

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT REPORT

For Demon Finacnce

14 March 2022



lunaray.co



Table of Contents

| 1. Overview | 4 |
|--|----|
| 2. Background | 5 |
| 2.1 Project Description | 5 |
| 2.2 Audit Range | 6 |
| 3. Project contract details | 7 |
| 3.1 Contract Overview | 7 |
| 3.2 Contract details | 8 |
| 4. Audit details | 11 |
| 4.1 Findings Summary | 11 |
| 4.2 Risk distribution | 12 |
| 4.3 Risk audit details | 14 |
| 4.3.1. Administrator Permissions | 14 |
| 4.3.2. No events added | 15 |
| 4.3.3. Unused variables | 16 |
| 4.3.4. Wrong pointer use | 17 |
| 4.3.5 Variables are updated | 19 |
| 4.3.6 Floating Point and Numeric Precision | 19 |
| 4.3.7 Default Visibility | 20 |
| 4.3.8 tx.origin authentication | 20 |
| 4.3.9 Faulty constructor | 21 |
| 4.3.10 Unverified return value | 21 |
| 4.3.11 Insecure random numbers | 22 |
| 4.3.12 Timestamp Dependency | 22 |
| 4.3.13 Transaction order dependency | 23 |
| 4.3.14 Delegatecall | 23 |
| 4.3.15 Call | 24 |
| 4.3.16 Denial of Service | 24 |
| 4.3.17 Logic Design Flaw | 25 |
| 4.3.18 Fake recharge vulnerability | 25 |



| 4.3.19 Short Address Attack Vulnerability | 26 |
|---|----|
| 4.3.20 Uninitialized storage pointer | 26 |
| 4.3.21 Frozen Account bypass | 27 |
| 4.3.22 Uninitialized | 27 |
| 4.3.23 Reentry Attack | 27 |
| 4.2.24 Integer Overflow | 28 |
| 5. Security Audit Tool | 29 |



1. Overview

On Mar 9, 2022, the security team of Lunaray Technology received the security audit request of the **Demon Finance project**. The team completed the audit of the **Demon Finance smart contract** on Mar 14, 2022. During the audit process, the security audit experts of Lunaray Technology and the Demon Finance project interface Personnel communicate and maintain symmetry of information, conduct security audits under controllable operational risks, and avoid risks to project generation and operations during the testing process.

Through communicat and feedback with Demon Finance project party, it is confirmed that the loopholes and risks found in the audit process have been repaired or within the acceptable range. The result of this Demon Finance smart contract security audit:

Passed

Audit Report Hash:

0B126C33BC5BC717283025419F44D8565D459E88C639123A2A74E28131DE85B4



2. Background

2.1 Project Description

| Project name | Demon Finance |
|---------------------|---|
| Contract type | DeFi,GameFi |
| Code language | Solidity |
| Public chain | Binance Smart Chain |
| Project address | https://www.demonfinance.com/ |
| Contract file | Card.sol, cardMining.sol, DemonExchange.sol, myShop.sol, Package.sol |
| Project Description | Demon Finance is an aggregator service for decentralized finance (DeFi) investors, using automation to allow them to maximize profits from yield farming. |



2.2 Audit Range

The smart contract file provided by Demon Finance and the corresponding MD5:

| Name | address |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Card.sol | 5B6F9450654089D408FDBCD1A8618840 |
| cardMining.sol | 9993F19E5F62338A9606B543F3329377 |
| DemonExchange.sol | 06C3DF673106282C497E2014D4A6D25E |
| myShop.sol | 580BFE4692BE6979F7C5D3B4BA788F1A |
| Package.sol | EF013A366939BF1B8B06219D17C31098 |



3. Project contract details

3.1 Contract Overview

Package Contract

Inherited from ERC721Ex, the administrator can open or close the store, and the normal user can buy and open the backpack.

CardMine Contract

Users can get cards by mining, get mining revenue, and also can destroy the specified cards.

