CS100 Lecture 5

Variables II, Pointers and Arrays I

Contents

- Variables
 - Local static variables
 - Initialization
 - const type qualifier
- Pointers
- Arrays

Variables

Suppose we want to design a function that can only be called once.

• When it is called for the second time, report an error.

Suppose we want to design a function that should be called only once.

• When it is called for the second time, report an error.

```
int start_game_called = 0;

void start_game(Player *p1, Player *p2, int difficulty, GameWorld *world) {
   if (start_game_called)
     report_an_error("You cannot start the game twice!");
   start_game_called = 1;
   // ...
}
```

What if there are many such functions?

```
int start_game_called = 0;
int end_game_called = 0;
int magic_power_used = 0;
int special_skill_used = 0;
// ...
```

The global name space is **polluted** with these variables.

• Is there a way to declare these variables inside the corresponding functions?

This does not work. Why?

This does not work. Why?

- Every time start_game is called, called is created and initialized to zero.
- Every time start_game returns, called is destroyed.
- We need a "local" variable whose lifetime is longer!

Local static variables

```
void start_game(Player *p1, Player *p2, int difficulty, GameWorld *world) {
   static int called = 0;
   if (called)
     report_an_error("You cannot start the game twice!");
   called = 1;
   // ...
}
```

The lifetime of a local static variable is **as long as** that of a global variable. (They both have static storage duration.)

• A local static variable is initialized during program startup, and is destroyed on program termination.

It behaves just like a global variable, but its name is inside a function, which does not pollute the global name space.

Initialization

If we declare a variable without explicit initialization, what is the value of it?

Experiment:

Compiled without -02 (a kind of optimization):

```
0, 22031, 0
```

• Compiled with -02:

```
0, 0, 0
```

Implicit initialization [Very important]

If a variable is declared without explicit initialization:

- For local non-static variables, they are initialized to **indeterminate values**. In other words, they are **uninitialized**.
- For global or local static variables, they are **empty-initialized** 1:
 - Pointers are initialized to *null pointer values* of their types. (later in this lecture)
 - Objects of integral types are initialized to 0.
 - Objects of floating types are initialized to positive zero (0.0).
 - Other cases will be discussed in later lectures.

^{*} Intuitively, such variables are initialized to some kind of "zero" 2 . This is called zero-initialization in C++.

Uninitialized garbage can be deadly!

The behavior is undefined if the value of an object is used while it is indeterminate.

Example: Schrödinger's bool

```
int main(void) {
  bool p;
  if (p)
    printf("p is true.\n");
  if (!p)
    printf("p is false.\n");
}
```

Possible output (observed with an older version of gcc):

```
p is true.
p is false.
```

• p is in a "superposition state" of true and false?

Uninitialized garbage can be deadly!

Example: For a local variable declared with int n; (uninitialized), n may not compare equal to itself and it may appear to change its value on subsequent reads.

```
// in some function
int n; // uninitialized
// n == n is not necessarily true and involves undefined behavior.
int m = n;
// m == n is not necessarily true and involves undefined behavior.
```

Uninitialized variables may also have *trap representations*, which on some architectures can cause serious problems.

Uninitialized garbage can be deadly!

[Best practice] Always initialize the variable.

Except in certain cases, e.g.

const type qualifier

Each type T (not const -qualified) has a const -qualified version of that type, written as T const Or const T.

Any direct modification of variables with const -qualified types is not allowed:

```
const int n = 100; // Type of `n` is `const int`.
++n; // Error.
```

(Any indirect modification of const variables is undefined behavior; see in later lectures.)

const type qualifier

A const variable cannot be modified after initialization.

Therefore, an uninitialized const local non-static variable is almost a non-stop ticket to undefined behavior.

```
// in some function
const int n; // `n` has indeterminate values
n = 42; // Error: cannot modify a const variable.
scanf("%d", &n); // Error: cannot modify a const variable.
```

In C++, const variables of built-in types must be initialized.

