Note on a geometric approach to total variation filter

February 20, 2017

1 Definitions

Definition 1.1. (Total Variation) We call *total variation* the function $TV: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$TV(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i < n} |y_{i+1} - y_i|$$

Definition 1.2. (Total Variation Filter) Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the function:

$$C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + TV(\mathbf{x})$$

The problem of minimising $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}$ is called *total varition filter*.

Since $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}$ is convex and non-negative it admits a finite unique global minimum, which will be denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ in the following.

2 Equivalence of total variation filter and taut string problem

Let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector of all 1.

The following fact is an immediate consequence of the definition of TV.

Lemma 2.1. For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$TV(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{1}) = TV(\mathbf{x})$$

Lemma 2.2. $\sum_j y_j = \sum_j \hat{y}_j$

Proof. For any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ let: $f(\delta) = \|y - \hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1}\|_2^2 + \lambda TV(\hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1})$. By lemma 2.1, $f(\delta) = \|\hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1} - y\|_2^2 + \lambda TV(\hat{y})$. Hence f is differentiable and $f'(0) = 2\sum_j (\hat{y}_j - y_j)$. But the definition of \hat{y} implies that 0 is a minimum of f, so f'(0) = 0.

Let $\mathbf{s}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the partial sums of respectively \mathbf{y} and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ starting from 0. In other words: $s_i = \sum_{j < i} y_j$ and $\hat{s}_i = \sum_{j < i} \hat{y}_j$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any* $j \in [1, n]$ *:*

1.
$$\hat{y}_j > \hat{y}_{j+1} \Rightarrow \hat{s}_j = s_j - \lambda/2$$

2.
$$\hat{y}_i < \hat{y}_{i+1} \Rightarrow \hat{s}_i = s_i + \lambda/2$$

3.
$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{y}_{i+1} \Rightarrow |\hat{s}_i - s_i| < \lambda/2$$

Proof. For any index $j \in [1, n]$, define $\mathbf{u}^j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $u^j_i = 1$ for $i \leq j$ and $\mathbf{u}^j_i = 0$ for i > j and let $f(\delta) = C_{\mathbf{y}, \lambda}^{TVF}(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) - C_{\mathbf{y}, \lambda}^{TVF}(\hat{\mathbf{y}})$.

It is useful to decompose f as $f_E + f_{TV}$, where $f_E(\hat{\delta}) = \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 - \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$ and $f_{TV}(\hat{\delta}) = \lambda (TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) - TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}}))$.

We have f(0) = 0 and $f(\delta) > 0$ for $\delta \neq 0$ from the definition of $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$.

To prove 1), assume $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(j) > \hat{\mathbf{y}}(j+1)$ for some j.

Observe that, for sufficiently small δ , it is $TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) = TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) + \delta$ and $f_{TV}(\delta) = \lambda \delta$. Therefore there exist an open interval I containing 0 such that f restricted to I can be written as: $f(\delta) = f_E(\delta) + \lambda \delta$ and f is differentiable in I. Since 0 is a minimum for f we must have $f'(0) = f'_E + \lambda = 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j) + \lambda = 0$, which means $\hat{s}_j = s_j - \lambda/2$ and the first point is proved.

The proof of 2) is entirely symmetric.

To prove 3) we follow a similar argument. Assume $\hat{y}_j = \hat{y}_{j+1}$.

First of all, observe that in this case: $f_{TV}(\delta) = \lambda |\delta|$. Moreover, by simple algebra, $f_E(\delta) = 2\delta(\mathbf{u}^j, \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}) + \delta^2 ||\mathbf{u}^j||_2^2 = 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j)\delta + C\delta^2$, where we put $C = ||\mathbf{u}||_2^2$ and used (,) to indicate the dot product in \mathbb{R}^n .

Now, let us use the sign function sign, to write $f(\delta) = |\delta|(\lambda + 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j)\text{sign}(\delta) + C|\delta|)$, where recall C > 0. For this quantity to be positive for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ it must necessarily be $\lambda + 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j) > 0 \Rightarrow \hat{s}_j - s_j \geq -\lambda/2$. Similarly, considering negative δ , it is necessary that $\hat{s}_j - s_j \leq \lambda/2$. These two inequalities finally prove point 3).

We are now able to prove an equivalence between *total variation filter* and a seemingly unrelated geometric problem:

Definition 2.1. The taut string problem For $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\delta \geq 0$, let \mathbf{s} be the partial sum vector of \mathbf{y} . The taut string problem consists in determining an $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that:

- $t_i \in [s_i \lambda/2, s_i + \lambda/2]$, for j < n
- \bullet $t_m = s_m$
- The total length of the chain of segments in \mathbb{R}^2 connecting points

$$(0,0),(1,t_1),\ldots,(n,t_n)$$

is minimum.

The following is a simple geometric fact characterising the ${\bf t}$ in terms of its local properties:

Lemma 2.4. A vector \mathbf{t} satisfying $t_i \in [s_i - \lambda/2, s_i + \lambda/2]$ for each i solves the taut string problem for \mathbf{y} and λ if and only if for all i in [1, n]:

- $(t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2 < t_i \Rightarrow t_i = s_i \lambda/2$
- $(t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2 > t_i \Rightarrow t_i = s_i + \lambda/2$

where \mathbf{s} is the vector of partial sums of y and we conventionally set $t_0 = 0$

Proof. (sketch) Since the taut string problem is convex, a solution is the global optimum if and only if it is a local minimum in each coordinates. That means that \mathbf{t} is a solution of the taut string problem if and only if changing anyone of its components increases the overall length of the string. In other words, let $f_i(x) = |\overline{t_{i-1}x}| + |\overline{xt_{i+1}}|$, then \mathbf{t} is the taut string solution iff, for every i, the minimum of f_i over the admissible interval $[s_i - \lambda/2, s_i + \lambda/2]$ is t_i . But it is easy to see that f_i is minimised at $m = (t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2$ and that f(x) increases as |x-m| increases; as a consequence, f_i having a minimum at t_i over its admissible interval is equivalent to conditions 1, 2.

Theorem 2.5. (Equivalence of total variation filter and taut string) Let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ be the solution of the total variation filter with parameters \mathbf{y} and λ . Then $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$, the vector of partial sum of $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, is the solution of the taut string problem of parameters \mathbf{y} and λ

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ be the total variation filter solution and $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ its partial sums vector. We will be done if we prove that $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ satisfies conditions 1 and 2 of Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\hat{s}_i > (\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2$. Note that $(\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2 = \hat{s}_{i-1} + (\hat{y}_i + \hat{y}_{i+1})/2$ therefore we derive $\hat{y}_{i+1} < \hat{y}_i$ and, by Lemma 2.3, $\hat{s}_i = s_i - \lambda/2$. Symmetric argument prove that $\hat{s}_i < (\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2$ implies $\hat{s}_i = s_i + \lambda/2$