Card Contract

Inherited from ERC721Ex, it is the nft master contract of card, which can be minted, refreshed, destroyed and other operations.

myShop Contract

Implemented the blind box store, users can buy the specified level and number of blind boxes, and open the blind box

DemonExchange Contract

Users can create orders, submit orders, cancel orders, and retrieve tokens



3.2 Contract details

Package Contract

| Name | Parameter | Attributes |
|-----------|--|------------|
| setShop | address addr bool enable | external |
| mint | address to uint256 tokenAmount uint256 quantity uint256 padding uint32 level uint256 payAmount | external |
| open | uint256 packageId bytes data | external |
| batch0pen | uint256 packageId bytes data | external |
| tokenURI | uint256 packageId | public |

Card Contract

| Name | Parameter | Attributes |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------|
| setPackage | address package bool enable | external |
| setSyntheris | address package bool enable | external |
| setMining | address package bool enable | external |
| mint | address to uint256 cardIdPre | external |
| miningBurnCard | uint256 cards0ld | external |
| tokenURI | uint256 cardId | public |



CardMine Contract

| Name | Parameter | Attributes |
|-------------------|----------------|------------|
| miningCards | bytes data | external |
| miningKing | none | public |
| getUserMiningKing | address _user | public |
| checkKingInfo | address _user | public |
| miningBurnCards | uint256 cardId | public |
| getWithdrawMine | bytes data | external |
| getWithdrawInvite | bytes data | external |
| getAllToken | none | public |

LuckShop Contract

| Name | Parameter | Attributes |
|---------------|---|------------|
| setLuckBoxMsg | uint32 _luckLevel uint32 _maxCont uint256 _totalCont uint256 _payAmounts | public |
| delLuckBox | uint32 _luckLevel | public |
| getBoxList | none | public |
| getListIndex | uint32 _luckLevel | private |
| buy | uint32 _luckLevel uint256 _quantity | public |
| onOpenPackage | address to uint256 packageId bytes data | external |



DemonExchange Contract

| Name | Parameter | Attributes |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| setFees | uint256 _fees | ContractOwnerOnly |
| create0rder | bytes data uint256 price address token | external |
| take0rder | bytes32 sellOrderId uint256 buyCont | external |
| cancelOrder | bytes32 orderid | external |
| withdraw | address token | external |
| calcWithdraw | address user address token | external |
| getAllOrder | none | public |
| getAllTradeOrder | none | public |
| getSellOrderInfo | bytes32 orderid | public |
| getTradeOrderInfo | bytes32 orderid | public |

Pages 10 / 31 Lunaray Blockchain Security



4. Audit details

4.1 Findings Summary

| Severity | Found | Resolved | Acknowledged |
|--------------------------|-------|----------|--------------|
| High | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| • Low | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| • Info | 2 | 2 | 0 |

Pages 11/31



4.2 Risk distribution

| Name | Risk level | Repair status |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Administrator Permissions | Low | Resolved |
| No events added | Info | Resolved |
| Unused variables | Info | Resolved |
| Wrong pointer use | Low | Resolved |
| Variables are updated | No | normal |
| Floating Point and Numeric Precision | No | normal |
| Default visibility | No | normal |
| tx.origin authentication | No | normal |
| Faulty constructor | No | normal |
| Unverified return value | No | normal |
| Insecure random numbers | No | normal |
| Timestamp Dependent | No | normal |
| Transaction order dependency | No | normal |
| Delegatecall | No | normal |
| Call | No | normal |
| Denial of Service | No | normal |
| Logical Design Flaw | No | normal |
| Fake recharge vulnerability | No | normal |
| Short address attack Vulnerability | No | normal |
| Uninitialized storage pointer | No | normal |
| Frozen account bypass | No | normal |



| Uninitialized | No | normal |
|------------------|----|--------|
| Reentry attack | No | normal |
| Integer Overflow | No | normal |

Pages 13 / 31



4.3 Risk audit details

4.3.1. Administrator Permissions

• Risk description

LuckShop contract, setLuckBoxMsg, delLuckBox and other methods, administrators can carry out sensitive operations, if the loss of private keys controlled by malicious people, or can lead to abnormal capital flows and shake the stability of the market, part of the code is shown below:

```
function setLuckBoxMsg(
       uint32 luckLevel,
        uint32 _maxCont,
        uint256 _totalCont,
        uint256 _payAmounts
    ) public CheckPermit("Config") {
        LuckMsg storage _lMsg = luckBoxMsg[_luckLevel];
        _lMsg.luckLevel = _luckLevel;
        lMsg.maxCont = maxCont;
        _lMsg.surplusCont = _totalCont;
        lMsg.totalCont = totalCont;
        lMsg.price = payAmounts * Util.UDENO;
        if (luckBoxMsg[_luckLevel].isUse) {
            uint256 _index = getListIndex(_luckLevel);
            boxList[_index] = _lMsg;
        } else {
            lMsg.isUse = true;
            boxList.push(_lMsg);
        }
function delLuckBox(uint32 _luckLevel) public CheckPermit("Config") {
        uint256 _index = getListIndex(_luckLevel);
        delete boxList[ index];
    }
```

Safety advice

It is recommended to set TimeLock time lock for time constraint on administrator operation, and it is recommended to store this administrator key securely.

