

CS100 Lecture 27

Other Facilities in the Standard Library

Contents

- C++17 library facilities
 - `function`
 - `optional`
 - `string_view`
 - `pair` and `tuple`
- Going into C++20:
 - Ranges library
 - Format and print library
 - Future

C++17 library facilities

function

Defined in `<functional>`

`std::function<Ret(Args...)>` is a general-purpose function wrapper that stores any callable object that can be called with arguments of types `Args...` and returns `Ret`.

```
Polynomial poly({3, 2, 1}); // `Polynomial` in homework 5
std::function<double(double)> f1(poly);
std::cout << f1(0) << '\n';

std::function<void()> f2 = []() { std::cout << 42 << '\n'; };
f2(); // prints 42
```

Recap: callable

A callable object in C++ might be a function, a pointer-to-function, or an object of class type that has an overloaded `operator()`¹.

- Lambdas belong to the last category, whose type is compiler-generated.

A function has an address! When the program is executed, the program instructions (machine code) are loaded into the memory.

```
int add(int a, int b) { return a + b; }
int main() {
    auto *padd = &add;
    std::cout << (*padd)(3, 4) << '\n';
    std::cout << padd(3, 4) << '\n'; // Also correct.
}
```

A pointer-to-function itself is also callable. `pfunc(...)` is the same as `(*pfunc)(...)`.

Example: Calculator

A more fancy way of implementing a calculator:

```
std::map<char, std::function<double(double, double)>> funcMap{
    {'+', std::plus<>{}},
    {'-', std::minus<>{}},
    {'*', std::multiplies<>{}},
    {'/', std::divides<>{}}
};
double lhs, rhs; char op;
std::cin >> lhs >> op >> rhs;
std::cout << funcMap[op](lhs, rhs) << '\n';
```

`std::plus`, `std::minus`, etc. are defined in the standard library header `<functional>`.

Example: Calculator

Combining different ways of using `std::function` :

```
double add(double a, double b) { return a + b; }
struct Divides {
    double operator/(double a, double b) const { return a / b; }
};
int main() {
    std::map<char, std::function<double(double, double)>> funcMap{
        {'+', add}, // A function (in fact, a pointer-to-function)
        {'-', std::minus<>{}}, // An object of type `std::minus<>`
        {'*', [](double a, double b) { return a * b; }}, // A lambda
        {'/', Divides{}} // An object of type `Divides`
    };
    double lhs, rhs; char op;
    std::cin >> lhs >> op >> rhs;
    std::cout << funcMap[op](lhs, rhs) << '\n';
}
```

optional

Defined in the header `<optional>`.

`std::optional<T>` manages **either an object of type `T`, or nothing.**

- Algebraically: Let \mathcal{T} be the value set of `T`, and let \mathcal{O} be the value set of `std::optional<T>`. We have

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{\text{std::nullopt}\},$$

where `std::nullopt` is a special object that represents the state of *nothing*.

Example: Solving quadratic equation in \mathbb{R} .

A typical example: Use `std::optional<Solution>` when there may be no solutions.

```
std::optional<std::pair<double, double>> solve(double a, double b, double c) {  
    auto delta = b * b - 4 * a * c;  
    if (delta < 0)  
        return std::nullopt; // No solution.  
    auto sqrtDelta = std::sqrt(delta);  
    // An `std::optional<T>` can be initialized directly from `T`.  
    return std::pair{(-b - sqrtDelta) / (2 * a), (-b + sqrtDelta) / (2 * a)};  
}
```

Example: Solving quadratic equation in \mathbb{R} .

```
void printSolution(const std::optional<std::pair<double, double>> &sln) {
    if (sln) { // conversion to bool tests whether it contains an object
        auto [x1, x2] = sln.value(); // .value() returns the contained object.
        std::cout << "The solutions are " << x1 << " and " << x2 << ' '
                    << std::endl;
    } else
        std::cout << "No solutions." << std::endl;
}

int main() {
    auto sln1 = solve(1, -2, -3);
    printSolution(sln1);
    auto sln2 = solve(1, 0, 1);
    printSolution(sln2);
    return 0;
}
```

How will you implement `std::optional<T>`?

Is this good?

```
template <typename T>
struct Optional {
    T object;
    bool hasObject;
    // ...
};
```

How will you implement `std::optional<T>`?

Is this good?

```
template <typename T>
struct Optional {
    T object;
    bool hasObject;
    // ...
};
```

NO! It models $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T} \times \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$. The `object` is alive even when `hasObject` is `false`!

- This also requires the "nothing" state to be represented by default-initializing `object`, but the default-initialization of `T` may be expensive or disabled!

How will you implement `std::optional<T>`?

Is this good?

```
template <typename T>
struct Optional {
    std::unique_ptr<T> pObject; // "Nothing" is represented by nullptr.
    // ...
};
```

How will you implement `std::optional<T>`?

