

On the distribution of the largest connected component size in random graphs with fixed edges set size

Robin Petit

March-April 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Definitions and preliminary results	1
1.2	Objectives	3
2	Results	3
2.1	Examples	3
2.1.1	$ \Lambda_{k=1}(V, \cdot) $	3
2.1.2	Upper boundary of m for $ \Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot) $	4
2.1.3	$ \Lambda_{k=2}(V, \cdot) $	5
3	Processing on examples	5

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions and preliminary results

Let's consider $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|V|}\}$ a set of vertices. We denote by $|V|$ the cardinality of the set V . Let's define the function:

$$X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Definition 1.1. An undirected graph Γ is denoted $\Gamma(V, E)$ for V its vertices set, and E its edges set, with $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_{|E|}\}$ and $\forall i \in \llbracket 1, |E| \rrbracket : e_i = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}\}$ for $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq |V|$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$ (i.e. loops are not tolerated).

Remark. $|E|$ is usually denoted as m , and $|V|$ is sometimes denoted as n . Both these numbers are (non-strictly) positive integers.

Definition 1.2. The set of all the existing graphs having given vertices set V is denoted by $\Gamma(V, \cdot)$. We denote $\Gamma_m(V, \cdot)$ the subset of $\Gamma(V, \cdot)$ such that $|E| = m$.

Remark. We observe that:

$$\Gamma(V, \cdot) = \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_m(V, \cdot).$$

Definition 1.3. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define \mathcal{K}_n as the *complete graph* of size n .

Lemma 1.4. For a graph $\Gamma(V, E)$, we have $|E| \leq X(|V|)$.

Proof. We know that $\Gamma(V, E) \leq \mathcal{K}_{|V|}$, and $\mathcal{K}_{|V|}$ has exactly $X(|V|)$ edges (vertex v_i is connected to vertices v_{i+1} to $v_{|V|}$, so the number of edges is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} (|V| - i) = \sum_{i=0}^{|V|-1} i = X(|V|)$). \square

Lemma 1.5. For given vertices set V and fixed number of edges $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$|\Gamma_m(V, \cdot)| = \begin{cases} \binom{X(|V|)}{m} & \text{if } m \leq X(|V|) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Corollary 1.6. For given vertices set V , we have $|\Gamma(V, \cdot)| = 2^{X(|V|)}$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma(V, \cdot)$ is given by a disjoint union over m , its cardinality is equal to the sum of the individual cardinalities:

$$|\Gamma(V, \cdot)| = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |\Gamma_m(V, \cdot)| = \sum_{k=0}^{X(|V|)} |\Gamma_m(V, \cdot)| = \sum_{k=0}^{X(|V|)} \binom{X(|V|)}{k} = 2^{X(|V|)}.$$

\square

Definition 1.7. A graph $\Gamma(V, E)$ is said to be connected if for each $v, w \in V$, there exists a path between v and w . We denote by $\chi(V, \cdot)$ the set of all connected graphs having vertices set V . Again, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\chi_m(V, \cdot) \subset \chi(V, \cdot)$ the set of connected graphs having m edges.

Remark. $\chi(V, \cdot) \subset \Gamma(V, \cdot)$, and:

$$\chi(V, \cdot) = \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_m(V, \cdot).$$

Lemma 1.8. For $m < |V|$ or $m > X(|V|)$, we have $|\chi_m(V, \cdot)| = 0$.

Definition 1.9. For every $W \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, we define $\Delta_W : \Gamma(V, \cdot) \rightarrow \Gamma(W, \cdot) : \Gamma(V, E) \mapsto \Gamma'(W, E')$ such that:

$$E' = \{\{v_i, v_j\} \in E \text{ s.t. } v_i, v_j \in W\}.$$

Definition 1.10. We define the *connected component of vertex $v_i \in V$ in graph $\Gamma(V, E)$* by the biggest subset (in the sense of inclusion) W of V such that $v_i \in W$ and $\Delta_W(\Gamma(V, E)) \in \chi(W, \cdot)$.

For graph $\Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma(V, \cdot)$, we define $|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))|$ by:

$$|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))| := \max_{W \in \mathcal{P}(V)} |W| \mathbb{I}_{[\Delta_W(\Gamma(V, E)) \in \chi(W, \cdot)]}.$$

We then define the *largest connected component of the graph $\Gamma(V, E)$* as:

$$\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E)) := \arg \min_{\substack{W \in \mathcal{P}(V) \\ |W| = |\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))|}} \min_{i \in [|V|]} i \times \mathbb{I}_{[v_i \in W]}.$$

The set $\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)$ is then the set of all graphs $\Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma(V, \cdot)$, such that $|E| = m$ and $|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))| = k$.

Remark. The notations here are consistent since $|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))|$ corresponds indeed to the cardinality of $\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))$.