Repair Status

Demon Finance has been officially updated.



4.3.2. No events added

• Risk description

Card contract, setPackage, setIsTxLimitExempt, setSyntheris, setMining and other functions are called externally and have sensitive operations, but the function does not add event records, part of the code is shown below:

```
function setPackage(address package, bool enable)
    external
    CheckPermit("Config")
{
    packages[package] = enable;
}
function setSyntheris(address package, bool enable)
    external
    CheckPermit("Config")
{
    syntherisAddress[package] = enable;
}
function setMining(address package, bool enable)
    external
    CheckPermit("Config")
{
    miningAddress[package] = enable;
}
```

• Safety advice

It is recommended to add event logging for all functions that contain sensitive operations.

Repair Status

Demon Finance has officially fixed the risk.



4.3.3. Unused variables

• Risk description

LuckShop contract, the declared variables userGiftState, rarityWeights are not used, as shown in the following code:

```
mapping(address => bool) userGiftState;
mapping(uint256 => uint256[]) private rarityWeights;
```

• Safety advice

Suggest deleting unused variables.

Repair Status

Demon Finance has officially fixed the risk.



4.3.4. Wrong pointer use

• Risk description

DemonExchange contract, the createOrder method uses storage to create an orderMsg object and inserts it into allSellOrder after modifying the object, which will result in wasted gas, as shown in the following code:

```
orderMsg storage newOrder = sellOrders[orderid];
newOrder.maker = msg.sender;
newOrder.price = price;
newOrder.payToken = token;
newOrder.createTime = block.timestamp;
newOrder.cardid = cardidList;
newOrder.isUsed = true;
allSellOrder.push(newOrder);
```

Package contract, open and batchOpen methods, respectively, use storage to create a pi object, the object did not change its state, and the use of storage initialization variables will greatly increase the amount of gas consumption, the risk of exceeding the upper limit back, but also increase the risk of memory overwritten, as shown in the following code:

```
function open(uint256 packageId, bytes memory data) external {
        require(msg.sender == owners[packageId], "you not own this pac
kage");
        _burn(packageId);
        PackageInfo storage pi = packageInfos[packageId]
        uint256[] memory cardIdPres = pi.shop.onOpenPackage(
            msg.sender,
            packageId,
            data
        );
        require(cardIdPres.length == pi.buyCont, "cont error");
        Card card = Card(manager.members("card"));
        uint256 length = cardIdPres.length;
        for (uint256 i = 0; i != length; ++i) {
            card.mint(msg.sender, cardIdPres[i]);
        }
```



```
delete packageInfos[packageId];
    }
    function batchOpen(uint256 packageId, bytes memory data) external {
        require(msg.sender == _owners[packageId], "you not own this pac
kage");
        _burn(packageId);
        PackageInfo storage pi = packageInfos[packageId];
        uint256[] memory cardIdPres = pi.shop.onOpenPackage(
            msg.sender,
            packageId,
            data
        );
        Card(manager.members("card")).batchMint(msg.sender, cardIdPre
s);
        delete packageInfos[packageId];
    }
```

• Safety advice

It is recommended to use memory instead of storage to initialize variables.

Repair Status

Demon Finance has officially fixed the risk.

Pages 18 / 31



4.3.5 Variables are updated

• Risk description

When there is a contract logic to obtain rewards or transfer funds, the coder mistakenly updates the value of the variable that sends the funds, so that the user can use the value of the variable that is not updated to obtain funds, thus affecting the normal operation of the project.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.6 Floating Point and Numeric Precision

• Risk Description

In Solidity, the floating-point type is not supported, and the fixed-length floating-point type is not fully supported. The result of the division operation will be rounded off, and if there is a decimal number, the part after the decimal point will be discarded and only the integer part will be taken, for example, dividing 5 pass 2 directly will result in 2. If the result of the operation is less than 1 in the token operation, for example, 4.9 tokens will be approximately equal to 4, bringing a certain degree of The tokens are not only the tokens of the same size, but also the tokens of the same size. Due to the economic properties of tokens, the loss of precision is equivalent to the loss of assets, so this is a cumulative problem in tokens that are frequently traded.



