



BlockSec

Security Audit Report for Ref DCL Contract

Date: December 9th, 2022

Version: 1.0

Contact: contact@blocksec.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	About Target Contracts	1
1.2	Disclaimer	2
1.3	Procedure of Auditing	2
1.3.1	Software Security	2
1.3.2	DeFi Security	3
1.3.3	NFT Security	3
1.3.4	Additional Recommendation	3
1.4	Security Model	3
2	Findings	5
2.1	DeFi Security	5
2.1.1	Non-withdrawable Fees Charged by the Protocol	5
2.1.2	Incorrect sqrt_price_loc_96 Calculation in y_swap_x_range_complete_desire()	7
2.1.3	Liquidity on Endpoint Processed Before the Limit Order	9
2.1.4	Potential Failure in the Callback Function	11
2.1.5	Improper Rounding Implementation	14
2.2	Additional Recommendation	16
2.2.1	Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem	16
2.2.2	Potential Centralization Problem	16
2.2.3	Redundant Code	16
2.2.4	Gas Optimization	18
2.2.5	Unused Code	18
2.2.6	Repeated Variable Assignments	18
2.2.7	Incomplete Implementation of Function cancel_order()	20
2.2.8	Code Optimization	21
2.2.9	Unsupported Token Frozen List	23
2.3	Notes	23
2.3.1	Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Contract Dependencies	23
2.3.2	Unsupported Increase of Selling Tokens for Limit Orders	24
2.3.3	Unsupported Deposit of Native NEAR Tokens	28

Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Ref-Finance
Target	Ref DCL Contract

Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	December 9th, 2022	First Release

About BlockSec The **BlockSec** focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. BlockSec is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and successfully protected digital assets that are worth more than 5 million dollars by blocking multiple attacks. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Rust
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The repository that has been audited includes the **Ref DCL** contract ¹.

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we will audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following. Our audit report is responsible for the only initial version ([Version 1](#)), as well as new codes (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

Project		Commit SHA
Ref DCL Contract	Version 1	0b96a993d6b463ef172f27606c903fe4fc5aaa9c
	Version 2	0a4ec89884133a5b9ce258a618edddb9d70bd2fa

Note that, we did **NOT** audit all the modules in the repository. The modules covered by this audit report include **contracts/dcl/src** folder contract only. Specifically, the file covered in this audit include:

- common_math.rs
- event.rs
- lib.rs
- md.rs
- nft.rs
- oracle.rs (created since [Version 2](#))
- point_info.rs
- slot_bitmap.rs
- swap_math.rs
- token_receiver.rs
- user_liquidity.rs
- user.rs
- view.rs
- errors.rs
- legacy.rs
- management.rs
- nft_approval.rs
- owner.rs
- pool.rs
- storage_impl.rs
- swap.rs

¹<https://github.com/ref-finance/ref-dcl>

- user_asset.rs
- user_order.rs
- utils.rs

1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.
- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.

We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

1.3.1 Software Security

- * Reentrancy
- * DoS
- * Access control
- * Data handling and data flow
- * Exception handling
- * Untrusted external call and control flow
- * Initialization consistency

- * Events operation
- * Error-prone randomness
- * Improper use of the proxy system

1.3.2 DeFi Security

- * Semantic consistency
- * Functionality consistency
- * Permission management
- * Business logic
- * Token operation
- * Emergency mechanism
- * Oracle security
- * Whitelist and blacklist
- * Economic impact
- * Batch transfer

1.3.3 NFT Security

- * Duplicated item
- * Verification of the token receiver
- * Off-chain metadata security

1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- * Gas optimization
- * Code quality and style



Note *The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.*

1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology ² and Common Weakness Enumeration ³. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

²https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology

³<https://cwe.mitre.org/>

Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification

Impact	High	High	Medium
	Low	Medium	Low
		High	Low
		Likelihood	

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **five** potential issues. We also have **nine** recommendations and **three** notes as follows:

- High Risk: 2
- Medium Risk: 2
- Low Risk: 1
- Recommendations: 9
- Notes: 3

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	High	Non-withdrawable Fees Charged by the Protocol	DeFi Security	Fixed
2	High	Incorrect <code>sqrt_price_loc_96</code> Calculation in <code>y_swap_x_range_complete_desire()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
3	Low	Liquidity on Endpoint Processed Before the Limit Order	DeFi Security	Fixed
4	Medium	Potential Failure in the Callback Function	DeFi Security	Fixed
5	Medium	Improper Rounding Implementation	DeFi Security	Fixed
4	-	Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem	Recommendation	Confirmed
5	-	Potential Centralization Problem	Recommendation	Confirmed
6	-	Redundant Code	Recommendation	Fixed
7	-	Gas Optimization	Recommendation	Fixed
8	-	Unused Code	Recommendation	Fixed
9	-	Repeated Variable Assignments	Recommendation	Fixed
10	-	Incomplete Implementation of Function <code>cancel_order()</code>	Recommendation	Fixed
11	-	Code Optimization	Recommendation	Confirmed
12	-	Unsupported Token Frozen List	Recommendation	Fixed
15	-	Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Contract Dependencies	Notes	Confirmed
16	-	Unsupported Increase of Selling Tokens for Limit Orders	Notes	Confirmed
17	-	Unsupported Deposit of Native NEAR Tokens	Notes	Confirmed

The details are provided in the following sections.

2.1 DeFi Security

2.1.1 Non-withdrawable Fees Charged by the Protocol

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description `total_fee_x_charged` and `total_fee_y_charged` (lines 27-30) are used to record the charged protocol fees during the swap actions. However, the protocol fee can not be withdrawn due to the lack of corresponding functions.

```
3  #[derive(BorshSerialize, BorshDeserialize, Serialize)]
```



```
4  #[serde(crate = "near_sdk::serde")]
5  pub struct Pool {
6      pub pool_id: PoolId,
7      pub token_x: AccountId,
8      pub token_y: AccountId,
9      pub fee: u32,
10     pub point_delta: i32,
11
12     pub current_point: i32,
13     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
14     pub sqrt_price_96: U256,
15     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
16     pub liquidity: u128,
17     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
18     pub liquidity_x: u128,
19     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
20     pub max_liquidity_per_point: u128,
21
22     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
23     pub fee_scale_x_128: U256, // token X fee per unit of liquidity
24     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
25     pub fee_scale_y_128: U256, // token Y fee per unit of liquidity
26
27     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
28     pub total_fee_x_charged: U256,
29     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
30     pub total_fee_y_charged: U256,
31
32     #[serde(with = "u256_dec_format")]
33     pub volume_x_in: U256,
34     #[serde(with = "u256_dec_format")]
35     pub volume_y_in: U256,
36     #[serde(with = "u256_dec_format")]
37     pub volume_x_out: U256,
38     #[serde(with = "u256_dec_format")]
39     pub volume_y_out: U256,
40
41     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
42     pub total_liquidity: u128,
43     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
44     pub total_order_x: u128,
45     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
46     pub total_order_y: u128,
47     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
48     pub total_x: u128,
49     #[serde(with = "u128_dec_format")]
50     pub total_y: u128,
51
52     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
53     pub point_info: PointInfo,
54     #[serde(skip_serializing)]
55     pub slot_bitmap: SlotBitmap,
56 }
```

```
57     pub state: RunningState,  
58 }
```

