

Systems Programming

Semester 2, 2023

Dr Timothy Chappell

Tarang Janawalkar

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
“Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International” license.



Contents

Contents	1
1 Operating Systems Overview	7
1.1 What Operating Systems Do	7
1.2 Computer System Organisation	8
1.2.1 Device Controllers	8
1.3 Computer Operation	8
1.4 Interrupts	9
1.4.1 Implementation	9
1.4.2 Types of Interrupts	9
1.5 Storage Structure	10
1.5.1 Main Memory	10
1.5.2 Registers	10
1.5.3 Cache Management	10
1.5.4 Secondary Storage	11
1.5.5 Tertiary Storage	12
1.5.6 Summary	12
1.6 I/O Structure	13
1.6.1 Direct Memory Access	13
1.7 Computer-System Architecture	14
1.7.1 Single-Processor Systems	14
1.7.2 Multiprocessor Systems	14
1.7.3 Clustered Systems	19
1.8 Operating System Operations	20
1.8.1 Computer Startup	20
1.8.2 Multiprogramming	20
1.8.3 Multitasking	21
1.9 Dual-Mode Operation	21
1.10 Multi-Mode Operation	22
1.11 Timers	22
2 Operating System Structures	23
2.1 User and Operating System Interface	24
2.1.1 Command Interpreters	24
2.1.2 Graphical User Interface	24
2.1.3 Touch Screen Interface	25
2.2 System Calls	25
2.3 Application Programming Interface	25
2.3.1 System Call Interface	26
2.3.2 System Call Parameter Passing	27
2.4 Types of System Calls	27
2.4.1 Process Control	28
2.4.2 File Management	28
2.4.3 Device Management	28

2.4.4	Information Maintenance	28
2.4.5	Communications	28
2.4.6	Protection	29
2.4.7	Examples of Windows and UNIX System Calls	29
2.5	System Services	29
2.6	Operating System Design and Implementation	30
2.6.1	Mechanisms and Policies	30
2.6.2	Implementation	31
2.7	Operating System Structure	31
2.7.1	Monolithic Structures	31
2.7.2	Layered Structures	32
2.7.3	Microkernel Structures	33
2.7.4	Modules	34
2.7.5	Hybrid Structures	35
3	Processes	35
3.1	Process Concept	35
3.1.1	The Process	35
3.1.2	Process State	37
3.1.3	Process Control Block	37
3.1.4	Threads	38
3.1.5	Process Representation in Linux	38
3.2	Process Scheduling	38
3.2.1	Scheduling Queues	38
3.2.2	CPU Scheduling	40
3.2.3	Context Switch	40
3.3	Operations on Processes	41
3.3.1	Process Creation	41
3.3.2	Process Termination	44
3.4	Interprocess Communication	45
3.5	Shared Memory	46
3.6	Message Passing	47
3.6.1	Naming	48
3.6.2	Synchronisation	49
3.7	POSIX Shared Memory	49
3.7.1	Pipes	51
4	Threads	53
4.1	Overview	53
4.1.1	Motivation	53
4.1.2	Benefits	54
4.2	Multicore Programming	54
4.2.1	Programming Challenges	55
4.3	Multithreading Models	55
4.3.1	Many-to-One Model	56
4.3.2	One-to-One Model	56

4.3.3	Many-to-Many Model	57
4.3.4	Two-Level Model	57
4.4	Thread Libraries	57
4.4.1	Pthreads	58
4.5	Implicit Threading	59
4.5.1	Thread Pools	60
4.5.2	OpenMP	60
4.5.3	Grand Central Dispatch	61
4.6	Threading Issues	61
4.6.1	<code>fork()</code> and <code>exec()</code> System Calls	62
4.6.2	Signal Handling	62
4.6.3	Thread Cancellation	62
4.6.4	Thread-Local Storage	63
4.6.5	Scheduler Activations	63
5	Synchronisation	63
5.1	Background	64
5.2	The Critical-Section Problem	65
5.3	Peterson's Solution	66
5.4	Hardware Support for Synchronisation	67
5.4.1	Atomic	68
5.5	Mutex Locks	68
5.6	Semaphores	69
5.6.1	Precedence	70
5.6.2	Non-Busy Waiting	70
5.6.3	Deadlock and Starvation	71
5.6.4	Semaphore Problems	71
5.7	Monitors	72
5.8	Classical Problems of Synchronisation	72
5.8.1	The Bounded-Buffer Problem	73
5.8.2	The Readers-Writers Problem	73
5.8.3	The Dining-Philosophers Problem	74
6	Safety Critical Systems	76
6.1	Safety Critical Software	76
6.2	Dependability	76
6.3	Achieving Safety	77
6.4	Risk Analysis	77
6.4.1	Hazard and Risk	77
6.4.2	Faults and Failures	77
6.5	Safety Standards	78
6.6	Real-Time Operating Systems	78
6.7	DO-178B Standard	79
6.7.1	Software Planning Process	79
6.7.2	Software Development Process	79
6.7.3	Software Verification Process	80

6.7.4	Software Configuration Management Process	80
6.7.5	Software Quality Assurance Process	80
6.8	Programming Standards	81
6.8.1	MISRA C	81
7	Distributed Systems	81
7.1	Advantages of Distributed Systems	81
7.2	Network Structure	82
7.2.1	Local-Area Networks	82
7.2.2	Wide-Area Networks	83
7.3	Network and Distributed Operating Systems	83
7.3.1	Network Operating Systems	83
7.3.2	Distributed Operating Systems	84
7.4	Communication Structure	84
7.4.1	Naming and Name Resolution	85
7.4.2	Routing Strategies	85
7.4.3	Connection Strategies	85
7.4.4	Design Issues	86
7.5	Socket Programming	86
7.5.1	Sockets	86
7.5.2	Communication Domains	86
7.5.3	Socket Types	87
7.5.4	Socket System Calls	87
7.5.5	TCP Server Example	88
8	CPU Scheduling	88
8.1	Basic Concepts	88
8.1.1	CPU-I/O Burst Cycle	89
8.1.2	CPU Scheduler	89
8.1.3	Preemptive and Non-preemptive Scheduling	89
8.1.4	Dispatcher	89
8.2	Scheduling Criteria	90
8.3	Scheduling Algorithms	90
8.3.1	First-Come, First-Served Scheduling	90
8.3.2	Shortest-Job-First Scheduling	91
8.3.3	Shortest-Remaining-Time-First Scheduling	91
8.3.4	Priority Scheduling	91
8.3.5	Round-Robin Scheduling	91
8.3.6	Multilevel Queue Scheduling	92
8.3.7	Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling	92
8.4	Thread Scheduling	93
8.5	Multi-Processor Systems	93
8.5.1	Multiple-Processor Scheduling	94
8.5.2	Multicore Scheduling	94
8.5.3	Load Balancing	95
8.5.4	Processor Affinity	95

9	Deadlocks	95
9.1	System Model	95
9.2	Deadlock Characterisation	96
9.2.1	Resource-Allocation Graph	96
9.3	Methods for Handling Deadlocks	99
9.4	Deadlock Prevention	99
9.4.1	Mutual Exclusion	99
9.4.2	Hold and Wait	99
9.4.3	No Preemption	99
9.4.4	Circular Wait	99
9.5	Deadlock Avoidance	100
9.5.1	Safe State	100
9.5.2	Resource-Allocation-Graph Algorithm	100
9.5.3	Banker's Algorithm	100
9.6	Deadlock Detection	102
9.6.1	Single Instance of Each Resource Type	102
9.6.2	Multiple Instances of Each Resource Type	102
9.6.3	Detection-Algorithm Usage	103
9.7	Recovery from Deadlock	103
9.7.1	Process and Thread Termination	103
9.7.2	Resource Preemption	104
10	Main Memory	104
10.1	Background	104
10.1.1	Basic Hardware	105
10.1.2	Address Binding	105
10.1.3	Logical and Physical Address Space	106
10.1.4	Dynamic Loading	107
10.1.5	Dynamic Linking and Shared Libraries	107
10.2	Swapping	108
10.3	Contiguous Memory Allocation	108
10.3.1	Memory Protection	108
10.3.2	Memory Allocation	108
10.3.3	Fragmentation	109
10.4	Segmentation	109
10.5	Paging	110
10.5.1	Hardware Support	110
10.5.2	Protection	111
10.5.3	Shared Pages	111
10.6	Page Table Structure	111
10.6.1	Hierarchical Paging	111
10.6.2	Hashed Page Tables	112
10.6.3	Inverted Page Tables	112
11	Protection	112
11.1	Goals of Protection	112

11.2 Principles of Protection	113
11.3 Domain Structure	113
11.4 Access Matrix	114
11.5 Access Control	114
12 File Systems	114

1 Operating Systems Overview

An operating system is software that manages a computer's hardware. It also provides a basis for application programs and acts as an intermediary between the computer user and the computer hardware. The purpose of an operating system is to:

- execute user programs and make solving user problems easier
- make the computer system convenient to use
- use computer hardware efficiently

1.1 What Operating Systems Do

A computer system is divided into four components:

- Hardware that provides basic computing resources, i.e., the CPU, memory, and I/O devices
- An operating system which controls and coordinates the use of hardware for applications and users
- Application programs that define how system resources are used to solve user computing problems
- Users that make use of the computer system. This includes people, machines, or other computers

We can also view a computer system as consisting of hardware, software, and data.

This hierarchy of components is layered such that users cannot directly access the hardware. As there are multiple different types of computer hardware, applications will typically rely on the operating system to manage the use of computer hardware so that applications can be designed to operate on any hardware. Due to this, the operating system will typically restrict direct access to hardware resources.