4.3.7 Default Visibility

Risk description

In Solidity, the visibility of contract functions is public pass default. therefore, functions that do not specify any visibility can be called externally pass the user. This can lead to serious vulnerabilities when developers incorrectly ignore visibility specifiers for functions that should be private, or visibility specifiers that can only be called from within the contract itself. One of the first hacks on Parity's multi-signature wallet was the failure to set the visibility of a function, which defaults to public, leading to the theft of a large amount of money.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.8 tx.origin authentication

Risk description

tx.origin is a global variable in Solidity that traverses the entire call stack and returns the address of the account that originally sent the call (or transaction). Using this variable for authentication in a smart contract can make the contract vulnerable to phishing-like attacks.



4.3.9 Faulty constructor

Risk description

Prior to version 0.4.22 in solidity smart contracts, all contracts and constructors had the same name. When writing a contract, if the constructor name and the contract name are not the same, the contract will add a default constructor and the constructor you set up will be treated as a normal function, resulting in your original contract settings not being executed as expected, which can lead to terrible consequences, especially if the constructor is performing a privileged operation.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.10 Unverified return value

Risk description

Three methods exist in Solidity for sending tokens to an address: transfer(), send(), call.value(). The difference between them is that the transfer function throws an exception throw when sending fails, rolls back the transaction state, and costs 2300gas; the send function returns false when sending fails and costs 2300gas; the call.value method returns false when sending fails and costs all gas to call, which will lead to the risk of reentrant attacks. If the send or call.value method is used in the contract code to send tokens without checking the return value of the method, if an error occurs, the contract will continue to execute the code later, which will lead to the thought result.



4.3.11 Insecure random numbers

Risk description

All transactions on the blockchain are deterministic state transition operations with no uncertainty, which ultimately means that there is no source of entropy or randomness within the blockchain ecosystem. Therefore, there is no random number function like rand() in Solidity. Many developers use future block variables such as block hashes, timestamps, block highs and lows or Gas caps to generate random numbers. These quantities are controlled pass the miners who mine them and are therefore not truly random, so using past or present block variables to generate random numbers could lead to a destructive vulnerability.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.12 Timestamp Dependency

Risk description

In blockchains, data block timestamps (block.timestamp) are used in a variety of applications, such as functions for random numbers, locking funds for a period of time, and conditional statements for various time-related state changes. Miners have the ability to adjust the timestamp as needed, for example block.timestamp or the alias now can be manipulated pass the miner. This can lead to serious vulnerabilities if the wrong block timestamp is used in a smart contract. This may not be necessary if the contract is not particularly concerned with miner manipulation of block timestamps, but care should be taken when developing the contract.



4.3.13 Transaction order dependency

• Risk description

In a blockchain, the miner chooses which transactions from that pool will be included in the block, which is usually determined pass the gasPrice transaction, and the miner will choose the transaction with the highest transaction fee to pack into the block. Since the information about the transactions in the block is publicly available, an attacker can watch the transaction pool for transactions that may contain problematic solutions, modify or revoke the attacker's privileges or change the state of the contract to the attacker's detriment. The attacker can then take data from this transaction and create a higher-level transaction gasPrice and include its transactions in a block before the original, which will preempt the original transaction solution.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.14 Delegatecall

Risk Description

In Solidity, the delegatecall function is the standard message call method, but the code in the target address runs in the context of the calling contract, i.e., keeping msg.sender and msg.value unchanged. This feature supports implementation libraries, where developers can create reusable code for future contracts. The code in the library itself can be secure and bug-free, but when run in another application's environment, new vulnerabilities may arise, so using the delegatecall function may lead to unexpected code execution.



4.3.15 Call

Risk Description

The call function is similar to the delegatecall function in that it is an underlying function provided pass Solidity, a smart contract writing language, to interact with external contracts or libraries, but when the call function method is used to handle an external Standard Message Call to a contract, the code runs in the environment of the external contract/function The call function is used to interact with an external contract or library. The use of such functions requires a determination of the security of the call parameters, and caution is recommended. An attacker could easily borrow the identity of the current contract to perform other malicious operations, leading to serious vulnerabilities.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.16 Denial of Service

Risk Description

Denial of service attacks have a broad category of causes and are designed to keep the user from making the contract work properly for a period of time or permanently in certain situations, including malicious behavior while acting as the recipient of a transaction, artificially increasing the gas required to compute a function causing gas exhaustion (such as controlling the size of variables in a for loop), misuse of access control to access the private component of the contract, in which the Owners with privileges are modified, progress state based on external calls, use of obfuscation and oversight, etc. can lead to denial of service attacks.



