Template Meta Programming

Jonas R. Glesaaen glesaaen@th.physik.uni-frankfurt.de

May 27th 2015

Literature

- [1] Boost c++ library. http://www.boost.org.
- [2] C++ reference.
 http://cppreference.com.
- [3] D. Abrahams and A. Gurtovoy. C++ Template Metaprogramming: Concepts, Tools, and Techniques from Boost and Beyond. Pearson Education, 2004.
- [4] A. Alexandrescu. Modern C++ design. Addison-Wesley, 2001.

What is Template Meta Programming?

Programming using the template interface of C++ so that certain common computations can be carried out at compile time.

The "language" is functional in nature, no mutable data.

Advantages:

- Reduce code duplication
- Increase readability
- Move error checks to compile time
- More sophisticated type checking and lookup

Looks familiar?

Type traits

```
Looks familiar? enable_if (C++14)
```

```
template <
  class Itt,
  typename = std::enable_if_t <
    !std::is_same <
       typename std::iterator_traits < Itt >::value_type,
       void
       >::value
    >
  void iterator_function (Itt first, Itt second)
{
    // ...
}
```

Recap: Template Specialisation

Heavily used in TMP to signal return paths and branch points for control structures.

```
iterator_traits
template <class Itt>
struct iterator traits
 typedef typename Itt::value_type value_type;
};
template <class Type*>
struct iterator_traits
 typedef Type value_type;
};
```

When do you need typename?

typename is used to tell the compiler that what is coming up is a type. Used when you have a **dependent** name.

```
typename keyword

template <class Type>
typename traits_func<Type>::value_type //...
```

Exactly what traits_func<Type>::value_type is cannot be known at point of definition because of possible template specialisation. typename fixes that issue.

::value_type is said to be a dependent type.

When do you need template?

If the template class itself is a template, or has a template function, we need to tell the compiler.

```
template keyword

template <class Type, unsigned N>
    void foo(int x)
{
       Type::function < N > (x);
};
```

which is interpreted as

```
(Type::function < N) > x;
```

When do you need template?

If the template class itself is a template, or has a template function, we need to tell the compiler.

```
template keyword

template <class Type, unsigned N>
    void foo(int x)
{
       Type::template function < N > (x);
};
```

template is required when a **dependent** name access a template via ., -> or ::.

The Canonical Example

```
template < unsigned n >
struct Factorial
{
  enum { value = n * Factorial < n-1 > :: value };
};
template <>
struct Factorial <0>
  enum { value = 1 };
};
int main(int, char**)
  std::cout << Factorial<10>::value << std::endl;</pre>
}
```

The Canonical Example

```
template < unsigned n >
struct Factorial
{
  enum { value = n * Factorial < n-1 > :: value };
};
template <>
struct Factorial <0>
  enum { value = 1 };
};
int main(int, char**)
  std::cout << Factorial<10>::value << std::endl;</pre>
}
                    Runtime constant
```

Vocabulary

Metadata

A constant "value" accessible by calling ::value

Metafunction

A function which takes its arguments as template arguments, and the result is stored in ::type

```
some_metafunction < Arg1, Arg2 >::type
```

Metafunction class

A function object that itself can be treated as a type. Function call accessed by a nested metafunction named apply

```
struct some_metafunction
{
  template <class Arg1, class Arg2>
  struct apply
  {
     // ...
  };
}:
```

Example: Multiplication

```
template <int N>
struct integer
  constexpr static int value = N;
 typedef integer type;
};
template <class Arg1, class Arg2>
struct multiply
{
  typedef integer < Arg1::value * Arg2::value > type;
};
int main(int, argc**)
  typedef integer <5> five;
  typedef integer <-9> m_nine;
  std::cout << multiply<five,m_nine>::type::value
    << std::endl;
```

Example: Multiplication

```
template <int N>
  constexpr static int value = N;
};
template <class Arg1, class Arg2>
                                                  Metafunction
struct multiply
  : integer < Arg1::value * Arg2::value >
                                                   forwarding
{};
int main(int, argc**)
  typedef integer <5> five:
  typedef integer <-9> m nine;
  std::cout << multiply<five,m_nine>::type::value
    << std::endl;
  std::cout << multiply<five,m_nine>::value
    << std::endl;
```

As TMP inherently is a functional programming language, it is best at doing those kind of computations, computations with functions.

