PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE



CII/CASE; BS171730 LEA/DEF#;

\$435,80 \$0,80 \$0,80 \$0,80 RECEIPT #; CCH5G5376037

DATE PAID: 12/14/17 12:43 PM
PAYMENT: \$435,00
RECEIVED: \$435,00
CHECK: \$435,00
CASH: \$0.00
CASH: \$0.00

nergida0



ť

Petitioner KOSALA HEMACHANDRA ("Hemachandra"), a member and manager of Respondent MYETHERWALLET, LLC ("MEW"), whose books and records are controlled and in the possession of director, member, and manager of MEW, Respondent TAYLOR MONAHAN ("Monahan," collectively, "Respondents"), petitions this Court for a Writ of Mandate or other extraordinary Writ or Order directing Respondents to immediately make available to Hemachandra and his attorneys for inspection and copying, all books, records, and financial documents of MEW. Hemachandra brings this action under Code of Civil Procedure section 1085 and Corporations Code section 17704.10. Hemachandra alleges as follows on knowledge as to himself and his known acts, and on information and belief as to all other matters.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction is proper in this action because Monahan is believed to be a resident of Los Angeles County, and a substantial part of the conduct at issue was performed or to be performed in Los Angeles County, California. Hemachandra is informed and believes that MEW is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California. Hence, this Court has both personal jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter jurisdiction over this action.
- 2. Venue is proper in this court. The records at issue are in the possession of Monahan, who resides in Los Angeles County, California.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction and authority to issue the requested Writ of Mandate pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1085 and California Corporations Code section 17704.10.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Hemachandra is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California, at all relevant times. Hemachandra is a member, manager, and holder of an economic interest in MEW.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Monahan is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California, at all relevant times. At all relevant times, Monahan was and is a manager of MEW, as well as the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). At all times,

Monahan has and continues to have possession of all of MEW's company books, records, and financial documents.

6. Upon information and belief, MEW is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California, at all relevant times.

THE CALIFORNIA REVISED UNIFORM LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ACT

7. The California Revised Uniform Limited Liability Company Act ("CRULLCA") sets forth the laws regulating limited liability companies, as well as the rights, duties, and obligations of limited liability companies, and of its members and managers. California Corporations Code section 17704.10(a) provides the following:

Upon the request of a member or transferee, for purposes reasonably related to the interest of that person as a member or a transferee, a manager or, if the limited liability company is member-managed, a member in possession of the requested information, shall promptly deliver, in writing, to the member or transferee, at the expense of the limited liability company, a copy of the information required to be maintained.

Section 17704.10(b) further provides that "[e]ach member, manager, and transferee has the right, upon reasonable request, for purposes reasonably related to the interest of that person as a member, manager, or transferee to" inspect and copy the company's books and records and financial statements.

- 8. Any request, inspection, or copying by a member may be made by that person or by that person's agent or attorney. (Cal. Corp. Code § 17704.10(i)).
- 9. The CRULLCA provides authority for a court to enforce the requirements of these sections: "[A] court of competent jurisdiction may enforce the duty of making and mailing or delivering the information and financial statements required by this section." (Cal. Corp. Code § 17704.10(f)).
- 10. Moreover, if the court finds that failure to comply with the requirements of this section is without justification, the court may award an amount sufficient to reimburse the person bringing the action for the reasonable expenses incurred by that person,

including attorney's fees. (Cal. Corp. Code § 17704.10(g)).

