Lecture 3. Bayesian optimisation

Introduction to Bayesian Statistical learning

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

We already know that evaluating posterior analytically can be rather challenging.

MCMC is a sampling technique which we have considered previously: we construct a Markov chain which converges to posterior distribution

The goal of Bayesian optimisation: maximise $\log p(X, \theta)$ with respect to θ .

Assume there is a distribution density function $q(\theta)$ which is in turn parametrised by a series of hyper-parameters.

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

We already know that evaluating posterior analytically can be rather challenging.

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

We already know that evaluating posterior analytically can be rather challenging.

MCMC is a sampling technique which we have considered previously: we construct a Markov chain which converges to posterior distribution

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

We already know that evaluating posterior analytically can be rather challenging.

MCMC is a sampling technique which we have considered previously: we construct a Markov chain which converges to posterior distribution

The goal of Bayesian optimisation: maximise $\log p(X, \theta)$ with respect to θ .

Formula for posterior distribution (reminder)

$$p(\theta | X) = \frac{p(\theta)p(X | \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta} = \frac{p(X, \theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta)p(X | \theta)d\theta}$$

We already know that evaluating posterior analytically can be rather challenging.

MCMC is a sampling technique which we have considered previously: we construct a Markov chain which converges to posterior distribution

The goal of Bayesian optimisation: maximise $\log p(X, \theta)$ with respect to θ .

Assume there is a distribution density function $q(\theta)$ which is in turn parametrised by a series of hyper-parameters.

Then
$$\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$$
 and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

Then
$$\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}$$
 and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta$$

Then
$$\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$$
 and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

Then
$$\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}$$
 and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta$$

Then
$$\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$$
 and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

Then $\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$ and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

$$\log p(X) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)} d\theta$$

Then $\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$ and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

$$\log p(X) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)} d\theta$$

F, depends only on θ (free energy)

Then $\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$ and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

$$\log p(X) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)} d\theta$$

F, depends only on θ (free energy)

Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence

Then $\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$ and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

$$\log p(X) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)} d\theta$$

F, depends only on θ (free energy)

Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence

Note, that KL divergence is always ≥ 0 and hence $\log p(X) \geq \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta$

Then $\log p(X) = \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)}$ and taking the expectation with respect to $q(\theta)$:

$$\log p(X) = \log(X) \int q(\theta) d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \left[\frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} \cdot \frac{q(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \right] d\theta = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta \mid X)} d\theta$$

$$\log p(X) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta + \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)} d\theta$$
 Kullback-Leibler (*KL*) divergence

Note, that KL divergence is always ≥ 0 and hence $\log p(X) \geq \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta$

Hence maximising log-likelihood is equivalent to maximazing free energy or minimising KL divergence

We assume a mean-field approximation for $q(\theta)$, namely $q(\theta) = \prod_i q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

We assume a mean-field approximation for $q(\theta)$, namely $q(\theta) = \prod_i q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

where θ_i are separate non-intersecting groups of parameters with the corresponding distribution density functions q_{θ_i} .

We assume a mean-field approximation for $q(\theta)$, namely $q(\theta) = \prod_i q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

where θ_i are separate non-intersecting groups of parameters with the corresponding distribution density functions q_{θ_i} .

The key property of q_{θ_i} :

$$\log q(\theta_i) \propto \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})p(X,\theta)d\theta_{-i}$$

We assume a mean-field approximation for $q(\theta)$, namely $q(\theta) = \prod_i q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

where θ_i are separate non-intersecting groups of parameters with the corresponding distribution density functions q_{θ_i} .

The key property of q_{θ_i} :

$$\log q(\theta_i) \propto \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})p(X,\theta)d\theta_{-i}$$

where index -i means that ith group of parameters is excluded.

The proof of the above stems from the calculus of variations.