Furthermore, this definition of largest connected component allows to define uniquely the LCC, even though a graph $\Gamma(V, E)$ has several connected component of same size. For example, following graph has two connected component of size 2, i.e. $\{1, 2\}$ (in red) and $\{3, 4\}$ (in blue).





Figure 1: Graph $\Gamma \left(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\} \right)$

Nevertheless, the LCC operator yields $\{1, 2\}$ since it minimizes the lowest id of element in connected component (1 for this graph).

Remark. Since $\Lambda_k(V, \cdot) = \bigsqcup_{m=0}^{X(V)} \Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)$ and:

$$\Gamma(V, \cdot) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{|V|} \bigsqcup_{m=0}^{X(V)} \Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot),$$

we want to know what is $|\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)|$ equal to.

Definition 1.11. Let's declare a new random variable $\mathcal{G}(V)$, a graph uniformly distributed in $\Gamma(V, \cdot)$, thus such that:

$$\forall \Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma(V, \cdot) : \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{G}(V) = \Gamma(V, E)] = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(V, \cdot)|} = 2^{-X(V)}.$$

1.2 Objectives

The objective now is to find an expression for $|\Lambda_k(V, \cdot)|$ since we are looking for:

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{LCC}(\mathcal{G}(V)) = k] = \frac{|\Lambda_k(V, \cdot)|}{|\Gamma(V, \cdot)|} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(V, E)|} \sum_{m=0}^{X(V)} |\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)|.$$

Let's denote this value $p_k := \mathbb{P}[\text{LCC}(\mathcal{G}(V)) = k]$.

2 Results

The general idea in order to determine $|\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)|$ is to insert a connected component of size k on vertices set V , and then to tally the configurations placing $m-k$ vertices without making a bigger connected component than the first one.

2.1 Examples

2.1.1 $|\Lambda_{k=1}(V, \cdot)|$

It is trivial to tell $|\Lambda_1^m(V, \cdot)| = \delta_0^m$, i.e. equals one if $m = 0$ and equals zero if $m > 0$: a graph having at least one edge, cannot have a largest connected component of size 1.

2.1.2 Upper boundary of m for $|\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)|$

Lemma 2.1 (Upper boundary of edges amount for $k = 2$). For $m > \frac{|V|}{2}$, we have $\Lambda_2^m(V, \cdot) = \emptyset$.

Proof. To have a largest connected component of size 2, each vertex must have degree 0 or 1. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > \frac{|V|}{2}$. Take $\Gamma(V, E)$ such that $|E| = m$, and take $\mathcal{V}_1 := \{v \in V \text{ s.t. } \deg(v) \leq 1\} \subset V$. Take the restriction $\Gamma'(\mathcal{V}_1, E') = \Delta_{\mathcal{V}_1}(\Gamma(V, E))$.

Since in a graph, the sum of the degree of each vertex is equal to twice the amount of edges, when applied on Γ' , it follows that:

$$2|E'| = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_1} \deg(v) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_1} 1 = |\mathcal{V}_1|.$$

We then deduce that $|E'| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{V}_1|}{2} \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$. Thus \mathcal{V}_1 must be *strictly* included in V , and then there must exist $v \in V$ such that $\deg(v) \geq 2$. Thus:

$$\forall m > \frac{|V|}{2} : \forall \Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma_m(V, \cdot) : \Gamma(V, E) \notin \Lambda_2^m(V, \cdot).$$

□

Lemma 2.2. For $\Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma(V, \cdot)$ a graph and $k \in \llbracket 1, |V| \rrbracket$, if there exists a vertex $v \in V$ such that $\deg(v) = k$, then $|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))| \geq k + 1$.

Proof. Take $v \in V$ such that $\deg(v) = k$. There exist $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} \subset V$ such that:

$$\forall j \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket : \{v, v_{i_j}\} \in E.$$

Thus $\{v, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\}$ is a connected component of size $k + 1$. Thus the largest connected component must have size at least that big. □

Proposition 2.3 (Upper boundary of edges amount generalized). For $k \in \llbracket 1, |V| \rrbracket$, and $m > \frac{|V|(k-1)}{2}$, we have $\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Take $m > \frac{(k-1)|V|}{2}$, and $\Gamma(V, E) \in \Gamma_m(V, \cdot)$. Take $\mathcal{V}_k := \{v \in V \text{ s.t. } \deg(v) \leq k - 1\}$. Let $\Gamma'(\mathcal{V}_k, E')$ be defined by $\Delta_{\mathcal{V}_k}(\Gamma(V, E))$. We know that:

$$2|E'| = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_k} \deg(v) \leq (k-1)|\mathcal{V}_k| \leq (k-1)|V|.$$

We deduce that $|E'| \leq \frac{(k-1)|V|}{2} < m = |E|$. Thus $|E| \not\geq |E'|$, and this implies that there exists $v \in V$ such that $\deg(v) \geq k$. By previous lemma, largest connected component size must be at least $k + 1$. □

Remark. We can understand this upper boundary as $m > \frac{|V|(k-1)}{2} = \frac{|V|}{k} \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = \frac{|V|}{k} \cdot X(k)$. So in order to have a LCC of size k , edges can be distributed to make $\left\lfloor \frac{|V|}{k} \right\rfloor$ complete graphs having each $X(k)$ edges. The maximum amount of edges is then given by $\frac{|V|(k-1)}{2}$.

