I have picked a paper entitled "<u>Critical Assessment of Metagenome Interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics software</u>". This paper addresses the lack of consensus about assessing the methods for assembly, taxonomic profiling and binning of metagenomic data. The plasmid assemblies, raw data and metadata in this publication have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB20380. As seen in the figure below, the project summary included 102 experiments that produced 598 nucleotide.

## Project Data:

Resource Name	Number of Links
SEQUENCE DATA	<u> </u>
Nucleotide (Genomic DNA)	598
SRA Experiments	102
OTHER DATASETS	
BioSample	10
SRA Data Details	
Parameter	Value
Data volume, Gbases	33
Data volume, Mbytes	21523

## The commands below were run successfully:

```
# Get the sequencing run information esearch -db sra -query PRJEB20380 | efetch -format runinfo > runinfo.csv # Download the assembled genome information esearch -db nucleotide -query PRJEB20380 | efetch -format fasta > genomes.fa
```

## The first line of runinfo.csv is observed below:

```
$ cat runinfo.csv | head -1
Run,ReleaseDate,LoadDate,spots,bases,spots_with_mates,avgLength,size_MB,AssemblyName,downloa
d_path,Experiment,LibraryName,LibraryStrategy,LibrarySelection,LibrarySource,LibraryLayout,I
nsertSize,InsertDev,Platform,Model,SRAStudy,BioProject,Study_Pubmed_id,ProjectID,Sample,BioS
ample,SampleType,TaxID,ScientificName,SampleName,g1k_pop_code,source,g1k_analysis_group,Subj
ect_ID,Sex,Disease,Tumor,Affection_Status,Analyte_Type,Histological_Type,Body_Site,CenterNam
e,Submission,dbgap_study_accession,Consent,RunHash,ReadHash
(bioinfo)
```

esearch -db pubmed -query "critical assessment of metagenome interpretation-a benchmark of metagenomics software" | efetch

```
sug2@submit-005 /opt/aci/sw/anaconda3/2020.07_gcc-4.8.5—bzb
$ esearch -db pubmed -query "critical assessment of metagenome interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics software"| efetch

1. Nat Methods. 2017 Nov;14(11):1063—1071. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4458. Epub 2017 Oct 2.

Critical Assessment of Metagenome Interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics
software.

Sczyrba A(1)(2), Hofmann P(3)(4)(5), Belmann P(1)(2)(4)(5), Koslicki D(6),
Janssen S(4)(7)(8), Dröge J(3)(4)(5), Gregor I(3)(4)(5), Majda S(3), Fiedler
J(3)(4), Dahms E(3)(4)(5), Bremges A(1)(2)(4)(5)(9), Fritz A(4)(5), Garrido—Oter
R(3)(4)(5)(10)(11), Jørgensen TS(12)(13)(14), Shapiro N(15), Blood PD(16),
Gurevich A(17), Bai Y(10), Turaev D(18), DeMaere MZ(19), Chikhi R(20)(21),
Nagarajan N(22), Quince C(23), Meyer F(4)(5), Balvočiūtė M(24), Hansen LH(12),
Sørensen SJ(13), Chia BKH(22), Denis B(22), Froula JL(15), Wang Z(15), Egan
R(15), Don Kang D(15), Cook JJ(25), Deltel C(26)(27), Beckstette M(28), Lemaitre
C(26)(27), Peterlongo P(26)(27), Rizk G(27)(29), Lavenier D(2)(27), Wu
YW(30)(31), Singer SW(30)(32), Jain C(33), Strous M(34), Klingenberg H(35),
Meinicke P(35), Barton MD(15), Lingner T(36), Lin HH(37), Liao Y(C(37), Silva
GGZ(38), Cuevas DA(38), Edwards RA(38), Saha S(39), Piro VC(40)(41), Renard
BY(40), Pop M(42)(43), Klenk HP(44), Göker M(45), Kyrpides NC(15), Woyke T(15),
Vorholt JA(46), Schulze—Lefert P(10)(11), Rubin EM(15), Darling AE(19), Rattei
T(18), McHardy AC(3)(4)(5)(11).
```

DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.4458

PMCID: PMC5903868

PMID: 28967888 [Indexed for MEDLINE]

Running esearch -db pubmed -query PMC5903868 | elink -target sra did not give us any meaningful results.

As you see in the screenshot below, **csvcut** is not found. I replaced it with **cut -d**, **-f 1**.

```
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ cat runinfo.csv | csvcut -c Run | head
bash: csvcut: command not found
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ cat runinfo.csv | cut -d , -f 1 | head
Run
ERR1938090
ERR1942514
ERR1942515
ERR1942516
ERR1938091
ERR1938092
ERR1938093
ERR1938094
ERR1942517
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
```

I faced the error stating that fastq-dump command is not found. I solved this issue by running command mamba install sra-tools==2.10.1

Finally, I ran **seqkit stats** on the fast file of one particular sequencing as shown below:

```
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ fastq-dump -X 1000 --split-files ERR1938090
Read 1000 spots for ERR1938090
Written 1000 spots for ERR1938090
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ Ĭs
ERR1938090_1.fastq ERR1938090_2.fastq genomes.fa runinfo.csv summary.xml
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ seqkit stats ERR1938090_1.fastq
                        format type num_seqs sum_len min_len avg_len max_len FASTQ DNA 1,000 100,342 52 100.3 101
ERR1938090_1.fastq FASTQ
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
$ segkit stats ERR1938090_2.fastq
                        format type num_seqs sum_len min_len avg_len max_len FASTQ DNA 1,000 98,343 50 98.3 101
file
ERR1938090_2.fastq FASTQ
(bioinfo)
sug82@submit-005 ~/work/applied_bioinformatics/HW5
```