We need to maximise free energy $F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta$ with respect to each factorised $q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

We need to maximise free energy $F=\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta$ with respect to each factorised $q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

Which can be rewritten as
$$F(\theta_i) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i}$$

We need to maximise free energy $F=\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta$ with respect to each factorised $q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

Which can be rewritten as
$$F(\theta_i) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i}$$

From variational calculus this is equivalent to solving:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)} \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i} = 0$$

We need to maximise free energy $F=\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta$ with respect to each factorised $q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

Which can be rewritten as
$$F(\theta_i) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i}$$

From variational calculus this is equivalent to solving:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)} \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i} = 0$$

$$\int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log p(X,\theta)d\theta_{-i} + \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log q(\theta_{-i})d\theta_{-i} + \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log q(\theta_{i})d\theta_{-i} + const = 0$$

We need to maximise free energy $F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta$ with respect to each factorised $q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)$

Which can be rewritten as
$$F(\theta_i) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i}$$

From variational calculus this is equivalent to solving:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\theta_i}(\theta_i)} \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta_{-i} = 0$$

$$\int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log p(X,\theta)d\theta_{-i} + \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log q(\theta_{-i})d\theta_{-i} + \int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})\log q(\theta_{i})d\theta_{-i} + const = 0$$

Hence, given that
$$\int q_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_{-i})d\theta_{-i} = 1 \text{ we get } \log q(\theta) \propto \int q_{\theta_{-i}}\log p(X,\theta)d\theta_{-i} \blacksquare$$

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

$$q(\mu \mid m, \nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}(\mu - m)^2} \sim N(m, \nu)$$

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

$$q(\mu \mid m, \nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}(\mu - m)^2} \sim N(m, \nu) \text{ and } q(\beta \mid b, c) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \frac{\beta^{c-1}}{b^c} e^{-\frac{\beta}{b}} \sim Ga(b, c)$$

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

$$q(\mu \mid m, \nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}(\mu - m)^2} \sim N(m, \nu) \text{ and } q(\beta \mid b, c) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \frac{\beta^{c-1}}{b^c} e^{-\frac{\beta}{b}} \sim Ga(b, c)$$

$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{(\mu - m)^2}{2\nu} + const\{\mu\}$$

Assume we draw measurements $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with

mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

$$q(\mu \mid m, \nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}(\mu - m)^2} \sim N(m, \nu) \text{ and } q(\beta \mid b, c) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \frac{\beta^{c-1}}{b^c} e^{-\frac{\beta}{b}} \sim Ga(b, c)$$

$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{(\mu - m)^2}{2\nu} + const\{\mu\} \text{ and } \log q(\beta) = (c - 1)\log \beta - \frac{\beta}{b} + const\{\beta\}$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

Recall that $P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) \propto P(Y \mid \mu, \beta) P(\mu) P(\beta)$, hence

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

Recall that $P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) \propto P(Y \mid \mu, \beta) P(\mu) P(\beta)$, hence

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

Recall that $P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) \propto P(Y \mid \mu, \beta) P(\mu) P(\beta)$, hence

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} \int Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta -$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} \int Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 \int \beta Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta + const\{\mu\}$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} \int Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 \int \beta Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta + const\{\mu\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} - \frac{bc}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 + const\{\mu\}$$
, integrating out the terms

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} \int Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 \int \beta Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta + const\{\mu\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} - \frac{bc}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 + const\{\mu\}$$
, integrating out the terms

Which can be re-written as
$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{1+N\nu_0bc}{2\nu_0}\left(\mu-\frac{m_0+\nu_0bcs_1}{1+N\nu_0bc}\right)^2+const\{\mu\}$$

Similarly choose conjugate priors for $\mu \sim N(m_0, \nu_0)$ and $\beta \sim Ga(b_0, c_0)$.

$$L = \log P(\mu, \beta \mid Y) = \frac{N}{2}\beta - \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} + (c_0 - 1)\log \beta_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{b_0} + const\{\mu, \beta\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) \propto \int Lq(\beta)d\beta = \int LGa(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} \int Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 \int \beta Ga(\beta, m, \nu)d\beta + const\{\mu\}$$

$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{(\mu - m_0)^2}{2\nu_0} - \frac{bc}{2} \sum_n (y_n - \mu)^2 + const\{\mu\}, \text{ integrating out the terms}$$

Which can be re-written as
$$\log q(\mu) = -\frac{1+N\nu_0bc}{2\nu_0}\left(\mu-\frac{m_0+\nu_0bcs_1}{1+N\nu_0bc}\right)^2+const\{\mu\}$$

