# Introduction to C++ templates

Jonas Rylund Glesaaen jonas@glesaaen.com

SA<sup>2</sup>C Seminar Series February 9th 2018

# Introduction introduction

Exactly what it says on the box.

A template is a template for constructing the thing it templates

Say you asked a colleague to implement a function that checks whether an integer is even or not

and then you get this...

```
bool is even(unsigned i)
 if (i == 0)
   return true;
  else if (i == 1)
   return false;
  else if (i == 2)
   return true;
  else if (i == 3)
   return false;
  /* ... */
  else if (i == 18446744073709551614)
    return true;
  else
   return false;
```

Similar to how control structures and functions help us not repeat ourselves in code, templates does this on the type level

You can apply all the same techniques

(more on this in the next talk)

```
double add(double a, double b)
 return a + b;
double add(double a, int b)
 return a + b;
double add(int a, double b)
 return a + b;
int add(int a, int b)
 return a + b;
```

```
template <typename T, typename U>
auto add(T a, U b)
{
  return a + b;
}
```

Usable by any type T and U pair that has a valid operator+

# Types of templates

# Types of templates: functions

#### Function templates are not functions

```
template <typename Type>
bool not_empty(Type const& x)
{
   return ! x.empty();
}
```

#### If a specific instance isn't used it is not created

(can you identify all the requirements on Type?)

## Types of templates: classes

#### Class templates are not classes

```
template <typename Type>
class Linked List
public:
  class Node
  private:
    Type val;
    std::unique ptr<Node> next;
  };
private:
  std::unique ptr<Node> first node;
};
```

# Types of templates: aliases

#### First thought when you see the using keyword

# Types of templates: aliases

#### Also introduces alias templates

...they are actually aliases

```
template <Typename T>
using String_Map = std::map<std::string, T>;
```

true

#### These are the exact same types

```
std::is_same<
   String_Map<double>,
   std::map<std::string, double>
>::value
```

# Types of templates: variables

#### Template variables are not variables

# Types of templates: variables

#### We used to do this

```
template <typename T>
struct is double
  static constexpr bool value =
       std::is same<T, double>::value
};
is double<int>::value; ←
is double<double>::value; ← true
```

#### Fundamental theorem of software engineering

We can solve any problem by introducing an extra level of indirection.

David J. Wheeler

#### Fundamental theorem of templates

Any finite problem can be solved with a finite number of structs.

Jonas R. Glesaaen

#### Templates are instantiated when they are used

(which is why linking can be counterintuitive)

#### Can also instantiate them explicitly

```
template bool is_empty(std::vector<double>);
template class Linked_List<int>;
template bool is_double<char>;
```

```
C++ will never instansiate anything it doesn't 100% need (more or less true)
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
{
   static_assert(sizeof(T) == 0);
};
```

```
invalid<int> *inv_ptr; ← Compiles
```

```
C++ will never instansiate anything it doesn't 100% need (more or less true)
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
{
   static_assert(sizeof(T) == 0);
};
```

```
invalid<int> inv_val; ← Compile error
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
 void foo()
    static assert(sizeof(T) == 0);
invalid<int> inv var;
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
  void foo()
    static assert(sizeof(T) == 0);
invalid<int> inv var;
                             Yes. it does
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
 void foo()
    static assert(sizeof(char) == 0);
invalid<int> inv var;
```

```
template <typename T>
struct invalid
  void foo()
    static assert(sizeof(char) == 0);
invalid<int> inv var;
                             No. it does not
```

Dependent types

# Dependent types: typename

# A dependent name is a name that depends on an unknown template type

# Dependent types: typename

# A dependent name is a name that depends on an unknown template type

```
template <typename T>
void foo(int x)
{
  auto v = typename T::U(x);
}
Ok.it is a declaration
```

# Dependent types: template

#### Can also have dependent names that are templates

# Dependent types: template

#### Can also have dependent names that are templates

# **Dependent types: template**

#### Can also have dependent names that are templates

```
template <typename T, int N>
void foo(int x)
{
  auto v = typename T::template U<N>(x);
}

Ok, type constructor
```

