controller_usage_demonstration_new

June 28, 2017

This Jupyter Notebook demonstrates how to enable the GridBallast controllers for a load (a water heater or a zip load) in GridLAB-D.

We have four controllers which can be applied on each load, namely - lock mode controller - frequency controller - voltage controller - thermostat controller [optional for zip load]

Lock mode controller can force the load to be either ON/OFF during certain period.

Frequency and voltage controller respond to frequency and voltage changes within a deadband to decide whether to bring up or shut down the load. Frequency controller requires an external frequency player to feed the frequency to the system. Voltage controller can access the voltage line directly in the system. Additionally, we also bring the "jitter function" to these two controllers where the control of ON/OFF is delayed for a random period of time.

Thermostat controller is optional, which is only applicable to thermostat controlled loads (TCLs, e.g., water heater). For zip load, we can ignore this controller.

The detailed usage of these controllers will be explained below with some simple examples.

To run this notebook, please make sure you are in a UNIX based environment and have all the necessary python packages installed (plotly, matplotlib, numpy, pandas).

```
In [1]: !ls
controller_usage_demonstration_new.ipynb
controller_usage_demonstration_new.pdf
correct_path.sh
frequency.PLAYER
hot_water_demand.glm
local_gd
lock_mode_schedule.glm
smSingle.glm
smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode.glm
smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode_abnormal.glm
smSingle_base.glm
smSingle_lenient_freq.glm
smSingle_lenient_freq_lock_mode.glm
smSingle_strict_freq.glm
smSingle_strict_freq_jitter60.glm
smSingle_strict_freq_jitter600.glm
smSingle_strict_volt.glm
```

The gridlab-d binary file is stored within **local_gd** directory along with libraries. We can check the version of the gridlabd using the following command:

```
In [2]: !local_gd/bin/gridlabd --version
GridLAB-D 4.0.0-17345 (jingkungao@JKs-MBP.local:Documen) 64-bit MACOSX RELEASE
```

The above listed **local_gd/bin/gridlabd** is the binary version of the gridlab-d software with controlling functionality. In addition to that, we have **.glm** files and generated **.csv** files. We also have a **frequency.PLAYER** containing the 1-second resolution frequency information.

The version of the gridlab-d binary file and the content of the frequency.PLAYER can be seen below.


If the version of the gridlab-d does not work, we can disable the comments below and run the command to compile the source and install the gridlab-d to the machine.

```
In [3]: # %%bash
        # cd ~
        # git clone -b feature/730 https://git@github.com:INFERLab/gridlab-d.git
        # cd gridlab-d
        # cd third_party
        # chmod +x install_xercesc
        # . install_xercesc
        # tar -xvf cppunit-1.12.0.tar.gz
        # cd cppunit-1.12.0
        # ./configure LDFLAGS="-ldl"
        # make
        # sudo make install
        # cd ../..
        # autoreconf -isf
        # ./configure
        # make
        # sudo make install
In [4]: !head -5 frequency.PLAYER
2012-01-01 00:00:00 EST,59.9769
2012-01-01 00:00:01 EST,59.9763
2012-01-01 00:00:02 EST,59.9715
2012-01-01 00:00:03 EST,59.9714
2012-01-01 00:00:04 EST,59.972
```

We can further plot the frequency data to get a better sense of it.

```
In [6]: %matplotlib inline
        import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        from plotly.offline import download_plotlyjs, init_notebook_mode,\
                                    plot, iplot
        import plotly.graph_objs as go
        init_notebook_mode(connected=True)
        raw_freq = pd.read_csv('frequency.PLAYER',index_col=0,\
                               names=['time','freq[Hz]'],
                               parse_dates=True, \
                               infer_datetime_format=True)
        freq_low = 59.96
        freq_high = 60.04
        ax = raw_freq.plot(figsize=(12,4),rot=30,
                          title='grid frequency change')
        ax.axhline(y=freq_low, c='red')
        ax.axhline(y=freq_high, c='green')
```

Out[6]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x10e421be0>



Next, we will run **local_gd/bin/gridlabd** on different **.glm** files and plot the outputs showing the difference with and without controllers.

We start with running **smSingle_base.glm**, which is almost same as the original **smSingle.glm** provided by NRECA to us with the main difference being that we changed the simulation clock and added a recoreder for waterheater1 at the end.

1 Base case (one thermostat controller)

We begin with the same circuit provided by NRECA (smSingle.glm), and modify it slightly as follows:

- We change the simulation time to match the time of frequency.PLAYER and add a recorder
 to record the waterheater measurements and the ZIP load measurements (in this case, a
 fan). Note that we record data for waterheater1 as an example but it could be used for any
 waterheater.
- We also set the timestep to 1 second instead of 60 seconds.
- For a more realistic water draw schedule, we include a **hot_water_demand.glm** which exhibits typical the weekday and weekend water demand usage patterns.

