HASHING KEY

Hashing can be used to build, search, or delete from a table.

The basic idea behind hashing is to take a field in a record, known as the **key**, and convert it through some fixed process to a numeric value, known as the **hash key**, which represents the position to either store or find an item in the table. The numeric value will be in the range of 0 to n-1, where n is the maximum number of slots (or **buckets**) in the table.

The fixed process to convert a key to a hash key is known as a **hash function**. This function will be used whenever access to the table is needed.

One common method of determining a hash key is the **division method** of hashing. The formula that will be used is:

Convert the following key to Hash key, draw the table and input the result: Assume the table has 8 Slot and the keys are 36, 18, 72, 43.

hash key = key % number of slots in the table

Assume a table with 8 slots:

Hash key = key % table size

$4 = 36 \% 8$

$2 = 18 \% 8$

$0 = 72 \% 8$

$3 = 43 \% 8$

$6 = 6 \% 8$

[0]	72
[1]	
[2]	18
[3]	43
[4]	36
[5]	
[6]	6
[7]	

The division method is generally a reasonable strategy, unless the key happens to have some undesirable properties. For example, if the table size is 10 and all of the keys end in zero.

In this case, the choice of hash function and table size needs to be carefully considered. The best table sizes are prime numbers.

One problem though is that keys are not always numeric. In fact, it's common for them to be strings.

One possible solution: add up the ASCII values of the characters in the string to get a numeric value and then perform the division method.

```
int hashValue = 0;

for( int j = 0; j < stringKey.length(); j++ )
  hashValue += stringKey[j];

int hashKey = hashValue % tableSize;
```

The previous method is simple, but it is flawed if the table size is large. For example, assume a table size of 10007 and that all keys are eight or fewer characters long.

No matter what the hash function, there is the possibility that two keys could resolve to the same hash key. This situation is known as a **collision**.

When this occurs, there are two simple solutions:

1. chaining
2. linear probe (aka linear open addressing)

And two slightly more difficult solutions

3. Quadratic Probe
4. Double Hashing

Hashing with Chains

When a collision occurs, elements with the same hash key will be **chained** together. A **chain** is simply a linked list of all the elements with the same hash key.

The hash table slots will no longer hold a table element. They will now hold the address of a table element.

Convert the following to Hash key using chaining solution, draw the table:

Assume the table has 8 slot and the keys are 36,18,72,43,6,10,5,15.

Hash key = key % table size

```
4    =  36 % 8
2    =  18 % 8
0    =  72 % 8
3    =  43 % 8
6    =   6 % 8
2    =  10 % 8
5    =   5 % 8
7    =  15 % 8
```

[0] → 72
[1]
[2] → 10 → 18
[3] → 43
[4] → 36
[5] → 5
[6] → 6
[7] → 15

Searching a hash table with chains:

```
Compute the hash key
If slot at hash key is null
   Key not found
Else
   Search the chain at hash key for the desired key
Endif
```

Inserting into a hash table with chains:

```
Compute the hash key
If slot at hash key is null
   Insert as first node of chain
Else
   Search the chain for a duplicate key
   If duplicate key
      Don't insert
   Else
      Insert into chain
   Endif
```

```
   Endif
```

Deleting from a hash table with chains:

```
Compute the hash key
If slot at hash key is null
   Nothing to delete
Else
   Search the chain for the desired key
   If key is not found
      Nothing to delete
   Else
      Remove node from the chain
   Endif
Endif
```

Hashing with Linear Probe

When using a linear probe, the item will be stored in the next available slot in the table, assuming that the table is not already full.

This is implemented via a linear search for an empty slot, from the point of collision. If the physical end of table is reached during the linear search, the search will wrap around to the beginning of the table and continue from there.

If an empty slot is not found before reaching the point of collision, the table is full.

Using linear Probe, add the keys 10, 5, 15 to the previous table and draw the new table.

[0]	72
[1]	
[2]	18
[3]	43
[4]	36
[5]	
[6]	6
[7]	

Add the keys 10, 5, and 15 to the previous table .

Hash key = key % table size

2 = 10 % 8

5 = 5 % 8

7 = 15 % 8

[0]	72
[1]	15
[2]	18
[3]	43
[4]	36
[5]	10
[6]	6
[7]	5

A problem with the linear probe method is that it is possible for blocks of data to form when collisions are resolved. This is known as **primary clustering**.

This means that any key that hashes into the cluster will require several attempts to resolve the collision.

Hashing with Quadratic Probe

To resolve the primary clustering problem, **quadratic probing** can be used. With quadratic probing, rather than always moving one spot, move i^2 spots from the point of collision, where i is the number of attempts to resolve the collision.

Limitation: at most half of the table can be used as alternative locations to resolve collisions.

This means that once the table is more than half full, it's difficult to find an empty spot. This new problem is known as **secondary clustering** because elements that hash to the same hash key will always probe the same alternative cells.

Hashing with Double Hashing

Double hashing uses the idea of applying a second hash function to the key when a collision occurs. The result of the second hash function will be the number of positions form the point of collision to insert.

There are a couple of requirements for the second function:

- it must never evaluate to 0
- must make sure that all cells can be probed

A popular second hash function is: $Hash_2(key) = R - (key \% R)$ where R is a prime number that is smaller than the size of the table.

Table Size = 10 elements
$Hash_1(key) = key \% 10$
$Hash_2(key) = 7 - (k \% 7)$

Insert keys: 89, 18, 49, 58, 69

$Hash(89) = 89 \% 10 = 9$

$Hash(18) = 18 \% 10 = 8$

$Hash(49) = 49 \% 10 = 9$ a collision!
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 7 - (49 \% 7)$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 7$ positions from [9]

$Hash(58) = 58 \% 10 = 8$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 7 - (58 \% 7)$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 5$ positions from [8]

$Hash(69) = 69 \% 10 = 9$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 7 - (69 \% 7)$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad = 1$ position from [9]

[0]	49
[1]	
[2]	
[3]	69
[4]	
[5]	
[6]	
[7]	58
[8]	18
[9]	89

Hashing with Rehashing

Once the hash table gets too full, the running time for operations will start to take too long and may fail. To solve this problem, a table at least twice the size of the original will be built and the elements will be transferred to the new table.