# Template deduction

# Most straight forward is to explicitly specify the template types

Most straight forward is to explicitly specify the template types

Most straight forward is to explicitly specify the template types

If a template is explicitly declared it does not enter into argument type deduction

# Every type that is not explicitly defined must be deduced from the function arguments

#### **Deduction flow**

- Explicit types are set
- Each argument factors into type dediction separately
  - This happens "in parallel"
  - Resulting deduction is either full or partial
- 3 The deduced types are checked for consistency
- Template checks that all types are fully deduced

```
template <typename T>
T max(T a, T b);
max(4, 5.);
```

```
template <typename T>
T max(T a, T b);

max(4, 5.);

T = double

T = int

Incompatible
```

```
template <typename T>
T max(T a, T b);
max<double>(4, 5.);

Irrelevant
T = double
```

```
template <typename T, bool B>
struct My Type{};
template <typename T, typename U>
void foo(
  My Type<T, !std::is same v<T, U>>,
 My Type<U, sizeof(U) == sizeof(T)>);
int main()
  foo(My_Type<int,true>{},
     My Type<double.true>{}):
}
```

```
template <typename T, bool B>
struct My Type{};
template <typename T, typename U>
void foo(
  My Type<T, !std::is same v<T, U>>,
 My Type<U, sizeof(U) == sizeof(T)>);
int main()
  foo(My_Type<int,true>{},
     My Type<unsigned,true>{});
}
```

```
template <typename T, typename U>
void foo(
   std::array<T, sizeof(U)>,
   std::array<U, sizeof(T)>);
int main()
{
   foo(std::array<int, 4>,
        std::array<double, 4>);
}
```

```
template <typename T, typename U>
void foo(
   std::array<T, sizeof(U)>,
   std::array<U, sizeof(T)>);
int main()
{
   foo(std::array<int, 8>,
        std::array<double, 4>);
}
```

Ivalues (&)
anything with a tag
anything that will be missed

intermediate values things no one will miss

Reference collapse

Also called universal refrences

Template deduction uses reference collapse so that the function signature matches the call

Template deduction uses reference collapse so that the function signature matches the call

Template deduction uses reference collapse so that the function signature matches the call

# Template deduction: variadic

### Variadic template packs can hold any number of types

### Template deduction : variadic

### Template packs gobble up everything to the right

```
template <typename T, typename... Tp>
auto foo(Tp.... T):
foo(3. 11. 'a'): ←
                                Compile error
                                Cannot determine T
                                Tp = <int, long, char>
foo<char, int, long>(
  3, 11, 'a'); ← T = double
                               To = <int. long>
```

### Template deduction: classes

### Introduced in C++17, can now write

```
template <typename T>
class My_Class
{
public:
   My_Class(T val);
};
```

```
My_Class inst {5.0}; ← My_Class<double>
```

### Template deduction: classes

### Introduced in C++17, can now write

```
template <typename T>
class My Class
public:
                          Deduction guide
  My Class(T val);
};
My Class(double) -> My Class<int>;
My_Class inst {5.0}; ← My_Class<int>
```

### Template deduction: classes

### More or less syntactic sugar for this

```
template <typename T>
class My_Class
{ ... }:
template <typename T>
auto make cls(T t)
  return My Class<T>{t};
}
auto inst = make cls(5.0);
```

Template specialisation

```
template <typename T>
T null()
  return T{0};
template <>
std::string null<std::string>()
  return "":
```

```
template <typename T>
T null()
  return T{0};
template <>
std::string null<>()
  return "":
```

```
template <typename T>
T null()
  return T{0};
template <>
std::string null()
  return "":
```

```
template <typename T>
T null()
{
  return T{0};
}

template <>
std::string null() = delete;
```

### Can specialise templates

```
template <typename T>
T null()
{
   return T{0};
}

template <>
std::string null() = delete;
```

