.singleton "foo" 1
```

to allow the use of the HashMap type name without qualification, but everything else must be qualified.

Modules may also control their exposed members in the module declaration with a very similar syntax: module Foo exposing (x, y). This means that at most, the listed members can be imported. Any members not in the exposition list can be considered "private" to the module.

1.3.2.1.3 Aliasing

Finally, it can be convenient to rename a module when importing it. This can be done using the as keyword, e.g. import Foo.Bar as Bar. This allows us to refer to the module as Bar rather than Foo.Bar in the current file.

Going back to the previous HashMap example, we might rename the unqualified HashMap module to Map to avoid confusion:

```
import HashMap unqualified exposing (HashMap)
import HashMap as Map

def testMap : HashMap String Int
let testMap = Map.singleton "foo" 1
```

1.4 Types

honestly man I'm not gonna pretend to know what all the theory actually works, so here's just a list of what Elara's type system can do (theoretically)

1.4.1 Basic Types

As Elara is based on the Hindley-Milner system, types are either monotypes or polytypes.

Monotypes are simple, non-polymorphic types that can be either:

- A concrete type such as Int or String
- An application of a type function such as $Int \to String$, which is the application of the type function \to to the types Int and String, representing a function from Int to String

Polytypes (often called *generic types* in imperative languages) contain type variables which are bound by zero or more universal quantifiers.

The simplest example of a polytype is $\forall a.a \to a$, written in Elara as forall a. a \to a (the forall a. is optional and may be omitted, all type variables are universally quantified). This type represents a function that takes a value of any type and returns a value of the same type.

Polytypes must be *instantiated* before they can be used practically. This is the process of substituting the type variables with concrete types. This is generally done automatically based on context.

For example, the following code is valid:

```
def id : forall a. a \rightarrow a
let id x = x
def num : Int
let num = id 1
```

The type of id is forall a. $a \to a$, which is a polytype. In num, id 1 is called. Since 1 is a monotype (an Int), the a is substituted with Int to give the specialised type Int \to Int for id. This implies that the type of id 1 is Int which matches the declared type of num.

However, polytypes are not required to be instantiated. Consider a higher-order function map: forall a b. $(a \to b) \to [a] \to [b]$. Calling map id is valid despite id's type being a polytype. In this example, the types forall a b. $(a \to b)$ and forall a. $a \to a$ are unified to give the type forall a. $a \to a$ (since b must "equal" a for the 2 types to be equivalent). Therefore the type of the expression map id is forall a. $[a] \to [a]$. Note that while b is instantiated to a, a is not instantiated.

Note that the *type functions* mentioned earlier are typically polytypes. Int \rightarrow String is a monotype, but \rightarrow is a polytype with 2 type variables.

1.4.2 Record Types

Elara supports first-class record types. A record type {k1: t1, k2: t2, ...} is a monotype that represents a record with keys k1, k2, etc. and types t1, t2, etc. respectively.

A record type is defined as a set of *fields*, each with an explicit name and type, written as name: type, separated by commas (,) and enclosed in curly braces ({}).

For example, the following defines a record type with 2 fields, x and y, both of which are Ints:

```
{ x: Int, y: Int }
```

Record types are constructed using a similar syntax:

```
def origin : { x: Int, y: Int }
let origin = { x = 0, y = 0 }
```

TODO: document row polymorphism

1.4.3 Custom Data Types

Elara supports user-defined data types, which are defined using the type keyword followed by a name and an optional set of type variables, separated by spaces.

User-defined data types may be either algebraic or alias types, or a combination of the two.

1.4.3.1 Algebraic Data Types

An algebraic data type (commonly called a *discriminated union*) is defined as a set of named *constructors* that each take a nullable set of arguments, separated by the | character.

A value of an algebraic data type may be any one of the type's constructors with the appropriate arguments.

For example, the following defines an algebraic type Option with 2 constructors, Some and None:

with this definition, we can pattern match over the constructors:

```
def getOrDefault : forall a. Option a \to a \to a let getOrDefault opt default = match opt with Some x \to x
None \to default
```

and we can construct values of the type using either of the constructors:

1.4.3.2 Alias Types

An alias type defines a name for an already existing type. For example, the following defines a type Tuple2 which represents tuples as records:

```
type Tuple2 a b = { _1: a, _2: b }
Importantly, alias types may be recursive:
type Person = { name: String, children: [Person] }
def child : Person
let child = { name = "Bob", children = [] }
def parent : Person
let parent = { name = "Alice", children = [child] }
```

This means that an alias is not simply a synonym for an existing type, as this type would be impossible to express without an alias.

1.4.3.3 Combinations of Algebraic and Alias Types

Algebraic and alias types may be combined to create more complex types. For example, we can define a JSON AST as follows:

```
type JSON
    = Number Float
    | String String
    List [JSON]
    | Object [{ key: String, value: JSON }]
    Null
The JSON text
    "foo": 1,
    "bar": [1, 2, 3],
    "baz": {
        "qux": "hello"
would be represented as the following value:
def jsonObj : JSON
let jsonObj =
    Object
        [ { key = "foo", value: Number 1.0}
        , { key = "bar", value: List [Number 1.0, Number 2.0, Number 3.0]}
        , { key = "baz", value: Object [ { key = "qux", value: String "hello" } ] }
```

1.4.4 Formal Syntax

The following BNF grammar defines the formal syntax of Elara types, where the term <typeDecl> is a user-defined type declaration.

```
<recordField> ::= <fieldName> <spaces> ":" <spaces> <type>
<recordType> ::= "{" <spaces> (<recordField> <spacesOrNewlines>) ("," <spaces>
<recordField>)* <spaces> "}"
<constructor> ::= <typeName> <spaces> (<type> <spaces>)*
<algebraicDataType> ::= <constructor> <spaces> ("|" <constructor> <spaces>)*
<type>
::= <typeName>
      | <recordType>
<topLevelType>
::= <type>
      | <algebraicDataType>
<typeDecl> ::= "type" " "+ <typeName> <fieldName>* <spaces> "=" <topLevelType>
<fieldName> ::= [a-z] ([a-z] | [A-Z] | [0-9])*
<typeName> ::= [A-Z] ([a-z] | [A-Z] | [0-9])*
<spaces> ::= " "*
<spacesOrNewlines> ::= (" " | "\n")*
```

1.4.5 Expanding User Defined Types

Some user defined types can be simplified by expanding them to their underlying type. This can make compilation easier and potentially reduce the number of allocations needed.

For example, when writing a simple alias such as type Age = Int, we would not expect usage of Age to behave any differently than Int or require any extra memory allocations, as they are isomorphic. Therefore, the compiler can simply substitute all uses of Age with Int.

Similarly, algebraic data types with a single constructor can be expanded to their underlying type. For example, type $Box\ a = Box\ a$ should be expanded to type $Box\ a = a$. This also requires eliminating usages of the $Box\ constructor$ in expressions and patterns:

Single constructor ADTs are often very useful for making non-standard instances of a type class. Their use should not incur any performance or memory penalty at runtime.

Compilers are expected to simplify non-recursive type aliases and single-constructor ADTs. While further simplification may be possible, it is not required.