Listing 2.1: contracts/dcl/src/pool.rs

Impact Protocol fees are locked in the contract.

Suggestion Implement the corresponding withdrawal functions.

2.1.2 Incorrect `sqrt_price_loc_96` Calculation in `y_swap_x_range_complete_desire()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `y_swap_x_range_complete_desire()`, the calculation of `sqrt_price_loc_96` is wrong. According to the current implementation, the `result.loc_pt` calculated from the `sqrt_price_loc_96` is the offset relative to the `left_point`. However, the correct `result.loc_pt` should be the offset relative to the point zero. In this case, the numerator in line 692 should be `sqrt_price_r_96` instead of `sqrt_price_pr_pl_96`.

```
662 /// try to swap from right to left in range [left_point, right_point) with all liquidity used.  
663 /// @param liquidity: liquidity of each point in the range  
664 /// @param sqrt_price_l_96: sqrt of left point price in 2^96 power  
665 /// @param left_point: left point of this range  
666 /// @param sqrt_price_r_96: sqrt of right point price in 2^96 power  
667 /// @param right_point: right point of this range  
668 /// @param desire_x: amount of token X as swap-out  
669 /// @return Y2XRangeCompRetDesire  
670 pub fn y_swap_x_range_complete_desire(  
671     liquidity: u128,  
672     sqrt_price_l_96: U256,  
673     left_point: i32,  
674     sqrt_price_r_96: U256,  
675     right_point: i32,  
676     desire_x: u128  
677 ) -> Y2XRangeCompRetDesire {  
678     let mut result = Y2XRangeCompRetDesire::default();  
679     let max_x = get_amount_x(liquidity, left_point, right_point, sqrt_price_r_96, sqrt_rate_96(),  
680                             false).as_u128();  
681     if max_x <= desire_x {  
682         // maxX <= desireX <= uint128.max  
683         result.acquire_x = max_x;  
684         result.cost_y = get_amount_y(liquidity, sqrt_price_l_96, sqrt_price_r_96, sqrt_rate_96(),  
685                                     true);  
686         result.complete_liquidity = true;  
687         return result;  
688     }  
689     let sqrt_price_pr_pl_96 = get_sqrt_price(right_point - left_point);  
690     let sqrt_price_pr_m1_96 = sqrt_price_r_96.mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), sqrt_rate_96());  
691     let div = sqrt_price_pr_pl_96 - U256::from(desire_x).mul_fraction_floor(sqrt_price_r_96 -  
692                                     sqrt_price_pr_m1_96, U256::from(liquidity));
```

```

691
692 let sqrt_price_loc_96 = sqrt_price_pr_pl_96.mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), div);
693
694 result.complete_liquidity = false;
695 result.loc_pt = get_log_sqrt_price_floor(sqrt_price_loc_96);
696
697 result.loc_pt = std::cmp::max(left_point, result.loc_pt);
698 result.loc_pt = std::cmp::min(right_point - 1, result.loc_pt);
699 result.sqrt_loc_96 = get_sqrt_price(result.loc_pt);
700
701 if result.loc_pt == left_point {
702     result.acquire_x = 0;
703     result.cost_y = Default::default();
704     return result;
705 }
706 result.complete_liquidity = false;
707 result.acquire_x = std::cmp::min(
708     get_amount_x(liquidity, left_point, result.loc_pt, result.sqrt_loc_96, sqrt_rate_96(),
709         false).as_u128(),
710     desire_x);
711 result.cost_y = get_amount_y(liquidity, sqrt_price_l_96, result.sqrt_loc_96, sqrt_rate_96(),
712     true);
712 result
713 }

```

Listing 2.2: contracts/dcl/src/swap_math.rs

For example, we have a liquidity whose range is from the `left_point` (A) to the `result.loc_pt` (B), `L` denotes the amount of liquidity and `x` denotes the desired amount for token `x`.

Now we have:

$$\frac{L}{\sqrt{1.0001}^A} + \frac{L}{\sqrt{1.0001}^{A+1}} + \frac{L}{\sqrt{1.0001}^{A+2}} \dots + \frac{L}{\sqrt{1.0001}^{B-1}} = X$$

With $D = 1.0001$, the formula (a) can be simplified as follows :

$$L * \frac{1 - D^{A-B}}{D^A - D^{A-1}} = X$$

$$L * D^{A-B} = L - X(D^A - D^{A-1})$$

$$D^{B-A} = \frac{L}{L - X(D^A - D^{A-1})}$$

For `result.loc_pt`, we have:

$$B = \log_D \frac{L}{L - X(D^A - D^{A-1})} + A$$

However, the current implementation of Ref-DCL for calculating `result.loc_pt` is:

$$B = \log_D \frac{D^{C-A}}{D^{C-A} - \frac{X}{L} * (D^C - D^{C-1})}$$

where C denotes the `right_point`

$$B = \log_D \frac{L * D^{C-A}}{L * D^{C-A} - X * (D^C - D^{C-1})}$$

$$B = \log_D \frac{L}{L - X * (D^A - D^{A-1})}$$

The `result.loc_pt` calculated from `Ref-DCL` is incorrect, and the correct calculation should follow the equation (e).

Impact There won't be enough `token_x` swapped out due to the incorrect calculation described above.

Suggestion Replace the `sqrtp_price_pr_pl_96` with the `sqrtp_price_r_96` when calculating the `sqrtp_price_loc_96` in function `y_swap_x_range_complete_desired()`.