2.1.3 $|\Lambda_{k=2}(V, \cdot)|$

Example of size 2 is a bit more complicated:

$$\forall m \in \left[1, \left\lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \right\rfloor\right] : |\Lambda_2^m(V, \cdot)| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{|V|-2k}{2} & \text{if } m \leq \frac{|V|}{2} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For $m > \frac{|V|}{2}$, result is shown in Lemma 2.1. The part $\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{|V|-2k}{2}$ corresponds to the choice of m edges without making a connected component of size ≥ 3 .

$\binom{|V|-2\cdot 0}{2}$ is the choice of the first edge (two vertices) among $|V|$ vertices, $\binom{|V|-2}{2}$ is the choice of the second edge (two vertices) among the $|V| - 2$ vertices left, etc. At step ℓ , only $|V| - 2(\ell - 1)$ vertices are available because two are selected per step, and a selected vertex cannot be used again, otherwise its degree would be ≥ 2 , and then the largest component size would be ≥ 3 .

The $\frac{1}{m!}$ comes from the fact that the order the edges are selected doesn't matter (so for each choice of m edges, there are $m!$ permutations of these). \square

Remark. This can also be expressed as:

$$|\Lambda_2^m(V, \cdot)| = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{|V|!}{2^m (|V| - 2m)!},$$

by simplification of the product.

3 Processing on examples

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_3^0(V, \cdot)| &= |\Lambda_3^1(V, \cdot)| = 0 \\ |\Lambda_3^2(V, \cdot)| &= \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{2} \\ |\Lambda_3^3(V, \cdot)| &= \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{3} + \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{2} \binom{|V|-3}{2} \\ |\Lambda_3^4(V, \cdot)| &= \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{3} \binom{|V|-3}{2} + \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{2} \binom{|V|-3}{3} \binom{3}{2} + \binom{|V|}{3} \binom{3}{2} \binom{|V|-3}{2} \binom{|V|-5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. Let's denote equally $|\Lambda_k^m(n)| = \Lambda_k^m(n) \equiv |\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)|$ for V such that $|V| = n$.

This notation allows to lighten the expressions.

Conjecture 3.2.

$$|\Lambda_k^m(|V|)| = \binom{|V|}{k} \sum_{\ell=k-1}^{\min(m, X(k))} |\Lambda_k^\ell(k)| \sum_{p=1}^k |\Lambda_p^{m-\ell}(|V| - k)| \beta_{p\ell}(m, k, |V|),$$

with $\beta_{p\ell}(m, k, |V|)$, a coefficient.

Remark. The idea behind this formula is explained in introduction of Section 2: to find the amount of graphs having n vertices, m edges and a largest connected component of size k , let's place a connected component of size k somewhere in the graph (so choose k in $|V|$ vertices), and then multiply this by the amount of possible graphs of largest connected component of size $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ (so lower or equal to k).

Idea of proof of conjecture. In order to prove the equality of the cardinalities, let's find a bijective function Ω between $\Lambda_k^m(V, \cdot)$ and a set like:

$$\Omega_k^m(V) := \bigsqcup_{\substack{W \in \mathcal{P}(V) \\ |W|=k}} \bigsqcup_{\ell=k-1}^{\min(m, X(k))} \Lambda_k^\ell(W, \cdot) \times \left(\bigsqcup_{p=1}^k \Lambda_p^{m-\ell}(V \setminus W, \cdot) \right).$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *The sets $\chi_\ell(V, \cdot)$ and $\Lambda_{|V|}^\ell(V, \cdot)$ are equal.*

Proof. A graph $\Gamma(V, E)$ is connected if and only if its largest connected component contains all its vertices, i.e. $\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E)) = V$.

This is equivalent to say that $|\text{LCC}(\Gamma(V, E))| = |V|$ since $\forall W \in \mathcal{P}(V) : |W| = |V| \Rightarrow V = W$:

$$\forall W \in \mathcal{P}(V) : \left| \left\{ \widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{P}(V) \text{ s.t. } |W| = |\widetilde{W}| \right\} \right| = \binom{|V|}{|W|},$$

and $\binom{|V|}{|V|} = 1$, thus $\{W \in \mathcal{P}(V) \text{ s.t. } |W| = |V|\} = \{V\}$.

□