Below we illustrate some of those changes made to the glm file:

```
In [7]: # from 2012-01-01 to 2012-01-02
        !head -9 smSingle_base.glm
clock {
        timezone PST+8PDT;
        starttime '2012-01-01 00:00:00';
        stoptime '2012-01-02 00:00:00';
};
#include "hot_water_demand.glm";
#set minimum_timestep=1;
In [8]: # record data for waterheater1 and fan2(zipload) at 1s resolution
        !tail -14 smSingle_base.glm
object recorder {
        interval 1;
        property base_power;
        file fan2_base.csv;
        parent fan2;
};
object recorder {
        interval 1;
        property measured_frequency,temperature,actual_load,is_waterheater_on,water_demand;
                // current_tank_status, waterheater_model, heatgain, power_state;
        file wh1_base.csv;
        parent waterheater1;
};
```

We are now ready to run a simulation with the base case (no control).

WARNING [INIT] : waterheater::init() : height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.

Core profiler results

Total objects	35	objects
Parallelism	1	thread
Total time	20.0	seconds
Core time	2.2	seconds (11.1%)
Compiler	1.3	seconds (6.6%)
Instances	0.0	seconds (0.0%)
Random variables	0.0	seconds (0.0%)
Schedules	0.0	seconds (0.0%)
Loadshapes	0.0	seconds (0.2%)
Enduses	0.0	seconds (0.1%)
Transforms	0.2	seconds (0.9%)
Model time	17.8	seconds/thread (88.9%)
Simulation time	1	days
Simulation speed	42	object.hours/second
Passes completed	86401	passes
Time steps completed	86401	timesteps
Convergence efficiency	1.00	passes/timestep
Read lock contention	0.0%	
Write lock contention	0.0%	
Average timestep	1 s	seconds/timestep
Simulation rate	4320 3	c realtime

Model profiler results


Class	Time (s)	Time (%)	msec/obj
node	10.712	60.3%	5356.0
triplex_meter	1.186	6.7%	395.3
recorder	1.092	6.1%	364.0
ZIPload	0.912	5.1%	114.0
house	0.886	5.0%	443.0
waterheater	0.742	4.2%	371.0
transformer	0.639	3.6%	319.5
triplex_line	0.571	3.2%	285.5
triplex_node	0.378	2.1%	378.0
regulator	0.351	2.0%	351.0
auction	0.216	1.2%	216.0
climate	0.093	0.5%	93.0


```
Total 17.778 100.0% 507.9
```


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 PST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times

Now, we plot the generated waterheater data stored in **wh1_base.csv** and **fan2_base.csv** from the simulation.

Out[10]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x113f40208>








```
In [11]: # We can also plot the interactive version of the plot
    # during certain period

def plotly_plotdf(df,title='Interactive plot of column variables'):
    if len(df)>20000:
        print('Too many points, please reduce number of points!')
        return

data = []
    for i in df.columns:
        trace = go.Scatter(
            name = i,
            x = df.index,
            y = df[i]
        )
        data.append(trace)
    fig = go.Figure(
        data = data,
```

Out[13]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x10fa3d2b0>



The above example has one thermostat controller for the water heater. For fan, there is no controller imposed, instead, a schedule is forced to the load. Moving next, we will consider adding more controllers to the base.

2 Two controllers

We first look at the case where we add one more controller to the water heater. This additional controller could be the frequency controller, voltage controller, or the lock mode controller. We start with a frequency controller with lenient frequency control.

Noticed by adding an additional controller, we assume the thermostat controller has a higher priority compared with others. In other words, we only consider letting other controllers to take control if there is no thermal violation. This priority list could be changed though, which we will talk later.

2.1 Lenient Frequency Control

To configure the GridBallast controller, we set specific properties of the waterheater object in the glm file. The properties corresponding to the frequency controller include:

- enable_freq_control [boolean]
- freq_lowlimit [float]
- freq_uplimit [float]

