Numerical Methods

Assignment 3

In this assignment, We will compare following properties of each method

- 1. Accuracy
- 2. Number of Iterations
- 3. Average CPU Cost per iteration

Contents

- Student
- Question 1
- Question 2
- Question 3
- Question 4
- Disclaimer

Student

■ Name: Nauman Mustafa

CMS ID: 111233Reg No: 32587

Question 1

In this question, we will compare three different methods for finding roots of equation:

- 1. False-Position Method
- 2. Secant Method
- 3. Newton's Method

```
% Lets Define Function
f = @(x) 230*x^4 + 18*x^3 + 9*x^2 - 221*x - 9; % Similar to Inline but symbolically
% Lets Define Derivative
df = @(x) 920*x^3 + 54*x^2 + 18*x - 221;
% Output Format
sFormat = '\tRoot: %g in %d Iterations\n\tWith Error %g and Time %gms per Iteration\n';
```

False Position Method

Following is Algorithm of False Position Method

```
function [x, n, e] = FalsePosition(f, a, b, err, nMax)
    n = 0;
    e = Inf;
    fa = f(a);
    fb = f(b);
    c0 = 0;
    while n<nMax && e>err
        c1 = b - fb*(a-b)/(fa-fb);
        e = abs((c1-c0)/c1);
        fc = f(c1);
        test = fc*fa;
        if test<0</pre>
```

```
b = c1;
    fb = fc;
elseif test>0
    a = c1;
    fa = fc;
else
        e = 0;
end
    c0 = c1;
    n = n + 1;
end
    x = c0;
```

```
disp('============');
disp('False Position Method');
disp('==========');
% Solution 1 of Function
disp('Solution of Function in Interval [-1, 0]:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = FalsePosition(f, -1, 0, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
% Solution 2 of Function
disp('Solution of Function in Interval [0, 1]:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = FalsePosition(f, 0, 1, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
```

```
False Position Method

------
Solution of Function in Interval [-1, 0]:
Root: -0.0406593 in 21 Iterations
With Error 6.39015e-07 and Time Oms per Iteration
Solution of Function in Interval [0, 1]:
Root: 0.962398 in 8 Iterations
With Error 4.62744e-07 and Time Oms per Iteration
```

Secant Method

Following is Algorithm for Secant Method

```
function [x, n, e] = SecantMethod(f, a, b, err, nMax)
   n = 0;
   e = Inf;
   fa = f(a);
   fb = f(b);
   c0 = 0;
   while n<nMax && e>err
        c1 = b - fb*(a-b)/(fa-fb);
        e = abs((c1-c0)/c1);
        fc = f(c1);
        a = b;
        b = c1;
        fa = fb;
        fb = fc;
        c0 = c1;
        n = n + 1;
```

```
end x = c0; end
```

1/14/2017

```
disp('======');
disp('====Secant Method====');
disp('=====');
% Solution 1 of Function
disp('Solution of Function For Interval [-1, 0]:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = SecantMethod(f, -1, 0, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
% Solution 2 of Function
disp('Solution of Function For Interval [0, 1]:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = SecantMethod(f, 0, 1, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
% Since for interval [0,1] we got same solution so we change our interval
disp('Solution of Function For Interval [1, 2]:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = SecantMethod(f, 0, 1, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
```

Newton's Method

Following is Algorithm for Newton's Method

```
function [x, n, e] = NewtonMethod(f, df, xi, err, nMax)
    n = 0;
    e = Inf;
    x = 0;

while n<nMax && e>err
    x = xi - f(xi)/df(xi);

    e = abs((x-xi)/x);

    xi = x;
    n = n + 1;
    end
end
```

```
disp('=======');
disp('====Newton Method====');
```

```
disp('======');
% Solution 1 of Function
disp('Solution of Function For Initial Value -0.5:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = NewtonMethod(f, df, -0.5, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
% Solution 2 of Function
disp('Solution of Function For Initial Value 0.5:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = NewtonMethod(f, df, 0.5, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
% Since for initial guess 0.5 we got same solution so we change our guess
disp('Solution of Function For Initial guess:')
t = cputime;
[x, n, e] = NewtonMethod(f, df, 1.5, 10^-6, Inf);
t = cputime - t;
fprintf(sFormat,x,n,e,t*1000/n);
```

Thus we can compare results as following

- CPU time per iteration is too small to be measured
- False Position Method provides reasonable result within interval as compared to other methods which don't remain in interval
- Although Secant Method for first solution converges quicker then Newton's Method but in general Newton's Method is more robust and
 has high convergance rate as compared to Secant Method

Question 2

In this question we will compare two methods specifically simpson's methods for finding integral of function on given interval:

- 1. Simpson's 1/3 Rule
- 2. Simpson's 3/8 Rule

```
% Let's Deffine Function of Integration
f = @(x)sin(x).^2-2*x.*sin(x)+1;
```

