Marxism

Marius Swane Wishman

Introduction

- Marxism != Communism
- Marxism != Political Marxism
- Marxism = (kinda) Grand theory / Paradigm / Theoretical framework

Historical Context

- The first "crisis"
- Structural changes: "business was booming"
- From merchants to manufacturers From landowners to industrialists
 - But for the workers?
 - Malthus Wages stagnant Work-days long (14-18h)
 - Low-skill industries
 - Factories, mines
 - Even authorities worried
 - * Bad soldiers
 - Revolutionary potential

Karl Marx - Framework for understanding Capitalism

- Capitalism emerges from feudalism (which in turn emerges from slavery primitive)
- Mode of Production
- Internal dynamics and Contradictions
- Evolutionary, and revolutionary (prescriptive)
- Resurgent?

Method of Marx

- (bad(Popper)) Historical (Hegel)
- Materialist Dialectic (empire-barabarians, aristocracy-bourgeoisie, bourgeois democracy-proletariat -> communism)
- Praxis

Criticism of Capitalism

- Lack of class understanding
- Class is inherently bad
- Focus on capital vs. labor
- Separation of labor from the ownership of the means of production
- One class has the ownership of the means of production
- One class survives by selling its labor power

Exploitation

- Labor THEORY of value)
 - builds on Smith
 - but it's all about labor
- Simple, but has MASSIVE implications!
- DISCUSS: does it make sense to you? Do you see any problems?
 - Value does not translate directly to prices
- Any situation where one class derives income from the labor of another
 - Produce value over and above what is returned to them
 - Surplus value (profit)
 - Lots of actors interest in minimizing wages and maximizing surplus value
- Alienation from fruits of labor

Internal contradictions

- Growth of monopoly power
 - Accumulation of capital the driving force of capitalism
- Expansionary nature of capitalism
 - Imperialism (Lenin)
- Commodification
- Uneven development (Only one class benefits, and also imperialism)
 - Time, space and class

Economic Crises

- Falling profit rates (value is only created by labor)
 - Marginal productivity of labor
 - If the rate of surplus value remains unchanged, the rate of profit (how much you you get back per \$ invested) would tend to decline. LTV (p.145) you buy a new machine -> bigger surplus, constant RoP, competitor buys same machine -> same surplus as before, bigger input -> decreased RoP
 - Collective incentives to avoid this, but lack of a coordinating actor
- Underconsumption (overproduction)

Role of the State

- State serves capitalist interests
- Economic and social reproduction
- Capitalism can not provide social change (selfperpetuating)

But what of Growth?

- 5 conditions of capital accumulation
 - Minimizing production costs
 - * Extending the geographical area
 - * Hiring people from new social groups at lower wage rates
 - * Unemployment
 - Increasing surplus value
 - * Increasing the volume and value of goods and services Raising the productivity of labor
 - * Raising the intensity of labor
 - * Mechanizing production
 - Realizing surplus value
 - * Marketing the products commercialization of life
 - * Grow consumer demand

- * Extend credit facilities
- (Rapid circulation & economic and social reproduction)

Curb your Enthusiasm (for Revolution)

- Is capitalism bad?
 - A huge step forward
 - Very good at capital accumulation!
 - * Social institutions that underpin/legitimize the system
 - * Coercive backup
 - st Micro level action by actors pursuing their own self-interest.
 - * Very adaptable!
 - But no social progress in capitalism!
 - Capitalists are not evil
 - * As good or evil as any other human being
 - * Capitalist behavior stems from the structure.
 - * Cannot behave in any other way
 - * Exploitation is systemic/structural
- Conflict of interest is structurally embedded in the system

Now what?

- Do not say a whole lot on this, so neither will we
- We focus on the more relevant (and less contentous) critique of capitalism

Unit of analysis

- Marxist level of analysis:
 - Structural doesn't matter if individuals are good or evil
- Neoclassical level of analysis:
 - Micro/individual or meso/firm
 - Not structural.
 - No program for structural change (or any other change)

Thomas Piketty (1971-) (if time)

- Modern Marxist (keep this in mind as you are reading)
- Why does his work/theory fit inside the framework?
 - History
 - Structures
 - Criticism of flaws inherent in capitalism
 - Class
- However...
 - Equations, and lots of noeclassical modelling
 - Gone is the Dialectic
 - Gone is the Praxis (mostly)
- r > g