For this test we modify waterheater 1 and fan 2 to enable the frequency control and set a wide frequency dead-band (59.9Hz - 60.1Hz). We expect the GridBallast controller to be rarely triggered.

```
In [14]: !head -611 smSingle_lenient_freq.glm|tail -21
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
    };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.9;
        freq_uplimit 60.1;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
};
In [15]: !head -756 smSingle_lenient_freq.glm|tail -19
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
```


```
impedance_fraction 0.733200;
       object player {
               file frequency.PLAYER;
               property measured_frequency;
       };
       enable_freq_control true;
       freq_lowlimit 59.9;
       freq_uplimit 60.1;
       groupid fan;
};
In [16]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_lenient_freq.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
_____
Total objects
                            37 objects
Parallelism
                              1 thread
Total time
                           29.0 seconds
 Core time
                           3.8 seconds (13.2%)
   Compiler
                           1.1 seconds (4.0%)
                           0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
   Instances
   Random variables
                          0.0 seconds (0.0%)
   Schedules
                            0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
                            0.1 seconds (0.2%)
   Loadshapes
                            0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Enduses
   Transforms
                           0.2 \text{ seconds } (0.7\%)
 Model time
                           25.2 seconds/thread (86.8%)
Simulation time
                              1 days
Simulation speed
                             31 object.hours/second
                          86401 passes
Passes completed
Time steps completed
                          86401 timesteps
Convergence efficiency
                           1.00 passes/timestep
Read lock contention
                           0.0%
Write lock contention
                           0.0%
                             1 seconds/timestep
Average timestep
Simulation rate
                          2979 x realtime
Model profiler results
Time (s) Time (%) msec/obj
```


```
node
                15.419
                          61.2%
                                 7709.5
                           5.6%
                                  472.7
triplex_meter
                 1.418
                           5.5%
recorder
                 1.383
                                  461.0
                           4.3%
                                  546.0
player
                 1.092
                           4.3%
                                  543.5
house
                 1.087
ZIPload
                 1.080
                           4.3%
                                  135.0
waterheater
                 0.899
                           3.6%
                                  449.5
                           3.2%
transformer
                 0.816
                                  408.0
triplex_line
                           3.0%
                                  374.0
                 0.748
regulator
                                  436.0
                 0.436
                           1.7%
triplex_node
                           1.6%
                                  397.0
                 0.397
auction
                 0.258
                           1.0%
                                  258.0
                           0.6%
climate
                 0.147
                                  147.0
Total
                25.180
                         100.0%
                                  680.5
```


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times

Now, we plot the generated waterheater data stored in **wh1_lenient_freq.csv** and **fan2_lenient_freq.csv** from the simulation.


```
In [17]: # We save data to wh1_lenient_freq.csv and plot the results
         df_lenient_freq = pd.read_csv('wh1_lenient_freq.csv',sep=',',
                           header=8,index_col=0,parse_dates=True,
                           infer_datetime_format=True,
                           names=['freq[Hz]','temperature[F]','power[kW]',
                                 'is_waterheater_on','water_demand[gpm]'])
         df_lenient_freq[['temperature[F]','water_demand[gpm]']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='water_demand[gpm]',
                     title='[lenient freq] EWH: temperature and water demand')
         df_lenient_freq[['temperature[F]','is_waterheater_on']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='is_waterheater_on',
                     title='[lenient freq] EWH: temperature and ON/OFF status')
         df_lenient_freq[['temperature[F]','power[kW]']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='power[kW]',
                     title='[lenient freq] EWH: temperature and power')
Out[17]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x113dab0b8>
```







Out[18]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11267d2b0>



2.2 Strict Frequency Control

We modify waterheater 1 and fan 2 to enable the frequency control, but we impose a tighter frequency deadband (59.97Hz - 60.03Hz). In other words, the gridballast controller should be triggered very often.

```
In [19]: !head -611 smSingle_strict_freq.glm|tail -21

object waterheater {
    schedule_skew -810;
    water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
    name waterheater1;
    parent house1;
    heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
    thermostat_deadband 2.9;
    location INSIDE;
    tank_volume 50;
    tank_setpoint 136.8;
    tank_UA 2.4;
    temperature 135;
    object player {
```

```
file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.97;
        freq_uplimit 60.03;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
};
In [20]: !head -756 smSingle_strict_freq.glm|tail -19
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
        impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.97;
        freq_uplimit 60.03;
        groupid fan;
};
In [21]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_strict_freq.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
_____
Total objects
                              37 objects
                               1 thread
Parallelism
Total time
                            20.0 seconds
  Core time
                            2.6 seconds (12.8%)
   Compiler
                            1.2 seconds (5.9%)
    Instances
                             0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
    Random variables
                             0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
```

0.0 seconds (0.0%)

Schedules

Loadshapes	0.0 seconds (0.1%)
Enduses	0.0 seconds (0.1%)
Transforms	0.1 seconds (0.7%)
Model time	17.4 seconds/thread (87.2%)
Simulation time	1 days
Simulation speed	44 object.hours/second
Passes completed	86401 passes
Time steps completed	86401 timesteps
Convergence efficiency	1.00 passes/timestep
Read lock contention	0.0%
Write lock contention	0.0%
Average timestep	1 seconds/timestep
Simulation rate	4320 x realtime


Model profiler results


Class	Time	(s)	Time	(%)	msec/obj
node	10.1	.97	58	3.5%	5098.5
triplex_meter	1.0	52	ϵ	5.0%	350.7
recorder	1.0	22	5	5.9%	340.7
player	0.8	37	4	1.8%	418.5
ZIPload	0.8	803	4	1.6%	100.4
house	0.7	'84	4	1.5%	392.0
waterheater	0.6	60	3	3.8%	330.0
transformer	0.5	84	3	3.3%	292.0
triplex_line	0.5	63	3	3.2%	281.5
regulator	0.3	372	2	2.1%	372.0
triplex_node	0.2	277	1	6%	277.0
auction	0.1	.76	1	.0%	176.0
climate	0.1	.06	C	0.6%	106.0
	=====	===	=====	===	======
Total	17.4	33	100	0.0%	471.2


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times

Now, we plot the generated waterheater data stored in **wh1_strict_freq.csv** and **fan2_strict_freq.csv** from the simulation.