2.1.3 Liquidity on Endpoint Processed Before the Limit Order

Severity Low

Status Fixed in `Version 2`

Introduced by `Version 1`

Description Function `internal_x_swap_y()` is to swap `token_x` to `token_y`. During the swapping process, the liquidity will be processed before the limit order. In this case, when the point stops at the `next_point`, which is an `endpoint`, and the amount of `token_x` is not fully swapped, the liquidity can be used up while the order is not processed. This is inconsistent with the original design.

```

209  /// Process x_swap_y in range
210  /// @param protocol_fee_rate
211  /// @param input_amount: amount of token X
212  /// @param low_boundary_point
213  /// @param is_quote: whether the quote function is calling
214  /// @return (consumed_x, gained_y, is_finished)
215  pub fn internal_x_swap_y(&mut self, protocol_fee_rate: u32, input_amount: u128,
    low_boundary_point: i32, is_quote: bool) -> (u128, u128, bool) {
216      let boundary_point = std::cmp::max(low_boundary_point, LEFT_MOST_POINT);
217      let mut amount = input_amount;
218      let mut amount_x = 0;
219      let mut amount_y = 0;
220      let mut is_finished = false;
221      let mut current_order_or_endpt = self.point_info.get_point_type_value(self.current_point,
        self.point_delta);
222
223      while boundary_point <= self.current_point && !is_finished {
224          if current_order_or_endpt & 2 > 0 {
225              // process limit order
226              let mut point_data = self.point_info.0.get(&self.current_point).unwrap();
227              let mut order_data = point_data.order_data.take().unwrap();
228              let process_ret = self.process_limit_order_y(protocol_fee_rate, &mut order_data,
                amount);
229              is_finished = process_ret.0;
230              (amount, amount_x, amount_y) = (amount-process_ret.1, amount_x+process_ret.1,
                amount_y+process_ret.2);
231

```

```
232         self.update_order(&mut point_data, order_data, is_quote);
233
234         if is_finished {
235             break;
236         }
237     }
238
239     let search_start = self.current_point - 1;
240
241     if current_order_or_endpt & 1 > 0 {
242         // current point is an liquidity endpoint, process liquidity
243         let process_ret = self.process_liquidity_y(protocol_fee_rate, amount, self.
                current_point);
244         is_finished = process_ret.0;
245         (amount, amount_x, amount_y) = (amount-process_ret.1, amount_x+process_ret.1,
                amount_y+process_ret.2);
246
247         if !is_finished {
248             // pass endpoint
249             self.pass_endpoint(self.current_point, is_quote, true);
250             // move one step to the left
251             self.current_point -= 1;
252             self.sqrt_price_96 = get_sqrt_price(self.current_point);
253             self.liquidity_x = 0;
254         }
255         if is_finished || self.current_point < boundary_point {
256             break;
257         }
258     }
259
260     // process range liquidity
261     let next_pt= match self.slot_bitmap.get_nearest_left_valued_slot(search_start, self.
        point_delta, boundary_point / self.point_delta){
262         Some(point) => {
263             if point < boundary_point {
264                 boundary_point
265             } else {
266                 point
267             }
268         },
269         None => { boundary_point }
270     };
271
272     let process_ret = self.process_liquidity_y(protocol_fee_rate, amount, next_pt);
273     is_finished = process_ret.0;
274     (amount, amount_x, amount_y) = (amount-process_ret.1, amount_x+process_ret.1, amount_y+
        process_ret.2);
275
276     if self.current_point == next_pt {
277         current_order_or_endpt = self.point_info.get_point_type_value(next_pt, self.
            point_delta);
278     } else {
279         current_order_or_endpt = 0;
```

```

280     }
281
282
283     if self.current_point <= boundary_point {
284         if self.current_point == boundary_point && !is_finished && current_order_or_endpt &
285             2 > 0 {
286             // this final point should check if there is limit order to trade
287             let mut point_data = self.point_info.0.get(&self.current_point).unwrap();
288             let mut order_data = point_data.order_data.take().unwrap();
289             let process_ret = self.process_limit_order_y(protocol_fee_rate, &mut order_data,
290                 amount);
291             is_finished = process_ret.0;
292             (_, amount_x, amount_y) = (amount-process_ret.1, amount_x+process_ret.1,
293                 amount_y+process_ret.2);
294
295             if !is_quote {
296                 point_data.order_data = Some(order_data);
297                 self.point_info.0.insert(&self.current_point, &point_data);
298                 if order_data.selling_x == 0 && order_data.selling_y == 0 &&
299                     current_order_or_endpt & 1 == 0 {
300                     self.slot_bitmap.set_zero(self.current_point, self.point_delta);
301                 }
302             }
303         }
304         break;
305     }
306     (amount_x, amount_y, is_finished)
307 }

```

Listing 2.3: contracts/dcl/src/pool.rs

Impact Liquidity on the endpoint may be swapped out before the limit order on the same `endpoint`.

Suggestion Process the `liquidity_y` that ranges from the `current_point` to the `next_point+1` first, if there're still some `token_x` left, move to the `next_point`, and handle the limit order before the liquidity on the point.

2.1.4 Potential Failure in the Callback Function

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `callback_post_withdraw_asset()`, if the `PosmiseResult` is checked as `Failed` and the number of the user's assets has reached the threshold, this callback function will panic in line 5 of function `add_asset()`. In this case, the `Event::Lostfound` will not be emitted.

```

91     #[private]
92     pub fn callback_post_withdraw_asset(
93         &mut self,
94         token_id: AccountId,

```

```
95     user_id: AccountId,
96     amount: U128,
97 ) -> bool {
98     require!(
99         env::promise_results_count() == 1,
100         E001_PROMISE_RESULT_COUNT_INVALID
101     );
102     let amount: Balance = amount.into();
103     match env::promise_result(0) {
104         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
105         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
106             true
107         }
108         PromiseResult::Failed => {
109             // This reverts the changes from withdraw function.
110             if let Some(mut user) = self.internal_get_user(&user_id) {
111                 user.add_asset(&token_id, amount);
112                 self.internal_set_user(&user_id, user);
113
114                 Event::Lostfound {
115                     user: &user_id,
116                     token: &token_id,
117                     amount: &U128(amount),
118                     locked: &false,
119                 }
120                 .emit();
121             } else {
122                 Event::Lostfound {
123                     user: &user_id,
124                     token: &token_id,
125                     amount: &U128(amount),
126                     locked: &true,
127                 }
128                 .emit();
129             }
130             false
131         }
132     }
133 }
```