Recall
$$q(\mu) \sim N(m, \nu)$$
 and hence $m = \frac{m_0 + \nu_0 b c s_1}{1 + N \nu_0 b c}$ and $\nu = \frac{\nu_0}{1 + N \nu_0 b c}$ where $s_1 = \sum_n y_n$

Update on β

We apply a similar procedure to derive an update on β .

$$\log q(\beta) = \int Lq(\mu)d\mu = \int LN(\mu, m, \nu)d\mu = \left(\frac{N}{2} + c_0 - 1\right)\log\beta + \frac{\beta}{b_0} - \frac{\beta}{2}\int \sum_{n} (y_n - \mu)^2 N(\mu, m, \nu)d\mu + const\{\beta\}$$

$$\log q(\beta) = \left(\frac{N}{2} + c_0 - 1\right) \log \beta - \left(\frac{1}{b_0} + \frac{X}{2}\right) \beta$$
, where X is the integral above:

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \int (s_2 - 2\mu s_1 + \mu^2) N(\mu, m, \nu) d\mu = \frac{1}{2} s_2 - 2s_1 m + N(m + \nu^2), \text{ where } s_2 = \sum_n y_n^2$$

Hence,
$$\frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{b_0} + \frac{X}{2}$$
 and $c = \frac{N}{2} + c_0$.

We can now proceed in an iterative procedure (fix eta, update μ and the other way round until necessary)!

Jupyter notebook avb_gaussian

Non-linear models and convergence issues

Assume our model follows the equation $y = g(\theta) + \varepsilon$, where $g(\theta)$ is a non-linear function and ε is additive Gaussian noise.

Non-linear models and convergence issues

Assume our model follows the equation $y = g(\theta) + \varepsilon$, where $g(\theta)$ is a non-linear function and ε is additive Gaussian noise.

In this case $g(\theta)$ is approximated with Taylor expansion at the mode of posterior distribution m: $g(\theta) \approx g(m) + J(\theta - m)$, where J is the Jacobian matrix

Non-linear models and convergence issues

Assume our model follows the equation $y = g(\theta) + \varepsilon$, where $g(\theta)$ is a non-linear function and ε is additive Gaussian noise.

In this case $g(\theta)$ is approximated with Taylor expansion at the mode of posterior distribution m: $g(\theta) \approx g(m) + J(\theta - m)$, where J is the Jacobian matrix

Convergence.

- Convergence of VB is guaranteed since it is a generalisation of Expectation Maximisation algorithm
- As soon as we use Taylor approximation, the theory breaks down, and convergence becomes more empirical: e.g. monitoring free energy F, stop when it reaches maximum

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Stochastic VB uses $\operatorname{\textbf{gradient}}$ descent algorithm to directly maximise F

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Stochastic VB uses gradient descent algorithm to directly maximise F

This will require us to compute gradient $\nabla_{\phi}F = \nabla_{\phi}\left(\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta\right)$

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Stochastic VB uses gradient descent algorithm to directly maximise F

This will require us to compute gradient $\nabla_{\phi}F = \nabla_{\phi}\left(\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta\right)$

Where ϕ are the hyper-parameters of q

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Stochastic VB uses gradient descent algorithm to directly maximise F

This will require us to compute gradient $\nabla_{\phi}F = \nabla_{\phi}\left(\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta\right)$

Where ϕ are the hyper-parameters of q

But first we need to estimate the integral, which can be done using Monte-Carlo simulations

Recall that the problem we discussed previously is maximising free energy

$$F = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(X, \theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Stochastic VB uses $\operatorname{\textbf{gradient}}$ descent algorithm to directly maximise F

This will require us to compute gradient $\nabla_{\phi}F = \nabla_{\phi}\left(\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(X,\theta)}{q(\theta)}d\theta\right)$

Where ϕ are the hyper-parameters of q

But first we need to estimate the integral, which can be done using Monte-Carlo simulations

$$\nabla_{\phi} F pprox rac{1}{L} \sum_{l} \log p(X, \theta^l, \phi^l) - \log \frac{q(\theta^l)}{p(\theta^l)}$$
, where θ^l are drawn from $q(\theta)$.

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

Deterministically generate θ^l from an independent randomly generated parameter ε .

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

Deterministically generate θ^l from an independent randomly generated parameter arepsilon.

E.g. use probability integral transform: if ξ is a random variable with cdf F_{ξ} , $F_{\xi}(\xi) \sim U[0,1]$.