Out[22]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x118135d30>








Out[23]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x1100df5f8>



```
title='grid frequency')
ax.axhline(y=freq_low, c='red')
ax.axhline(y=freq_high, c='green')
```

Out[24]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x117995a58>



2.3 Strict Voltage Control

We modify waterheater 1 and fan 2 to enable the voltage controller, with a band of [240.7,241.3] for the waterheater and [120.4,120.7] for the zipload. Notice in the case of voltage controller, we don't need to supply an external voltage.PLAYER file, instead, we can access the voltage line directly in the system.

```
In [25]: !head -608 smSingle_strict_volt.glm|tail -19
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        enable_volt_control true;
        volt_lowlimit 240.7;
        volt_uplimit 241.3;
```

```
heat_mode ELECTRIC;
};
In [26]: !head -748 smSingle_strict_volt.glm|tail -15
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
        impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        enable_volt_control true;
        volt_lowlimit 120.4;
        volt_uplimit 120.7;
        groupid fan;
};
In [27]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_strict_volt.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
_____
Total objects
                              35 objects
Parallelism
                              1 thread
Total time
                            22.0 seconds
  Core time
                           3.0 seconds (13.6%)
                            1.2 seconds (5.3%)
    Compiler
    Instances
                           0.0 seconds (0.0%)
    Random variables
                            0.0 seconds (0.0%)
                            0.0 seconds (0.0%)
    Schedules
    Loadshapes
                            0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.2\%)
                            0.0 seconds (0.1%)
    Enduses
                            0.2 seconds (0.8%)
    Transforms
 Model time
                            19.0 seconds/thread (86.4%)
Simulation time
                               1 days
Simulation speed
                              38 object.hours/second
Passes completed
                           86401 passes
                           86401 timesteps
Time steps completed
```

1.00 passes/timestep

Convergence efficiency

```
Read lock contention 0.0%
Write lock contention 0.0%
Average timestep 1 seconds/timestep
Simulation rate 3927 x realtime
```

Model profiler results


Class	Time (s)	Time (%)	msec/obj
node	11.657	61.4%	5828.5
triplex_meter	1.214	6.4%	404.7
recorder	1.150	6.1%	383.3
house	0.959	5.0%	479.5
ZIPload	0.895	4.7%	111.9
waterheater	0.753	4.0%	376.5
transformer	0.705	3.7%	352.5
triplex_line	0.557	2.9%	278.5
regulator	0.422	2.2%	422.0
triplex_node	0.386	2.0%	386.0
auction	0.199	1.0%	199.0
climate	0.103	0.5%	103.0
===========	======	======	======
Total	19.000	100.0%	542.9


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times


Now, we plot the generated waterheater data stored in **wh1_strict_volt.csv** and **fan2_strict_volt.csv** from the simulation.

plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='power[kW]',
 title='[strict volt] EWH: temperature and power')


Out[28]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11d997a58>









Out[29]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11e580c88>



Out[30]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x11c684320>



Out[31]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x1221a8978>



2.4 Strict Frequency Control with Jitter (1 min)

We now modify the previous case (with a tight frequency deadband) and add a jitter to the response of the waterheater and fan, such that the start of GridBallast event will delay randomly

with an expected value of 60 seconds (1 min). This can be done by specifying a property called **average_delay_time**. Internally, the controller delay follows a uniform distribution over the interval [1,2*average_delay_time].

We use 60 seconds to clearly illustrate the difference in the power consumption patterns of the water heater previously illustrated and this one with jitter control enabled. Needless to say, users can set these values differently depending on how many water heaters are connected to the network or other considerations.

```
In [32]: !head -612 smSingle_strict_freq_jitter60.glm|tail -22
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.97;
        freq_uplimit 60.03;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
        average_delay_time 60;
};
In [33]: !head -758 smSingle_strict_freq_jitter60.glm|tail -20
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
        impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
```

```
};
       enable_freq_control true;
       freq_lowlimit 59.97;
       freq_uplimit 60.03;
       average_delay_time 60;
       groupid fan;
};
In [34]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
        !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_strict_freq_jitter60.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
_____
Total objects
                           37 objects
Parallelism
                            1 thread
Total time
                          28.0 seconds
 Core time
                         3.4 seconds (12.3%)
                          1.2 seconds (4.3%)
   Compiler
   Instances
                          0.0 seconds (0.0%)
                         0.0 seconds (0.0%)
   Random variables
   Schedules
                          0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
                         0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.2\%)
   Loadshapes
   Enduses
                         0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Transforms
                         0.2 seconds (0.8%)
 Model time
                          24.6 seconds/thread (87.7%)
Simulation time
                             1 days
Simulation speed
                            32 object.hours/second
Passes completed
                         86401 passes
Time steps completed
                         86401 timesteps
Convergence efficiency
                          1.00 passes/timestep
Read lock contention
                          0.0%
Write lock contention
                          0.0%
Average timestep
                            1 seconds/timestep
Simulation rate
                         3086 x realtime
Model profiler results
_____
                Time (s) Time (%) msec/obj
Class
------
                14.910
                           60.7%
                                 7455.0
recorder
                1.541
                            6.3% 513.7
```