Is this good?

```
template <typename T>
struct Optional {
    std::unique_ptr<T> pObject; // "Nothing" is represented by nullptr.
    // ...
};
```

It does model $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{\text{std::nullopt}\}$, but it requires dynamic memory allocation.

If I just need something to represent "no solution", why would I have to store the solution on dynamic memory?

- Such overhead is not acceptable!

An `std::optional` models an **object**, not a pointer!

How will you implement `std::optional<T>`?

The implementation is not trivial. See [this page](#) if you are interested.

- It requires careful treatment of memory, possibly using a `union`.

Other member functions of `std::optional`

Some common ones:

- `*o` : returns the stored object. The behavior is undefined if it does not contain one.
- `o->mem` : equivalent to `(*o).mem`.

`std::optional<T>` does not model a pointer, although it provides `*` and `->`.

- `o.value_or(x)` : returns the stored object, or `x` if it does not contain one.
- `o1.swap(o2)`
- `o.reset()` : destroys any contained object
- `o.emplace(args...)` : constructs the contained object in-place.

Refer to [cppreference](#) for a full list.

string_view

The old question: How do you pass a string?

```
void some_operation(const std::string &str) {  
    // ...  
}
```

Pass-by-reference-to- `const` seems to be quite good: It accepts both lvalues and rvalues, whether `const`-qualified or not, and avoids copy.

- Wait ... Does it really avoid copy?

string_view

The old question: How do you pass a string?

```
void some_operation(const std::string &str) {  
    // ...  
}
```

```
std::string s = something();  
some_operation(s); // Copy is avoided, of course.  
some_operation("The quick red fox jumps over the slow red turtle."); // Ooops!
```

- When we pass a string literal, a temporary `std::string` is created first, during which the content of the string is still copied!

`string_view`

What do `char[N]`, `"hello"`, `std::string`, `str = new char[N]{...}` have in common?

string_view

What do `char[N]`, `"hello"`, `std::string`, `str = new char[N]{...}` have in common?

- A pointer to the first position, and a length!

```
struct StringView {  
    const char *start;  
    std::size_t length;  
  
    StringView(const char *cstr) : start{cstr}, length{std::strlen(cstr)} {}  
    StringView(const std::string &str) : start{str.data()}, length{str.size()} {}  
  
    std::size_t size() const { return length; }  
    const char &operator[](std::size_t n) const { return start[n]; }  
  
    // ...  
};
```

string_view

Defined in header `<string_view>`.

`std::string_view`: An **non-owning** *reference* to a string. It is often used to refer to a string that we don't modify.

```
// `std::string_view` is usually passed by value directly,  
// since it is light-weighted and models a "pointer".  
void some_operation(std::string_view str);  
int main() {  
    std::string s1 = something(), s2 = something_else();  
    some_operation(s1);  
    some_operation(s1 + s2);  
    some_operation("hello");  
}
```

- No copy is performed, even for `"hello"`.

Avoid dangling `string_view`!

Let's use `std::string_view` everywhere, shall we?

```
struct Student {  
    std::string_view name;  
    // ...  
  
    Student(std::string_view name_) : name{name_} {}  
};  
  
int main() {  
    std::string s1 = something(), s2 = something_else();  
    Student stu(s1 + s2);  
    std::cout << stu.name << '\n'; // Undefined behavior!  
}
```

Avoid dangling `string_view`!

Let's use `std::string_view` everywhere, shall we?

```
struct Student {  
    std::string_view name;  
    // ...  
  
    Student(std::string_view name_) : name{name_} {}  
};  
  
int main() {  
    std::string s1 = something(), s2 = something_else();  
    Student stu(s1 + s2); // `s1 + s2` is a temporary!  
    std::cout << stu.name << '\n'; // Undefined behavior! `stu.name` is dangling!  
}
```

`stu.name` refers to a **temporary** created by `s1 + s2` ! It is destroyed immediately when the initialization of `stu` ends.

Avoid dangling `string_view`!

The same thing happens if you try to use reference-to-`const` as a member:

```
struct Student {  
    const std::string &name;  
    // ...  
  
    Student(const std::string &name_) : name{name_} {}  
};  
  
int main() {  
    std::string s1 = something(), s2 = something_else();  
    Student stu(s1 + s2); // `s1 + s2` is a temporary!  
    std::cout << stu.name << '\n'; // Undefined behavior! `stu.name` is dangling!  
}
```


`string_view`

Using a `string_view` parameter can accept strings of any form, and avoid copy.

- The use of `string_view` as a parameter is often safe, because the lifetime of the argument should be longer than the execution of the function.
- In other cases, be extremely careful to avoid dangling `string_view` s!

Notes

- ¹ A **pointer-to-member** is also a callable.