Listing 2.4: contracts/dcl/src/user_asset.rs

```
4 pub fn add_asset(&mut self, token_id: &AccountId, amount: Balance) {
5     require!(self.assets.len() < DEFAULT_MAX_USER_ASSET_COUNT || self.assets.get(token_id).is_some
6         (), "ERR_USER_ASSET_COUNT_EXCEEDED");
7     self.assets.insert(
8         token_id,
9         &(amount + self.assets.get(token_id).unwrap_or(0_u128)).clone(),
10 );
11 }
```

Listing 2.5: contracts/dcl/src/user_asset.rs

The same problem exists in the function `callback_post_withdraw_near()`.

```
135 #[private]
136 pub fn callback_post_withdraw_near(
137     &mut self,
138     user_id: AccountId,
139     amount: U128,
140 ) -> bool {
141     require!(
142         env::promise_results_count() == 1,
143         E001_PROMISE_RESULT_COUNT_INVALID
144     );
145     let amount: Balance = amount.into();
146     match env::promise_result(0) {
147         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
148         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
149             Promise::new(user_id).transfer(amount);
150             true
151         }
152         PromiseResult::Failed => {
153             // This reverts the changes from withdraw function.
154             if let Some(mut user) = self.internal_get_user(&user_id) {
155                 user.add_asset(&self.data().wnear_id, amount);
156                 self.internal_set_user(&user_id, user);
157
158                 Event::Lostfound {
159                     user: &user_id,
160                     token: &self.data().wnear_id,
161                     amount: &U128(amount),
162                     locked: &false,
163                 }
164                 .emit();
165             } else {
166                 Event::Lostfound {
167                     user: &user_id,
168                     token: &self.data().wnear_id,
169                     amount: &U128(amount),
170                     locked: &true,
171                 }
172                 .emit();
173             }
174             false
175         }
176     }
177 }
```

Listing 2.6: contracts/dcl/src/user_asset.rs

Impact Users' assets may be lost due to the potential failure of the callback function.

Suggestion If the function `add_asset()` is called by the callback function and the number of the user's assets has reached the threshold (i.e., 64), emit an `Event::Lostfound` instead of throwing into a panic.

2.1.5 Improper Rounding Implementation

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `internal_update_order()`, the amount of the `token_x` or `token_y` earned by the user in lines 304-313 is rounded up with function `mul_fraction_ceil()`, which is inconsistent with the calculation in lines 349-358.

```
279    /// Sync user order with point order, try to claim as much earned as possible
280    /// @param ue: user order
281    /// @param po: point order
282    /// @return earned amount this time
283    pub fn internal_update_order(ue: &mut UserOrder, po: &mut OrderData) -> u128 {
284        let is_earn_y = ue.is_earn_y();
285        let sqrt_price_96 = get_sqrt_price(ue.point);
286        let (total_earn, total_legacy_earn, acc_legacy_earn, cur_acc_earn) = if is_earn_y {
287            (
288                po.earn_y,
289                po.earn_y_legacy,
290                po.acc_earn_y_legacy,
291                po.acc_earn_y,
292            )
293        } else {
294            (
295                po.earn_x,
296                po.earn_x_legacy,
297                po.acc_earn_x_legacy,
298                po.acc_earn_x,
299            )
300        };
301
302        if ue.last_acc_earn < acc_legacy_earn {
303            // this order has been fully filled
304            let mut earn = if is_earn_y {
305                let liquidity =
306                    U256::from(ue.remain_amount).mul_fraction_ceil(sqrt_price_96, pow_96());
307                liquidity.mul_fraction_ceil(sqrt_price_96, pow_96())
308            } else {
309                let liquidity =
310                    U256::from(ue.remain_amount).mul_fraction_ceil(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96);
311                liquidity.mul_fraction_ceil(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96)
312            }
313            .as_u128();
314
315            // update po
316            if earn > total_legacy_earn {
317                // just protect from some rounding errors
318                earn = total_legacy_earn;
319            }
320            if is_earn_y {
321                po.earn_y_legacy -= earn;
```

```
322     } else {
323         po.earn_x_legacy -= earn;
324     }
325
326     // update ue
327     ue.last_acc_earn = cur_acc_earn;
328     ue.remain_amount = 0;
329     ue.bought_amount += earn;
330     ue.unclaimed_amount = Some(U128(earn));
331
332     earn
333 } else {
334     // this order needs to compete earn
335     let mut earn = min((cur_acc_earn - ue.last_acc_earn).as_u128(), total_earn);
336
337     let mut sold = if is_earn_y {
338         let liquidity = U256::from(earn).mul_fraction_ceil(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96);
339         liquidity.mul_fraction_ceil(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96)
340     } else {
341         let liquidity = U256::from(earn).mul_fraction_ceil(sqrt_price_96, pow_96());
342         liquidity.mul_fraction_ceil(sqrt_price_96, pow_96())
343     }
344     .as_u128();
345
346     // actual sold should less or equal to remaining, adjust sold and earn if needed
347     if sold > ue.remain_amount {
348         sold = ue.remain_amount;
349         earn = if is_earn_y {
350             let liquidity =
351                 U256::from(sold).mul_fraction_floor(sqrt_price_96, pow_96());
352             liquidity.mul_fraction_floor(sqrt_price_96, pow_96())
353         } else {
354             let liquidity =
355                 U256::from(sold).mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96);
356             liquidity.mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), sqrt_price_96)
357         }
358         .as_u128();
359     }
360
361     // update po
362     if earn > total_earn {
363         // just protect from some rounding errors
364         earn = total_earn;
365     }
366     if is_earn_y {
367         po.earn_y -= earn;
368     } else {
369         po.earn_x -= earn;
370     }
371
372     // update ue
373     ue.last_acc_earn = cur_acc_earn;
374     ue.remain_amount -= sold;
```

```
375         ue.bought_amount += earn;
376         ue.unclaimed_amount = Some(U128(earn));
377
378         earn
379     }
380 }
```

Listing 2.7: contracts/dcl/src/user_order.rs

Impact Some users may earn more tokens while others can not withdraw all the tokens.

Suggestion Use function `mul_fraction_floor()` instead of `mul_fraction_ceil()` when calculating the users' earned tokens in lines 304-313.

2.2 Additional Recommendation

2.2.1 Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Elastic supply tokens could dynamically adjust their price, supply, user's balance, etc. For example, inflation tokens, deflation tokens, rebasing tokens, etc.