4.3.17 Logic Design Flaw

Risk Description

In smart contracts, developers design special features for their contracts intended to stabilize the market value of tokens or the life of the project and increase the highlight of the project, however, the more complex the system, the more likely it is to have the possibility of errors. It is in these logic and functions that a minor mistake can lead to serious depasstions from the whole logic and expectations, leaving fatal hidden dangers, such as errors in logic judgment, functional implementation and design and so on.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.18 Fake recharge vulnerability

Risk Description

The success or failure (true or false) status of a token transaction depends on whether an exception is thrown during the execution of the transaction (e.g., using mechanisms such as require/assert/revert/throw). When a user calls the transfer function of a token contract to transfer funds, if the transfer function runs normally without throwing an exception, the transaction will be successful or not, and the status of the transaction will be true. When balances[msg.sender] < _value goes to the else logic and returns false, no exception is thrown, but the transaction acknowledgement is successful, then we believe that a mild if/else judgment is an undisciplined way of coding in sensitive function scenarios like transfer, which will lead to Fake top-up vulnerability in centralized exchanges, centralized wallets, and token contracts.



4.3.19 Short Address Attack Vulnerability

• Risk Description

In Solidity smart contracts, when passing parameters to a smart contract, the parameters are encoded according to the ABI specification. the EVM runs the attacker to send encoded parameters that are shorter than the expected parameter length. For example, when transferring money on an exchange or wallet, you need to send the transfer address address and the transfer amount value. The attacker could send a 19-passte address instead of the standard 20-passte address, in which case the EVM would fill in the 0 at the end of the encoded parameter to make up the expected length, which would result in an overflow of the final transfer amount parameter value, thus changing the original transfer amount.

• Audit Results : Passed

4.3.20 Uninitialized storage pointer

Risk description

EVM uses both storage and memory to store variables. Local variables within functions are stored in storage or memory pass default, depending on their type. uninitialized local storage variables could point to other unexpected storage variables in the contract, leading to intentional or unintentional vulnerabilities.



4.3.21 Frozen Account bypass

• Risk Description

In the transfer operation code in the contract, detect the risk that the logical functionality to check the freeze status of the transfer account exists in the contract code and can be passpassed if the transfer account has been frozen.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.22 Uninitialized

Risk description

The initialize function in the contract can be called pass another attacker before the owner, thus initializing the administrator address.

Audit Results : Passed

4.3.23 Reentry Attack

Risk Description

An attacker constructs a contract containing malicious code at an external address in the Fallback function When the contract sends tokens to this address, it will call the malicious code. The call.value() function in Solidity will consume all the gas he receives when it is used to send tokens, so a re-entry attack will occur when the call to the call.value() function to send tokens occurs before the actual reduction of the sender's account balance. The re-entry vulnerability led to the famous The DAO attack.



4.2.24 Integer Overflow

• Risk description

Integer overflows are generally classified as overflows and underflows. The types of integer overflows that occur in smart contracts include three types: multiplicative overflows, additive overflows, and subtractive overflows. In Solidity language, variables support integer types in steps of 8, from uint8 to uint256, and int8 to int256, integers specify fixed size data types and are unsigned, for example, a uint8 type, can only be stored in the range 0 to 2^8-1, that is, [0,255] numbers, a uint256 type can only store numbers in the range 0 to 2^256-1. This means that an integer variable can only have a certain range of numbers represented, and cannot exceed this formulated range. Exceeding the range of values expressed pass the variable type will result in an integer overflow vulnerability.

Audit results : pass



5. Security Audit Tool

| Tool name | Tool Features |
|-----------------|---|
| Oyente | Can be used to detect common bugs in smart contracts |
| securify | Common types of smart contracts that can be verified |
| MAIAN | Multiple smart contract vulnerabilities can be found and classified |
| Lunaray Toolkit | self-developed toolkit |



Disclaimer:

Lunaray Technology only issues a report and assumes corresponding responsibilities for the facts that occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, Since the facts that occurred after the issuance of the report cannot determine the security status of the smart contract, it is not responsible for this.

Lunaray Technology conducts security audits on the security audit items in the project agreement, and is not responsible for the project background and other circumstances, The subsequent on-chain deployment and operation methods of the project party are beyond the scope of this audit.

This report only conducts a security audit based on the information provided by the information provider to Lunaray at the time the report is issued, If the information of this project is concealed or the situation reflected is inconsistent with the actual situation, Lunaray Technology shall not be liable for any losses and adverse effects caused thereby.

There are risks in the market, and investment needs to be cautious. This report only conducts security audits and results announcements on smart contract codes, and does not make investment recommendations and basis.

Pages 30 / 31

Lunaray Blockchain Security



https://lunaray.co

https://github.com/lunaraySec

https://twitter.com/lunaray_Sec

http://t.me/lunaraySec