Pointers

Pointers

A pointer *points to* a variable. The **value** of a pointer is the address of the variable that it points to.

```
int i = 42;
int* pi = &i;
printf("%d\n", *pi);
```

- int* pi; declares a pointer named pi.
 - The type of pi is int*.
 - The type of the variable that pi points to ("pointee") is int.
- & is the address-of operator, used for taking the address of a variable.
- * in the expression *pi is the indirection (dereference) operator, used for obtaining the variable that a pointer points to.

Pointers

A pointer *points to* a variable.

We can access and modify a variable through its address (or a pointer pointing to it).

```
int i = 42;
int* pi = &i;
printf("%d\n", *pi); // 42
*pi = 35;
printf("%d\n", i); // 35
++i;
printf("%d\n", *pi); // 36
```

Declare a pointer

To declare a pointer: PointeeType* ptr;

- The type of ptr is PointeeType*.
 - Pointer types with different pointee types are different types: int* and
 double* are different.
- The asterisk * can be placed near either PointeeType Or ptr:
 - PointeeType* ptr; and PointeeType *ptr; are the same declaration.
 - PointeeType * ptr; , PointeeType * ptr; and PointeeType*ptr; are also correct.

Declare a pointer

The asterisk * can be placed near either PointeeType or ptr:

• PointeeType* ptr; may be more intuitive?

However, when declaring more than one pointers in one declaration statement, an asterisk is needed **for every identifier**:

[Best practice] Either PointeeType *ptr or PointeeType* ptr is ok. Choose one style and stick to it. But if you choose the second one, never declare more than one pointers in one declaration statement.

& and *

&var returns the address of the variable var.

- The result type is Type *, where Type is the type of var.
- var must be an object that has an identity (an *lvalue*) ³: &42 or &(a + b) are not allowed.

*expr returns the variable whose address is the value of expr.

- expr must have a pointer type PointeeType * . The result type is PointeeType .
- **The variable** is returned, not only its value. This means that we can modify the returned variable: ++*ptr is allowed.

*

In a declaration PointeeType *ptr , * is a part of the pointer type PointeeType *.

In an **expression** like *ptr , * is the **indirection (dereference) operator** used to obtain the variable whose address is the value of ptr .

Do not mix them up!

The null pointer

The **null pointer value** is the "zero" value for pointer types 4 .

• It can be obtained from the macro NULL, which is available from many standard library header files (e.g. <stddef.h>, <stdio.h>, <stdlib.h>):

```
int *ptr = NULL; // `ptr` is a null pointer.
```

• It can also be obtained from the integer literal 0.

```
double *ptr = 0; // same as `double *ptr = NULL;`
```

Conversion from a null pointer to an integer type results in 0.

Note: Better null pointer values (nullptr) are available in C23 and in C++11.

The null pointer

The **null pointer value** is the "zero" value for pointer types 4 .

A null pointer compares unequal to any pointer pointing to an object.

It is used for representing a pointer that "points nowhere".

Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior, and often causes severe runtime errors!

Because it is not pointing to an object.

```
int *ptr = NULL;
printf("%d\n", *ptr); // undefined behavior
*ptr = 42; // undefined behavior
```

Implicit initialization of pointers

If a pointer is not explicitly initialized:

- Global or local static: Initialized to the null pointer value.
- Local non-static: Initialized to indeterminate values, or in other words, uninitialized.
 - Uninitialized pointers are often called wild pointers.

A wild pointer do not point to a specific object, and is not a null pointer either.

Dereferencing a wild pointer is undefined behavior, and often causes severe runtime errors.

[Best practice] Avoid wild pointers.

Argument passing

What is the output? Is the value of i changed to 42?

```
void fun(int x) {
    x = 42;
}
int main(void) {
    int i = 30;
    fun(i);
    printf("%d\n", i);
}
```

Argument passing

```
void fun(int x) {
    x = 42;
}
int main(void) {
    int i = 30;
    fun(i);
    printf("%d\n", i); // 30
}
```

The output is still 30. i is not changed.

