

ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING

MTH399 / U15161 – Level 3

UNIVERSITY OF PORTSMOUTH

Spring Semester – First Coursework

Academic Session: 2010–2011

INSTRUCTIONS

- a) **Deadline: Friday, March 23, at 3PM on Victory**
- b) Compress all source code and result files into a zip file and upload it to the Victory assignment;
no printed copies are needed.
- c) **Make sure to label all materials with your reference number.**
- d) This is the **first coursework (out of two), worth 40%** of the unit.
- e) Complete **all three parts**.
- f) Explain your solutions using comments in the code.
- g) If you cannot complete a project, upload what you attempted to do.
- h) Assignment must be undertaken **alone**.

1 Background information

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1)$$

be a square $n \times n$ matrix and a column n -vector with real entries, respectively. **Gaussian elimination** is aimed at solving the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ for a vector of n unknowns \mathbf{x} , that is,

$$\begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,1}x_1 + a_{n,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{n,n}x_n = b_n \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We start by portraying the system with an augmented matrix $(A \mid \mathbf{b})$, written in the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} & b_1 \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & a_{n,n} & b_n \end{array} \right) \quad (3)$$

The process in which to solve system (2) or, alternatively, (3), comprises two steps:

- a) reducing (3) to a triangular system $(A^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{b}^{(n)})$ of the sort

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{1,1}^{(1)} & a_{1,2}^{(1)} & a_{1,3}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{1,n}^{(1)} & b_1^{(1)} \\ 0 & a_{2,2}^{(2)} & a_{2,3}^{(2)} & \cdots & a_{2,n}^{(2)} & b_2^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{3,3}^{(3)} & \cdots & a_{3,n}^{(3)} & b_3^{(3)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n,n}^{(n)} & b_n^{(n)} \end{array} \right) \quad (4)$$

having zeroes under the diagonal. This is the process of Gaussian elimination proper. It starts with $(A^{(1)} \mid \mathbf{b}^{(1)}) = (A \mid \mathbf{b})$ and, for every $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$i = k+1, \dots, n : \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b_i^{(k+1)} = b_i^{(k)} - \frac{a_{i,k}^{(k)}}{a_{k,k}^{(k)}} b_k^{(k)}, \\ a_{i,j}^{(k+1)} = a_{i,j}^{(k)} - \frac{a_{i,k}^{(k)}}{a_{k,k}^{(k)}} a_{k,j}^{(k)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\} \quad (5)$$

$a_{k,k}^{(k)}$ is usually called the **pivot** at each step. At the end of the process, and except special cases mentioned below, A should have been reduced to an upper triangular form $U = A^{(n)}$ as in (4).

- b) Once A has been transformed into the upper triangular matrix U ,

$$U = A^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} & \cdots & u_{1,n} \\ 0 & u_{2,2} & \cdots & u_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

all it takes to complete the process is solving system $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^{(n)}$. This is sometimes called **backward substitution**: it starts with $x_n = \frac{b_n^{(n)}}{u_{n,n}}$ and proceeds as follows:

$$x_i = \frac{1}{u_{i,i}} \left(b_i^{(i-1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n u_{i,j} x_j \right), \quad i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1. \quad (7)$$

Remarks 1.

1. There will be matrices for which straight Gaussian elimination will not be possible at some step, unless an additional process of row exchange called **pivoting** is used. For instance,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

will cause a division by zero, unless rows 2 and 3 are swapped before Gaussian elimination; same applies at some step of the elimination process to

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 13 \\ 7 & 6 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix};$$

check this yourselves by hand.

2. Row pivoting may not be *necessary*, but it will still be *useful*, if done properly (see Parts 2 and 3) in order to reduce the overall numerical error. The process in this case consists of choosing the element $a_{i,k}^{(k)}$ below (or equal to) the pivot with the largest absolute value, swapping rows i and k and then performing step k of the elimination.
3. A further reason why system solution might not be possible using this method, is that the matrix be not invertible, meaning $\det A = 0$.

Assume we wish to invert A . More generally, assume we want to solve $AX = B$ where, as opposed to the previous system, the unknown and the right-hand terms are now matrices instead of vectors. This implies solving n linear systems instead of one:

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{b}_1, \quad A\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b}_2, \quad \dots \quad A\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{b}_n,$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ (resp. $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$) are the column vectors of X (resp. B). Gaussian elimination yields an augmented $n \times 2n$ matrix

$$\left(A^{(n)} \mid B^{(n)} \right) = \left(A^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{b}_1^{(n)} \mathbf{b}_2^{(n)} \dots \mathbf{b}_n^{(n)} \right), \quad (8)$$

and backward substitution is then performed n times in order to obtain the solution columns $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$:

$$A^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{b}_1^{(n)}, \quad A^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b}_2^{(n)}, \quad \dots \quad A^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{b}_n^{(n)}. \quad (9)$$

If $B = \text{Id}_n$, X is nothing but the inverse matrix.