Simpson's 1/3 Rule

Following is Optimized Algorithm for Simpson's 1/3 Rule:

```
function int = Simpson13(f, nSubInt, a, b)
    n = nSubInt * 2;
    h = (b-a)/n;
    x = a:h:b;
    fx = f(x);
    int = fx(1)+fx(n+1);
```

```
int = int+4*sum(fx(2:2:(n)))+2*sum(fx(3:2:(n-1)));
int = int * (b-a)/(3*n);
end
```

```
% Since n is 12 but in Simpson's 1/3 Rule we only have n/2 sub intervals so
int1 = Simpson13(f,6,0.75,1.75);
fprintf('Simpson 1/3 Result: %g\n',int1);
```

```
Simpson 1/3 Result: -0.489018
```

Simpson's 3/8 Rule

```
function int = Simpson38(f, nSubInt, a, b)
    n = nSubInt * 3;
    h = (b-a)/n;
    x = a:h:b;
    fx = f(x);
    int = fx(1)+fx(n+1);
    int = int + 3*sum(fx(2:3:(n-1)))+3*sum(fx(3:3:n))+2*sum(fx(4:3:(n-2)));
    int = int * (3*h/8);
end
```

```
% Since n is 12 but in Simpson's 3/8 Rule we only have n/3 sub intervals so
int2 = Simpson38(f,6,0.75,1.75);
fprintf('Simpson 3/8 Result: %g\n',int2);
```

```
Simpson 3/8 Result: -0.489019
```

Following Comparision shows there is a little difference between result of Simpson's 1/3 Rule and Simpson 3/8 Rule.

```
disp(int2-int1)
```

```
-8.196000051974295e-07
```

- Error of both rules is of order of 4
- Only difference in error is factor 8/27
- Thus Simpson's 1/3 Rule error is 8/27 times the error of 3/8 Rule
- From Code Point of view, Simpson's 3/8 Rule is better for serial code execution whereas Simpson's 3/8 is useful when parallel execution is required to accelerate computations

Question 3

In this question, we will solve three equations each function of three variable to achieve accuracy of 10^-6 using newton's method for solution of multiple equations

```
% F is cell array of anonymous functions which are to be zeroed
% Number of elements in F is same as number of variables in each f
% xi is initial guess column vector with length equal to F's len.
function [x, n, e] = NewtonMethodMulti(F, xi, err, nMax)
    n = 0;
    e = Inf;
    x = 0;
    l = length(F);
```

```
f = zeros(1,1);
    J = zeros(1,1);
    while n<nMax && e>err
        x0 = num2cell(xi);
        parfor i=1:1
            Fi = F\{i\};
            f(i)=Fi(x0{:});
            for j=1:1
                J(i,j)=Partial(Fi,x0,j);
            end
        end
        x = xi - (pinv(J)*f);
        e = max(abs((x-xi)));
        xi = x;
        n = n + 1;
    end
end
function y = Partial(f, x, i)
    epsilon = 1e-10;
    z = x;
    z{i}=z{i}+epsilon;
    y = (f(z\{:\})-f(x\{:\}))/epsilon;
end
```

```
% Lets Define Equations
F = {@(x1,x2,x3)6*x1-2*cos(x2*x3)-1,
     @(x1,x2,x3)9*x2+sqrt(x1^2+sin(x3)+1.06)+0.9,
     @(x1,x2,x3)60*x3+3*exp(-x1*x2)+10*pi-3};
xi = [1;2;3]; % MUST be A COLUMN VECTOR
[x,n,e]=NewtonMethodMulti(F,xi,1e-10,Inf);
fprintf('Got Solution with \n\tx1=%g\tx2=%g\tx3=%g\n\tWithin %d Iterations with accuracy of %g\n',x(1),x(2),x(3),n,e);
```

```
Got Solution with x1\text{=}0.498145 \qquad x2\text{=}-0.199606 \qquad x3\text{=}-0.528826 Within 6 Iterations with accuracy of 1.4988e-15
```

Question 4

In this Question, we are going to solve 3rd order ordinary differential equation using Runge-Kutta Method of second order.

```
% Lets ODE define function:
f = @(t,y,p,q)q/t - 3*p/t^2 + 4*y/t^3 + 5*log(t)-9;
% Lets Define Real solution
g = @(t)-t^2+t*cos(log(t))+t*sin(log(t))+t^3*log(t);
% Initial Conditions
y = 0; p = 1; q = 3;

fprintf('Time\tReal Solution\tApproximate Solution\n');
for t=1:0.1:2
    yr = g(t);
    fprintf('%g\t%f\t%f\n',t, yr, y);
```

```
[y, p, q] = RK32(t, y, p, q, 0.1, f);
end
```

Time	Real Solution	Approximate	Solution
1	0.000000	0.000000	
1.1	0.116548	0.115000	
1.2	0.272738	0.250873	
1.3	0.479102	0.398033	
1.4	0.746998	0.546894	
1.5	1.088493	0.688005	
1.6	1.516265	0.812135	
1.7	2.043536	0.910322	
1.8	2.684015	0.973903	
1.9	3.451846	0.994528	
2	4.361578	0.964166	

Disclaimer

This Assignment contains implementation of few *Numerical Methods* some of which are highly optimized and vectorized to provide maximum performance without loss of accuracy. These methods have not copied from any internet source but made by myself to test my knowledge of matlab.

Published with MATLAB® R2016b