- The parameter x is initialized as if int x = i; , thus obtaining the value of i.
 x and i are two independent variables.
- Modification on x does not influence i.

Argument passing

To make the modification happen on a variable outside, pass its address into the function.

```
void fun(int x) {
  x = 42;
}
int main(void) {
  int i = 30;
  fun(i); // int x = i;
  printf("%d\n", i); // 30
}

void fun(int *px) {
  *px = 42;
}
int main(void) {
  int i = 30;
  fun(&i); // int *px = &i
  printf("%d\n", i); // 42
}
```

Exercise: swap

Write a swap function to exchange the values of two integers. How do you design the parameters and the return type?

This does not work:

```
void swap(int a, int b) {
  int tmp = a;
  a = b;
  b = tmp;
}
```

Exercise: swap

Write a swap function to exchange the values of two integers. How do you design the parameters and the return type?

```
void swap(int *pa, int *pb) {
  int tmp = *pa;
  *pa = *pb;
  *pb = tmp;
}
int main(void) {
  int i = 42, j = 35;
  swap(&i, &j);
  // Now i = 35, j = 42.
}
```

^{*} Why do we need to write & when calling scanf, but not in printf?

Exercise: swap

Write a swap function to exchange the values of two integers. How do you design the parameters and the return type?

```
void swap(int *pa, int *pb) {
   int tmp = *pa;
   *pa = *pb;
   *pb = tmp;
}
int main(void) {
   int i = 42, j = 35;
   swap(&int i = 35, j = 42.
}
```

- * Why do we need to write & when calling scanf, but not in printf?
 - scanf needs to modify the variable, but printf doesn't.

Pointers that are not dereferenceable

A pointer ptr is dereferenceable. \Leftrightarrow *ptr has no undefined behavior. \Leftrightarrow ptr points to an existing object.

A pointer that does not point to an existing object may be

- uninitialized (wild), or
- a null pointer, or
- dangling (We will discuss this in later lectures.), or
- holding some other meaningless address: int *p = 123;

Pointers that are not dereferenceable

Dereferencing such a pointer is undefined behavior, and usually causes severe runtime errors.

• Recall the "short-circuit" evaluation of binary logical operators:

```
if (ptr != NULL && *ptr == 42) { /* ... */ }
```

When ptr is a null pointer, the right-hand side operand *ptr == 42 won't be evaluated, so ptr is not dereferenced.

Arrays

Arrays

An array is a sequence of N objects of an element type ElemType stored contiguously in memory, where N $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the length of it.

```
ElemType arr[N];
```

N must be a constant expression whose value is known at compile-time.

For now, we do not recommend the use of VLAs. We will talk more about it in recitations.

Array type

An array is a sequence of N objects of an element type ElemType stored contiguously in memory, where N $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the length of it.

```
ElemType arr[N]; // The type of `arr` is `ElemType [N]`.
```

The type of an array consists of two parts:

- 1. the element type ElemType, and
- 2. the length of the array [N].

Array subscript

```
ElemType arr[N];
```

Use arr[i] to obtain the i -th element of arr , where $i \in [0,N)$.

```
int a[10];
bool find(int value) {
  for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
    if (a[i] == value)
    return true;
}

int main(void) {
  int n; scanf("%d", &n);
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    scanf("%d", &a[i]);
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    a[i] *= 2;
  // ...
}</pre>
```

The subscript i is an integer within the range [0, N). Array subscript out of range is undefined behavior, and usually causes severe runtime errors.

Array subscript

The subscript i is an integer within the range [0, N). Array subscript out of range is undefined behavior, and usually causes severe runtime errors.