# These are all examples of full specialisations (not all that interesting)

```
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type
  static constexpr bool value = false:
};
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type<T*>
  static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer type<int>::value;
```

```
template <typename T>
                                  T = int
struct is pointer type ←
  static constexpr bool value = false;
};
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type<T*>
  static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer type<int>::value; ←
```

```
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type
  static constexpr bool value = false:
};
template <typename T>
                                    T=int
struct is pointer type<T*> ←
  static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer_type<int*>::value; ←
```

```
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type
  static constexpr bool value = false:
};
template <typename T>
                                    T = int*
struct is pointer type<T*> ←
  static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer type<int**>::value; ←
```

```
struct is pointer type ←
 static constexpr bool value = false;
};
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type<T*>
 static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer type<
 std::shared ptr<int>>::value; <-</pre>
```

```
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type
  static constexpr bool value = false;
};
template <typename T>
struct is pointer type<std::shared ptr<T>> <</pre>
  static constexpr bool value = true;
};
is pointer type<
  std::shared ptr<int>>::value; <-</pre>
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num ptr
{ static constexpr std::size t value = 0; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1; }:
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T**>
{ ... value = 2; };
num ptr<int*>::value;
num ptr<int**>::value;
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num ptr
{ static constexpr std::size t value = 0; };
template <typename T>
                          T = int
struct num ptr<T*> ←
{ ... value = 1; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T**>
{ ... value = 2; }:
                                   value = 1
num ptr<int*>::value: ←
num ptr<int**>::value;
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num ptr
{ static constexpr std::size t value = 0; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1; }:
template <typename T>
                         T = int
struct num ptr<T**> ←
{ ... value = 2; };
num ptr<int*>::value;
num ptr<int**>::value: ←
                                  value = 2
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num_ptr
{ static constexpr std::size_t value = 0; };

template <typename T>
struct num_ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1 + num_ptr<T>::value; };
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num_ptr
{ static constexpr std::size_t value = 0; };

template <typename T>
struct num_ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1 + num_ptr<T>::value; };

template <typename T>
struct num_ptr<std::shared_ptr<T>>
{ ... value = 1 + num_ptr<T>::value; };
```

```
template <typename T>
struct num ptr
{ static constexpr std::size t value = 0; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1 + num ptr<T>::value; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<std::shared ptr<T>>
{ ... value = 1 + num ptr<T>::value; };
num ptr<std::shared ptr<int**>***>::value;
```

#### Template deduction picks out the most specialised template

```
template <typename T>
struct num ptr
{ static constexpr std::size t value = 0; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<T*>
{ ... value = 1 + num ptr<T>::value; };
template <typename T>
struct num ptr<std::shared ptr<T>>
{ ... value = 1 + num ptr<T>::value; };
num ptr<std::shared ptr<int**>***>::value; ← value = 6
```

I am getting ahead of myself

Only class templates have partial specialisation

Cannot have it for function templates due to overloading

### Fundamental theorem of templates

Any finite problem can be solved with a finite number of structs.

Jonas R. Glesaaen

```
template <typename T>
struct function impl
  static auto (T t) { ... };
};
template <typename T>
struct function impl<T[]>
  static auto _(T[] t) { ... }:
};
template <typename T>
auto foo(T t)
  return function impl<T>:: (t);
}
```

Summary and wrap up

# Template feature table

	Type deduction	Full spec.	Partial spec.
Function	Yes	Yes	No
Class	C++17	Yes	Yes
Alias	No	No	No
Variable	No	Yes	Yes

### Resources

```
[1] C++ reference.
    http://cppreference.com.
```

[2] The c++ standard draft.
 https:
 //github.com/cplusplus/draft/tree/f68ca58.

[3] S. Meyers.

Effective Modern C++.

O'Reilly Media, 2014.

[4] Arthur O'Dwyer.

Template normal programming.

CppCon 2016.

# Thanks!