The task of an operating system is to provide convenience, such that users do not have to worry about resource utilisation. Depending on the type of user, a computer system will prioritise one of the following:

- Shared computers such as mainframes or minicomputers are required to distribute resources to multiple users.
- Dedicated systems such as workstations have dedicated resources for a single user, but will frequently use shared resources (such as CPU cores or memory) from servers.
- Handheld devices (such as phones, tablets, and laptops) are resource poor in comparison to desktop devices, and are optimised for usability, portability, and battery life.
- Embedded devices often have little or no user interface, but access computing resources via alternate means, such as sensors in vehicles.

Definition 1.1 (Operating System). An Operating System (OS) is a **resource allocator** that

manages all resources in a computer system that resolves conflicting requests of resources by efficiently and fairly distributing resources.

An OS is also a **control program** that controls the execution of programs to prevent errors and improper use of its system. The OS acts as a security layer between applications, such that one application cannot interfere with another, or bring down the entire system.

An OS must therefore be robust and reliable¹.

A more common definition is that the OS is the one program that runs at all times on the computer, which is known as the **kernel**, and is the core of the operating system. Everything else is either a **system program**, which are associated with the OS, or an **application program**.

1.2 Computer System Organisation

1.2.1 Device Controllers

A computer system consists of one or more CPUs and a number of **device controllers** connected through a common **bus** that provides access between components and shared memory. This bus is responsible for concurrent communication between the CPU and other devices.

Each device controller is responsible for a specific type of device, and depending on the device, may have more than one device attached. A device controller maintains a **local buffer** and a set of **special-purpose registers**. The device controller is responsible for moving data between the device and its local buffer, which is then moved to/from main memory by the CPU.

Typically, operating systems have a **device driver** for each device controller. This device driver understands the device controller and provides a uniform interface to the rest of the operating system.

Device controllers inform the CPU that they have finished their operation by causing an **interrupt**.

1.3 Computer Operation

Consider a typical computer operation of performing I/O.

1. To start the operation, the device driver loads the appropriate registers within the device controller.
2. The device controller examines the contents of these registers to determine what actions to take.
3. The device controller will then start the transfer of data from the device to its local buffer.
4. Once the transfer is complete, the device controller informs the device driver that it has finished its operation.
5. The device driver then gives control back to the operating system, through an interrupt.

¹This is far less onerous than requiring all applications to be error-free.

1.4 Interrupts

Operating systems are **interrupt driven**, and will respond to events as they occur.

Interrupts **transfer control** to an **interrupt service routine** (ISR) through the **interrupt vector**, which contains the address of all service routines, stored in low memory for quick access. A service routine is simply a function, or piece of code, that is executed when an interrupt occurs.

Hardware may trigger an interrupt at any time by sending a signal to the CPU, usually through the system bus.

When an interrupt occurs, the CPU halts its current execution and the interrupt architecture saves the address of the interrupted instruction, so that it can be resumed once the ISR has finished. The CPU then jumps to a fixed location in memory, which is the starting address of the ISR.

The interrupt architecture must also save the state information of the interrupted process, so that it can be restored once the ISR has finished.

1.4.1 Implementation

The basic interrupt mechanism is described below.

The CPU has an **interrupt-request line** that the CPU sense after executing every instruction. When the CPU detects that a controller has *asserted* a signal onto this line, it reads the interrupt number and jumps to the corresponding **interrupt-handler routine**.

The interrupt handler:

- saves any state it will change during its operation
- determines the cause of the interrupt
- performs the necessary processing
- restores the saved state
- executes a **return from interrupt** instruction, which returns the CPU to the execution state, prior to the interrupt

A device controller **raises** an interrupt by asserting a signal on the interrupt-request line, and the CPU **catches** the interrupt and **dispatches** it to the interrupt handler, which then **clears** the interrupt by servicing the device.

1.4.2 Types of Interrupts

A **trap** or **exception** is a software-generated interrupt caused by an error or a user request, and is often used to communicate with the operating system. Software can trigger an interrupt through a special operation called a **system call** (or monitor call).

An operating system also makes a distinction between the following types of interrupts:

- For a **polled** interrupt, the operating system periodically queries a queue of interrupts, to see

if one needs to be serviced.

- In a **vectored** interrupt system, the interrupt vector table will interrupt the CPU to service the necessary interrupt.

1.5 Storage Structure

1.5.1 Main Memory

The CPU can only load instructions from memory, and therefore programs must first be loaded into memory before they can be executed. Computers run most of their programs from rewritable memory, called **main memory** (or **random-access memory** (RAM)), which means that it can both read and write to any location in memory.

Main memory is **volatile** and will lose its content when power is lost.

1.5.2 Registers

All forms of memory provide an array of **bytes** (or words) that can be individually accessed by a **memory address**. The CPU interacts with these memory locations through **load** or **store** instructions.

- A **load** instruction moves data from main memory into an internal register in the CPU
- A **store** instruction moves data from an internal register in the CPU to main memory

The operating system preserves the state of the CPU through **registers**. Registers can store data within the CPU, and are the fastest form of memory available to the CPU.

Registers are often used to carry out operations such as addition, where the two operands are stored in two registers, before their sum can be computed and saved to another register or in memory.

The CPU also uses registers for storing other information such as the status of an operation, and the program counter, which is the address of the next instruction to be executed.

1.5.3 Cache Management

Caching is an important principle in computer systems, and is used to improve performance at many levels of a computer system. Caching refers to the temporary copying of data from a slower storage system into a faster storage system, where it can be accessed more quickly.

When some piece of information is required, we first check whether a copy of that data is in the cache.

- If so, we use the information directly from the cache
- If not, we use the information from the source, while placing a copy of that data into the cache, under the assumption that it will be needed again soon

Internal programmable registers provide high-speed cache for main memory. The programmer (or compiler) implements register allocation and replacement algorithms to decide which information

is kept in registers and which is kept in main memory.

Other caches are implemented in hardware. For example, most systems have an **instruction cache** to hold instructions expected to be executed next. Without this cache, the CPU would have to wait several cycles for the instruction to be fetched from main memory. For similar reasons, most systems have one or more high-speed data caches in the memory hierarchy.

Because caches have limited size, **cache management** is an important design problem. Careful selection of cache size and of a replacement policy can significantly improve performance.

The movement of information between levels of a storage hierarchy may be either **explicit** or **implicit**. For instance, data transfer from cache to the CPU and registers is usually a hardware function, with no operating system intervention. In contrast, transfer of data from disk to memory is usually controlled by the operating system.

An Example Consider the following example where an integer A is to be incremented by 1, and is located in file B which resides on hard disk.

1. The operating system loads the file B from disk into main memory.
2. The operating system then load the integer A from main memory into the cache of an internal register.
3. The CPU performs the increment operation on the internal register.
4. The operating system then updates value of A from internal memory to the file B in main memory.
5. The operating system then writes the updated value of B back to disk.

Implications of Various Environments In a single processor system, where only one process executes at a time, this hierarchy poses no difficulties, as access to the integer A will always be to the copy at the **highest level** of the hierarchy.

In a **multitasking environment**, where multiple processes execute concurrently, extreme care must be taken to ensure that, if two or more processes are accessing the same data, then each process must access the **most recently updated** copy of the data.

Furthermore, in a **multiprocessor environment**, each CPU also contains a local cache. In such an environment, a copy of data may exist simultaneously in several caches. As these CPUs can execute in parallel, we must ensure that an update to data is propagated to all copies of the data in all caches.

This is known as **cache coherency**, and is usually a hardware level problem.

1.5.4 Secondary Storage

Systems with a **von Neumann architecture** fetch instructions from memory and store them in the **instruction register**. When this instruction is decoded, it may require addition operands to be fetched from memory, and stored into internal registers.

Ideally, we want programs and data to be stored in main memory permanently, to allow for fast access. However, main memory is usually too small to store all necessary programs and data permanently, and volatile.

Thus, most computer systems provide **secondary storage** as an extension of main memory. Secondary storage is nonvolatile and is used to store large amounts of data permanently. It is usually much slower than main memory.


Programs are stored in secondary storage until they are loaded into memory. The most common forms of secondary storage are **hard-disk drives** (HDDs) and **nonvolatile memory** (NVM) **devices**.

1.5.5 Tertiary Storage

Large storage capacities can also be achieved through **tertiary storage**, which is used for data that is not frequently accessed, such as in archival storage, or for backup. Examples of this type of storage includes **magnetic tape** and **optical disk** storage.

1.5.6 Summary

A summary of the storage hierarchy is shown below.



From here onwards, the term **memory** will be used to refer to volatile storage, while **nonvolatile storage** (NVS) will be used to refer to nonvolatile storage.

The design of a complete storage system must balance,

- Cost: NVS is cheaper than memory
- Performance: memory is faster than NVS
- Volatility: memory loses its contents when power is lost

1.6 I/O Structure


I/O is structured in one of two ways:

1. After I/O starts, control returns to the user program only upon I/O completion.
 - **Wait instructions** idle the CPU until the next interrupt
 - At most one I/O request is outstanding at a time, and no simultaneous I/O processing is possible
2. After I/O starts, control returns to the user program without waiting for I/O completion.
 - **System calls** request the OS to allow the user to wait for I/O completion
 - A **device-state table** contains entries for each I/O device, indicating its type, address, and state
 - The OS indexes into this table to determine the device status and modifies the table entry to include interrupt information

1.6.1 Direct Memory Access

The form of interrupt-driven I/O described above is sufficient for moving small amounts of data, but can produce high **overhead** when used for bulk data transfer.

To solve this problem, **direct memory access** (DMA) is used. This allows device controllers to transfer entire blocks of data directly to or from the device and main memory, without CPU intervention. Only one interrupt is generated per block, to indicate the operation has completed, rather than one interrupt per byte.



While the device controller is performing these operations, the CPU is idle, and can be used by another process.

1.7 Computer-System Architecture

Definitions

This section will use the following definitions:

Processing Core The basic computation unit of a CPU

Central Processing Unit The hardware that executes instructions

Processor A physical chip that contains one or more CPUs

Multicore A CPU with multiple cores

Multiprocessor A system with multiple processors

1.7.1 Single-Processor Systems

A single processor system is one which contains a single general purpose CPU with a single processing core.

