Replication of a Research Claim from Fielding-Miller et al. (2020), from medRxiv

2021-05-25

Replication Team: Kent Jason Cheng and Radoslaw Panczak

Research Scientist: Nick Fox

Action Editor: Gustav Nilsonne

Project ID: Fielding-Miller_covid_R3pV - Cheng/Panczak - Data Analytic Replication - 615k

OSF project: https://osf.io/w7gdb/

Preregistration: https://osf.io/2kd4e

Claim summary

There is a negative association between insured status and mortality. This reflects the following statement from the paper's abstract: *Percentage of uninsured individuals was associated with lower reported COVID-19 mortality*. The claim is tested with a spatial autoregressive model to assess the association between number of deaths and percentage of uninsured individuals, adjusting for potential confounders, and fitted the model with a spatial lag of the dependent variable based on a contiguity matri x. The finding is that **the percentage of uninsured individuals was associated with lower reported COVID-19 mortality.**

Replication Criteria

Criteria for a successful replication attempt is a statistically significant effect (alpha = .05, two tailed) in the same pattern as the original study on the focal hypothesis test (H*). For this study, this criteria is met by obtaining a statistically significant regression coefficient from the adjusted model run on the subsample of non-urban counties.

Replication Results

Analyses using "extended" dataset

For the first analysis we used dataset that extended beyond the cutoff of the original work. We found no significant effect of the uninsured variable. Table below reports full details of the regression model and number of observations used f or the analysis.

Using the extended dataset the replication was unsuccessful according to SCORE criteria.

				Pseudo	R2 =	0.2913
				rseuuo	Ν2 –	0.2313
deaths	l Coef	Std Enn	7	D\ -	[95% Conf.	Intervall
ueaciis	t	Jtu. Ell.		· / /	[55% COIII.	
deaths	İ					
nonenglish	2.014099	.354975	5.67	0.000	1.318361	2.709838
farmwork	.4451357	.2615213	1.70	0.089	0674367	.9577081
uninsured	1243106	.0962492	-1.29	0.197	3129555	.0643343
poverty	.605348	.1539303	3.93	0.000	.3036501	.9070459
older	.5243047	.2283545	2.30	0.022	.0767382	.9718713
pop_dens	.1850662	.0071575	25.86	0.000	.1710378	.1990946
time_case1	.1397902	.0472536	2.96	0.003	.0471748	.2324056
time_case100	1702398	.0260448	-6.54	0.000	2212867	1191929
_cons	-37.73724	8.030567	-4.70	0.000	-53.47686	-21.99762
	+					
W						
deaths	.3099279	.0677016	4.58	0.000	.1772351	.4426207
Wald tost of				20.06	Duck v chi	2 0 0000
Wald test of	spacial terms:		CIIIZ(I) =	20.96	Prob > chi	2 = 0.0000

This finding remained when sensitivity analysis using alternative definition of neighbourhoods ("rook") was used.

```
. qui: spmatrix create contiguity W, rook replace
               . spregress deaths nonenglish farmwork uninsured poverty older pop dens time case1 time case100,
gs2sls dvarlag(W)
                 (2864 observations)
                  (2864 observations (places) used)
                  (weighting matrix defines 2864 places)
                                                                                                                                  Number of obs =
                                                                                                                                                                                              2,864
              Spatial autoregressive model
                                                                                                                                 Number of obs = 2,004
Wald chi2(9) = 1317.60
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Pseudo R2 = 0.2918
              GS2SLS estimates
                          deaths
                                                          Coef. Std. Err. z \rightarrow |z| [95% Conf. Interval]
              deaths

        deaths
        1.990345
        .3537315
        5.63
        0.000
        1.297044
        2.683646

        farmwork
        .4550382
        .2606638
        1.75
        0.081
        -.0558536
        .9659299

        uninsured
        -.1127116
        .0961405
        -1.17
        0.241
        -.3011434
        .0757203

        poverty
        .6032849
        .1533827
        3.93
        0.000
        .3026603
        .9939095

        older
        .5242044
        .2273288
        2.31
        0.021
        .0786482
        .9697605

        pop_dens
        .1841953
        .0071539
        25.75
        0.000
        .1701738
        .1982168

        time_case1
        .1373079
        .0470823
        2.92
        0.004
        .0450284
        .2295875

        time_case100
        -.1691834
        .0259523
        -6.52
        0.000
        -.220049
        -.1183178

        _cons
        -37.8308
        7.994161
        -4.73
        0.000
        -53.49907
        -22.16253

              W
                           deaths .3045639 .0629688 4.84 0.000 .1811474 .4279804
              Wald test of spatial terms: chi2(1) = 23.39 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Analysis using "original" dataset

For the second analysis we used dataset created according to cutoff date reported in the preprint. We found significant effect of the uninsured variable. The effect was observed in the same direction, but it was weaker and the p-value higher than that reported in the preprint. Table below reports full details of the regression model and number of observations used for the analysis.

Using the original dataset the replication was successful according to SCORE criteria.

Spatial autoregressive model GS2SLS estimates					Number of obs = Wald chi2(9) = Prob > chi2 = Pseudo R2 =		
deaths	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
deaths							
nonenglish	.3975335	.1174548	3.38	0.001	.1673262	.6277408	
farmwork	.2062333	.0913142	2.26	0.024	.0272608	.3852059	
uninsured	0679844	.0325508	-2.09	0.037	1317828	004186	
poverty	.1494817	.0508166	2.94	0.003	.0498829	.2490804	
older	.1731376	.0745076	2.32	0.020	.0271055	.3191697	
pop_dens	.0511042	.0023071	22.15	0.000	.0465823	.0556261	
time_case1	.1359991	.0349891	3.89	0.000	.0674218	.2045765	
time_case100	2391546	.0456659	-5.24	0.000	3286581	1496511	
_cons	-11.31111	2.165447	-5.22	0.000	-15.5553	-7.066907	
w							
deaths	.4228964	.0700925	6.03	0.000	.2855175	.5602752	
Wald test of spatial terms:			chi2(1) = 36.40				

Once again the analysis was not largely affected by alternative definition of neighbourhoods. However, the *p-value* of the investigated parameter reached exactly the specified treshold of 0.05.