triplex_meter 1.368

25


456.0


5.6%


```
house
                 1.116
                            4.5%
                                   558.0
player
                 1.104
                            4.5%
                                   552.0
                            4.3%
ZIPload
                 1.049
                                   131.1
waterheater
                 0.907
                            3.7%
                                   453.5
transformer
                            2.9%
                                   362.0
                 0.724
triplex_line
                 0.717
                            2.9%
                                   358.5
regulator
                 0.426
                            1.7%
                                   426.0
triplex_node
                 0.349
                            1.4%
                                   349.0
auction
                 0.225
                            0.9%
                                   225.0
                                   129.0
climate
                 0.129
                            0.5%
100.0%
                                   663.9
Total
                24.565
```

WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times


Out[35]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x123326e10>









Out[36]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x12036f6a0>




As we can see, after applying the jitter, the water heater should be engaged less often. However, since the jitter time is too short, we can barely see the difference unless we zoom in.


Out[37]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x1247bcb00>









Out[38]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11854f7f0>







As is seen, after applying the jitter, it tends to correct the power trace from strict frequency control case to the base case. It is obvious for the zipload[fan] case. Let's try the jitter with longer duration to see the same trend for the waterheater.

2.5 Strict Frequency Control with Jitter (10 mins)

We now modify the jitter such that the start of GridBallast event will delay randomly with an expected value of 600 seconds (10 mins) so that we can clearly see the jitter effects in the electric water heater as well.


```
In [39]: !head -613 smSingle_strict_freq_jitter600.glm|tail -23
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.97;
        freq_uplimit 60.03;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
        average_delay_time 600;
};
In [40]: !head -758 smSingle_strict_freq_jitter600.glm|tail -21
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
```


```
impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        object player {
               file frequency.PLAYER;
               property measured_frequency;
       };
       enable_freq_control true;
       freq_lowlimit 59.97;
       freq_uplimit 60.03;
       average_delay_time 600;
       groupid fan;
};
In [41]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_strict_freq_jitter600.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
37 objects
Total objects
Parallelism
                              1 thread
Total time
                           24.0 seconds
 Core time
                            3.0 seconds (12.6%)
                           1.3 seconds (5.4%)
   Compiler
   Instances
                            0.0 seconds (0.0%)
   Random variables
                            0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
   Schedules
                            0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
                            0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Loadshapes
   Enduses
                           0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Transforms
                            0.2 seconds (0.8%)
 Model time
                           21.0 seconds/thread (87.4%)
Simulation time
                              1 days
                             37 object.hours/second
Simulation speed
Passes completed
                          86401 passes
Time steps completed
                          86401 timesteps
Convergence efficiency
                           1.00 passes/timestep
Read lock contention
                           0.0%
Write lock contention
                           0.0%
Average timestep
                             1 seconds/timestep
Simulation rate
                          3600 x realtime
Model profiler results
```


Time (s) Time (%) msec/obj

Class


```
57.5%
node
                 12.060
                                   6030.0
player
                  1.363
                             6.5%
                                    681.5
recorder
                             5.9%
                                    411.3
                  1.234
                             5.8%
                                    406.7
triplex_meter
                  1.220
house
                  0.954
                             4.5%
                                    477.0
ZIPload
                  0.927
                             4.4%
                                   115.9
                             3.9%
waterheater
                  0.815
                                    407.5
transformer
                  0.707
                             3.4%
                                    353.5
                                    340.5
triplex_line
                  0.681
                             3.2%
regulator
                             1.9%
                                    393.0
                  0.393
triplex_node
                             1.5%
                                    307.0
                  0.307
                             1.0%
auction
                  0.205
                                     205.0
                             0.5%
climate
                  0.113
                                     113.0
Total
                 20.979
                           100.0%
                                     567.0
WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times
In [42]: # We save data to wh1_strict_freq_jitter600.csv and plot the results
        df_wh_jitter600 = pd.read_csv('wh1_strict_freq_jitter600.csv',sep=',',
                          header=8,index_col=0,parse_dates=True,
                          infer_datetime_format=True,
                          names=['freq[Hz]','temperature[F]','power[kW]',
                                'is_waterheater_on','water_demand[gpm]'])
        df_wh_jitter600[['temperature[F]','water_demand[gpm]']].\
                plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='water_demand[gpm]',
                    title='[strict freq, 10 mins jitter] EWH: temperature and water demand')
        df_wh_jitter600[['temperature[F]','is_waterheater_on']].\
                plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='is_waterheater_on',
                    title='[strict freq, 10 mins jitter] EWH: temperature and ON/OFF status')
        df_wh_jitter600[['temperature[F]','power[kW]']].\
                plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='power[kW]',
                    title='[strict freq, 10 mins jitter] EWH: temperature and power')
Out[42]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x1121de908>
```








