Replication of a Research Claim from Fielding-Miller et al. (2020), from medRxiv

2020-11-23

Replication Team: Kent Jason Cheng and Radoslaw Panczak

Research Scientist: Nick Fox

Action Editor: Gustav Nilsonne

Project ID: Fielding-Miller_covid_R3pV - Cheng/Panczak - Data Analytic Replication - 615k

OSF project: https://osf.io/w7gdb/

Preregistration: https://osf.io/2kd4e

Claim summary

There is a negative association between insured status and mortality. This reflects the following statement from the paper's abstract: *Percentage of uninsured individuals was associated with lower reported COVID-19 mortality* (b = -0.36, p = 0.001). The claim is tested with a spatial autoregressive model to assess the association between number of deaths and percentage of uninsured individuals, adjusting for potential confounders, and fitted the model with a spatial lag of the dependent variable base d on a contiguity matrix. The finding is that the percentage of uninsured individuals was associated with **lower reported COVID-19 mortality** (b = -0.36, p = 0.001).

Replication Criteria

Criteria for a successful replication attempt is a statistically significant effect (alpha = .05, two tailed) in the same pattern as the original study on the focal hypothesis test (H*). For this study, this criteria is met by obtaining a statistically significant (p- value specified in the preprint is 0.001) regression coefficient from the adjusted model run on the subsample of non-urban counties.

Replication Result

Stage 1: analyses using "extended" dataset

For stage 1 data collection when the dataset extended beyond the time of the preprint analyses was used, we found no significant effect of the uninsured variable. Table below reports full details of the regression model and number of observa tions used for the analysis.

At stage 1, the replication was unsuccessful according to SCORE criteria.

				Pseudo	R2 =	0.2913
				1 30000	112	0.2313
deaths	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
don#ba	+ I					
deaths		2-12-				. =
nonenglish	!	.354975	5.67	0.000	1.318361	2.709838
farmwork	.4451357	.2615213	1.70	0.089	0674367	.9577081
uninsured	1243106	.0962492	-1.29	0.197	3129555	.0643343
poverty	.605348	.1539303	3.93	0.000	.3036501	.9070459
older	.5243047	.2283545	2.30	0.022	.0767382	.9718713
pop dens	.1850662	.0071575	25.86	0.000	.1710378	.1990946
time_case1	.1397902	.0472536	2.96	0.003	.0471748	.2324056
time case100	1702398	.0260448	-6.54	0.000	2212867	1191929
_cons	-37.73724	8.030567	-4.70	0.000	-53.47686	-21.99762
W	+ 					
deaths	.3099279	.0677016	4.58	0.000	.1772351	.4426207
Wald test of	chi2(1) = 20.96		Prob > chi2 = 0.0000			
Mara cese or .	Spacial cerms.		- (1)	20.50	1100 / 0111	2 - 0.0000

This finding remained when sensitivity analysis using alternative definition of neighbourhoods ("rook") was used.

```
. qui: spmatrix create contiguity W, rook replace
               . spregress deaths nonenglish farmwork uninsured poverty older pop dens time case1 time case100,
gs2sls dvarlag(W)
                 (2864 observations)
                  (2864 observations (places) used)
                  (weighting matrix defines 2864 places)
                                                                                                                               Number of obs =
                                                                                                                                                                                          2,864
              Spatial autoregressive model
                                                                                                                              Wald chi2(9) = 1317.60
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Pseudo R2 = 0.2918
              GS2SLS estimates
                         deaths
                                                         Coef. Std. Err. z > |z| [95% Conf. Interval]
              deaths

        deaths
        1.990345
        .3537315
        5.63
        0.000
        1.297044
        2.683646

        farmwork
        .4550382
        .2606638
        1.75
        0.081
        -.0558536
        .9659299

        uninsured
        -.1127116
        .0961405
        -1.17
        0.241
        -.3011434
        .0757203

        poverty
        .6032849
        .1533827
        3.93
        0.000
        .3026603
        .9939095

        older
        .5242044
        .2273288
        2.31
        0.021
        .0786482
        .9697605

        pop_dens
        .1841953
        .0071539
        25.75
        0.000
        .1701738
        .1982168

        time_case1
        .1373079
        .0470823
        2.92
        0.004
        .0450284
        .2295875

        time_case100
        -.1691834
        .0259523
        -6.52
        0.000
        -.220049
        -.1183178

        _cons
        -37.8308
        7.994161
        -4.73
        0.000
        -53.49907
        -22.16253

              W
                          deaths | .3045639 .0629688 4.84 0.000 .1811474 .4279804
              Wald test of spatial terms: chi2(1) = 23.39 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Stage 2: analyses using "original" dataset

For stage 2 data collection when the dataset created suing specifications from the preprint was used, we found significant effect of the uninsured variable. The effect was observed in the same direction, but it was weaker and the p-value hig her than that reported in the preprint. Table below reports full details of the regression model and number of observations used for the analysis.

At stage 1, the replication was **unsuccessful** according to SCORE criteria.

Once again the analysis was not affected by alternative definition of neighbourhoods.

```
. qui: spmatrix create contiguity W, rook replace
    . spregress deaths nonenglish farmwork uninsured poverty older pop_dens time_case1 time_case100,
gs2sls dvarlag(W)
     (2590 observations)
     (2590 observations (places) used)
     (weighting matrix defines 2590 places)
   Spatial autoregressive model
                                   Number of obs =
                                                    2,590
                                    Wald chi2(9) = 1033.33
   GS2SLS estimates
                                   Prob > chi2
Pseudo R2
                                               = 0.0000
= 0.2485
      deaths | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
    -----
   W
       deaths .4298715 .0682701 6.30 0.000 .2960645 .5636785
   Wald test of spatial terms: chi2(1) = 39.65 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Deviations from preregistration

There were no deviations from preregistration during the analysis.

Description of materials provided.

The following materials are publicly available on the OSF project site:

• The raw spatial datafile saved as shape file: cb_2018_us_county_20m.zip

- The spatial data prepraration files saved as literate programing markdown for R: 01 spatial-sample.Rmd
- The analytic spatial datafile saved as shape file: cb_2018_us_county_20m_prep.zip
- The raw datafile saved as Stata file: merged_covid_usa_v2.dta
- The data prepraration files saved as literate programing markdown for Stata: 02_data-preparation-extended.stmd and 04_data-preparation-original.stmd
- The analytic datafiles saved as Stata files: merged_covid_usa_prepared_original.dta and merged_covid_usa_prepared_original.dta
- The full data analysis script, provided as a Stata markdown document: 06_analysys-final-report.do with the pdf output file being this report.

Citation

Fielding-Miller RK, Sundaram ME, Brouwer K (2020) Social determinants of COVID-19 mortality at the county level. *medRxiv* 2020.05.03.20089698; doi: 10.1101/2020.05.03.20089698