

Tutorial 1 – 21.01.20

1 Multiplication of two polynomials

Give an algorithm to multiply a degree 1 polynomial by a degree 2 polynomial in at most 4 multiplications.

2 Remainder of a sparse polynomial

In this exercise we are interested in computing a remainder of a sparse polynomial S after dividing by a polynomial D , where $S, D \in K[X]$. (Assume that operations in K have unit cost.)

1. Give an example showing that assuming that S is sparse does not lead to better bounds for the classical division algorithm.
2. What is the cost of an operation in $K[X]/(D(X))$?
3. Show that one can compute $X^N \bmod D(X)$ in time $O((\deg D)^2 \log N)$. (Hint: use fast exponentiation.)
4. Assume that S has ω nonzero terms. Show that you get an algorithm of complexity $O(\omega(\deg D)^2 \log \deg S)$ which beats the classical division for ω at most $\frac{\deg S - \deg D}{\deg D \log \deg S}$.

3 Short product

We are given two polynomials F and G both of degree $< n$. We want to compute their short product, i.e., the value $FG \bmod x^n$. We can either compute their full product FG in time $\mathcal{O}(n^{\ln 3 / \ln 2})$ (using Karatsuba) and then discard large-degree coefficients, or we can be smarter and use the so-called Mulders' trick to get the result faster.

1. Let k be an integer such that $n/2 \leq k \leq n$ and let $M(n)$ denote the complexity of a full product and $S(n)$ the complexity of a short product. Show that a short product of two degree n polynomials can be computed as a full product of two degree k polynomials, and two short products of degree $n - k$ polynomials. In other words, show that

$$S(n) = M(k) + 2S(n - k).$$

2. Assume that $M(n) = n^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 1$ (so we leave out the constant factor). Further let $k = \beta n$ for some $\beta < 1$. The goal is to find the optimal value for β that minimizes $S(n)$.
 1. $S(n) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{1-2(1-\beta)^\alpha} M(n)$. You may want to use the fact that $\frac{S(\gamma n)}{S(n)} = \frac{M(\gamma n)}{M(n)}$ for $\gamma > 0$ and sufficiently large n
 2. Find β_{\min} as a function of α that minimizes the above expression.

4 Multiplication of bivariate polynomials

Fact: Let c_0, \dots, c_d be $d+1$ distinct elements of K and $Q_0, \dots, Q_d \in K[X]$. There is a unique polynomial $P \in K[X, Y]$ of Y -degree at most d satisfying $P(X, c_i) = Q_i$ for every $i = 0, \dots, d$.

Let us assume that we can efficiently find such P . Again, assume that operations in K have unit cost.

1. What is the cost of a naive multiplication of two bivariate polynomials A and B of X -degree at most D_1 and Y -degree at most D_2 ?
2. Give an algorithm that computes $A(X, c)$ for a given $c \in K$, with A of X -degree at most D_1 and Y -degree at most D_2 . What is its cost?
3. Assuming that $|K| \geq 2D_2 + 1$ and using the fact above, describe an algorithm for multiplying bivariate polynomials (which would, assuming that we have a fast algorithm for multiplication of polynomials of one variable, beat the naive multiplication).

5 Alternative FFT algorithm

Let P be a polynomial of degree at most $2^k - 1$, and write $P = P_h X^{2^{k-1}} + P_l$. Let ω be a primitive 2^k -th root of 1.

1. Prove that $P(\omega^{2i}) = P_h(\omega^{2i}) + P_l(\omega^{2i})$ and $P(\omega^{2i+1}) = -P_h(\omega^{2i+1}) + P_l(\omega^{2i+1})$
2. Deduce an alternative FFT algorithm. You will need to introduce the polynomial

$$Q(X) = P_l(\omega X) - P_h(\omega X).$$

6 Is squaring easier than multiplying?

Show that computing the square of an n -digit number is not (asymptotically) easier than multiplying two n -digit numbers. We assume we work in a ring where we can divide by 2.

7 Refined Karatsuba

In class, we've seen that Karatsuba algorithm allows to multiply two polynomials of degree n in time $\mathcal{O}(n^{\ln 3 / \ln 2})$. In this exercise we look at a more refined complexity bound and, in particular, improve the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ -factor. Assume, n is divisible by 2.

1. First, recall Karatsuba identity, where we let $\deg(F_0) = \deg(G_0) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $k := \deg(F_1) = \deg(G_1) \leq n/2$.

$$(F_0 + x^{n/2} F_1)(G_0 + x^{n/2} G_1) = F_0 G_0 + x^{n/2} ((F_0 + F_1)(G_0 + G_1) - F_0 G_0 - F_1 G_1) + x^n F_1 G_1. \quad (1)$$

Argue that this identity leads to the bound $M(n) \leq 3M(n/2) + 4n + \Theta(1)$.

2. Consider a quadratic polynomial $H = h_0 + h_1 x + h_2 x^2$. Recall that this polynomial can be reconstructed from $H(0) = h_0$, $H(1) = h_0 + h_1 + h_2$, and $H(\infty) = h_2$ as $H = (1-x)H(0) + xH(1) + x(x-1)H(\infty)$. Now assume H is the result of the product $(F_0 + xF_1)(G_0 + xG_1)$. Show how to obtain the refined Karatsuba identity

$$(F_0 + x^{n/2} F_1)(G_0 + x^{n/2} G_1) = (1 - x^{n/2})(F_0 G_0 - x^{n/2} F_1 G_1) + x^{n/2} (F_0 + F_1)(G_0 + G_1). \quad (2)$$

Estimate the number of multiplications and additions you'll need to perform using this identity.