THE FACTS

- 11. MEW is a member-managed limited liability company. Hemachandra is a member and manager of MEW. Monahan is also a member and manager of MEW, as well as its CEO. At all times, Monahan has and continues to have possession of all of MEW's company books, records, and documents.
- 12. On September 19, 2017, Hemachandra, asked Monahan to provide him with a copy of all of MEW's records and financial statements, or to provide him access to inspect such documents. Monahan failed to provide Hemachandra with the requested documents or provide him access to MEW's documents.
- demand to Monahan's attorney to inspect the books and records of MEW. Monahan still refused to provide the requested documents or access to Hemachandra, forcing Hemachandra's attorneys to make multiple subsequent written demands for an inspection of and access to MEW's books, records, and financial documents. Attached as Exhibit 1 are true and correct copies of the multiple written requests. Hemachandra also requested, in writing, to Monahan that she provide him with the documents and informed her that his attorneys have sent such request to her attorney. Moreover, Hemachandra's attorneys made multiple oral demands to Monahan's attorney for MEW's books records throughout October and November 2017.
- 14. To date, Monahan has failed to provide Hemachandra copies of, or provide any access to, the books, records, and financial documents of MEW, which she continues to control and possess. Even though Monahan's attorney has been specifically informed of the requests and Monahan's duty to provide such documents, Monahan has still refused to provide Hemachandra access to the company books, records, and financial documents, and has not made any indication that she intends to provide him with such information. On the contrary, Monahan has indicated that she will not provide any requested documents to Hemachandra, and has falsely claimed that Hemachandra somehow already has access,

when he, in fact, does not. As such, Hemachandra has been and continues to be deprived of substantive information regarding MEW, including its financial information.

- 15. The requests are, in the very least, reasonably related to Hemachandra's interest as a member and manager of MEW. Specifically, the requests were made in connection with Hemachandra's and Monahan's mutual discussions regarding the potential dissolution of, and/or the acquisition by one member of the other's membership interest in, MEW. In the context of these discussions, Hemachandra has a fundamental right to know and substantial interest in MEW's current financial standing and position. Accordingly, MEW's records and financial information are intimately tied to his pecuniary interests as a member and manager of MEW.
- 16. Monahan has a clear and present legal duty to deliver and permit Hemachandra and his attorneys to inspect and copy the books, records, and financial documents, and she has the present ability to perform that duty.
- 17. Monahan's repeated failure to provide Hemachandra the requested records and documents patently violate Corporations Code section 17704.10, and is without justification in that inspection by Hemachandra is authorized by law and that Respondents have failed to show or even assert that the demand is not reasonably related to Hemachandra's interest as a member of MEW, or that he is otherwise not entitled to exercise his right to receive information and inspect and copy the books, records, and financial documents.
- 18. Hemachandra has no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law, other than the relief sought in this petition, in that there is no alternative method by which Hemachandra can seek to compel Respondents to perform their duty of allowing disclosure and inspection of the documents. If the Court does not grant this writ, Hemachandra will suffer irreparable injury in that he will not be able to know the status of MEW's operations and its finances before making any pertinent decision regarding MEW's future and his role in MEW, including prospects of dissolution of MEW and selling or purchasing membership interest in MEW. Moreover, Monahan and her attorney

have not made any indication that they intend to provide Hemachandra with the requested records.

- 19. Hemachandra alleges on information and belief that Respondents will continue to refuse to provide him or provide access to copies of the requested records, in violation of statute.
 - 20. California Code of Civil Procedure section 1085 provides:

A writ of mandate may be issued by any court to any inferior tribunal, corporation, board, or person, to compel the performance of an act which the law specially enjoins, as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which the party is entitled, and from which the party is unlawfully precluded by that inferior tribunal, corporation, board, or person.

Where a right to inspect records is "given by statute, the rule is that... the right is absolute, and may be enforced by mandamus, regardless of the purposes or motives of the stockholder, or the existence of good cause." (Hobbs v. Tom Reed Gold Mining Co. (1913) 164 Cal. 497, 502.) "The remedy by mandamus is the appropriate remedy of the stockholder in case of a refusal of the statutory right" to inspect records. (Johnson v. Langdon (1902) 135 Cal. 624, 626.) "Where the right is statutory, it is not necessary for the petition to aver or show the purposes or object of the inspection... The clear legal right given by the... statute cannot be defeated by stopping to inquire into motives." (Id.)