Let us now describe a more useful procedure, especially in the solution of multiple systems with the same matrix. An **LU decomposition** of A is any expression of the matrix as a product of a lower triangular matrix L (having 1's in its diagonal) and an upper triangular matrix U :

$$A = LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ l_{2,1} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{n,1} & l_{n,2} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} & \cdots & u_{1,n} \\ 0 & u_{2,2} & \cdots & u_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Thanks to the following Theorem and its Corollary, Gaussian elimination can be used in this setting.

Theorem 2. *If A admits Gauss **without a need for pivoting** (i.e. no pivot is equal to zero at any stage of the Gaussian elimination process), then there exists a unique LU decomposition (10) of A . Moreover, the entries of L below the diagonal are precisely the coefficients arising from Gaussian elimination, and the matrix U is exactly the resulting matrix (6) from the elimination process:*

$$l_{i,k} = \frac{a_{i,k}^{(k)}}{a_{k,k}^{(k)}}, \quad u_{i,j} = a_{i,j}^{(i)}.$$

Corollary 3. *Given any invertible matrix A , there exists a set of three matrices:*

- a) *permutation matrix P (e.g. exactly one 1 entry in each row and column and 0's elsewhere),*
- b) *lower-triangular L with 1's in its diagonal, and*
- c) *upper-triangular U ,*

*such that $PA = LU$. This is called the **LUP decomposition** of A .*

Assume we need to solve system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. This implies $LU\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{b}$, hence here is a proposed new method based on matrix decompositions:

I We first find the **LUP** decomposition $PA = LU$ for A .

II Secondly, we solve $L\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{v} = P\mathbf{b}$ for \mathbf{y} using the so-called **forward substitution**:

$$y_1 = v_1, \quad y_i = v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} l_{i,j}y_j, \quad i = 2, \dots, n. \quad (11)$$

III Thirdly, we solve $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ for \mathbf{x} .

An advantage of matrix decompositions is that they make it easy to solve multiple systems having the same matrix. Indeed, to solve the matrix system $AX = B$, we only need to perform Gaussian elimination to the matrix (to obtain L and U), not to the columns $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ of B :

$$LU\mathbf{x}_1 = P\mathbf{b}_1, \quad LU\mathbf{x}_2 = P\mathbf{b}_2, \quad \dots \quad LU\mathbf{x}_n = P\mathbf{b}_n. \quad (12)$$

Hence, all we need to do is perform forward-backward substitution on every system.

2 Assignment

Part 1. (35 marks) You will solve linear systems using Gaussian elimination without pivoting. Your project should contain, at least, the following:

- a) a function **gauss** having at least three inputs: A , \mathbf{b} , and an output file, and transforming the first two inputs into $A^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{(n)}$, or else returning an error message if straight Gaussian elimination was not possible (see Comments). For each step $k \rightarrow k+1$ of the elimination process, $\left(A^{(k+1)} \mid \mathbf{b}^{(k+1)}\right)$ (or an exit message if the step was unfeasible) will be written down on the output file in a well-structured manner.
- b) a function **backward** having at least three inputs: an upper triangular matrix U , a vector \mathbf{v} , and the same output **ofstream** file as **gauss**, and returning the solution vector \mathbf{x} to $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$.
- c) a function named **norm** computing the vector norm of a given vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. You can use any of the common (and equivalent) Hölder norms available on \mathbb{R}^n , e.g.

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 := \sqrt{v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|, \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |v_i|.$$

- d) a function **check**, having inputs $A, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}, \text{tol}$, which will compute the norm of the difference vector, $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$. If such norm is smaller than tol , we will accept the solution as valid; otherwise, chances are there is a mistake somewhere in your project.
- e) a subroutine **matrix_invert** designed to invert matrices. You can adapt your previous function **gauss** into a new one called **matrixgauss** for (8), so as to replace the entries of $\mathbf{b}^{(k)}$ in (5) by the entries of a matrix $B^{(k)}$. (9) will then be performed within **matrix_invert**.

- f) a function named `matrixcheck` checking the validity of `matrix_invert` on $AX - \text{Id}_n$, namely that $\|AX - \text{Id}_n\| < \text{tol}$ for an adequate matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, e.g. the Frobenius, sub-1 or sub- ∞ norm:

$$\|B\|_F := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |b_{i,j}|^2}, \quad \|B\|_1 := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |b_{i,j}|, \quad \|B\|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |b_{i,j}|.$$

A function named `matrixnorm` could take care of this.

