



deeplearning.ai

Sequence to sequence models

Refinements to beam search

Length normalization

$$P(y^{(1)} \dots y^{(T_y)} | x) = \underbrace{P(y^{(1)} | x)}_{\text{it unnaturally tends to prefer very short translation, it tends to prefer very short outputs}} \underbrace{P(y^{(2)} | x, y^{(1)})}_{\text{a strictly monotonically increasing function}} \dots \underbrace{P(y^{(T_y)} | x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(T_y-1)})}_{\text{a strictly monotonically increasing function}}$$

$$\arg \max_y \prod_{t=1}^{T_y} P(y^{(t)} | x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(t-1)})$$

numerical underflow
too small for the floating part representation in your computer to store accurately

log

$$\arg \max_y \sum_{t=1}^{T_y} \log P(y^{(t)} | x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(t-1)})$$

So by taking logs, you end up with a more numerically stable algorithm that is less prone to rounding errors, numerical rounding errors, or to really numerical underflow.

$$T_y = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 30.$$

normalize
take the average of the
log of the probability of
each word.

$$\frac{1}{T_y} \sum_{t=1}^{T_y} \log P(y^{(t)} | x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(t-1)})$$

normalized log probability objective
normalized log likelihood objective

And this significantly
reduces the penalty for
outputting longer
translations

this is a heuristic or this is a hack, there
isn't a great justification for it but people
have found this work well.

$$\alpha = 0.7$$

$$\alpha = 1$$

$$\alpha = 0$$

this is somewhere in between full
normalization and no normalization
alpha is another hyperparameter of
algorithm that you can tune to get the
best result

Beam search discussion

Beam width B?

1 → 3 → 10, 100, 1000 → 3000

large B: better result, slower
small B: worse result, faster

Unlike exact search algorithms like BFS (Breadth First Search) or DFS (Depth First Search), Beam Search runs faster but is not guaranteed to find exact maximum for $\arg \max_y P(y|x)$.

And there are some simple things you can compute to give you guidance on what you need to work on improving your algorithm.