- 21. Hemachandra has incurred and will continue to incur costs, expenses, and attorney's fees to enforce his rights under the CRULLCA and requests such costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to the CRULLCA. (Cal. Corp. Code § 17704.10(g).)
- 22. Hemachandra's petition is limited to his delivery and inspection rights. He expressly reserves the right to assert any and all other claims that he has or may have against Respondents.

WHEREFORE, Hemachandra prays as follows: That this Court issue a peremptory Writ of Mandate or other extraordinary 1. Writ or Order directing Respondents to immediately make available for inspection and copying all company books, records, and financial documents, no later than ten (10) days of the Court's order directing they do so; 2. For costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to Cal. Corp. Code section 17704.10(g); 3. For such further relief as the Court may deem appropriate. DATE: December \(\frac{1}{2} \), 2017 SAPIENT LAW GROUP, P.C. Attorneys for Kosala Hemachandra

PRAYER

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I have read the foregoing and know its contents of:

1

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE TO COMPEL INSPECTION AND COPYING OF BOOKS, RECORDS, AND FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS OF CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

I, Kosala Hemachandra, Petitioner of this action, have personal knowledge of the facts asserted in the aforementioned Petition and am authorized to make this verification. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge except as to matters that are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 13, 2017, at Los Angeles, California.

Cosala Hemachandra

Petitioner

•

;

EXHIBIT 1

សត្សា មនុទ្ធ ១១៤១១ភូមិន។ ទោសពី ២ ១០៤១១ភូមិន។



William W. Yen <wwy@sapientlawgroup.com>

Thu, Oct 5, 2017 at 1:48 PM

To: DeAnn Flores Chase <deann@chaselawmb.com>

Cc: Sally Stubbs <sally@chaselawmb.com>, cmk@sapientlawgroup.com

Hi DeAnn:

We gave them a few days to discuss an amicable solution amongst themselves, but based on the r recent communications, it seems unlikely that our clients will come to an agreement that would allow ME'W to exist with both of them as equal owners.

To expedite the dissolution process, and to reiterate our previous demand, we would like to schedule a time to review MEW's books and records. The Google spreadsheet your client created, without supporting documents, will not suffice. Kosala is entitled to these records as Taylor's partner under the Corporations Code. Unfortunately, your client continues to delay the inevitable.

If you truly want to move this process forward, please provide us with a time and place within the rext two weeks for us to review MEWs books and records, including any and all supporting documents.

Best regards,

William Yen

Attorney at Law

Sapient Law Group, P.C.

155 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 420

Pasadena, California 91101

Direct: 626.768.0633

Office: 626.768.0522

Facsimile: 626.768.0523

Email: wwy@sapientlawgroup.com

Web: www.sapientlawgroup.com



C. Mina Kim <cmk@sapientlawgroup.com>

Tue, Oct 10, 2017 at 2:27 PM

To: "William W. Yen" <wwy@sapientlawgroup.com>

Cc: DeAnn Flores Chase <deann@chaselawmb.com>, Sally Stubbs <sally@chaselawmb.com>

DeAnn:

As we discussed this afternoon on the phone, our office will work on drafting the membership purchase agreement for our client to purchase your client's interest in MEW for \$1 million. We will send you the agreement to review as soon as it is ready.

You also agreed to talk to your client about conducting an accounting and coordinating our client's review of MEW's records. We have asked you for this a number of times, yet our client has still been denied access. We do hope you are informing your client of her legal obligations to give our client access to the company accounts, as well as to the books and records. Please provide us a time and place for our client to review MEW's books and records, so we can conduct an accounting and finally resolve this dispute.

Regards,

C. Mina Kim Attorney at Law

Sapient Law Group, P.C.

155 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 420 Pasadena, CA 91101 Office: 626.768.0522

Facsimile: 626.768.0523

Email: cmk@sapientlawgroup.com Web: www.sapientlawgroup.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may be privileged. The information herein may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]



C. Mina Kim < cmk@sapientlawgroup.com>

Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 4:38 PM

To: DeAnn Flores Chase <deann@chaselawmb.com>

Cc: "William W. Yen" <wwy@sapientlawgroup.com>, Sally Stubbs <sally@chaselawmb.com>

DeAnn:

Attached are drafts of the (1) Membership Interest Purchase Agreement and (2) Absolute Assignment of Interest. Please review these documents and let us know if your client agrees to their terms.