Comments:

- You must include sample result files for particular matrices and vectors A , \mathbf{b} , along with the checked residues $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$ and $\|AX - \text{Id}_n\|$.
- Your project must comprise separate header (`*.h`) and `.cpp` files.
- Include your results for sample matrices in which Gaussian elimination is stopped because pivoting was *necessary* at some stage.
- A further reason why system solution might not be possible using your method, is that the matrix be not invertible, meaning $\det A = 0$. Your project will still return an error message such as the one in the previous item – namely, that for some step k there is a pivot $a_{k,k}^{(k)}$ having an absolute value below `tol`, hence making the division in (5) numerically inadequate. However, there is still the question of whether the matrix chosen failed the process because it was non-invertible, or simply out of a poor choice of row order (such as in examples A and B in the previous item). Make sure to find examples of both cases. Include said examples among the result files.
- Make sure to pass your output file by reference as an argument of your function; never declare output files globally.
- Needless to say, everything described above has been written for entries ranging from 1 to n but this might not be possible or practical in your project; adapt the above computations accordingly.
- Feel free to use STL `vector` containers instead of arrays if you wish.

Part 2. (50 marks) Let us now add pivoting to the picture. *Naturally, the next step would be slightly modifying the `gauss` and `matrixgauss` routines by adding the process of pivoting into their main loops. However, we are going to bypass this step and go directly to LUP decomposition.*

As advanced in Remark 1, you will perform row pivoting (namely, through the use of P) even if it is not extremely necessary. Your project should contain, at least, the following:

- a function delivering the LUP decomposition of a given matrix

```
int LUP ( double **a, int n, int *index, ... );
```

having at least three inputs: A , n , and an index vector keeping track of what rows or columns you need to exchange in L and U (summarising P or, in other words, the process of pivoting). Pivoting itself consists of the act of checking, for each value $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, which is the entry in the column below and including pivot $a_{k,k}^{(k)}$ with the largest absolute value. If it turns out not to be the pivot, but another element below it, say $a_{\tilde{k},k}^{(k)}$, you need to swap rows \tilde{k} and k , amend vector `index` appropriately, and perform step k of the Gaussian elimination. Hence, using `index` in clever ways will spare you the effort of declaring a whole matrix P .

Upon exiting the function, matrix `a` should contain the entries of L under the diagonal, and the entries of U elsewhere. *If the matrix is not invertible, your function should be able to detect this fact and report it to the function calling it.*

- the same function `backward` as in Part 1, or a similar one called `LUPbackward`.

- c) a function `LUPforward (double **L, double *v, int n, ...)`; carrying out forward substitution (11) on *any* lower-triangular system $Ly = v$ with diagonal 1's.
- d) a subroutine `LUPmatrix_invert` designed to **invert matrices using LUP decomposition**, as described in (12) above.
- e) functions `norm`, `check`, `matrixnorm` and `matrixcheck` as in Part 1.

Comments:

- a) Use separate header and .cpp files.
- b) It is strongly advised to maintain an output file as in Part 1 and write everything down on it.
- c) Make sure you try your program with different systems $Ax = b$ and different matrix inverses A^{-1} and include the result files in the assignment dropbox along with the rest of the files.

Part 3. (15 marks) Let us compare the routines `matrix_invert` (Gaussian elimination without pivoting) and `LUPmatrix_invert` (Gaussian elimination with row pivoting) in the process of inverting a given matrix. For increasing values of the number of rows and columns n of matrix A_n , you must compute inverses X_1 and X_2 (using `matrix_invert` and `LUPmatrix_invert` respectively) and fill in a file with three columns:

n	$\ A_n X_1 - \text{Id}_n\ $	$\ A_n X_2 - \text{Id}_n\ $
...
...

(13)

At the end of each file, write a comment on your interpretation of the amounts shown. Concerning the choice of matrix A_n , you may use matrices having *pseudo-random* entries. You are advised to create a pseudo-random matrix $A_{n_{\max}}$ with large-enough dimension (say, $n_{\max} = 20, 30, 50 \dots$) and create a table such as (13) for the submatrices A_n given by the first n rows and columns of $A_{n_{\max}}$, for $n = 2, \dots, n_{\max}$.

Alternatively, you may create a whole brand-new pseudo-random matrix A_n for every value of n .

Comments:

- a) You may want to create a specific function of the sorts of `double **random (int n_max);` to generate $A_{n_{\max}}$.
- b) Since the matrix is pseudo-random, the question remains on whether $A_{n_{\max}}$ is amenable to Gaussian elimination without pivoting. Think of ways of modifying $A_{n_{\max}}$ accordingly before creating the table.
- c) **Repeat the process for different collections of pseudo-random matrices** (plainly put: create more than one table (13)).