Older computer systems used a single processor containing one CPU with a single processing core. The **core** is the component that executes instructions and accesses registers for storing data locally. The **CPU** is capable of executing a **general-purpose** instruction set, including instructions from processes.


These systems also have other **special-purpose** processors such as device-specific processors for disk, keyboard, and graphics controllers. These processors run a limited instruction set and cannot run processes.

Sometimes these processors are managed by the OS, which sends them information about the next task and monitors their status.

In other systems, special-purpose processors are low-level components built into the hardware. The OS cannot communicate with these processors, as they execute jobs autonomously.

1.7.2 Multiprocessor Systems

Multiprocessor systems (or **parallel (tightly-coupled) systems**), are systems with two or more processors.



Multiprocessor systems have several advantages over single processor systems:

- **Increased throughput:** More work can be accomplished in less time
- **Economy of scale:** Multiprocessor systems are cheaper than equivalent multiple single processor systems
- **Increased reliability:** Graceful degradation or fault tolerance — if one CPU fails, the system can continue to operate

There are two types of multiprocessor systems:

- **Asymmetric multiprocessing:** Each processor is assigned a specific task, such as I/O or process scheduling
- **Symmetric multiprocessing:** Each processor performs all tasks, including OS activities

Symmetric Multiprocessing

The most common multiprocessor systems use symmetric multiprocessing (SMP), where each CPU performs all tasks, including operating system functions and user processes. Each CPU has its own registers and cache, but all processors share physical memory over the **same bus**.


The benefit of this model is that many processes can be run **simultaneously**, without performance degradation. However, since CPUs are separate, one may be idle while another is busy, resulting in inefficiencies.

One solution to this problem is to share certain **data structures** between processors. This will allow processors and resources (such as memory) to be shared dynamically amongst processors, reducing workload variation between processors.

Such systems must properly **schedule** and **synchronise** access to shared resources, to avoid conflicts between processors.

Multicore Systems

Multicore systems are systems with multiple computing cores on the same chip (processor).



Multicore systems:

- are more efficient than systems with multiple chips with single cores, because on-chip communication is faster than between-chip communication.
- use significantly less power than multiple single core chips.


Each core has its own local cache, known as **level-1**, or **L1 cache**. In addition to this, each core shares a **level-2**, or **L2 cache**, that is local to the chip. Lower levels of cache are generally smaller, but have faster access times than higher level shared caches.

A multicore processor with N cores appears to the operating system as N standard CPUs. This requires operating system designers and application programmers to make efficient use of these additional processing cores.

Non-Uniform Memory Access Multiprocessing Systems

While adding additional CPUs to multiprocessor systems increases computing power, contention for the system bus creates a bottleneck and limits performance. An alternative approach is to provide each CPU (or groups of CPUs) with its own **local memory** that is accessed via a small but fast, local bus. These CPUs are connected by a **shared system interconnect**, so that all CPUs share one physical address space.

This is known as a **non-uniform memory access** (NUMA) architecture.



The advantages and disadvantages of NUMA multiprocessing architectures are as follows:

- CPUs have fast access to local memory and require no contention over the system interconnect
- NUMA can be scaled more effectively as more CPUs are added
- There is increased **latency** when accessing **remote memory** across the system interconnect


Due to their excellent scalability, NUMA systems are very popular on servers and high-performance computing systems.

Blade Servers

Blade servers are systems in which multiple processor boards, I/O boards, and networking boards are placed in the same chassis. These servers consist of multiple independent multiprocessor systems, that runs its own operating system.

1.7.3 Clustered Systems

Clustered systems are another type of multiprocessor system that are composed of two or more individual systems, called **nodes**. Each system is typically a multicore system, and the system is **loosely coupled**. Clustered computers share storage via a **storage-area network** (SAN) and are usually connected via a **local-area network** (LAN).



High-Availability Service

The purpose of a clustered system is to provide a **high availability service**, that is, the ability to operate even if one or more nodes fail. This is achieved by adding a level of redundancy in the system. In this system, a layer of cluster software runs on the cluster nodes to monitor one or more nodes, such that if the monitored machine fails, the monitoring machine can take ownership of its resources.

The ability to continue providing service proportional to the level of surviving hardware is called **graceful degradation**. Some systems are called **fault-tolerant** if they can suffer a failure of a single component and still continue operation. Fault tolerance requires a mechanism to allow the failure to be detected, diagnosed, and corrected.

Clustering can be structured asymmetrically or symmetrically:

- **Asymmetric clustering** has one machine in **hot-standby mode**, while the other runs applications. The hot-standby host machine monitors the active server, so that if it fails, it will become the active server.
- **Symmetric clustering** has multiple hosts running applications, while monitoring each other. This structure is more efficient as it uses all available hardware, but only if more than one application is running.

High-Performance Computing

As a cluster consists of several computer systems connected via a network, clusters can also provide **high-performance computing** (HPC) environments. Such systems supply significantly greater computational power because they can run applications concurrently on several computers.

This is primarily useful for applications that utilise **parallelisation**, which is the process of breaking down a large task into smaller components that run on individual cores in a computer. These tasks are designed to then be recombined to produce the final result.

Parallel Clustering

Parallel clusters allow multiple hosts to access the same data on a shared storage over a **wide-area network** (WAN). Such systems require specialised versions of software that can support simultaneous data access by multiple hosts, to ensure that no conflicting operations occur. This function, commonly known as a **distributed lock manager** (DLM), is included in some cluster technologies.

1.8 Operating System Operations

1.8.1 Computer Startup

When a computer is turned on or rebooted, the first program it runs is a **bootstrap program** (**firmware**), which then loads the operating system. This program is stored in **read only memory** (ROM) or **electrically erasable programmable read only memory** (EEPROM). This storage is infrequently written to and is nonvolatile.

This program will search for an operating system kernel within all connected hard disks, optical drives, or USBs, and load the first one it finds into memory. The order in which this search is conducted is known as the **boot sequence**, and can be configured in the **basic input/output system** (BIOS).

Some services are provided outside the kernel, by system programs, that are loaded into memory at boot time to become **system daemons**, which run while the kernel is running. On Linux, the first system program is “**systemd**”, and it starts many other daemons.

Once this is completed, the system is fully booted, and waits for some event to occur. As discussed earlier, events are signalled via interrupts.

1.8.2 Multiprogramming

Users of a system typically want to run multiple programs at the same time, rather than having one program keeping the CPU or I/O devices busy at all times. **Multiprogramming** allows an operating system to increase CPU utilisation, and satisfy user requirements, by organising jobs (code and data) such that the CPU always has one to execute. In such a system, a program in execution is called a **process**.

The operating system keeps a subset of processes in memory, where the CPU executes processes one at a time, switching between processes when the current process no longer requires the CPU or is waiting for I/O. This ensures the CPU is never idle as long as there are processes to execute. This is known as **process scheduling**.

1.8.3 Multitasking

Multitasking (or **timesharing**) is a logical extension of multiprogramming, in which a CPU switches between multiple processes frequently, providing the illusion that multiple processes are executing simultaneously. For instance, the time a user takes to type a command or click a mouse is incredibly slow for a computer, and hence the CPU may switch to another process while waiting for the user to provide input.

Multitasked systems require additional considerations:

- **Interactive** systems require fast **response times** (less than 1s), so that users do not have to wait for long periods of time.
- Having several processes in memory requires **memory management**.
- If several processes are ready to be executed, **CPU scheduling** is required to decide which process to execute next.
- If multiple processes are executing concurrently, **process synchronisation** is required to ensure that processes do not interfere with each other.

For processes that are larger than **physical memory**, **virtual memory** may be used to execute processes that are stored partially in memory. This arrangement of memories addresses memory usage constraints.

*Both multiprogramming and multitasking systems must provide a **file system** to allow processes to access data stored on secondary storage. In addition to this, they must **protect resources** from inappropriate use, provide mechanisms to process **synchronisation and communication**, and ensure that processes do not get stuck in a **deadlock**.*

1.9 Dual-Mode Operation

As the operating system and users share hardware and software resources, the operating system must ensure that malicious programs cannot cause other programs (or the operating system itself) to execute incorrectly. To distinguish between the execution of operating system code and user-defined code, we can use **dual-mode** operation:

- **User mode** — used for executing user-defined code, and restricts direct access to hardware and special instructions.
- **Kernel mode** — used for executing operating system code, and allows direct access to hardware and all privileged instructions.


A **mode bit** is used to indicate the current mode of operation; 0, when the system is in kernel mode, and 1, when the system is in user mode. At system boot time, hardware starts in kernel

mode, and the operating system (when it is loaded), starts user applications in user mode.

When a trap or interrupt occurs, the hardware switches from user mode to kernel mode, and always switches to user mode *before* returning control to the user program.

This also allows us to designate certain machine instructions as **privileged instructions**, which can only be executed in kernel mode. For example, the instruction to switch from user mode to kernel mode is a privileged instruction.

An example is shown in the figure below.



1.10 Multi-Mode Operation

The dual-mode concept can be extended to include multiple modes of operation, where each mode has a different level of privilege. One such example of this is with CPUs that support virtualisation, where a separate mode is used to indicate when the **virtual machine manager** (VMM) is in control of the system.

1.11 Timers

To ensure that the operating system maintains control over the CPU, a **timer** is used to prevent a user program from running indefinitely.