In the current contract implementation, elastic supply tokens are not supported. If the token is a deflation token, there will be a difference between the recorded amount of transferred tokens to this smart contract (as a parameter of function `ft_on_transfer()`) and the actual number of transferred tokens (the token smart contract itself). That's because the token smart contract will burn a small number of tokens.

Suggestion I Do not add elastic supply tokens to the whitelist.

2.2.2 Potential Centralization Problem

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description This project has potential centralization problems. The `ContractData.owner_id` has the privilege to configure several system parameters (e.g., the `ContractData.protocol_fee_rate`) and pause or resume the contract & pools.

Suggestion I Introducing a decentralization design in the contract is recommended, such as a [multi-signature](#) or a public [DAO](#).

2.2.3 Redundant Code

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `update_endpoint()`, if the signed integer `liquidity_data` is checked to be greater than zero, the `liquid_acc_after` will never be less than or equal to the `liquid_acc_before`. Therefore, it is not necessary to have the check in line 162. Similarly, the check in line 169 is also redundant.

```
147 pub fn update_endpoint(  
148     &mut self,  
149     endpoint: i32,  
150     is_left: bool,  
151     current_point: i32,  
152     liquidity_delta: i128,  
153     max_liquidity_per_point: u128,  
154     fee_scale_x_128: U256,  
155     fee_scale_y_128: U256  
156 ) -> bool {  
157     let mut point_data = self.0.remove(&endpoint).unwrap_or_default();  
158     let mut liquidity_data = point_data.liquidity_data.take().unwrap_or_default();  
159     let liquid_acc_before = liquidity_data.liquidity_sum;  
160     let liquid_acc_after = if liquidity_delta > 0 {  
161         let liquid_acc_after = liquid_acc_before + liquidity_delta as u128;  
162         require!(liquid_acc_after > liquid_acc_before);  
163         liquid_acc_after  
164     } else {  
165         let liquid_acc_after = liquid_acc_before - (-liquidity_delta) as u128;  
166         require!(liquid_acc_after < liquid_acc_before);  
167         liquid_acc_after  
168     };  
169     require!(liquid_acc_after <= max_liquidity_per_point, E203_LIQUIDITY_OVERFLOW);  
170     liquidity_data.liquidity_sum = liquid_acc_after;  
171  
172     if is_left {  
173         liquidity_data.liquidity_delta += liquidity_delta;  
174     } else {  
175         liquidity_data.liquidity_delta -= liquidity_delta;  
176     }  
177  
178     let mut new_or_erase = false;  
179     if liquid_acc_before == 0 {  
180         new_or_erase = true;  
181         if endpoint >= current_point {  
182             liquidity_data.acc_fee_x_out_128 = fee_scale_x_128;  
183             liquidity_data.acc_fee_y_out_128 = fee_scale_y_128;  
184         }  
185     } else if liquid_acc_after == 0 {  
186         new_or_erase = true;  
187     }  
188     point_data.liquidity_data = Some(liquidity_data);  
189     self.0.insert(&endpoint, &point_data);  
190     new_or_erase  
191 }
```

Listing 2.8: contracts/dcl/src/point_info.rs

Suggestion I It is suggested to remove the redundant checks.

2.2.4 Gas Optimization

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `storage_unregister()`, if the `user.sponsor_id` is the contract itself (`env::current_account_id()`), there is no need to send the native NEAR tokens back to itself.

```
52  #[payable]
53  fn storage_unregister(&mut self, #[allow(unused_variables)] force: Option<bool>) -> bool {
54      assert_one_yocto();
55      self.assert_contract_running();
56
57      // force option is useless, leave it for compatible consideration.
58      // User can NOT unregister if there is still have liquidity, order and asset remain!
59      let account_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
60      if let Some(user) = self.internal_get_user(&account_id) {
61          require!(user.is_empty(), E103_STILL_HAS_REWARD);
62          self.data_mut().users.remove(&account_id);
63          self.data_mut().user_count -= 1;
64          Promise::new(user.sponsor_id).transfer(STORAGE_BALANCE_MIN_BOUND);
65          true
66      } else {
67          false
68      }
69  }
```

Listing 2.9: `cocontracts/dcl/src/storage_impl.rs`

Suggestion I If the `sponsor_id` is the contract itself, the transfer of the storage fee is suggested to be skipped.

2.2.5 Unused Code

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `gen_liquidity_info_key()` is not used in this contract.

```
180 pub type LiquidityInfoKey = String;
181 pub fn gen_liquidity_info_key(left_point: i32, right_point: i32) -> LiquidityInfoKey {
182     format!("{}", left_point, LIQUIDITY_INFO_KEY, right_point)
183 }
```

Listing 2.10: `contracts/dcl/src/utlis.rs`

Suggestion I It is suggested to remove the unused function `gen_liquidity_info_key()`.

2.2.6 Repeated Variable Assignments

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `y_swap_x_range_complete_desire()`, the variable `result.complete_liquidity` is assigned twice in line 694 and line 706.

```
662  /// try to swap from right to left in range [left_point, right_point) with all liquidity used.
663  /// @param liquidity: liquidity of each point in the range
664  /// @param sqrt_price_l_96: sqrt of left point price in 2^96 power
665  /// @param left_point: left point of this range
666  /// @param sqrt_price_r_96: sqrt of right point price in 2^96 power
667  /// @param right_point: right point of this range
668  /// @param desire_x: amount of token X as swap-out
669  /// @return Y2XRangeCompRetDesire
670  pub fn y_swap_x_range_complete_desire(
671      liquidity: u128,
672      sqrt_price_l_96: U256,
673      left_point: i32,
674      sqrt_price_r_96: U256,
675      right_point: i32,
676      desire_x: u128
677  ) -> Y2XRangeCompRetDesire {
678      let mut result = Y2XRangeCompRetDesire::default();
679      let max_x = get_amount_x(liquidity, left_point, right_point, sqrt_price_r_96, sqrt_rate_96
680          (), false).as_u128();
681      if max_x <= desire_x {
682          // maxX <= desireX <= uint128.max
683          result.acquire_x = max_x;
684          result.cost_y = get_amount_y(liquidity, sqrt_price_l_96, sqrt_price_r_96, sqrt_rate_96
685              (), true);
686          result.complete_liquidity = true;
687          return result;
688      }
689      let sqrt_price_pr_pl_96 = get_sqrt_price(right_point - left_point);
690      let sqrt_price_pr_m1_96 = sqrt_price_r_96.mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), sqrt_rate_96());
691      let div = sqrt_price_pr_pl_96 - U256::from(desire_x).mul_fraction_floor(sqrt_price_r_96 -
692          sqrt_price_pr_m1_96, U256::from(liquidity));
693      let sqrt_price_loc_96 = sqrt_price_pr_pl_96.mul_fraction_floor(pow_96(), div);
694      result.complete_liquidity = false;
695      result.loc_pt = get_log_sqrt_price_floor(sqrt_price_loc_96);
696
697      result.loc_pt = std::cmp::max(left_point, result.loc_pt);
698      result.loc_pt = std::cmp::min(right_point - 1, result.loc_pt);
699      result.sqrt_loc_96 = get_sqrt_price(result.loc_pt);
700
701      if result.loc_pt == left_point {
702          result.acquire_x = 0;
703          result.cost_y = Default::default();
704          return result;
705      }
706      result.complete_liquidity = false;
707      result.acquire_x = std::cmp::min(
708          get_amount_x(liquidity, left_point, result.loc_pt, result.sqrt_loc_96, sqrt_rate_96()),
```

```
        false).as_u128(),
709        desire_x);
710
711        result.cost_y = get_amount_y(liquidity, sqrt_price_l_96, result.sqrt_loc_96, sqrt_rate_96()
        , true);
712        result
713    }
```