Let us implement the nest function so that:

$$nest(f,x,5) = f(f(f(f(x))))$$

Assume that the integer and multiply still are defined as previous.

```
template <class F, class X, unsigned N>
struct nest
  : nest <F, typename F::template apply <X>::type, N-1>
{};
template \langle class F, class X \rangle
struct nest <F,X,0>
  : X
{};
struct squared_f
  template <class Arg>
  struct apply
    : multiply < Arg , Arg >
 {};
};
int main(int, char**)
  typedef integer <5> five;
  nest < squared_f , five , 3>::type::value; // ((5^2)^2)^2
}
```

```
template <class F, class X, unsigned N>
  : nest<F, typename F::template apply<X>::type, N-1>
template \langle class F, class X \rangle
                                           Template
struct nest <F,X,0>
                                         specialisation
  : X
{};
struct squared_f
  template <class Arg>
                                         Metafunction
  struct apply
                                             class
    : multiply < Arg , Arg >
  {};
};
int main(int, char**)
  typedef integer <5> five:
  nest < squared_f, five, 3>::type::value; // ((5^2)^2)^2
```

```
template <class F, class X, unsigned N>
struct nest
  : nest<F, typename F::template apply<X>::type, N-1>
{};
template <class F, class X>
struct nest <F,X,0>
  template <class Arg>
   : multiply < Arg , Arg >
};
int main(int, char**)
  typedef integer <5> five:
  nest < squared_f, five, 3 > :: type::value; // ((5^2)^2)^2
```

- Metadata wrappers:
 - bool_, int_<N>, long_<N>, ...
- Arithmetic functions and logic operators:
 - plus<Arg1,Arg2>, times<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - less<Arg1,Arg2>, equal_to<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - and_<Arg>, or_<Arg>, nor_<Arg>

- Metadata wrappers:
 - bool_, int_<N>, long_<N>, ...
- Arithmetic functions and logic operators:
 - plus<Arg1,Arg2>, times<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - less<Arg1,Arg2>, equal_to<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - and_<Arg>, or_<Arg>, nor_<Arg>
- Lambda functions and placeholders

- Metadata wrappers:
 - bool_, int_<N>, long_<N>, ...
- Arithmetic functions and logic operators:
 - plus<Arg1,Arg2>, times<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - less<Arg1,Arg2>, equal_to<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - and_<Arg>, or_<Arg>, nor_<Arg>
- Lambda functions and placeholders
- Type selection
 - if_<Pred,Func1,Func2>,
 eval if<Pred,Func1,Func2>

- Metadata wrappers:
 - bool_, int_<N>, long_<N>, ...
- Arithmetic functions and logic operators:
 - plus<Arg1,Arg2>, times<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - less<Arg1,Arg2>, equal_to<Arg1,Arg2>, ...
 - and_<Arg>, or_<Arg>, nor_<Arg>
- Lambda functions and placeholders
- Type selection
 - if_<Pred,Func1,Func2>,
 eval_if<Pred,Func1,Func2>
- Containers and iterators
 - vector<Arg1,Arg2,...,ArgN>, set<Arg1,Arg2,...,ArgN>,...
 - next<It>, prior<It>, advance<It,N>, ...
- STL like algorithm library
 - transform<Seq,Fun>, copy_if<Seq,Pred>, ...

First!

We will assume that we have the following header on all our code to reduce the examples:

```
namespace mpl = boost::mpl;
using namespace mpl::placeholders;
```

If not, we would have to write the following everywhere we wanted an MPL placeholder:

```
boost::mpl::placeholders::_1,
boost::mpl::placeholders::_2,
boost::mpl::placeholders::_3, ...
```

which gets tedious...

Lambda functions are a signature part of any functional programming language and also go very well with STL like algorithms.

From our example earlier with square_f<Arg>, that function in itself seems a bit redundant as it can easily be written as multiply<Arg,Arg> with the same argument. But we run into two problems:

- The multiply function is a metafunction, while the nest function takes a metafunction class (a functor).
- We have no way of reducing multiply's argument list to only take one argument

MPL's placeholders solve this!