- The operating system configures a timer to interrupt the CPU after a specific period of time, before transferring control to the user
- The timer is decremented for every clock tick
- An interrupt is generated when the timer reaches 0
- The operating system decides whether to regain control of the CPU, or allow the program to continue running

2 Operating System Structures

An operating system provides an environment for executing programs and services to programs and users. One set of operating system services provides functions that are helpful to the **user**:

- **User interface** — almost all operating systems have a **user interface** (UI) that is either **command-line** or **graphical**. This interface can be interacted with via the keyboard or mouse. Touchscreens also provide **touch screen interfaces**.
- **Program execution** — The system must be able to load programs into memory to run them, and also end their execution, either normally, or abnormally (due to an error).
- **I/O operations** — A running program may require I/O, which may involve a file or an I/O device. The operating system provides a uniform interface to I/O devices.
- **File-system manipulation** — Programs need to read and write files or directories, create or delete them by name, search for files, list file information, and manage permissions and ownership.
- **Communications** — Processes may exchange information, on the same computer or between computers over a network. Communications may be via **shared memory**, in which two or more processes read and write to a shared section of memory, or through **message passing**, where packets of information in predefined formats are moved between processes by the operating system.
- **Error detection** — The operating system must detect and correct errors constantly.
 - Errors may occur in the CPU and memory hardware (memory errors or power failures), I/O devices (parity errors or connection failures on a network, or lack of paper in a printer), and in user programs (division by zero or invalid memory access).
 - The operating system must take the appropriate action to ensure correct and consistent computing.
 - Debugging facilities can enhance the user's and programmer's abilities to efficiently use the system.

Another set of operating system functions exist to ensure efficient operation of the system via resource sharing:

- **Resource allocation** — When multiple users or multiple jobs running concurrently, resources must be allocated to each of them.
 - some resources (CPU cycles, main memory, and file storage), may have a special allocation code
 - others (such as I/O devices) may have general request and release codes
- **Accounting (logging)** — Keeping track of which programs use how much and what kinds of computer resources. This is valuable for system administration where a system can be fine-tuned to improve performance.

- **Protection and security** — The owners of information stored in a multi-user or networked system must be able to control access to that information.
 - Concurrent processes should not interfere with each other or the operating system itself
 - **Protection** ensures that all access to system resources is controlled
 - **Security** requires authentication from external users. This extends to defending external I/O devices from invalid access attempts
 - If a system is to be protected and secure, precautions must be instituted throughout it. A chain is only as strong as its weakest link.

2.1 User and Operating System Interface

There are many ways for users to interface with the operating system.

2.1.1 Command Interpreters

Most operating systems, including, Linux, UNIX, and Windows, treat command interpreters as a **special program** that is running when a process is initiated. On systems with multiple command interpreters, these are known as **shells**.

For example, on UNIX and Linux systems, users may choose amongst several shells including the **C shell**, **Bourne-Again shell**, **Korn shell**, and others.

The main function of a command interpreter is to fetch and execute user-specified commands. These commands can be implemented in two general ways:

- **Built-in commands** — Commands that are interpreted directly by the command interpreter and do not require the execution of another program.
- **System programs** — The command interpreter does not understand the command, and uses the command to identify a file to be loaded into memory and executed.

If the latter, adding new commands to the system is as simple as writing a new program, and modifying existing programs does not require shell modification.

2.1.2 Graphical User Interface

Rather than entering commands directly via a command-line interface, users can use the mouse, keyboard, and monitor to interact with images and icons on the screen (the desktop). Clicking mouse buttons may invoke additional actions that can provide information, display options, execute functions, open directories (folders), and so on.

Many systems now include both a CLI and GUI:

- **macOS** is implemented on the UNIX kernel, and provides an *Aqua* GUI and a command-line interface
- **Windows** provides a standard GUI and a CLI

- **UNIX and Linux** provide CLI shells with optional GUIs such as *K Desktop Environment* (KDE), or the GNOME desktop by the GNU project.

2.1.3 Touch Screen Interface

As a command-line or mouse-and-keyboard system is impractical for mobile systems, phones, tablets, and other mobile devices use a touch screen interface. These devices require the user to interact with the screen directly using gestures and a virtual keyboard.

2.2 System Calls

System calls provide an interface to the services made available by an operating system. These calls are generally available as functions written in C and C++, or sometimes Assembly.

These functions are typically accessed via a high-level **application programming interface** (API), rather than direct system calls.

Observe the following example of a system call sequence where we wish to copy a file's contents into another file:

```
Acquire input file name
  Write prompt to screen
  Accept input
Acquire output file name
  Write prompt to screen
  Accept input
Open the input file
  if file doesn't exist, abort
Create output file
  if file exists, abort
Loop
  Read from input file
  Write to output file
Until read fails
Close output file
Write completion message to screen
Terminate normally
```

2.3 Application Programming Interface

Even a simple program makes heavy use of the operating system. For this reason, application programmers design programs according to an **application programming interface** (API). This API specifies a set of functions that are available to an application programmer, including the parameters that are passed to each function and the return values the programmer can expect. On UNIX systems, we can use the `man` command to view the API for a given function.

Some examples of APIs include:

- the **Windows API** for Windows systems
- the **POSIX API** for UNIX, Linux, and macOS

A programmer accesses an API via a **library** of code, provided by the operating system. In the case of UNIX and Linux, programs written in the C language use a library called **libc**.

There are two main reasons for programming according to an API:


- **Program portability** — a program written to an API can be compiled on any system that supports that API
- **Ease of implementation** — making system calls manually may require more work and a deeper understanding of system functions

2.3.1 System Call Interface

An important factor in handling system calls is the **run-time environment** (RTE), which is a suite of software needed to execute applications written in a particular programming language, including compilers, interpreters, libraries and loaders. The RTE provides a **system-call interface** that serves as a link to system calls made available by the operating system.

The system-call interface **intercepts** function calls in the API and invokes the necessary system calls within the operating system. Typically, a number is associated with each system call, and the system-call interface maintains a table indexed according to these numbers. The system-call interface invokes the intended system call in the operating system kernel and returns the status of the system call with any return values.

Thus, the caller does not need to know anything about how the system call is implemented, rather it only needs to know obey the API and understand what the operating system will do as a result of this call. Below is an example of a user application invoking the `open()` system call:




2.3.2 System Call Parameter Passing

Often more information is required than simply the identity of the desired system call. There are three general methods used to pass parameters to the operating system:

- **Registers** — pass parameters to registers
- **Blocks** — parameters are stored in a block, or table, in memory, and the address of the block is passed as a parameter in a register
- **Stack** — parameters are pushed onto the stack, and popped by the operating system

The latter two approaches are preferred as they do not limit the number or length of parameters being passed.



2.4 Types of System Calls

System calls can be grouped into six major categories:

- Process control
- File management
- Device management
- Information maintenance
- Communications
- Protection

The following sections show examples of these types of system calls.

2.4.1 Process Control

- create process, terminate process
- load, execute
- get process attributes, set process attributes
- wait event, signal event
- allocate and free memory

2.4.2 File Management

- create file, delete file
- open, close
- read, write, reposition
- get file attributes, set file attributes

2.4.3 Device Management

- request device, release device
- read, write, reposition
- get device attributes, set device attributes
- logically attach or detach devices

2.4.4 Information Maintenance

- get time or date, set time or date
- get system data, set system data
- get process, file, or device attributes
- set process, file, or device attributes

2.4.5 Communications

- create, delete communication connection
- send, receive messages
- transfer status information
- attach or detach remote devices

2.4.6 Protection

- get file permissions
- set file permissions

2.4.7 Examples of Windows and UNIX System Calls

	Windows	UNIX
Process Control	CreateProcess()	fork()
	ExitProcess()	exit()
	WaitForSingleObject()	wait()
File Management	CreateFile()	open()
	ReadFile()	read()
	WriteFile()	write()
	CloseHandle()	close()
Device Management	SetConsoleMode()	ioctl()
	ReadConsole()	read()
	WriteConsole()	write()
Information Maintenance	GetCurrentProcessID()	getpid()
	SetTimer()	alarm()
	Sleep()	sleep()
Communications	CreatePipe()	pipe()
	CreateFileMapping()	shm_open()
	MapViewOfFile()	mmap()
Protection	SetFileSecurity()	chmod()
	InitializeSecurityDescriptor()	umask()
	SetSecurityDescriptorGroup()	chown()

2.5 System Services

System services, also known as system programs or utilities, provide a convenient environment for program development and execution. Some are simply user interfaces to system calls, while others are considerably more complex. Most users' view of the operating system is defined by system programs, not the actual system calls.

- **File management.** Programs that create, delete, copy, rename, print, list, or access and manipulate, files and directories.
- **Status information.** Programs that ask the system for the date, time, amount of available memory or disk space, number of users, or similar status information. Other programs provide detailed performance, logging, and debugging information. This information is typically

formatted and outputted to the user.

Some systems also support a **registry**, which is used to store and retrieve configuration information.

- **File modification.** Text editors may create and modify the content of files stored on disk. There may be special commands to search files or perform transformations of the text.
- **Programming-language support.** Compilers, assemblers, debuggers, and interpreters for common programming languages (such as C, C++, Java, and Python) are often provided with the operating system or available to download.
- **Program loading and execution.** Once a program is assembled or compiled, it must be loaded into memory to be executed. The system may provide absolute loaders, relocatable loaders, linkage editors, and overlay loaders.

Debugging systems for either higher-level languages or machine language are needed as well.

- **Communications.** These programs provide the mechanism for creating virtual connections amongst processes, users, and computer systems. They also allow users to send information to other machines.
- **Background services.** All general-purpose systems have methods for launching certain system-program processes at boot time. Some of these processes terminate after completing their tasks, while others continue to run until the system is halted. Constantly running processes are called services, subsystems, or daemons.

Along with these system programs, most operating systems are supplied with application programs that are designed to perform common operations.

2.6 Operating System Design and Implementation

2.6.1 Mechanisms and Policies

An important principle is the separation of **policy** from **mechanism**. Policies determine *what* will be done, and mechanisms determine *how* to do something.

The separation of policy and mechanism is important for **flexibility**. Policies are likely to change over time, and should not require a change in the underlying mechanism.

Microkernel based systems take this principle to the extreme, by only implementing a basic set of policy-free building blocks, so that more advanced mechanisms and policies can be added via user-created kernel modules.