Listing 2.11: contracts/dcl/src/swap_math.rs

Suggestion I It is suggested to remove the repeated assignment of variable `result.complete_liquidity` in line 706.

2.2.7 Incomplete Implementation of Function `cancel_order()`

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In lines 194-199 of function `cancel_order()`, the logic mentioned in the Todo comments has not been implemented yet.

```
141    /// @param order_id
142    /// @param amount: max cancel amount of selling token
143    /// @return (actual removed sell token, bought token till last update)
144    /// Note: cancel_order with 0 amount means claim
145    pub fn cancel_order(&mut self, order_id: OrderId, amount: U128) -> (U128, U128) {
146        self.assert_contract_running();
147        let mut order = self
148            .data()
149            .user_orders
150            .get(&order_id)
151            .expect(E304_ORDER_NOT_FOUND);
152
153        let user_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
154        require!(order.owner_id == user_id, E300_NOT_ORDER_OWNER);
155
156        let mut pool = self.internal_get_pool(&order.pool_id).unwrap();
157        self.assert_pool_running(&pool);
158        let mut point_data = pool.point_info.0.get(&order.point).unwrap();
159        let mut point_order: OrderData = point_data.order_data.unwrap();
160
161        let earned = internal_update_order(&mut order, &mut point_order);
162
163        // do cancel
164        let expected_cancel_amount: Balance = amount.into();
165        let actual_cancel_amount = min(expected_cancel_amount, order.remain_amount);
166        order.cancel_amount += actual_cancel_amount;
167        order.remain_amount -= actual_cancel_amount;
168
169        // update point_data
170        if order.is_earn_y() {
171            pool.total_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
172            pool.total_y -= earned;
```

```
173     pool.total_order_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
174     pool.total_order_y -= earned;
175     point_order.selling_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
176 } else {
177     pool.total_x -= earned;
178     pool.total_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
179     pool.total_order_x -= earned;
180     pool.total_order_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
181     point_order.selling_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
182 }
183 if point_order.selling_x == 0 && point_order.selling_y == 0
184 && point_order.earn_y == 0 && point_order.earn_x == 0
185 && point_order.earn_y_legacy == 0 && point_order.earn_x_legacy == 0 {
186     point_data.order_data = None;
187 }
188
189 if point_order.selling_x == 0 && point_order.selling_y == 0 {
190     // update slot_bitmap
191     if !pool.point_info.is_endpoint(order.point, pool.point_delta) {
192         pool.slot_bitmap.set_zero(order.point, pool.point_delta);
193     }
194     // TODO: will implement remove logic on prod env
195     // // see if we can remove point_order
196     // if point_order.earn_y == 0 && point_order.earn_x == 0
197     // && point_order.earn_y_legacy == 0 && point_order.earn_x_legacy == 0 {
198     //     point_data.order_data = None;
199     // }
200 } else {
201     point_data.order_data = Some(point_order);
202 }
203 pool.point_info.0.insert(&order.point, &point_data);
```

Listing 2.12: contracts/dcl/src/user_order.rs

Suggestion I It is suggested to implement the function `cancel_order()` completely.