Out[43]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11c6492e8>





As we can see, after applying the 10 min jitter, now the water heater is engaged less often than in the previous experiment without jitter.

As we did in previous examples, we now look into a shorter duration to better understand the effect of the jitter.


```
In [44]: # we look at jitter for the electric water heater in shorter duration
         # As we can see, they behave slightly different, the one with jitter behaves
         # more like the one without frequency control (base case)
         df_base.iloc[80000:100000][['temperature[F]',
                 'power[kW]']].plot(figsize=(12,4),
                                    secondary_y='power[kW]',
                                   title='[base] EWH')
         df_strict_freq.iloc[80000:100000][['temperature[F]',
                 'power[kW]']].plot(figsize=(12,4),
                                    secondary_y='power[kW]',
                                   title='[strict freq] EWH')
         df_wh_jitter600.iloc[80000:100000][['temperature[F]',
                 'power[kW]']].plot(figsize=(12,4),
                                    secondary_v='power[kW]',
                     title='[strict freq, 10 mins jitter] EWH')
Out [44]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x1291f5240>
```









Out[45]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x129c41828>







We can apply the same jitter to the voltage controller as well. We will skip those examples. In addition to that, we can also add a lock mode controller, which we will demonstrate in the three controllers case.

3 Three Controllers

In addition to the thermostat controllers, we can add two more controllers to the waterheater, here we show how we can add frequency controller and lock mode controller. ## Lenient Frequency Control & Lock Mode Enabled

Noticed by adding two more controllers, we assume the following priority list by default: - waterheater: thermostat controller > lock mode controller > frequency controller - zipload: lock mode controller > frequency controller

This list could be changed later by feeding an additional parameter, which we will explain in the four controllers case.

We will use a very simple example to demonstrate how to enable the lock for ON/OFF during certain period. For example, if we want to enable lock between 18:00-22:00, and force load ON between 19:00-21:00, and force load OFF between from 18:00-19:00 and 21:00-22:00, we can specify a schedule file like this.

```
In [46]: cat lock_mode_schedule.glm
schedule temp_lock_enable {
          * 0-17 * * * 0;
          * 18-21 * * * 1;
          * 22-23 * * * 0;
};
schedule temp_lock_status {
          * 18 * * * 0;
          * 19-20 * * * 1;
          * 21 * * 0;
};
```

```
In [47]: # we decide not to override the thermostat setpoint by letting lock_OVERRIDE_TS to be f
         # we can let this variable to be true if we want a very strict control of the TCLs
         !head -614 smSingle_lenient_freq_lock_mode.glm|tail -24
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
    };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.9;
        freq_uplimit 60.1;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
        enable_lock temp_lock_enable;
        lock_STATUS temp_lock_status;
};
In [48]: !head -761 smSingle_lenient_freq_lock_mode.glm|tail -22
object ZIPload {
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
        impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
```

```
freq_lowlimit 59.9;
       freq_uplimit 60.1;
       enable_lock temp_lock_enable;
       lock_STATUS temp_lock_status;
       groupid fan;
};
In [49]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
        !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_lenient_freq_lock_mode.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
_____
Total objects
                           37 objects
                            1 thread
Parallelism
Total time
                        29.0 seconds
 Core time
                         3.3 seconds (11.4%)
                         1.4 seconds (4.7%)
   Compiler
                         0.0 \text{ seconds } (0.0\%)
   Instances
                       0.0 seconds (0.0%)
   Random variables
                         0.0 seconds (0.0%)
   Schedules
   Loadshapes
                         0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Enduses
                         0.0 seconds (0.1%)
   Transforms
                         0.3 seconds (1.1%)
 Model time
                          25.7 seconds/thread (88.6%)
Simulation time
                            1 days
Simulation speed
                            31 object.hours/second
Passes completed
                         86401 passes
Time steps completed
                         86401 timesteps
Convergence efficiency
                          1.00 passes/timestep
Read lock contention
                          0.0%
Write lock contention
                          0.0%
Average timestep
                            1 seconds/timestep
                         2979 x realtime
Simulation rate
Model profiler results
Class
               Time (s) Time (%) msec/obj
-----
               16.129
                         62.8% 8064.5
node
                          5.4% 463.0
recorder
                1.389
triplex_meter 1.389
                          5.4% 463.0
```