In contrast, the Windows operating system and macOS, closely encode both mechanism and policy into the system to enforce a global look and feel across the system. All applications will therefore have similar interfaces, because the interface itself is built into the kernel and system libraries.

2.6.2 Implementation

Operating systems are typically implemented using several **high-level languages** such as C, C++, and assembly. While the kernel might be written assembly and C, higher-level routines and system libraries may be written using C++.

Some reasons for using higher-level languages are described below:

- Code is faster to write
- Code is more compact
- Code is easier to understand
- Code is easier to debug

In addition to this, improvements in compiler technology will improve the generated code for the entire operating system.

Another advantage is that operating systems can be **ported** to other hardware if they are written in a higher-level language. This is particularly important for operating systems intended to run on different hardware systems, such as embedded devices, Intel x86 systems, and ARM chips in mobile devices.

2.7 Operating System Structure

A system as large and complex as an operating system must be engineered carefully if it is to function properly and be modified easily. We will discuss the evolution of various structures in the following sections.


2.7.1 Monolithic Structures

The monolithic structure is the **simplest** structure for organising an operating system, as it uses **no structure** at all. All functionality of the kernel is placed into a single, static binary file, that runs in a single address space.


An example of such a structure is the original UNIX operating system, which consists of two separable parts:

- the kernel
- system programs

The kernel represents everything below the system-call interface and above the physical hardware. It provides the file system, CPU scheduling, memory management, and other operating-system functions through system calls.



The Linux operating system is based on UNIX and is structured similarly. Applications typically use the `glibc` standard C library when communicating with the kernel (through the system-call interface). The Linux kernel is monolithic, but has a **modular design** that allows the kernel to be modified during runtime.




Monolithic kernels introduce very little overhead in the system-call interface, and communication within the kernel is very fast. Despite their simplicity, monolithic kernels are however difficult to implement and extend.

2.7.2 Layered Structures

The monolithic approach is a **tightly coupled** system because changes to one part of the system have widespread effects on other parts of the system. Alternatively, we may consider a **loosely coupled** system which is divided into separate, smaller components that have specific and limited functionality. All these components comprise the kernel.

One way to achieve modularity is to use a **layered approach**, where the operating system is divided into a number of layers (levels). The bottom layer (layer 0) is the hardware, and the highest (layer N) is the user interface. This is shown below.



Each layer uses functions (operations) and services of only **lower-level layers**. This simplifies debugging and system verification.

Layered systems are used in computer networks (such as TCP/IP) and web applications, however relatively few operating systems use a purely layered approach due to the challenges of appropriately defining the functionality of each layer.

2.7.3 Microkernel Structures

As UNIX expanded, the kernel became large and difficult to manage, and thus a system called **Mach** was developed to modularise the kernel using the **microkernel** approach. The Mac OS X kernel, Darwin, is a well-known example of a microkernel operating system based on Mach.

In a microkernel structure, **all nonessential components** are removed from the kernel and are instead implemented as **user-level programs** that reside in separate address spaces. Typically, microkernels provide minimal process and memory management, in addition to a communication facility, resulting in a small (micro) kernel.


The main function of the microkernel is to provide communication between the client program and the various services that are also running in user space, using **message passing**.

There are several benefits of using a microkernel system:

- Easy to extend — new services added to the user space rarely require modification to the kernel. Changes to the kernel are also smaller
- Easy to port to new architectures
- More reliable and secure — most services are running as user processes, rather than kernel

processes

One of the main disadvantages of microkernels is the **performance overhead** associated with user space to kernel space communication. Communication between two user processes requires messages to be copied twice; once from the sending process to the kernel, and again from the kernel to the receiving process.



2.7.4 Modules

Most modern operating systems use **loadable kernel modules** (LKMs). Here, the kernel has a set of core components and can link in additional services via modules, either at boot time, or during run time. This design is common to modern implementations of UNIX, such as Linux, macOS, and Solaris, and also Windows.

In this structure, the kernel only provides core services, while other services are implemented **dynamically**, as the kernel is running. Linking services dynamically is preferable to adding new features directly to the kernel, as the latter requires recompiling the kernel every time a change is made.

The overall result resembles a layered system as each kernel section has defined, protected interfaces; however, it is more flexible than a layered system, as any module can call any other module.

This approach is also similar to the microkernel approach in that the primary module has only core functions and knowledge of how to load and communicate with other modules; but it is more efficient as modules do not need to invoke message passing in order to communicate.

Linux uses loadable kernel modules, primarily for device drivers. LKMs can be “inserted” into the kernel as the system is **booted** or during run time. If a device is not present, it can be dynamically loaded.

2.7.5 Hybrid Structures

Most modern systems combine several of the above approaches, resulting in hybrid systems that address performance, security, and usability issues. For example,

- Linux is monolithic to provide performance, and modular to allow dynamic loading of kernel services
- Windows is also monolithic, but retains the behaviour of a microkernel system, including support for separate subsystem *personalities* that run as user-mode processes
- Mac OS X is based on the Mach microkernel, and includes dynamically loadable modules called **kernel extensions**

3 Processes

A **process** is a program in execution, and is the unit of work in a modern computing system. Processes need resources to accomplish their task, including CPU time, memory, files, and I/O devices. These are typically allocated to processes while it is executing.


Modern operating systems support processes having multiple **threads** of control. On systems with multiple hardware processing cores, these threads can run in **parallel**. An important aspect of an operating system is how it **schedules** threads onto available processing cores.

3.1 Process Concept

Early computers were batch systems that executed **jobs**, followed by time-shared systems that ran **user programs** or **tasks**. On single-user systems, a user may be able to run several programs at one time, and even if that is not possible, the operating system may need to support internal programmed activities, such as memory management. Thus, the concept of a **process** was introduced to encapsulate these activities.

3.1.1 The Process

A process is a program in execution. The status of the current activity of a process is represented by the value of the **program counter** and the contents of a processor's registers. The memory layout of a process is divided into multiple sections, as shown in the figure below.



To summarise, these sections include:

- **Text** — the executable code
- **Data** — global variables
- **Heap** — memory dynamically allocated during run time
- **Stack** — temporary data storage such as function parameters, return addresses, and local variables

Notice how the sizes of the text and data sections are **fixed**, while the sizes of the heap and stack sections may **shrink and grow dynamically** during program execution.

When a function is called, an **activation record** containing function parameters, local variables, and the return address is pushed onto the stack. When control is returned from the function, this activation record is popped off the stack. Similarly, the heap grows as memory is dynamically allocated, and shrinks when memory is returned to the system.

The operating system must ensure that the stack and heap do not **overlap** in memory.

It is important to note that a program itself is not a process, but rather a **passive entity**, such as an **executable file**, that contains a list of instructions stored on disk. A process is an **active entity** with a program counter specifying the next instruction to execute, and a set of associated resources.


A **program** *becomes* a process when an executable file is loaded into memory, either through a GUI (i.e., mouse double-click), or through a command line interface. While **multiple processes** may be associated with the **same program**, these are considered as **separate** execution sequences, as they may have different data, heap, and stack sections. A process may also **spawn** many other processes as it runs.

3.1.2 Process State

As a process executes, it changes **state** according to its current activity:

- **New** — the process is being created
- **Running** — instructions are being executed
- **Waiting** — the process is waiting for some event to occur (such as an I/O completion or reception of a signal)
- **Ready** — the process is waiting to be assigned to a processor
- **Terminated** — the process has finished execution

It is important to realise that only one process can be **running** on any processor at any instant. A state diagram corresponding to these states is shown below:



3.1.3 Process Control Block

Each process is represented in the operating system by a **process control block** (PCB) (also called a **task control block**). It contains many pieces of information associated with a specific process, including:

- **Process state** — new, ready, running, waiting, halted
- **Program counter** — the address of the next instruction to be executed
- **CPU registers** — contents of all process-centric registers (accumulators, index registers, stack pointers, etc.)
- **CPU-scheduling information** — process priority, pointers to scheduling queues, etc.
- **Memory-management information** — memory allocated to the process: base and limit registers, page tables, segment tables
- **Accounting information** — amount of CPU and real time used, time limits, account numbers, job or process numbers, etc.
- **I/O status information** — devices allocated to the process, list of open files, etc.

To summarise, the PCB serves as the **repository** for all information needed to start, or restart, a process.

3.1.4 Threads

The process model described above implies that a process is a program that performs a **single thread of execution**. This single thread of control allows the process to perform only one task at a time. Most modern operating systems have extended the process model to allow a process to have multiple threads of execution, and thus perform more than one task at a time. This is especially beneficial on multicore systems, where multiple threads can run in parallel. On such systems, the PCB is expanded to include information for each thread.

3.1.5 Process Representation on Linux

On Linux, a process is represented by a `task_struct` structure, which is defined in the `<linux/sched.h>` header file. This structure contains many fields, including:

```
long state;           /* state of the process */
struct sched entity se; /* scheduling information */
struct task_struct *parent; /* this process's parent */
struct list_head children; /* this process's children */
struct files_struct *files; /* list of open files */
struct mm_struct *mm;    /* address space */
```

3.2 Process Scheduling

The objective of **multiprogramming** is to have some process running at all times, to maximise CPU utilisation. The objective of **timesharing** is to switch a CPU core amongst processes so frequently that users can interact with each program while it is running.


To meet these objectives, the **process scheduler** selects an available process for execution on a core. Each CPU core runs one process at a time. If there are more processes than cores, the scheduler must wait until a core is free and can be rescheduled. The number of processes currently in memory is known as **degree of multiprogramming**.

Balancing these objectives requires taking the general behaviour of the process into account.

- A process that spends more time doing I/O, is an **I/O bound process**
- A process that spends more time doing computation, is an **CPU bound process**

3.2.1 Scheduling Queues

The process scheduler maintains a set of **scheduling queues** for processes awaiting allocation of CPU time. These queues are typically implemented as **linked lists** of PCBs, with each queue having its own priority.



