



Lambda Calculus

Reading: Scott, Ch. 11 on CD

Lecture Outline

- Lambda calculus
 - Introduction
 - Syntax and semantics
 - Free and bound variables
 - Substitution, formally

Lambda Calculus

- A theory of functions
 - Theory behind functional programming
 - Turing complete: any computable function can be expressed and evaluated using the calculus
 - “Lingua franca” of PL research
- Lambda (λ) calculus expresses **function definition** and **function application**
 - $f(x)=x*x$ becomes $\lambda x. x*x$
 - $g(x)=x+1$ becomes $\lambda x. x+1$
 - $f(5)$ becomes $(\lambda x. x*x) 5 \rightarrow 5*5 \rightarrow 25$

Syntax of Pure Lambda Calculus

■ $E ::= x \mid (\lambda x. E_1) \mid (E_1 E_2)$

Convention:

notation f, x, y, z for variables;
 E, M, N, P, Q for expressions

■ A λ -expression is one of

■ Variable: x

■ Abstraction (i.e., function definition): $\lambda x. E_1$

■ Application: $E_1 E_2$

■ λ -calculus formulae (e.g., $(\lambda x. (x y))$) are called **expressions** or **terms**

■ $(\lambda x. (x y))$ corresponds to $(\text{lambda } (x) (x y))$ in Scheme!

Syntactic Conventions

- Parentheses may be dropped from $(E_1 E_2)$ or $(\lambda x.E)$
 - E.g., $(f x)$ may be written as $f x$
- Function application groups from left-to-right (i.e., it is left-associative)
 - E.g., $x y z$ abbreviates $((x y) z)$
 - E.g., $E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4$ abbreviates $(((E_1 E_2) E_3) E_4)$
 - Parentheses in $x (y z)$ are necessary! Why?

Syntactic Conventions

- Application has higher precedence than abstraction
 - Another way to say this is that the scope of the dot extends as far to the right as possible
 - E.g., $\lambda x. x z = \lambda x. (x z) = (\lambda x. (x z)) = (\lambda x. x z) \neq ((\lambda x. x) z)$
- **WARNING:** This is the most common syntactic convention (e.g., Pierce 2002). Some books give abstraction higher precedence.

Terminology

- **Parameter** (also, formal parameter)
 - E.g., **x** is the parameter in **$\lambda x. x z$**
- **Argument** (also, actual argument)
 - E.g., expression **$\lambda z. z$** is the argument in **$(\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. z)$**

Can you guess what this evaluates to?

Currying

- In lambda calculus, all functions have one parameter
 - How do we express n-ary functions?
 - **Currying** expresses an **n-ary** function in terms of **n unary** functions

$f(x,y) = x+y$, becomes $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x + y)$

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. x + y) 2 3 \rightarrow (\lambda y. 2 + y) 3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 = 5$

Currying in Scheme

```
(define curried-plus  
  (lambda (a) (lambda (b) (+ a b))))
```

- (curried-plus 3) returns what?
 - Returns the plus-3 function (or more precisely, it returns a **closure**)
- ((curried-plus 3) 2) returns what?
 - 5

Currying

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n$$

$$g_1 \ x_2$$

$$g_2 \ x_3$$

...

Function **g** is said to be the **curried form** of **f**.

Semantics of Pure Lambda Calculus

- An expression has as its meaning the value that results after evaluation is carried out
 - Somewhat informally, evaluation is the process of **reducing expressions**

E.g., $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x + y) 3 2 \rightarrow (\lambda y. 3 + y) 2 \rightarrow 3 + 2 = 5$

(Note: this example is just an informal illustration. There is no $+$ in the pure lambda calculus!)