The compiler may assume that the program is free of undefined behaviors:

```
int a[4];
bool find(int value) {
  for (int i = 0; i <= 4; ++i)
    if (a[i] == value)
      return true;
  return false;
}</pre>
```

• This code may be compiled as if it is

```
bool find(int value) {
  return true;
}
```

demo

Array initialization

If an array is declared without explicit initialization:

- Global or local static: Empty-initialization \Rightarrow Every element is empty-initialized.
- Local non- static: Every element is initialized to indeterminate values (uninitialized).

Array initialization

Arrays can be initialized from brace-enclosed lists:

• Initialize the beginning few elements:

```
int a[10] = {2, 3, 5, 7}; // Correct: Initializes a[0], a[1], a[2], a[3]
int b[2] = {2, 3, 5}; // Error: Too many initializers
int c[] = {2, 3, 5}; // Correct: 'c' has type int[3].
int d[100] = {}; // Correct in C++ and since C23.
```

• Initialize designated elements (since C99):

```
int e[10] = {[0] = 2, 3, 5, [7] = 7, 11, [4] = 13};
```


Array initialization

If an array is explicitly initialized, all the elements that are not explicitly initialized are empty-initialized.

= {x} is not initializing all elements to x!

Nested arrays

The C answer to "multidimensional arrays" is **nested arrays**, which is in fact **arrays of arrays**:



```
int a[10][20];
bool find(int value) {
  for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < 20; ++j)
      if (a[i][j] == value)
        return true;
  return false;
}</pre>
```

Initialization of nested arrays

Things have been stated quite clearly on cppreference.

```
int a[4][3] = { // array of 4 arrays of 3 ints each (4x3 matrix)}
    { 1 }, // row 0 initialized to {1, 0, 0}
    { 0, 1 }, // row 1 initialized to {0, 1, 0}
   { [2]=1 }, // row 2 initialized to {0, 0, 1}
    // row 3 initialized to {0, 0, 0}
int b[4][3] = { // array of 4 arrays of 3 ints each (4x3 matrix)}
 1, 3, 5, 2, 4, 6, 3, 5, 7 // row 0 initialized to {1, 3, 5}
};
                           // row 1 initialized to {2, 4, 6}
                           // row 2 initialized to {3, 5, 7}
                           // row 3 initialized to {0, 0, 0}
int y[4][3] = \{[0][0]=1, [1][1]=1, [2][0]=1\}; // row 0 initialized to <math>\{1, 0, 0\}
                                              // row 1 initialized to {0, 1, 0}
                                              // row 2 initialized to {1, 0, 0}
                                              // row 3 initialized to {0, 0, 0}
```

Summary

Exercises

1. The swap function on page 31 swaps the values of two integers. What if we want to swap the values of two pointers?

```
void swap(/* ??? */, /* ??? */) {
    // ???
}
int main(void) {
    int i = 42, j = 50;
    int *p1 = &i, *p2 = &j;
    swap(/* ??? */);
    // Now `p1` should point to `j`, and `p2` should point to `i`.
}
```

Exercises

2. Write a program that reads a matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ and prints its transpose M^T . You may assume $n,m \leqslant 100$ and the entries are representable by int.

Sample input:

```
3 5
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
11 12 13 14 15
```

Sample output:

```
1 6 11
2 7 12
3 8 13
4 9 14
5 10 15
```

47/48

Notes

- ¹ The cppreference page for empty-initialization does not mark the term "empty-initialization" as C23, but in fact it does not appear in any standards prior to C23. Looking through the edit history of this page, we can see that this term was not introduced until the C23 things were added. For convenience, we use this term to represent this kind of initialization.
- ² The "zero" value is not necessarily all-bit zero. For example, some special floating-point formats may represent +0.0 not as all-bit zero. The null pointer value is not all-bit zero on some platforms, see details on comp.lang.c FAQ.
- ³ We will not talk about the concepts related to value categories until we meet them in C++, although C also has value categories.
- ⁴ See 2.