2.2.8 Code Optimization

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description When a sequence of swap actions is executed in function `internal_swap()`, there is no check on duplicated pools. If a pool with the duplicated pair of `token_x` and `token_y` is involved in the middle of the sequence, the execution of the swap sequence will not fail until it reaches the middle. In this case, the gas is wasted for executing the previous successful swaps.

```
141 /// @param account_id
142 /// @param pool_ids: all pools participating in swap
143 /// @param input_token: the swap-in token, must be in pool_ids[0].tokens
144 /// @param input_amount: the amount of swap-in token
145 /// @param output_token: the swap-out token, must be in pool_ids[-1].tokens
146 /// @param min_output_amount: minimum number of swap-out token to be obtained
147 /// @return actual got output token amount
```



```
148 pub fn internal_swap(  
149     &mut self,  
150     account_id: &AccountId,  
151     pool_ids: Vec<PoolId>,  
152     input_token: &AccountId,  
153     input_amount: Balance,  
154     output_token: &AccountId,  
155     min_output_amount: Balance,  
156 ) -> Balance {  
157     pool_ids.iter().for_each(|pool_id| self.assert_pool_running(&self.internal_unwrap_pool(  
158         pool_id)));  
159     let mut pool_record = HashSet::new();  
160     let protocol_fee_rate = self.data().protocol_fee_rate;  
161     let (actual_output_token, actual_output_amount) = {  
162         let mut next_input_token_or_last_output_token = input_token.clone();  
163         let mut next_input_amount_or_actual_output = input_amount;  
164         for pool_id in pool_ids {  
165             let mut pool = self.internal_unwrap_pool(&pool_id);  
166             let is_not_exist = pool_record.insert(format!("{}", pool.token_x, pool.token_y))  
167                 ;  
168             require!(is_not_exist, E206_DUPLICATE_POOL);  
169             if next_input_token_or_last_output_token.eq(&pool.token_x) {  
170                 let (actual_cost, out_amount, is_finished) =  
171                     pool.internal_x_swap_y(protocol_fee_rate, next_input_amount_or_actual_output  
172                         , -799999, false);  
173                 if !is_finished {  
174                     env::panic_str(&format!("ERR_TOKEN_{}_NOT_ENOUGH", pool.token_y.to_string().  
175                         to_uppercase()));  
176                 }  
177                 pool.total_x += actual_cost;  
178                 pool.total_y -= out_amount;  
179                 pool.volume_x_in += U256::from(actual_cost);  
180                 pool.volume_y_out += U256::from(out_amount);  
181                 next_input_token_or_last_output_token = pool.token_y.clone();  
182                 next_input_amount_or_actual_output = out_amount;  
183             } else if next_input_token_or_last_output_token.eq(&pool.token_y) {  
184                 let (actual_cost, out_amount, is_finished) =  
185                     pool.internal_y_swap_x(protocol_fee_rate, next_input_amount_or_actual_output  
186                         , 799999, false);  
187                 if !is_finished {  
188                     env::panic_str(&format!("ERR_TOKEN_{}_NOT_ENOUGH", pool.token_x.to_string().  
189                         to_uppercase()));  
190                 }  
191                 pool.total_y += actual_cost;  
192                 pool.total_x -= out_amount;  
193                 pool.volume_y_in += U256::from(actual_cost);  
194                 pool.volume_x_out += U256::from(out_amount);  
195                 next_input_token_or_last_output_token = pool.token_x.clone();  
196                 next_input_amount_or_actual_output = out_amount;
```

```
195         } else {
196             env::panic_str(E404_INVALID_POOL_IDS);
197         }
198         self.internal_set_pool(&pool_id, pool);
199     }
200     (
201         next_input_token_or_last_output_token,
202         next_input_amount_or_actual_output,
203     )
204 };
205
206 require!(output_token == &actual_output_token, E212_INVALID_OUTPUT_TOKEN);
207 require!(actual_output_amount >= min_output_amount, E204_SLIPPAGE_ERR);
208
209 if actual_output_amount > 0 {
210     if output_token == &self.data().wnear_id {
211         self.process_near_transfer(account_id, actual_output_amount);
212     } else {
213         self.process_ft_transfer(account_id, output_token, actual_output_amount);
214     }
215 }
216 Event::Swap {
217     swapper: account_id,
218     token_in: input_token,
219     token_out: output_token,
220     amount_in: &U128(input_amount),
221     amount_out: &U128(actual_output_amount),
222 }
223 .emit();
224 actual_output_amount
225 }
```

Listing 2.13: contracts/dcl/src/swap.rs

Suggestion I Check all the pools listed in `pool_ids` before the swap to ensure no duplicate pools exist.

2.2.9 Unsupported Token Frozen List

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description According to the current management of the contract, the contract owner (perhaps a public DAO) can not directly freeze a specified token for some potential emergency.

Suggestion I It is suggested to introduce a feature that can manage the status of tokens as frozen or unfrozen independently.

2.3 Notes

2.3.1 Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Contract Dependencies

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The `Ref_DCL_Contract` is built based on the crates `NEAR-SDK` (version 4.0.0) and `near-contract-standards` (version 4.0.0).

```
3 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::core::NonFungibleTokenCore;
4 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::core::NonFungibleTokenResolver;
5 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::enumeration::NonFungibleTokenEnumeration;
6 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::events::NftTransfer;
7 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::metadata::{
8     NFTContractMetadata, NonFungibleTokenMetadataProvider, NFT_METADATA_SPEC,
9 };
10 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::{Token, TokenId};
```

Listing 2.14: contracts/dcl/src/nft.rs

```
2 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::approval::NonFungibleTokenApproval;
3 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::approval::ext_nft_approval_receiver;
4 use near_contract_standards::non_fungible_token::TokenId;
```

Listing 2.15: contracts/dcl/src/nft_approval.rs

```
2 use near_contract_standards::storage_management::{
3     StorageBalance, StorageBalanceBounds, StorageManagement,
4 };
```

Listing 2.16: contracts/dcl/src/storage_impl.rs

The required interfaces and the basic functionality listed below are provided in the contract:

- * [NEP-171](#) (Non-Fungible Token Core Functionality)
- * [NEP-178](#) (Non-Fungible Token Approval Management)
- * [NEP-181](#) (Non-Fungible Token Enumeration)
- * [NEP-177](#) (Non-Fungible Token Metadata Standard)
- * [NEP-297](#) (Events Standard)
- * [NEP-145](#) (Storage Management)

In this audit, we assume the standard library provided by [NEAR-SDK-RS](#)¹ (i.e., `near_contract_standards`) has no security issues.

2.3.2 Unsupported Increase of Selling Tokens for Limit Orders

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Users can reduce the amount of selling tokens for a specific limit order by invoking the function `cancel_order()`.

```
141 /// @param order_id
142 /// @param amount: max cancel amount of selling token
143 /// @return (actual removed sell token, bought token till last update)
144 /// Note: cancel_order with 0 amount means claim
145 pub fn cancel_order(&mut self, order_id: OrderId, amount: U128) -> (U128, U128) {
```

¹<https://github.com/near/near-sdk-rs>

```
146     self.assert_contract_running();
147     let mut order = self
148         .data()
149         .user_orders
150         .get(&order_id)
151         .expect(E304_ORDER_NOT_FOUND);
152
153     let user_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
154     require!(order.owner_id == user_id, E300_NOT_ORDER_OWNER);
155
156     let mut pool = self.internal_get_pool(&order.pool_id).unwrap();
157     self.assert_pool_running(&pool);
158     let mut point_data = pool.point_info.0.get(&order.point).unwrap();
159     let mut point_order: OrderData = point_data.order_data.unwrap();
160
161     let earned = internal_update_order(&mut order, &mut point_order);
162
163     // do cancel
164     let expected_cancel_amount: Balance = amount.into();
165     let actual_cancel_amount = min(expected_cancel_amount, order.remain_amount);
166     order.cancel_amount += actual_cancel_amount;
167     order.remain_amount -= actual_cancel_amount;
168
169     // update point_data
170     if order.is_earn_y() {
171         pool.total_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
172         pool.total_y -= earned;
173         pool.total_order_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
174         pool.total_order_y -= earned;
175         point_order.selling_x -= actual_cancel_amount;
176     } else {
177         pool.total_x -= earned;
178         pool.total_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
179         pool.total_order_x -= earned;
180         pool.total_order_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
181         point_order.selling_y -= actual_cancel_amount;
182     }
183     if point_order.selling_x == 0 && point_order.selling_y == 0
184     && point_order.earn_y == 0 && point_order.earn_x == 0
185     && point_order.earn_y_legacy == 0 && point_order.earn_x_legacy == 0 {
186         point_data.order_data = None;
187     }
188
189     if point_order.selling_x == 0 && point_order.selling_y == 0 {
190         // update slot_bitmap
191         if !pool.point_info.is_endpoint(order.point, pool.point_delta) {
192             pool.slot_bitmap.set_zero(order.point, pool.point_delta);
193         }
194         // TODO: will implement remove logic on prod env
195         // // see if we can remove point_order
196         // if point_order.earn_y == 0 && point_order.earn_x == 0
197         // && point_order.earn_y_legacy == 0 && point_order.earn_x_legacy == 0 {
198         //     point_data.order_data = None;
```

```
199         // }
200     } else {
201         point_data.order_data = Some(point_order);
202     }
203     pool.point_info.0.insert(&order.point, &point_data);
```