The new size of the hash table:

- should also be prime
- will be used to calculate the new insertion spot (hence the name **rehashing**)

This is a very expensive operation! O(N) since there are N elements to rehash and the table size is roughly 2N. This is ok though since it doesn't happen that often.

The question becomes when should the rehashing be applied?

Some possible answers:

- once the table becomes half full
- once an insertion fails
- once a specific load factor has been reached, where load factor is the ratio of the number of elements in the hash table to the table size

Deletion from a Hash Table

The method of deletion depends on the method of insertion. In any of the cases, the same hash function(s) will be used to find the location of the element in the hash table.

There is a major problem that can arise if a collision occurred when inserting -- it's possible to "lose" an element.

Modulo Hash Method

The Modulo Hash Method uses modulo arithmetic to determine the location of the input in the hash table.

For instance, if the table size was 11, and we wanted to add the values 2, 14, and 746 to the hash table:

```
h(2) => 2 mod 11 => 2
h(14) => 14 mod 11 => 3
h(746) => 746 mod 11 => 9
```

Folding First and Last Digits Hash Method

The Folding First and Last Digits Hash Method adds together the first and last digits in the input key and then mods that value with the table size to determine the hash table position.

For instance, if the table size was 13, the following keys would be placed and the index positions as follows:

```
h(103) => (1 + 3) mod 13 => 4 mod 13 => 4
h(7) => (7 + 7) mod 13 => 14 mod 13 => 1
h(7189) => (7 + 9) mod 13 => 16 mod 13 => 3
```

Square Original Key Hash Method

The Square Original Key Hash Method takes the square of the original key and mods that value with the table size to determine the hash table position.

For instance, if the table size was 17, the following keys would be placed as follows:

```
h(12) => (12 * 12) mod 17 => 144 mod 17 => 8
h(4) => (4 * 4) mod 17 => 16
h(76) = (76 * 76) mod 17 => 5776 mod 17 => 13
```

Fold Together (Add) All Digits in the Key Hash Method

The Fold Together (Add) All Digits in the Key Hash Method adds all of the digits in the input key and then mods that value with the table size to determine the hash table position.

For instance, if the table size was 13, the following keys would be placed as follows:

```
h(103) => (1 + 0 + 3) mod 13 => 4 mod 13 => 4
h(4321) => (4 + 3 + 2 + 1) mod 13 => 10 mod 13 => 10
h(984) => (9 + 8 + 4) mod 13 => 21 mod 13 => 8
```

Power of Two Hash Method

The Power of Two Hash Method will add all of the digits in the input key after first multiplying each digit by the corresponding power of 2, and then mod that value with the table size to determine the hash table position.

For instance, if the table size was 11, the following keys would be placed as follows:

$h(123) \Rightarrow (1 * 2^2 + 2 * 2^1 + 3 * 2^0) \bmod 11 \Rightarrow$
$((1 * 4) + (2 * 2) + 3 * 1)) \bmod 11 \Rightarrow$
$(4 + 4 + 3) \bmod 11 \Rightarrow 0$

Linear Probing Rehashing Method

The Linear Probing Rehashing Method recalculates the previously hashed/rehashed value by adding 1 and mod-ing the sum with the table size ($h_n \Leftarrow (h_{n-1} + 1)$ mod $tableSize$).

For instance, if the table size is 11, the following keys would be placed as follows:

$h_0 \Rightarrow 5,$

```
h₁ => (5 + 1) mod 11 => 6,
h₂ => (6 + 1) mod 11 => 7
```

Quadratic Probing Rehashing Method

The Quadratic Probing Rehashing Method recalculates the previously hashed/rehashed value by adding to the previously calculated hash value a power of 2 based on which rehash attempt has been made, mod the table size(h_n <= $(h_0 + n^2)$ mod $tableSize$).

For instance, if the table size is 11, the following keys would be placed as follows:

```
h₀ => 5,
h₁ => (5 + 1²) mod 11 => 6,
h₂ => (5 + 2²) mod 11 => 9,
h₃ => (5 + 3²) mod 11 => 3,
h₄ => (5 + 4²) mod 11 => 10, ...
```

Cubic Probing Rehashing Method

The Cubic Probing Rehashing Method recalculates the previously hash/rehashed value by adding to the previously calculated hash value a power of 3 based on which rehash attempt has been made, mod the table size(h_n <= $(h_0 + n^3)$ mod $tableSize$).

For instance, if the table size is 11, the following keys would be placed as follows:

```
h₀ => 5,
h₁ => (5 + 1³) mod 11 => 6,
h₂ => (5 + 2³) mod 11 => 2,
h₃ => (5 + 3³) mod 11 => 10,
h₄ => (5 + 4³) mod 11 => 3, ...
```

Double Hash Rehashing Method

The Double Hash Rehashing Method recalculates the previously rehashed value by applying a second hash function to the previously-calculated hash value:h_n <= $(h_{n-1}$ + (7 - (key mod 7))) mod $tableSize$

For instance, if the table size is 11, the following keys would be placed as follows:

```
  key => 7, h₀ => 2,
```

```
rehash => 7 - (7 mod 7) => 7;
h₁ => (2 + 7) mod 11 => 9,
h₂ => (9 + 7) mod 11 => 5,
h₃ => (5 + 7) mod 11 => 1, ...
```