4.3% 555.5

1.111

house


```
4.2%
player
                   1.077
                                       538.5
ZIPload
                   1.006
                               3.9%
                                       125.8
waterheater
                   0.924
                               3.6%
                                       462.0
triplex_line
                   0.776
                               3.0%
                                       388.0
transformer
                               2.9%
                                       368.0
                   0.736
regulator
                   0.466
                               1.8%
                                       466.0
triplex_node
                   0.365
                               1.4%
                                       365.0
auction
                   0.220
                               0.9%
                                       220.0
                               0.4%
                                       114.0
climate
                   0.114
Total
                                       694.6
                  25.702
                             100.0%
```


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times


Now, we plot the generated waterheater data stored in wh1_lenient_freq_lock_mode.csv and fan2_lenient_freq_lock_mode.csv from the simulation.

```
In [50]: # We save data to wh1_lenient_freq.csv and plot the results
         df_lenient_freq_lk = pd.read_csv('wh1_lenient_freq_lock_mode.csv',sep=',',
                           header=8,index_col=0,parse_dates=True,
                           infer_datetime_format=True,
                           names=['freq[Hz]','temperature[F]','power[kW]',
                                 'is_waterheater_on','water_demand[gpm]'])
         df_lenient_freq_lk[['temperature[F]','water_demand[gpm]']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='water_demand[gpm]',
                     title='[lenient freq lock mode] EWH: temperature and water demand')
         df_lenient_freq_lk[['temperature[F]','is_waterheater_on']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='is_waterheater_on',
                     title='[lenient freq lock mode] EWH: temperature and ON/OFF status')
         df_lenient_freq_lk[['temperature[F]','power[kW]']].\
                 plot(figsize=(12,4),secondary_y='power[kW]',
                     title='[lenient freq lock mode] EWH: temperature and power')
```

Out [50]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x1291f5d30>








As we can see, the load is off starting from 18:00, however, due to the water usage events, the temperature set point has a higher priority, and the load is ON to maintain the temperature within the dead band.

Starting from 19:00, the load is forced ON, however, once the temperature reaches the upper band, the load is forced OFF, that is why we see the dense fluctuations between 19:00-21:00.


Starting from 21:00, the load is supposed to be OFF, however, due to the temperature setting point has a higher priority, the load is forced to be ON to maintain the proper temperature.

Now let's look at the fan.

Out[51]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x11e57a240>



The fan is quite properly behaved, it is OFF between 18:00-19:00, ON between 19:00-21:00, OFF again between 21:00-22:00. Exactly as the lock mode schedule specified. We can also look at the origin power trace for comparison.



4 Four Controllers

Now we consider the ultimate case where we have all controllers for the load. The jitters are also enabled for both frequency/voltage controllers by setting up **average_delay_time**. And we can also decide what kind of priority we want to apply to the load by specifying the variable **controller_priority**.

4.1 Normal controllers

```
In [53]: !head -619 smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode.glm|tail -28
object waterheater {
        schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
   };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.96;
        freq_uplimit 60.04;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
```

```
enable_volt_control true;
volt_lowlimit 240.4;
volt_uplimit 241.4;
average_delay_time 120;
enable_lock temp_lock_enable;
lock_STATUS temp_lock_status;
controller_priority 3214;
};
```

All the other properties have been explained before. For the property **controller_priority**, let's consider these four controllers: - lock mode controller [a] - frequency controller [b] - voltage controller [c] - thermostat controller [d]

The number **3214** above means that the controllers are in the priority order of d > a > b > c. The number **4321** below means that the controllers are in the priority order of a > b > c > d.

```
In [54]: !head -771 smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode.glm|tail -25
        name fan2;
        parent house2;
        power_fraction 0.013500;
        current_fraction 0.253400;
        base_power fan1*0.106899;
        impedance_pf 0.970000;
        current_pf 0.950000;
        power_pf -1.000000;
        impedance_fraction 0.733200;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
        };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.96;
        freq_uplimit 60.04;
        enable_volt_control true;
        volt_lowlimit 120.39;
        volt_uplimit 120.73;
        average_delay_time 120;
        enable_lock temp_lock_enable;
        lock_STATUS temp_lock_status;
        controller_priority 4321;
        groupid fan;
};
In [55]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode.glm
WARNING [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
```

