

Investigating the Empirical Existence of Static User Equilibrium

J. Raimbault^{1,2}

`juste.raimbault@parisgeo.cnrs.fr`

¹UMR CNRS 8504 Géographie-cités

²UMR-T IFSTTAR 9403 LVMT

EWGT 2016 - Istanbul

Session Transportation Modeling - MoST2-B

5th September 2016

Traffic Modeling : User Equilibrium Frameworks

Equilibrium frameworks central in Transportation Research since Wardrop [Wardrop, 1952]

Diverse developments :

- Dynamic Stochastic User Equilibrium [Han, 2003]
- Restricted Stochastic User Equilibrium [Rasmussen et al., 2015] more realistic in alternatives
- Boundedly User Equilibrium [Mahmassani and Chang, 1987]
- Assignment techniques inspired from other fields such as Network Science [Puzis et al., 2013]

Validation and Practical Use

Static User Equilibrium lacks empirical validation in the literature

→ Some examples such as the behavioral study of user route choices (“Wardrop’s first principle”) in [Zhu and Levinson, 2010]

However still largely used

→ in theoretical literature, as for example [Leurent and Boujnah, 2014] : do refinements in the model such as adding parking cruising flows have a sense if the core is not validated ?

→ in real-world application, such as the MODUS model for Paris Metropolitan area : what are the implications of basing decision-making and traffic management on an unvalidated framework ?

Empirical Investigation of SUE Existence

Research Objective : *Investigate empirically the spatio-temporal stationarity of traffic flows, combining different complementary quantitative approaches*

→ Construction of a real-time dataset for major links of Paris region on 6 month by data crawling

→ Complementarity of approaches (Complex Systems general paradigm) :
Spatio-temporal data visualization, Network analysis, Spatial analysis

Dataset Construction

Difficulty to find Open Data on Transportation Systems
[Bouteiller and Berjoan, 2013]

→ Construction of an open historical travel time dataset for major links in the region of Paris, collecting in real time public traffic data from www.sytadin.fr

Data collection : Each two minutes, automated python script

- fetch raw webpage giving traffic information
- parse html code
- store in a sqlite database

Openly available (CC Licence) at


http://37.187.242.99/files/public/sytadin_latest.sqlite3

Data summary : 10 month (since Feb. 2016), 2min time granularity, effective travel time for 101 links (\simeq 10km spatial granularity)

Interactive Data Visualization



Interactive web-application for spatio-temporal exploration

<http://shiny.parisgeo.cnrs.fr/transportation>




Spatio-temporal Variability : Example

*Very high spatial variability on 10min time interval, here on 11/02/2016
00:06-00:16*



Spatio-temporal Variability

Maximal travel time and spatial variabilities on a two week sample



Stability of Network Measures

Network Betweenness Centrality

$$b_i = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \cdot \sum_{o \neq d \in V} \mathbb{1}_{i \in p(o \rightarrow d)} \quad (1)$$


Temporal Maximal Betweenness Variability

$$\Delta b(t) = \frac{|\max_i(b_i(t + \Delta t)) - \max_i(b_i(t))|}{\max_i(b_i(t))} \quad (2)$$

→ Reveals either a proportion of rerouted travels (negative variation) or a minimal proportion of load increase for a single node (positive variation)

Stability of Network Measures

Temporal maximal betweenness variability on a two weeks period



Spatial Heterogeneity

Spatial Autocorrelation as an index of spatial variability, for link i


$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{K} \cdot \sum_{i \neq j} w_{ij} \cdot (c_i - \bar{c})(c_j - \bar{c}) \quad (3)$$

with spatial weights $w_{ij} = \exp\left(\frac{-d_{ij}}{d_0}\right)$

→ *Indirect measure of the spatial stationarity of flows : a decreasing correlation implies a chaotic system*

Spatial Heterogeneity

Spatial autocorrelation on a two weeks period for different decays



Theoretical and Practical Implications

Theoretical Implications

- Need for more systematic comparison of framework validity ([Kryvobokov et al., 2013] compares two LUTI models e.g.)
- Can still be used e.g. for integration within more complex models

Practical Implications

- Difficulty of transferring academic results to real-world engineering, that can be tied to habits, myths, political interests, etc. [Commenges, 2013] ; [Offner, 1993]

Possible Developments

Conclusion

References I



Bouteiller, C. and Berjoan, S. (2013).

Open data en transport urbain: quelles sont les données mises à disposition? quelles sont les stratégies des autorités organisatrices?



Commenges, H. (2013).

The invention of daily mobility : Performative aspects of the instruments of economics of transportation.

Theses, Université Paris-Diderot-Paris VII.



Han, S. (2003).

Dynamic traffic modelling and dynamic stochastic user equilibrium assignment for general road networks.

Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 37(3):225–249.

References II



Kryvobokov, M., Chesneau, J.-B., Bonnafeous, A., Delons, J., and Piron, V. (2013).

Comparison of static and dynamic land use-transport interaction models.

Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2344(1):49–58.



Leurent, F. and Boujnah, H. (2014).

A user equilibrium, traffic assignment model of network route and parking lot choice, with search circuits and cruising flows.

Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 47:28–46.



Mahmassani, H. S. and Chang, G.-L. (1987).

On boundedly rational user equilibrium in transportation systems.

Transportation science, 21(2):89–99.

References III



Offner, J.-M. (1993).

Les "effets structurants" du transport: mythe politique, mystification scientifique.

Espace géographique, 22(3):233–242.



Puzis, R., Altshuler, Y., Elovici, Y., Bekhor, S., Shiftan, Y., and Pentland, A. (2013).

Augmented betweenness centrality for environmentally aware traffic monitoring in transportation networks.

Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, 17(1):91–105.



Rasmussen, T. K., Watling, D. P., Prato, C. G., and Nielsen, O. A. (2015).

Stochastic user equilibrium with equilibrated choice sets: Part ii—solving the restricted sue for the logit family.

Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 77:146–165.

References IV



Wardrop, J. G. (1952).

Some theoretical aspects of road traffic research.

Proceedings of the institution of civil engineers, 1(3):325–362.



Zhu, S. and Levinson, D. (2010).

Do people use the shortest path? an empirical test of wardrop's first principle.

In 91th annual meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, volume 8. Citeseer.