These queues include:


- **Job queue** — The set of **all** processes in the system
- **Ready queue** — As processes enter the system, they are placed in this queue which resides in main memory, where they are ready and waiting to execute
- **Wait queue** — The set of processes waiting for the occurrence of an event, such as I/O completion

Processes are initially placed in the **ready queue** where they wait to be selected by scheduler, or are **dispatched**. When a process is allocated to a CPU core, and is executing, one of several events may occur:

- The process may issue an I/O request and be placed in the *wait queue*
- The process may create a new child process and be placed in the *wait queue* while awaiting the child's termination
- The process may be removed forcibly from the CPU by the scheduler, either due to an interrupt or because that process's time slice has expired, and be placed back in the *ready queue*

In the first two cases, the process eventually returns to the ready queue. A process continues this cycle until it terminates, at which point, it is removed from all queues and has its PCB and resources de-allocated.

This is shown in the queueing diagram below:



3.2.2 CPU Scheduling

Processes migrate between the ready and wait queues throughout their lifetimes. The role of the **CPU scheduler** is to select from amongst the processes that are in the ready queue, and allocate a CPU core to one of them. This is known as **CPU scheduling**.

These schedulers are invoked at a different rate,


- The process scheduler is a **long-term scheduler**, as it is not invoked very frequently (seconds to minutes).
- The CPU scheduler is a **short-term scheduler**, as it is invoked frequently to prevent a single process from using a core for an extended period of time. The CPU scheduler often forcibly removes the CPU from a process every 100ms or faster.

Some operating systems have an intermediate form of scheduling known as **swapping**. This is a form of **medium-term scheduling**, where a process is removed from memory to reduce the degree of multiprogramming. This process can be reintroduced into memory later. A process's state is "swapped-out" from memory to disk, where its current status is saved, and later "swapped-in" to memory, with its status restored. This is useful when memory has been over-committed and needs to be freed up.

3.2.3 Context Switch

When the CPU switches to another process, the kernel performs a **state save** of the **current context** of the running process, then perform a **state restore** of that context when processing is complete. The **context** of a process is represented in the PCB of that process.

This process is known as a **context switch**.



Context switch time is pure overhead, as the system does no useful work while switching. More complex operating systems may require more information to be stored during a context switch, requiring more advanced memory-management techniques to be implemented.

3.3 Operations on Processes


The processes in most systems can execute concurrently, and may be created and deleted dynamically. These systems must provide a mechanism for process creation and termination.

3.3.1 Process Creation

During execution, a process may create several new processes. The creating process is called the **parent process** and the new processes are known as **child processes**. Each of these new processes may in turn create other processes, forming a **tree** of processes.

Most operating systems (including UNIX, Linux, and Windows) identify processes using a **process identifier** (pid), represented by an integer. The pid is unique for each process in the system, and can be used as an index to access various attributes of a process within the kernel.

On Linux, the **systemd** process is the first process to be created, and has a pid of 1. This process is responsible for starting all other processes in the system, such as the **logind** and **sshd** processes.



In general, when a process creates a child process, the child will need certain resources to accomplish its task. This process may be able to obtain these resources directly from the operating system, or be constrained to a subset of the parent's resources. In addition to supplying physical and logical resources, the parent process may pass initialisation data (input) to the child process.

When a process creates a new process, two possibilities for execution exist:

- The parent continues to execute concurrently with its children
- The parent waits until some or all of its children have terminated

There are also two address-space possibilities for the new process:

- The child process is a duplicate of the parent process (both share the same data)
- The child process has a new program loaded into it

In UNIX, the `fork()` system call is used to duplicate the calling process and create a new process. This allows the parent process to easily communicate with its child process. The child may then use the `exec()` system call to replace its memory space with a new program.

The parent process can either create more children, or issue a `wait()` system call to wait for a child to terminate.

When the `fork()` system call is invoked, the function returns the child processes pid to the parent process, but returns 0 to the child process. This allows us to distinguish between the parent and child process.

Consider the following code:

```
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <stdio.h>
```

```
#include <unistd.h>

int main()
{
    pid_t pid;

    /* fork a child process */
    pid = fork();
    // the parent process receives the child's pid
    // the child process receives 0

    if (pid < 0)
    { /* error occurred */
        fprintf(stderr, "Fork failed\n");
        return 1;
    }
    else if (pid == 0)
    { /* child process */
        printf("Child: fork() returned %5u PID %5u\n", pid, getpid());
        execl("/usr/bin/ls", "ls", NULL);
        printf("This line is never executed\n");
    }
    else
    { /* parent process */
        /* wait for children to terminate */
        wait(NULL);
        printf("Parent: fork() returned %5u PID %5u\n", pid, getpid());
    }

    return 0;
}
```

This program forks the parent process on line 11, and then waits for the child process to terminate. This program outputs the following:

```
Child: fork() returned      0 PID 15515
create_process.c create_process
Parent: fork() returned 15515 PID 15514
```

After the child process is created, the `exec()`² system call is used to replace the child's memory space with the `ls` program, causing the second print statement to not be executed.

The parent process can use the `wait()` system call to remove itself from the ready queue until the termination of the child process, after which the parent process can resume from where it left off.

²Note that `exec()` is often used to refer to a family of functions in C. While these functions refer to the same system call, they differ in the arguments they can accept. See the manpage for `exec` for more information.

3.3.2 Process Termination

A process terminates when it finishes executing its final statement, and asks the operating system to delete it by using the `exit()` system call. At this point, the process may return a status value to its parent, which is used to determine if the child process completed successfully. All resources allocated to the process are then de-allocated and reclaimed by the operating system.

A parent may terminate the execution of one or more of its children by using the `abort()` system call. This may be done for several reasons, including:

- The child process exceeded allocated resources
- The task assigned to the child is no longer required
- The parent is exiting, and the operating system does not allow a child to continue if its parent terminates. As a result, all child processes are terminated — **cascading termination**

The following example demonstrates how a parent process might see the exit status of a child process.

```
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>

int main()
{
    pid_t pid;

    pid = fork();

    if (pid < 0)
    { /* error occurred */
        fprintf(stderr, "Fork failed\n");
        return 1;
    }
    else if (pid == 0)
    { /* child process */
        // terminate the child process with exit code 1
        printf("Child PID %5u\n", getpid());
        exit(1);
    }
    else
    { /* parent process */
        // store the exit status of the child process
        int status;
        // return the pid of the child process that terminated
        pid = wait(&status);
    }
}
```

```
    printf("PID %5u exited with status 0x%x\n", pid, status);

    // the exit code is located in the most significant byte of status
    printf("Exit code: %d\n", WEXITSTATUS(status));
}

return 0;
}
```

This program outputs the following:

```
Child PID 28453
PID 28453 exited with status 0x100
Exit code: 1
```

When a process terminates, its resources are de-allocated by the operating system. However, its entry in the process table must remain until the parent calls `wait()`, because the process table contains the process's exit status.

- A process that has terminated but whose parent has not yet called `wait()` is known as a **zombie process**. All processes transition to this state when they terminate, but only for a short time.
- If a parent terminates without calling `wait()`, the child processes are **orphaned**. In this scenario, the operating system assigns the `systemd` process as the parent of any orphaned processes.

3.4 Interprocess Communication

Processes executing concurrently may either be **independent** or **cooperating** processes. Independent processes do not share data with other processes that are executing in the system, whereas cooperating processes can affect or be affected by other processes that are executing in the system.


There are several reasons for providing an environment that allows process cooperation:

- **Information sharing** — processes may access the same piece of information
- **Computation speed-up** — tasks may be subdivided and executed in parallel on multiple cores
- **Modularity** — dividing a system function into separate processes allows different parts of the system to be implemented independently

Cooperating processes require an **interprocess communication** (IPC) mechanism that allows them to exchange data and information. There are two models of IPC:

- **Shared memory** — processes exchange information by reading and writing data to a shared region of memory

- **Message passing** — processes communicate with one another by exchanging messages



Message passing is useful for exchanging small amounts of data, without needing to avoid conflict. Shared memory can be faster than message passing, as all accesses are treated as routine memory accesses, and no assistance from the kernel is required, aside from establishing the shared memory region.

3.5 Shared Memory

A common paradigm for shared memory is the **producer-consumer** problem. A **producer** process *produces* information that is *consumed* by the **consumer** process. For example, a server might provide web content, which is read by a client web browser.

A solution to this shared memory problem is to use a **buffer** that is filled by the producer, and emptied by the consumer. In such a system, the producer and consumer must be **synchronised** to ensure the consumer does not try to consume data that has not yet been produced.

Two types of buffers can be used:

- **Unbounded buffer** — the buffer has no fixed size; the producer can keep producing data, the consumer may need to wait if it is reading faster than the producer is writing
- **Bounded buffer** — the buffer has a fixed size; the producer must wait if the buffer is full, and the consumer may need to wait if it is reading faster than the producer

In either case, at least one process is waiting for the other to either write data, or to read data.

The shared buffer can be implemented using a circular array with two pointers **in** and **out**.

```
#define BUFFER_SIZE 4
```

```
// Shared memory
```

```
int buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];

int in = 0; // Index for producer
int out = 0; // Index for consumer

void produce(int *produced)
{
    while (1)
    {
        // Wait until consumer has consumed next index
        while (((in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE) == out)
            ; // Buffer is full, do nothing

        // Buffer has space, produce item
        buffer[in] = *produced;

        // Update index
        in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    }
}

void consume(int *consumed)
{
    while (1)
    {
        // Wait until producer has produced next index
        while (in == out)
            ; // Buffer is empty, do nothing

        // Buffer has item, consume it
        *consumed = buffer[in];

        // Update index
        out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    }
}
```

As the producer cannot write to the buffer when the consumer points to the next location to be written, the total number of items the buffer can hold is `BUFFER_SIZE - 1`.