With MPL lambda functions

```
template <class F, class X, unsigned N>
struct nest
  : nest <F, typename F::template apply <X>::type, N-1>
{};
template <class F, class X>
struct nest <F,X,0>
 : X
{};
int main(int, char**)
  typedef integer <5> five;
 nest<
    mpl::lambda < multiply <_1,_1> >::type,five,3
  >::tvpe::value:
```

```
With mpl::apply and placeholders
template <class F, class X, unsigned N>
struct nest
  : nest<F, typename mpl::apply<F,X>::type, N-1>
{};
template \langle class F. class X \rangle
struct nest <F,X,0>
 . X
{};
int main(int, char**)
  typedef integer <5> five;
  nest<multiply<_1,_1>,five,3>::type::value;
```

Control structures

Previously: Used template specialisation to switch between implementations

```
Simple template specialisation
template <class Type, bool FastImpl>
struct algorithm
  void operator() (const Type &)
    // faster algorithm
};
template <class Type>
struct algorithm < Type, false >
                                           Specialised for
  void operator() (const Type &)
  {
                                          FastImpl = false
    // safer algorithm
};
```

Control structures

With TMP we can do more sophisticated checks and switches

```
One more level of indirection
struct fast_algorithm
  template <class Itt1, class Itt2>
  static void execute(Itt1, Itt2);
};
struct safe_algorithm
  template <class Itt1, class Itt2>
  static void execute(Itt1, Itt2);
};
```

Control structures

With TMP we can do more sophisticated checks and switches

```
Choosing an implementation
struct algorithm
  template <class Itt1, class Itt2>
  static void execute(Itt1 i1, Itt2 i2)
    mpl::if_<
      typename mpl::and <
        is_random_access < Itt1>,
        is_random_access < Itt2>
      >::type,
      fast_algorithm,
      safe_algorithm
    >::type::execute(i1,i2);
};
```

Containers and iterators

boost provides a complete STL like container and algorithm library.

Different containers have different access concepts

```
Forward sequence
begin<S>, end<S>, size<S>, front<S>
push_front<S,x>, pop_front<S>
insert<S,it,x>, erase<S,it>, clear<s>
Bidirectional sequence
..., back<S>, push_back<S,x>, pop_back<S>
Random access sequence
..., at<S,n>
```

All functions return new sequences because we have no mutable objects.

```
typedef mpl::vector <
  integer <3>, integer <7>, integer <-1> > my vector; ← ●
typedef mpl::transform <
  my vector.
  multiply < _1, _1>
>::type square vector;
typedef mpl::begin < square_vector >::type begin;
typedef mpl::next < begin >:: type next;
mpl::is same <
  mpl::deref <next >::type,
  integer <49>
>::value;
                         \{3, 7, -1\}
```

```
typedef mpl::vector <
  integer <3>, integer <7>, integer <-1> > my vector;
typedef mpl::transform <
  my vector.
  multiply < _1, _1>
>::type square vector;
typedef mpl::begin < square_vector >::type begin;
typedef mpl::next < begin >:: type next;
mpl::is same <
  mpl::deref <next >::type,
  integer <49>
>::value;
                          \{9, 49, 1\}
```

```
typedef mpl::vector <
  integer <3>, integer <7>, integer <-1> > my vector;
typedef mpl::transform <
  my vector.
  multiply < _1, _1>
>::type square vector;
typedef mpl::begin<square_vector>::type begin; <----</pre>
typedef mpl::next < begin >:: type next;
mpl::is same <
  mpl::deref <next >::type,
  integer <49>
>::value;
                          { 9, 49, 1 }
```

```
typedef mpl::vector <
  integer <3>, integer <7>, integer <-1> > my vector;
typedef mpl::transform <
  my vector.
  multiply < _1, _1>
>::type square vector;
typedef mpl::begin < square_vector >::type begin;
typedef mpl::next < begin >::type next; ←
mpl::is same <
  mpl::deref <next >::type,
  integer <49>
>::value;
                         { 9, 49, 1 }
```

```
mpl::transform and mpl::vector
```

```
typedef mpl::vector <
  integer<3>, integer<7>, integer<-1> > my_vector;
typedef mpl::transform <
  my_vector,
  multiply <_1,_1>
>::type square_vector;
typedef mpl::begin<square_vector>::type begin;
typedef mpl::next<begin>::type next;
mpl::is_same <
  mpl::deref <next >::type,
  integer <49>
>::value:
```

true

Where to go from here?

Try it for yourself!

Where to go from here?

Try it for yourself!

- Try to write simple programs
 - Calculate an arithmetic sum
 - Sum up the elements of a vector
 - Implement your own for-loop
 - **...**
- Study the literature
- Familiarise yourself with the boost MPL library
- See if you can make use of type switching in your own programs
- See if you can catch potential errors in your own programs

Summary

- We have seen how we can use the C++ template system to write metaprograms that look like normal programs.
- Metadata are types that contain their value in a public ::value type.
- Metafunctions are called by their public ::type type some_metafunction <Arg1, Arg2,..., ArgN >::type
- Language facilitates a functional programming style with functions that manipulate other functions
- boost's MPL library implement a lot of useful metafuntions and types