

Last Time:

- Stochastic Optimal Control
- LQG

Today:

- Robust Control
 - Minimax DDP
-

* Context:

- Stochastic methods assume random noise inputs.
LQG assumes noise is zero-mean. This is a poor model for parameter uncertainties or unmodeled dynamics.
- ILC fits under the general category of adaptive control: Try to learn/adapt to the unknown model parameters online to achieve optimal performance.
- Robust control methods take a more conservative approach: Try to design a controller ahead of time that will still work under a range of different possible models. Generally, sacrifice some performance for safety/robustness.
- Historically: Early work on LQR showed strong natural robustness. Later it was discovered that LQG (LQR + KF) could be arbitrarily fragile: An infinitesimal perturbation can make the system unstable.

* Robust Control Problem:

- Assume a system model of the form:

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n, u_n, w_n)$$

w "disturbance"

- Disturbance input can be anything: constant parameter offsets, time-varying or non-smooth forces, bounds on prediction error of your model.
- We typically assume some bounds on w , e.g. $\|w\| \leq \epsilon$
- We solve the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\substack{x_{1:N} \\ u_{1:N-1}}} \max_{w_{1:N-1}} J = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} l_n(x_n, u_n, w_n) + l_N(x_N)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_{n+1} = f(x_n, u_n, w_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\in X \\ u_n &\in U \\ w_n &\in W \end{aligned}$$

- We're looking for a saddle point where the cost is minimized w.r.t. x, u and maximized w.r.t. w
- Problems like this are called "minimax" optimization problems

- Can also interpret this as 2-player zero-sum game where the U -player and W -player both get to choose inputs to the system and have competing objectives.
 - In general, these problems are very hard
 - For linear systems, there is a general theory developed in the 1980s-90s called H₂O control that generalizes LQG. There is a MATLAB toolbox.
 - For nonlinear systems, we can find local solutions with approximations.
-

* Minimax DDP:

- Use a local linear/quadratic Taylor expansion to iteratively find a locally-optimal trajectory and feedback policy.
- Dynamics Expansion:

$$f(x + \delta x, u + \delta u, w + \delta w) \approx f(x, u, w) + A\delta x + B\delta u + D\delta w$$

- Action-Value Function Expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} S(x + \delta x, u + \delta u, w + \delta w) &\approx S(x, u, w) + \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_u \\ g_w \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta u \\ \delta w \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta u \\ \delta w \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} G_{xx} & G_{xu} & G_{xw} \\ G_{ux} & G_{uu} & G_{uw} \\ G_{wx} & G_{wu} & G_{ww} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta u \\ \delta w \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

6

- Bellman Equation:

$$V_{n-1}(x + \Delta x) = \min_{\Delta u} \max_{\Delta w} \left[S(x, w, u) + g_x^\top \Delta x + g_u^\top \Delta u + g_w^\top \Delta w \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^\top G_{xx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^\top G_{uu} \Delta u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta w^\top G_{ww} \Delta w + \Delta x^\top G_{xu} \Delta u$$

$$\left. + \Delta x^\top G_{xw} \Delta w + \Delta u^\top G_{uw} \Delta w \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial []}{\partial u} = g_u + G_{vu} \Delta u + G_{ux} \Delta x + G_{uw} \Delta w = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial []}{\partial w} = g_w + G_{vw} \Delta w + G_{wx} \Delta x + G_{uw} \Delta u = 0$$

note there's no distinction between min/max here. Need $G_{vw} < 0$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta u = -G_u^{-1} [g_u + G_{ux} \Delta x + G_{uw} \Delta w]$$

$$\Delta w = -G_w^{-1} [g_w + G_{wx} \Delta x + G_{uw} \Delta u]$$

- Plug into each other to get rid of cross terms:

$$\Rightarrow g_u + G_{vu} \Delta u + G_{ux} \Delta x - G_{vu} G_u^{-1} [g_w + G_{wx} \Delta x + G_{uw} \Delta u] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta u = -d - K \Delta x, \quad d = (G_u - G_{vu} G_u^{-1} G_w)^\top (g_w - G_{vw} G_u^{-1} g_w)$$

$$K = \underbrace{(G_w - G_{vw} G_w^{-1} G_w)}_\text{in}^\top \underbrace{(G_{wx} - G_{vw} G_w^{-1} G_w)}_\text{in}$$

* From LQR * New robust terms

$$\Delta w = -\rho - L \Delta x, \quad \rho = (G_{ww} - G_{wu} G_w^{-1} G_{uw})^{-1} (g_u - G_{uw} G_w^{-1} g_w)$$

$$L = (G_{ww} - G_{wu} G_w^{-1} G_{uw})^{-1} (G_{ux} - G_{uw} G_w^{-1} G_{wx})$$

- Plug Δv and Δw back into S_{n-1} to get $V_{n-1}(x + \Delta x)$

$$V_{n-1}(x + \Delta x) \approx V_{n-1}(x) + p_{n-1}^T \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^T P_{n-1} \Delta x$$

$$p_{n-1} = g_x - G_{xu} G_w^{-1} g_w - G_{xw} G_w^{-1} g_w$$

$$P_{n-1} = G_{xx} - G_{xu} G_w^{-1} G_{ux} - G_{xw} G_w^{-1} G_{wx}$$

- Action-Value expansions are exactly the same as Standard DDP

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{aligned} g_x &= \nabla_x l(x, u, w) + A_n^T p_{n+1} \\ g_u &= \nabla_u l(x, u, w) + B_n^T p_{n+1} \\ g_w &= \nabla_w l(x, u, w) + D_n^T p_{n+1} \end{aligned}}$$

- Biggest difference vs. standard DDP is that action-value Hessian G is now quasi-definite. It should have:

$\dim(X) - \dim(u)$ positive eigenvalues

$\dim(w)$ negative eigenvalues

- When writing down a quadratic cost function, Hessian w.r.t. w should negative definite!

$$J = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} x_n^T Q x_n + \frac{1}{2} u_n^T R u_n + \frac{1}{2} w_n^T S w_n + \frac{1}{2} x_N^T \bar{Q} x_N$$

$$Q, Q_u, R \succ 0, S \preceq 0$$

- When regularizing G , do $G + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha I & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha I \end{bmatrix}$
- Small $\|S\|$ allows large $\|w\| \Rightarrow$ more robust
- Large $\|S\|$ penalizes $w \Rightarrow$ small $\|w\| \Rightarrow$ less robust
- In the limit $S \rightarrow -\infty$, we recover standard DPP.