We are still waiting on you to provide us with a time and place for our client to review MEW's books and records. Please provide us with that immediately.

Regards,

C. Mina Kim Attorney at Law

Sapient Law Group, P.C.

155 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 420 Pasadena, CA 91101

Office: 626.768.0522
Facisimile: 626.768.0523

Email: cmk@sapientlawgroup.com Web: www.sapientlawgroup.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may be privileged. The information herein may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Prvacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PURCHASE AGREEMENT [Draft].docx

ABSOLUTE ASSIGNMENT OF INTEREST [Draft].docx 20K



C. Mina Kim <cmk@sapientlawgroup.com>

Tue, Nov 14, 2017 at 3:56 PM

To: DeAnn Flores Chase <deann@chaselawmb.com>

Cc: "William W. Yen" <wwy@sapientlawgroup.com>, Sally Stubbs <sally@chaselawmb.com>

DeAnn:

We write to follow up on the above. To date, your client has still failed to provide our client access to the MEW's books, records, and financial documents, despite our repeated requests.

As you should know, our client, as a manager and member of MEW, is entitled to access to MEWs books and records. We again demand that, pursuant to Corporations Code section 17704.10, Ms. Monahan (1) promptly delivers, in writing, a copy of the information required to be maintained by paragraphs (1), (2) and (4) of section 17701.13(d) of the Corporations Code, as well as the company's tax returns for each year; and (2) permit Mr. Hemachandra, whether in person or by his attorneys, to inspect and copy all the books, records, and financial documents of MEW, by providing a time and place for such inspection and copying, on a date within the next two weeks.

Regards,

C. Mina Kim Attorney at Law

Sapient Law Group, P.C.

155 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 420

Pasadena, CA 91101 Office: 626.768.0522 Facsimile: 626.768.0523

Email: cmk@sapientlawgroup.com Web: www.sapientlawgroup.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may be privileged. The information herein may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the tollowing: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejedgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item: otherwise. report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (2&) Environmental/Toxic Tert (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- Step 1: After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

В Type of Action Applicable Reasons -Civil Case Cover Sheet (Check only one) Category No. See Step 3 Above ☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 1, 4, 11 Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) ☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist 1, 4, 11 1, 11 □ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos (04) ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death 1, 11 1, 4, 11 Product Liability (24) ☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) 1, 4, 11 □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Medical Malpractice (45) 1, 4, 11 ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice ☐ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) 1, 4, 11 Other Personal ☐ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., Injury Property 1, 4, 11 assault, vandalism, etc.) Damage Wrongful 1, 4, 11 Death (23) ☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress 1, 4, 11 ☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death

Auto

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Employment

Contract

Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

SHORT TITLE: Hemachandra v. Monahan, et al.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1, 2, 3
Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Collections (09)	 □ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case □ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014) 	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 3, 11
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2,6
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 3
Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2, 5 2, 5 2, 5
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	□ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 3, 11
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 3, 11

SHORT TITLE: Hemachandra v. Monahan, et al.

	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2, 8 2 2
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
# #		☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
Enforcement of Judgment		☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
of for shape of the shape of th		☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
Ę ę		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2, 8
		□ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
vo.	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Miscellaneous Sivil Complaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
llane omp	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
Misce Civil C	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
Σော်		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☑ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2)8
		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2, 3, 9
Sus		☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2, 3, 9
anec titio	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions		☐ A6190 Election Contest	2
Miso		☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2, 9

Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON: □ 1. ☑ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10. □ 11.			ADDRESS: 1306 Kingsdale Avenue (Petitions to compel inspection of LLC may be filed in the Central District)
сіту: Redondo Beach	STATE:	ZIP CODE: 90278	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated:	December 闪, 2017	
--------	------------------	--

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.