Listing 2.17: contracts/dcl/src/user_order.rs

However, on the contrary, no function can be used to increase the amount of selling tokens in a limit order.

```
474     /// Place order at given point
475     /// @param user_id: the owner of this order
476     /// @param token_id: the selling token
477     /// @param amount: the amount of selling token for this order
478     /// @param pool_id: pool of this order
479     /// @param buy_token: the token this order want to buy
480     /// @return OrderId
481     pub fn internal_add_order(
482         &mut self,
483         user_id: &AccountId,
484         token_id: &AccountId,
485         amount: Balance,
486         pool_id: &PoolId,
487         point: i32,
488         buy_token: &AccountId,
489         swapped_amount: Balance,
490         swap_earn_amount: Balance,
491     ) -> OrderId {
492         let mut pool = self.internal_get_pool(pool_id).unwrap();
493         self.assert_pool_running(&pool);
494         require!(point % pool.point_delta as i32 == 0, E202_ILLEGAL_POINT);
495
496         let mut user = self.internal_unwrap_user(user_id);
497         let order_key = gen_user_order_key(pool_id, point);
498         require!(
499             user.order_keys.get(&order_key).is_none(),
500             E301_ACTIVE_ORDER_ALREADY_EXIST
501         );
502         require!(
503             user.order_keys.len() < DEFAULT_MAX_USER_ACTIVE_ORDER_COUNT,
504             E302_USER_ACTIVE_ORDER_NUM_EXCEEDED
505         );
506
507         let mut point_data = pool.point_info.0.get(&point).unwrap_or_default();
508         let mut point_order: OrderData = point_data.order_data.unwrap_or_default();
509
510         let mut order = UserOrder {
511             order_id: gen_order_id(pool_id, &mut self.data_mut().latest_order_id),
512             owner_id: user_id.clone(),
513             pool_id: pool_id.clone(),
514             point,
515             sell_token: token_id.clone(),
516             buy_token: buy_token.clone(),
```

```
517         original_deposit_amount: amount,
518         swap_earn_amount,
519         original_amount: amount - swapped_amount,
520         created_at: env::block_timestamp(),
521         last_acc_earn: U256::zero(),
522         remain_amount: amount - swapped_amount,
523         cancel_amount: 0_u128,
524         bought_amount: 0_u128,
525         unclaimed_amount: None,
526     };
527
528     let (token_x, token_y, _) = pool_id.parse();
529     if token_x == (*token_id) {
530         require!(buy_token == &token_y, E303_ILLEGAL_BUY_TOKEN);
531         require!(point >= pool.current_point, E202_ILLEGAL_POINT); // greater or equal to
532                               current point
533         require!(point <= RIGHT_MOST_POINT, E202_ILLEGAL_POINT);
534         order.last_acc_earn = point_order.acc_earn_y;
535         point_order.selling_x += amount - swapped_amount;
536         pool.total_x += amount - swapped_amount;
537         pool.total_order_x += amount - swapped_amount;
538     } else {
539         require!(buy_token == &token_x, E303_ILLEGAL_BUY_TOKEN);
540         require!(point <= pool.current_point, E202_ILLEGAL_POINT); // less or equal to current
541                               point
542         require!(point >= LEFT_MOST_POINT, E202_ILLEGAL_POINT);
543         order.last_acc_earn = point_order.acc_earn_x;
544         point_order.selling_y += amount - swapped_amount;
545         pool.total_y += amount - swapped_amount;
546         pool.total_order_y += amount - swapped_amount;
547     }
548     // update order
549     user.order_keys.insert(&order_key, &order.order_id);
550     self.internal_set_user(user_id, user);
551     self.data_mut().user_orders.insert(&order.order_id, &order);
552
553     // update pool info
554     point_data.order_data = Some(point_order);
555     pool.point_info.0.insert(&point, &point_data);
556     pool.slot_bitmap.set_one(point, pool.point_delta);
557     self.internal_set_pool(pool_id, pool);
558
559     Event::OrderAdded {
560         order_id: &order.order_id,
561         created_at: &U64(env::block_timestamp()),
562         owner_id: &order.owner_id,
563         pool_id: &order.pool_id,
564         point: &order.point,
565         sell_token: &order.sell_token,
566         buy_token: &order.buy_token,
567         original_amount: &U128(order.original_amount),
568         original_deposit_amount: &U128(order.original_deposit_amount),
569         swap_earn_amount: &U128(order.swap_earn_amount),
```

```
568     }
569     .emit();
570
571     order.order_id.clone()
572 }
573 }
```

Listing 2.18: contracts/dcl/src/user_order.rs

2.3.3 Unsupported Deposit of Native NEAR Tokens

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description When processing the `wNEAR` transfer, the unwrapped native `NEAR` tokens will be transferred instead of the `wNEAR`.

```
203 pub fn process_near_transfer(&mut self, user_id: &AccountId, amount: Balance) -> Promise {
204     ext_wrap_near::ext(self.data().wnear_id.clone())
205     .with_attached_deposit(1)
206     .with_static_gas(GAS_FOR_NEAR_WITHDRAW)
207     .near_withdraw(amount.into())
208     .then(
209         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
210         .with_static_gas(GAS_FOR_RESOLVE_NEAR_WITHDRAW)
211         .callback_post_withdraw_near(
212             user_id.clone(),
213             amount.into(),
214         ),
215     )
216 }
```

Listing 2.19: contracts/dcl/src/user_asset.rs

However, on the contrary, this contract does not accept native `NEAR` tokens as deposits, which may cause inconvenience to the users.