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

Deterministically generate θ^l from an independent randomly generated parameter arepsilon.

E.g. use **probability integral transform:** if ξ is a random variable with cdf F_{ξ} , $F_{\xi}(\xi) \sim U[0,1].$

Conversly, $F_{\xi}^{-1}(U[0,1]) \sim \xi$

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

Deterministically generate θ^l from an independent randomly generated parameter arepsilon.

E.g. use **probability integral transform:** if ξ is a random variable with cdf F_ξ , $F_\xi(\xi)\sim U[0,1]$. Conversly, $F_\xi^{-1}(U[0,1])\sim \xi$

Hence we can generate any random variable from a uniform one.

- Large L will give a better approximation, but can be computationally heavy
- Small L will make gradients noisy

To reduce the noise in the gradient use reparametrization trick to draw θ^l :

Deterministically generate θ^l from an independent randomly generated parameter arepsilon.

E.g. use **probability integral transform:** if ξ is a random variable with cdf F_{ξ} , $F_{\xi}(\xi) \sim U[0,1]$. Conversly, $F_{\xi}^{-1}(U[0,1]) \sim \xi$

Hence we can generate any random variable from a uniform one.

Can be even simpler: $q(\theta) \sim N(\theta; \mu, \sigma)$. Generate $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 1)$, then $\theta = \mu + \sigma \varepsilon$

In practice even L=1 can be sufficient, however we need to choose **gradient** descent algorithm which deals with stochastic optimisation, e.g. Adam

To improve computational efficiency use **mini-batches**: divide data into subsets and performing optimisation on each batch in turn.

Very common technique in the machine learning!

Assume we draw measurements $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with mean μ and precision β : $P(y\,|\,\mu,\beta)=\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum{(y_i-\mu)^2}}.$

with mean
$$\mu$$
 and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

Assume we draw measurements $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with mean μ and precision β : $P(y\,|\,\mu,\beta)=\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum{(y_i-\mu)^2}}$.

Here we are not restricted to conjugate priors, hence a prior for μ and β can be

Assume we draw measurements $y=(y_1,...,y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with mean μ and precision β : $P(y\,|\,\mu,\beta)=\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum{(y_i-\mu)^2}}.$

Here we are not restricted to conjugate priors, hence a prior for μ and β can be

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mu \\ -\log(\beta) \end{vmatrix} \sim MVN(m_0, C_0)$$
, where MVN stands for multivariate normal

Similarly for the approximate posterior $q(\theta) = q \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ -\log(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \sim MVN(m,C)$

Assume we draw measurements $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ from a Gaussian distribution with mean μ and precision β : $P(y | \mu, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}$.

Here we are not restricted to conjugate priors, hence a prior for μ and β can be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ -\log(\beta) \end{bmatrix} \sim MVN(m_0, C_0)$$
, where MVN stands for multivariate normal

Similarly for the approximate posterior $q(\theta) = q \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ -\log(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \sim MVN(m,C)$

Recall MVN(m, C) has a pdf function $p(x, m, C) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |C|^{-1/2} \exp((x - m)^T C^{-1}(x - m))$

Free energy

$$\int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(\theta)p(y|\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta \approx -\int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} d\theta + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l} \log p(y|\theta^{l})$$

Free energy

$$\int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(\theta)p(y|\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta \approx -\int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} d\theta + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l} \log p(y|\theta^{l})$$

$$\log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{|C|}{|C_0|} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\theta - m)^T C^{-1} (\theta - m) - \frac{1}{2} (\theta - m_0) C_0^{-1} (\theta - m_0)$$

Free energy

$$\int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(\theta)p(y|\theta)}{q(\theta)} d\theta \approx -\int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} d\theta + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l} \log p(y|\theta^{l})$$

$$\log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{|C|}{|C_0|} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\theta - m)^T C^{-1} (\theta - m) - \frac{1}{2} (\theta - m_0) C_0^{-1} (\theta - m_0)$$

$$\int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \left(Tr(C_0^{-1}C) - \log \left(\frac{|C|}{|C_0|} \right) - N + (m - m_0)^T C_0^{-1}(m - m_0) \right)$$

Jupyter notebooks svb_gaussian_tf2, svb_biexp_tf2