3.6 Message Passing

Message passing provides a mechanism to allow processes to communicate and to synchronise their actions without sharing the same address space. It is particularly useful when communicating processes reside on different computers that are connected by a network.

A message passing facility provides two operations:

- `send(message)` — send a message to another process
- `receive(message)` — receive a message from another process

Messages sent by a process can be either **fixed** or **variable** in size. If only fixed-size messages are sent, the system-level implementation is more straightforward, however the task of programming the application becomes more difficult. Conversely, if variable-sized messages are sent, the system-level implementation is more complex, but the task of programming the application becomes easier.

If processes P and Q wish to communicate, they must

- establish a **communication link** between them
- exchange messages via **send/receive** primitives

These links can be implemented in a variety of ways:

- physically — shared memory, hardware bus
- logically — direct or indirect communication, synchronous or asynchronous communication, automatic or explicit buffering

3.6.1 Naming

Processes that want to communicate must be able to refer to each other. This can be done either **directly** or **indirectly**.

Under direct communication, each process must explicitly name the recipient or sender of the communication:

- `send(P, message)` — send a message to process P
- `receive(Q, message)` — receive a message from process Q

In this scheme, a link is established between each pair of processes that wish to communicate. This allows for a **symmetric** or **asymmetric** link to be established. The above example uses symmetric addressing, but it is possible for the recipient to not name the sender:

- `send(P, message)` — send a message to process P
- `receive(id, message)` — receive a message from any process; the variable id is set to the name of the sender

The disadvantage of direct communication is the limited modularity of the resulting system. If a process changes identifier, it may be necessary to update all processes that communicate with it.

In contrast, **indirect communication** allows messages to be passed to and from **mailboxes** or **ports**. Messages can be placed into mailboxes and received by other processes that have access to this shared mailbox. The `send()` and `receive()` primitives are then extended to include the name of the mailbox:

- `send(A, message)` — send a message to mailbox *A*
- `receive(A, message)` — receive a message from mailbox *A*

In this method, we must decide how many processes can read from a mailbox, or if they must take turns.

3.6.2 Synchronisation

Communication can be either **synchronous** or **asynchronous**, also known as **blocking** and **non-blocking** respectively. In relation to message passing, this means:

- **Blocking send.** The sending process must wait until the transmitted message is received by the receiving process
- **Non-blocking send.** The sending process can send a message and resume operation immediately
- **Blocking receive.** The receiving process must wait until a message is available
- **Non-blocking receive.** The receiving process can receive a valid message or null

If both send and receive are blocking, there is a **rendezvous** between the sender and receiver.

3.7 POSIX Shared Memory

This section explores a mechanism for shared memory provided by the POSIX API. POSIX shared memory is organised using **memory-mapped** files that associate a region of shared memory with a file. A process must first create a shared-memory object using the `shm_open()` system call:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

#include <fcntl.h>

#include <sys/shm.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>

int main()
{
    /* the size (in bytes) of shared memory object */
    const int SIZE = 4096;

    /* name of the shared memory object */
    const char *name = "OS";

    /* strings written to shared memory */
    const char *message = "Hello";
```

```

    /* shared memory file descriptor */
    int fd;

    /* pointer to shared memory object */
    char *ptr;

    /* create the shared memory object */
    // params:
    // - name of the shared memory object
    // - flags - create the shared memory object if it does not exist,
    //           allow reading and write
    // - file-access permission
    fd = shm_open(name, O_CREAT | O_RDWR, 0666);

    /* configure the size of the shared memory object */
    ftruncate(fd, SIZE);

    /* establish a memory-mapped file containing the shared memory object */
    // params:
    // - start address
    // - length of the mapping
    // - memory protection - page can be read and written to
    // - flags - mapping is shared
    // - file descriptor
    // - offset from the beginning of the file
    ptr = (char *)mmap(0, SIZE, PROT_READ | PROT_WRITE, MAP_SHARED, fd, 0);

    /* write to the shared memory object */
    sprintf(ptr, "%s", message);
    ptr += strlen(message);

    return 0;
}

```

The consuming process can then read the contents of this shared memory using the code below.

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

#include <fcntl.h>

#include <sys/shm.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>

```

```
int main()
{
    /* the size (in bytes) of shared memory object */
    const int SIZE = 4096;

    /* name of the shared memory object */
    const char *name = "OS";

    /* shared memory file descriptor */
    int fd;

    /* pointer to shared memory object */
    char *ptr;

    /* open the shared memory object */
    // params:
    // - name of the shared memory object
    // - flags - allow reading only
    // - file-access permission
    fd = shm_open(name, O_RDONLY, 0666);

    /* establish a memory-mapped file containing the shared memory object */
    // params:
    // - start address
    // - length of the mapping
    // - memory protection - page can be read and written to
    // - flags - mapping is shared
    // - file descriptor
    // - offset from the beginning of the file
    ptr = (char *)mmap(0, SIZE, PROT_READ | PROT_WRITE, MAP_SHARED, fd, 0);

    /* read from the shared memory object */
    printf("%s", (char *)ptr);

    /* remove the shared memory object */
    shm_unlink(name);

    return 0;
}
```

3.7.1 Pipes

Pipes allow two processes to communicate with each other through message passing. The producer writes to the **write end** of the pipe, and the consumer reads from the **read end** of the pipe. As a result, pipes are **unidirectional**, and only allow one-way communication. Below is an example of

a pipe being used to communicate between a parent and child process.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

#include <sys/types.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#define BUFFER_SIZE 25

int main(void)
{
    char write_msg[BUFFER_SIZE] = "Hello";
    char read_msg[BUFFER_SIZE];

    int fd[2]; // fd[0] - read, fd[1] - write
    pid_t pid;

    if (pipe(fd) == -1)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "Pipe failed");
        return 1;
    }

    pid = fork();

    if (pid < 0)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "Fork failed");
        return 1;
    }

    if (pid > 0) // parent process
    {
        close(fd[0]); // close read end

        // write to pipe
        write(fd[1], write_msg, strlen(write_msg) + 1);
        printf("Writing: \"%s\" to pipe.\n", write_msg);

        close(fd[1]); // close write end
    }
    else // child process
    {
        close(fd[1]); // close write end
```

```

    // read from pipe
    read(fd[0], read_msg, BUFFER_SIZE);
    printf("Reading \"%s\" from pipe.\n", read_msg);

    close(fd[0]); // close read end
}

return 0;
}


```

4 Threads

The process model described above implies that a process is a program with a **single thread of execution**. However, most modern operating systems allow processes to have multiple threads of execution. Identifying opportunities for parallelism in a program is a very important in multicore systems with multiple CPUs.

4.1 Overview

A **thread** is a basic unit of CPU utilisation, consisting of a thread ID, a program counter, a register set, and a stack. It shares with other threads belonging to the same process its code section, data section, and other operating-system resources, such as open files and signals. If a process has multiple threads of control, it can perform more than one task at a time.



4.1.1 Motivation

Many modern applications are designed to leverage processing on multicore systems to perform multiple tasks in parallel across multiple computing cores. For example, a web server may have one thread to listen for incoming requests, and another thread to process these requests. Most operating system kernels are also multithreaded.

As process creation is time-consuming and resource intensive, it is more efficient to use one process with multiple threads.


4.1.2 Benefits

There are several benefits to multithreaded programming:

- **Responsiveness** — may allow continued execution if part of a process is blocked. This is especially important for user interfaces.
- **Resource sharing** — threads share resources of the process to which they belong, including memory and files. This is easier than using shared memory or message passing.
- **Economy** — creating and managing threads is generally faster than creating and managing processes. Context switching between threads incurs less overhead than between processes.
- **Scalability** — multithreaded programs can take advantage of multiprocessor architectures, as threads can be run in parallel on different processing cores.

4.2 Multicore Programming

Consider the following application with four threads. On a system with a single computing core, concurrency is achieved by time slicing the CPU between the threads executing only one thread at a time. On a system with multiple cores, concurrency is achieved by running the threads in parallel on different cores, because the system can assign a separate thread to each core.



Note the distinction between **parallelism** and **concurrency**.

- A **concurrent** system supports more than one task by allowing all tasks to make progress. On a single core system, the scheduler switches between tasks to achieve this.
- A **parallel** system can perform more than one task simultaneously.

Thus, a concurrent system does not imply it is also parallel. There are two types of parallelism:

- **Data parallelism** — distributes subsets of the same data across multiple cores, performing the same operation on each core.

- **Task parallelism** — distributes tasks (threads) across multiple computing cores, each of which performs a unique operation.

Note that these two types of parallelism are not mutually exclusive, and an application may use a combination of both.

4.2.1 Programming Challenges

Multicore systems introduce several challenges for programmers to make better use of multiple computing cores. Designers of operating systems must write their own scheduling algorithms that use multiple cores to allow parallel execution of threads. In general, there are five areas that present challenges:

- **Identifying tasks** — identifying tasks that can be divided into separate, concurrent tasks. Ideally, these tasks are independent of one another, and can run on individual cores.
- **Balance** — ensuring that all cores perform an equal amount of work. When one task contributes significantly less value than others, it may be necessary to use a separate execution core.
- **Data splitting** — dividing data into subsets that can be operated on in parallel.
- **Data dependency** — ensuring that tasks which access the same data are synchronised.
- **Testing and debugging** — testing and debugging multithreaded programs is more difficult than single-threaded programs.

Amdahl's Law

Amdahl's Law is a formula that identifies performance gains from adding additional cores, to an application that has both serial and parallel components. If S is the fraction of the application that must be performed serially on a system with N cores, then


$$\text{speed-up} = \frac{1}{S + \frac{1-S}{N}}.$$

4.3 Multithreading Models

Support for threads can be provided either at the user level, for **user threads**, or at the kernel level, for **kernel threads**. User threads are managed by user-level threads libraries such as:

- POSIX Pthreads
- Windows threads
- Java threads


Kernel threads are managed directly by the operating system, and this is the case for most modern operating systems, such as Windows, Linux, and macOS.



For the user space to utilise multiple cores, a relationship must be established between user threads and kernel threads. The following sections will explore various models that achieve this.


4.3.1 Many-to-One Model

The many-to-one model maps many user-level threads to a single kernel thread. Thread management is done by the thread library in user space, so it is efficient. However, an entire process will be blocked if a thread makes a blocking system call. Additionally, because only one thread can access the kernel at a time, multiple threads are unable to run in parallel on multicore systems.




4.3.2 One-to-One Model

The one-to-one model maps each user thread to a kernel thread, and is the most common approach. This allows multiple threads to run in parallel on multicore systems. However, creating a user thread requires creating a kernel thread, which is expensive and thus many kernel threads may affect performance.




4.3.3 Many-to-Many Model

The many-to-many model multiplexes any number of user threads to an equal or smaller number of kernel threads. This model allows the operating system to create a sufficient number of kernel threads for a particular application or machine. Although this model is the most flexible, it is also the most difficult to implement.



4.3.4 Two-Level Model

A two-level model is similar to the many-to-many model, but allows a user thread to be bound to a kernel thread.



4.4 Thread Libraries

Thread libraries provide the programmer with an API for creating and managing threads. There are two primary ways of implementing a thread:

- User-level library, where support is limited to the user space.
- Kernel-level library, which is supported by the operating system.

Three thread libraries are in use today:

- POSIX Pthreads — a thread's extension of the POSIX standard which may be provided as either a user-level or kernel-level library.
- Windows threads — a kernel-level library that is part of the Windows API.
- Java threads — a user-level library provided managed directly in Java programs.

There are two strategies for creating multiple threads:

- **Asynchronous threading** — the parent thread continues to execute concurrently and independently with the child thread it created. This is useful for tasks that require little data sharing between threads.
- **Synchronous threading** — the parent thread waits until the child thread completes before continuing. This is useful for tasks that require significant data sharing between threads, where a parent thread may need to combine the results of multiple child threads.

4.4.1 Pthreads

Pthreads refers to the POSIX standard (IEEE 1003.1c) defining an API for thread creation and synchronisation. This is a specification for thread behaviour, not an implementation. Systems that implement the Pthreads specification include UNIX-type operating systems such as Linux and macOS.

The following program calculates the sum of the first n integers using a multithreaded program that follows the Pthreads API.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

#include <pthread.h>

unsigned int sum; /* data shared by thread(s) */

void *runner(void *param); /* function called by threads */

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    if (argc != 2)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s <integer value>\n", argv[0]);
        return -1;
    }
}
```

```

    /* thread identifier */
    pthread_t tid;

    /* set of thread attributes */
    pthread_attr_t attr;

    /* set default attributes for thread */
    pthread_attr_init(&attr);

    /* create thread */
    // params:
    // - thread identifier
    // - thread attributes
    // - function to be executed by thread
    // - function arguments
    pthread_create(&tid, &attr, runner, argv[1]);

    /* wait for thread to exit */
    pthread_join(tid, NULL);

    printf("sum = %u\n", sum);
}

/* The thread begins control in this function */
void *runner(void *param)
{
    unsigned int i, upper = atoi((char *)param);
    sum = 0;

    for (i = 1; i <= upper; i++)
        sum += i;

    pthread_exit(0);
}