Core profiler results


m	0.7				
Total objects		objects			
Parallelism	1	thread			
Total time	25.0	seconds			
Core time	2.8	seconds (11.0%)			
Compiler	1.4	seconds (5.6%)			
Instances	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Random variables	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Schedules	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Loadshapes	0.0	seconds (0.1%)			
Enduses	0.0	seconds (0.1%)			
Transforms	0.3	seconds (1.0%)			
Model time	22.2	seconds/thread (89.0%)			
Simulation time	1	days			
Simulation speed	36	object.hours/second			
Passes completed	86401	passes			
Time steps completed	86401	timesteps			
Convergence efficiency	1.00	passes/timestep			
Read lock contention	0.0%				
Write lock contention	0.0%				
Average timestep	1 8	1 seconds/timestep			
Simulation rate	3456 x realtime				


Model profiler results


Class	Time (s)	Time (%)	msec/obj		
node	13.875	62.4%	6937.5		
recorder	1.269	5.7%	423.0		
triplex_meter	1.150	5.2%	383.3		
house	1.002	4.5%	501.0		
player	0.947	4.3%	473.5		
ZIPload	0.887	4.0%	110.9		
waterheater	0.786	3.5%	393.0		
transformer	0.651	2.9%	325.5		
triplex_line	0.645	2.9%	322.5		
regulator	0.392	1.8%	392.0		
triplex_node	0.315	1.4%	315.0		
auction	0.201	0.9%	201.0		
climate	0.118	0.5%	118.0		
Total	22.238	100.0%	601.0		

WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times


Out[56]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x10a969320>









Out[57]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x12982d908>




Out[58]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x1305ae630>



Out[59]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x13159c470>



Out[60]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x12e02b908>



4.2 Abnormal controllers

object waterheater {

To show how the priority list matters for the controller, we consider the following abnormal examples where we change **controller_priority** to 4321, which means

In [61]: !head -619 smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode_abnormal.glm|tail -28

lock controller > freq controller > volt controller > thermostat controller

```
schedule_skew -810;
        water_demand weekday_hotwater*1;
        name waterheater1;
        parent house1;
        heating_element_capacity 4.8 kW;
        thermostat_deadband 2.9;
        location INSIDE;
        tank_volume 50;
        tank_setpoint 136.8;
        tank_UA 2.4;
        temperature 135;
        object player {
                file frequency.PLAYER;
                property measured_frequency;
   };
        enable_freq_control true;
        freq_lowlimit 59.96;
        freq_uplimit 60.04;
        heat_mode ELECTRIC;
        enable_volt_control true;
        volt_lowlimit 240.4;
        volt_uplimit 241.4;
        average_delay_time 120;
        enable_lock temp_lock_enable;
        lock_STATUS temp_lock_status;
        controller_priority 4321;
};
In [62]: # run the gridlabd.bin to start the simulation
         !local_gd/bin/gridlabd smSingle_4controller_freq_volt_lock_mode_abnormal.glm
        [INIT]: waterheater::init(): height and diameter were not specified, defaulting to 3.
Core profiler results
```

Total objects	37	objects			
Parallelism		thread			
Total time	20.0	seconds			
Core time	1.8	seconds (9.2%)			
Compiler	1.4	seconds (6.9%)			
Instances	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Random variables	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Schedules	0.0	seconds (0.0%)			
Loadshapes	0.0	seconds (0.2%)			
Enduses	0.0	seconds (0.1%)			
Transforms	0.2	seconds (1.1%)			
Model time	18.2	seconds/thread (90.8%)			
Simulation time	1	days			
Simulation speed	44	object.hours/second			
Passes completed	86401	passes			
Time steps completed	86401	timesteps			
Convergence efficiency	1.00	passes/timestep			
Read lock contention	0.0%				
Write lock contention	0.0%				
Average timestep	1 s	seconds/timestep			
Simulation rate	4320 x realtime				


Model profiler results


Class	Time	(s)	Time	(%)	msec/obj
node	10.481		57.7%		5240.5
recorder	1.160		6.4%		386.7
triplex_meter	1.059		5.8%		353.0
ZIPload	0.852		4.7%		106.5
house	0.848		4.7%		424.0
player	0.831		4.6%		415.5
waterheater	0.717		3.9%		358.5
transformer	0.610		3.4%		305.0
triplex_line	0.593		3.3%		296.5
regulator	0.384		2.1%		384.0
triplex_node	0.312		1	. 7%	312.0
auction	0.225		1.2%		225.0
climate	0.090		0.5%		90.0
	=====	===	=====	===	
Total	18.162		100.0%		490.9


WARNING [2012-01-02 00:00:00 EST] : last warning message was repeated 1 times

In [63]: # We save data to wh1_lenient_freq.csv and plot the results

Out[63]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x133b10668>







Even though we remain the rest parameters the same, we can see the simulation gives abnormal results during 19:00-22:00. This is due to the lock mode controller overrides the thermostat controller and force the load to be ON. Normally we would suggest to put the thermostat controller to the highest priority (set 4 to the last digit of the four-digit integer for controller_priority). If people want to change the priority of the controllers, please make sure to be aware of the possible consequences.