- $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$ is assigned the meaning of **TRUE**
- $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$ is assigned the meaning of **FALSE**

Lecture Outline

- Lambda calculus
 - Introduction
 - Syntax and semantics
 - Free and bound variables
 - Substitution, formally

Free and Bound Variables

- Reducing expressions

- Consider expression $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (y w)$

- Try 1:

- Reducing this expression results in the following

$$(\lambda y. x y) [(y w)/x] = (\lambda y. (y w) y)$$

The above notation means: we substitute argument $(y w)$ for every occurrence of parameter x in body $(\lambda y. x y)$.

But what is wrong here?

- $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (y w)$: different y 's! If we substitute $(y w)$ for x , the “free” y will become “bound”!

Free and Bound Variables

- Try 2:

- Rename “bound” **y** in $\lambda y. x y$ to **z**: $\lambda z. x z$

$$(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (y w) \Rightarrow (\lambda x. \lambda z. x z) (y w)$$

- E.g., in C, `int id(int p) { return p; }` is exactly the same as `int id(int q) { return q; }`

- Applying the reduction rule results in

$$(\lambda z. x z) [(y w)/x] \Rightarrow (\lambda z. (y w) z)$$

Free and Bound Variables

- Abstraction ($\lambda x. E$) is also referred as binding
- Variable x is said to be bound in $\lambda x. E$
- The set of free variables of E is the set of variables that are unbound in E
- Defined by cases on E
 - Var x : $\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$
 - App $E_1 E_2$: $\text{free}(E_1 E_2) = \text{free}(E_1) \cup \text{free}(E_2)$
 - Abs $\lambda x. E$: $\text{free}(\lambda x. E) = \text{free}(E) - \{x\}$

Free and Bound Variables

- A variable **x** is **bound** if it is in the scope of a lambda abstraction: as in **$\lambda x. E$**
- Variable is free otherwise

1. **$(\lambda x. x) y$**

2. **$(\lambda z. z z) (\lambda x. x)$**

3. **$\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. x z (y (\lambda u. u))$**

Free and Bound Variables

- $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. x z (y (\lambda u. u))$

Free and Bound Variables

- We must take free and bound variables into account when reducing expressions

E.g., $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (y w)$

- First, rename bound y in $\lambda y. x y$ to z : $\lambda z. x z$
(more precisely, we have to rename to a variable that is NOT free in $(y w)$)

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (y w) \rightarrow (\lambda x. \lambda z. x z) (y w)$

- Second, apply the reduction rule that substitutes $(y w)$ for x in the body $(\lambda z. x z)$

$(\lambda z. x z) [(y w)/x] \rightarrow (\lambda z. (y w) z) = \lambda z. y w z$

Lecture Outline

- Lambda calculus
 - Introduction
 - Syntax and semantics
 - Free and bound variables
 - Substitution, formally

Substitution, formally

- $(\lambda x.E) M \rightarrow E[M/x]$ replaces all free occurrences of x in E by M
- $E[M/x]$ is defined by cases on E :
 - Var: $y[M/x] = M$ if $x = y$
 $y[M/x] = y$ otherwise
 - App: $(E_1 E_2)[M/x] = (E_1[M/x] E_2[M/x])$
 - Abs: $(\lambda y.E_1)[M/x] = \lambda y.E_1$ if $x = y$
 $(\lambda y.E_1)[M/x] = \lambda z.((E_1[z/y])[M/x])$ otherwise,
where z NOT in $\text{free}(E_1) \cup \text{free}(M) \cup \{x\}$

Substitution, formally

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. x\ y) (y\ w)$

$\rightarrow (\lambda y. x\ y)[(y\ w)/x]$

$\rightarrow \lambda 1_ . (((x\ y)[1_/y])[(y\ w)/x])$

$\rightarrow \lambda 1_ . ((x\ 1_)[(y\ w)/x])$

$\rightarrow \lambda 1_ . ((y\ w)\ 1_)$

$\rightarrow \lambda 1_ . y\ w\ 1_$

You will have to implement this substitution algorithm in Haskell

Substitution, formally

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. x \ z \ (y \ z)) (\lambda x. x)$

The End
