

# Note on a geometric approach to total variation filter

February 19, 2017

## 1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1.** (Total Variation) We call *total variation* the function  $TV : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$TV(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i < n} |y_{i+1} - y_i|$$

**Definition 1.2.** (Total Variation Filter) Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the function:

$$C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + TV(\mathbf{x})$$

The problem of minimising  $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}$  is called *total variation filter*.

Since  $C_{\mathbf{y},\lambda}$  is convex and non-negative it admits a finite unique global minimum, which will be denoted by  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  in the following.

## 2 Equivalence of total variation filter and taut string problem

Let  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the vector of all 1.

The following fact is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $TV$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$TV(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{1}) = TV(\mathbf{x})$$

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\sum_j y_j = \sum_j \hat{y}_j$

*Proof.* For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  let:  $f(\delta) = \|y - \hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1}\|_2^2 + \lambda TV(\hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1})$ . By lemma 2.1,  $f(\delta) = \|\hat{y} + \delta \mathbf{1} - y\|_2^2 + \lambda TV(\hat{y})$ . Hence  $f$  is differentiable and  $f'(0) = 2 \sum_j (\hat{y}_j - y_j)$ . But the definition of  $\hat{y}$  implies that 0 is a minimum of  $f$ , so  $f'(0) = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{s}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the *partial sums* of respectively  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  starting from 0. In other words:  $s_i = \sum_{j \leq i} y_j$  and  $\hat{s}_i = \sum_{j \leq i} \hat{y}_j$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $j \in [1, n]$ :*

1.  $\hat{y}_j > \hat{y}_{j+1} \Rightarrow \hat{s}_j = s_j - \lambda/2$
2.  $\hat{y}_j < \hat{y}_{j+1} \Rightarrow \hat{s}_j = s_j + \lambda/2$
3.  $\hat{y}_j = \hat{y}_{j+1} \Rightarrow |\hat{s}_j - s_j| < \lambda/2$

*Proof.* For any index  $j \in [1, n]$ , define  $\mathbf{u}^j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that  $u_i^j = 1$  for  $i \leq j$  and  $u_i^j = 0$  for  $i > j$  and let  $f(\delta) = C_{\mathbf{y}, \lambda}^{TVF}(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) - C_{\mathbf{y}, \lambda}^{TVF}(\hat{\mathbf{y}})$ .

It is useful to decompose  $f$  as  $f_E + f_{TV}$ , where  $f_E(\delta) = \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 - \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$  and  $f_{TV}(\delta) = \lambda(TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) - TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}}))$ .

We have  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(\delta) > 0$  for  $\delta \neq 0$  from the definition of  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ .

To prove 1), assume  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(j) > \hat{\mathbf{y}}(j+1)$  for some  $j$ .

Observe that, for sufficiently small  $\delta$ , it is  $TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^j) = TV(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) + \delta$  and  $f_{TV}(\delta) = \lambda\delta$ . Therefore there exist an open interval  $I$  containing 0 such that  $f$  restricted to  $I$  can be written as:  $f(\delta) = f_E(\delta) + \lambda\delta$  and  $f$  is differentiable in  $I$ . Since 0 is a minimum for  $f$  we must have  $f'(0) = f'_E + \lambda = 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j) + \lambda = 0$ , which means  $\hat{s}_j = s_j - \lambda/2$  and the first point is proved.

The proof of 2) is entirely symmetric.

To prove 3) we follow a similar argument. Assume  $\hat{y}_j = \hat{y}_{j+1}$ .

First of all, observe that in this case:  $f_{TV}(\delta) = \lambda|\delta|$ . Moreover, by simple algebra,  $f_E(\delta) = 2\delta(\mathbf{u}^j, \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}) + \delta^2\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_2^2 = 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j)\delta + C\delta^2$ , where we put  $C = \|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2$  and used  $(,)$  to indicate the dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, let us use the sign function  $\text{sign}$ , to write  $f(\delta) = |\delta|(\lambda + 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j)\text{sign}(\delta) + C|\delta|)$ , where recall  $C > 0$ . For this quantity to be positive for arbitrary  $\delta > 0$  it must necessarily be  $\lambda + 2(\hat{s}_j - s_j) > 0 \Rightarrow \hat{s}_j - s_j \geq -\lambda/2$ . Similarly, considering negative  $\delta$ , it is necessary that  $\hat{s}_j - s_j \leq \lambda/2$ . These two inequalities finally prove point 3).  $\square$

We are now able to prove an equivalence between *total variation filter* and a seemingly unrelated geometric problem:

**Definition 2.1.** *The taut string problem* For  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\delta \geq 0$ , let  $\mathbf{s}$  be the partial sum vector of  $\mathbf{y}$ . The *taut string* problem consists in determining an  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

- $t_j \in [s_j - \lambda/2, s_j + \lambda/2]$ , for  $j < n$
- $t_n = s_n$
- The total length of the chain of segments in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  connecting points

$$(0, 0), (1, t_1), \dots, (n, t_n)$$

is minimum.

The following is a simple geometric fact characterising the  $\mathbf{t}$  in terms of its local properties:

**Lemma 2.4.** *A vector  $\mathbf{t}$  satisfying  $t_i \in [s_i - \lambda/2, s_i + \lambda/2]$  for each  $i$  solves the taut string problem for  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\lambda$  if and only if for all  $i$  in  $[1, n]$ :*

- $(t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2 < t_i \Rightarrow t_i = s_i - \lambda/2$
- $(t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2 > t_i \Rightarrow t_i = s_i + \lambda/2$

where  $\mathbf{s}$  is the vector of partial sums of  $\mathbf{y}$  and we conventionally set  $t_0 = 0$

*Proof.* (sketch) Since the taut string problem is convex, a solution is the global optimum if and only if it is a local minimum in each coordinates. That means that  $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of the taut string problem if and only if changing anyone of its components increases the overall length of the string. In other words, let  $f_i(x) = |\overline{t_{i-1}x}| + |\overline{xt_{i+1}}|$ , then  $\mathbf{t}$  is the taut string solution iff, for every  $i$ , the minimum of  $f_i$  over the admissible interval  $[s_i - \lambda/2, s_i + \lambda/2]$  is  $t_i$ . But it is easy to see that  $f_i$  is minimised at  $m = (t_{i-1} + t_{i+1})/2$  and that  $f(x)$  increases as  $|x - m|$  increases; as a consequence,  $f_i$  having a minimum at  $t_i$  over its admissible interval is equivalent to conditions 1, 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *(Equivalence of total variation filter and taut string) Let  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  be the solution of the total variation filter with parameters  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\lambda$ . Then  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ , the vector of partial sum of  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ , is the solution of the taut string problem of parameters  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\lambda$*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  be the total variation filter solution and  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$  its partial sums vector. We will be done if we prove that  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$  satisfies conditions 1 and 2 of Lemma 2.4. Assume that  $\hat{s}_i > (\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2$ . Note that  $(\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2 = \hat{s}_{i-1} + (\hat{y}_i + \hat{y}_{i+1})/2$  therefore we derive  $\hat{y}_{i+1} < \hat{y}_i$  and, by Lemma 2.3,  $\hat{s}_i = s_i - \lambda/2$ . Symmetric argument prove that  $\hat{s}_i > (\hat{s}_{i-1} + \hat{s}_{i+1})/2$  implies  $\hat{s}_i = s_i + \lambda/2$   $\square$