```
. qui: spmatrix create contiguity W, rook replace

. spregress deaths nonenglish farmwork uninsured poverty older pop_dens time_case1 time_case100, gs2sls dvarlag(W)
(Z590 observations) (2590 observations) (places) used)
(weighting matrix defines 2590 places)

Spatial autoregressive model

G52SLS estimates

Number of obs = 2,590
Wald chi2(9) = 1033.33
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Pseudo R2 = 0.2485

deaths | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]

deaths

nonenglish | .3971046 | .1170367 | 3.39 | 0.001 | .1677168 | .6264924
farmwork | .2075147 | .0910597 | 2.28 | 0.023 | .0290409 | .3859884
uninsured | -.0638184 | .0335205 | -1.96 | 0.050 | -.1275574 | -.0000795
poverty | .1499786 | .050674 | 2.96 | 0.003 | .0506594 | .2492977
older | .1744984 | .0742499 | 2.35 | 0.019 | .0289713 | .3200255
pop_dens | .0509472 | .0023021 | 22.13 | 0.000 | .0464352 | .0554592
time_case1 | .133811 | .0348994 | 3.82 | 0.000 | .0649795 | .2017826
time_case100 | -.2421952 | .0455636 | -5.32 | 0.000 | .314982 | .1528921
    _cons | -11.37807 | 2.158068 | -5.27 | 0.000 | -15.6078 | -7.148333

W | deaths | .4298715 | .0682701 | 6.30 | 0.000 | .2960645 | .5636785

Wald test of spatial terms: | chi2(1) = 39.65 | Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Deviations from preregistration

There were no deviations from preregistration during the analysis.

Description of materials provided.

Data sources

The COVID-19 deaths data come from New York Times:

The New York Times. (2021). Coronavirus (Covid-19) Data in the United States. Retrieved 2020-09-26, from https://github.com/nytimes/covid-19-data.

The data on proportion of households with limited English speaking ability, percentages of individuals living below poverty and over the age of 65, the percentage of uninsured, population come from the US Census Bureau:

Social Explorer Tables: ACS 2018 (5-Year Estimates)(SE), ACS 2018 (5-Year Estimates), Social Explorer; U.S. Census Bureau

The data on the percent of farmworkers come from the US Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS):

USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2017 Census of Agriculture. Complete data available at www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus.

Code

The following materials are publicly available on the OSF project site:

- The raw spatial datafile saved as shape file: cb 2018 us county 20m.zip
- The spatial data prepraration files saved as literate programing markdown for R: 01_spatial-sample.Rmd
- The analytic spatial datafile saved as shape file: cb_2018_us_county_20m_prep.zip
- The raw datafile saved as Stata file: merged_covid_usa_v2.dta
- The data prepraration files saved as literate programing markdown for Stata: 02_data-preparation-extended.stmd and 04_data-preparation-original.stmd
- The analytic datafiles saved as Stata files: merged_covid_usa_prepared_original.dta and merged_covid_usa_prepared_original.dta
- The full data analysis script, provided as a Stata markdown document: 06_analysys-final-report.do with the pdf output file being this report.

Citation

Fielding-Miller RK, Sundaram ME, Brouwer K (2020) Social determinants of COVID-19 mortality at the county level. *medRxiv* 2020.05.03.20089698; doi: 10.1101/2020.05.03.20089698