```

In this program, the `sum` variable is shared between the parent and child threads. Each child thread begins control within the `runner` function.

The parent thread waits for all child threads to complete by calling the `pthread_join()` function.

4.5 Implicit Threading

To address the challenges of creating and managing threads, many programming languages transfer these responsibilities to compilers and run-time libraries. This is known as **implicit threading**. The programmer must then identify tasks, rather than threads, that can be executed in parallel.

These tasks are usually defined as functions that can be mapped to a separate thread, typically using the many-to-many model. Three common approaches to implicit threading are discussed below.

4.5.1 Thread Pools

A **thread pool** is a collection of worker threads that are created at start-up and placed into a pool, where they wait for work. When a task is to be performed, a thread is removed from the pool and assigned to the task. When the task is complete, the thread returns to the pool and waits for more work.

This approach has the following advantages:

- Overhead of thread creation is avoided by servicing requests using an existing thread.
- The number of threads available can be bounded to the size of the pool.
- The separation of task submission from thread management allows for different strategies for scheduling tasks on threads. For example, a task can be scheduled to execute periodically.

Thread pools are supported by the Windows API.

4.5.2 OpenMP

OpenMP is a set of compiler directives and an API for C, C++, and Fortran that provides support for parallel programming in shared-memory environments. OpenMP identifies **parallel regions** as blocks of code that may run in parallel. These regions are defined using *#pragma* directives as shown below.

```
#include <stdio.h>

#include <omp.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    /* sequential code */
    int N = 10;
    int a[N], b[N], c[N];

    /* parallel code */
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        printf("Parallel region\n");
    }

    #pragma omp parallel for
    {
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
```

```

        c[i] = a[i] + b[i];
    }

    /* sequential code */
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
        printf("%d\n", c[i]);

    return 0;
}

```

In this code, the `#pragma omp parallel` directive creates as many threads as cores in the system, and all threads execute the code within the parallel region. To parallelise loops, the `#pragma omp parallel for` directive can be used to distribute the iterations of a for loop across threads.

OpenMP also allows developers to specify the number of threads manually, and identify whether data should be shared or private to each thread. OpenMP is available on several open-source and commercial compilers for Linux, Windows, and macOS systems.

4.5.3 Grand Central Dispatch

Grand Central Dispatch (GCD) is a technology developed by Apple for macOS and iOS. It is a combination of a run-time library, API, and language extension that allows developers to identify tasks that can be executed in parallel. GCD manages most of the details of threading.

GCD schedules tasks for run-time execution by placing them in a **dispatch queue**. When a task is ready to execute, it is removed from this queue and assigned to an available thread from a thread pool. There are two types of dispatch queues:

- **Serial queues** — tasks are executed in FIFO order, and a task must be completed before the next task is executed. This queue is called the **main queue** of a process.
- **Concurrent queues** — tasks are also executed in FIFO order, but multiple tasks may be removed at a time, allowing them to be executed in parallel. These tasks are prioritised into three system-wide queues.

In C, GCD defines a language extension called a **block** that is a self-contained unit of work specified by a caret (^):

```

^ { /* code */ }

```

4.6 Threading Issues

This section discusses issues that arise when designing multithreaded programs.

4.6.1 `fork()` and `exec()` System Calls

The semantics of the `fork()` system call in a multithreaded program may not be well-defined. If a thread calls `fork()`, does the new process duplicate all threads, or is the new process single-threaded? In some UNIX systems, two versions of the `fork()` system call are defined to address this ambiguity.

In the case of a thread calling `exec()`, the new program specified will usually replace the entire process, including all threads.

4.6.2 Signal Handling

Signals are used in UNIX systems to notify a process that a particular event has occurred. For example, illegal memory access or division by 0. Signals may be received both synchronously and asynchronously, and follow the same pattern:

1. A signal is generated by a particular event.
2. The signal is delivered to a process.
3. The signal is handled by either a default or user-defined signal handler.

Every signal has a default handler that the kernel runs when handling that signal. This may be overridden by a user-defined signal handler. Some signals may be ignored, whereas some signals terminate the program.

For single-threaded applications, signals are delivered to the process. However, it is not clear where a signal should be delivered in a multi-threaded application. One of the following options exist:

- Deliver the signal to the thread to which the signal applies.
- Deliver the signal to every thread in the process.
- Deliver the signal to certain threads in the process.
- Assign a specific thread to receive all signals for the process.

4.6.3 Thread Cancellation

Thread cancellation terminates a thread before it has completed. For example, if multiple threads are concurrently searching a large data structure, and one thread finds the result, the other threads may be cancelled.

The thread to be cancelled is called the **target thread**, and there are two ways to cancel this thread:

- **Asynchronous cancellation** — terminates the target thread immediately.
- **Deferred cancellation** — allows the target thread to periodically check if it should be cancelled.

This poses a difficulty when resources are shared between threads. For example, if a thread is cancelled while it is modifying data shared with other threads, it is not possible to reclaim all

resources used by the target thread, as other threads may be using them.

In Pthreads, the `pthread_cancel()` function indicates a request to cancel the target thread, which may be deferred or asynchronous. Program cancellation occurs when the thread reaches its **cancellation point**. A cancellation point can be specified using `pthread_testcancel()`, which checks if a cancellation request has been made.

A **clean-up handler** may be invoked to release any resources before the thread is terminated.


4.6.4 Thread-Local Storage

Thread-local storage allows threads to have a separate copy of shared data in a process. This is useful when the thread the developer has no control over the thread creation process.

This data has a similar visibility to static variables, but it is unique to each thread.

4.6.5 Scheduler Activations

Systems implementing the many-to-many and two-level threading models require communication between the kernel and thread library to allow the number of kernel threads allocated to the program to be adjusted dynamically. This is done using an intermediate data structure between user and kernel threads, known as a **lightweight process (LWP)**.



To the user-thread library, the LWP appears as a virtual processor on which the process can schedule a user thread to run. Each LWP is attached to a kernel thread, and it is the kernel thread that is scheduled by the operating system.

One scheme for communication is known as **scheduler activation**. In this scheme, the kernel provides an application with a set of virtual processors (LWPs). The kernel informs an application about events using an **upcall** that are handled by the thread library in an **upcall handler**.

5 Synchronisation

A cooperating process is a process that can affect other processes in a system. These processes allow concurrent access of data which may result in data inconsistency. This section explores the various mechanisms that can be used to ensure consistency.

5.1 Background

Processes executing concurrently or in parallel may be interrupted at any point in their execution, even if that process has partially completed an operation.

Consider an updated producer-consumer shared memory implementation, where the producer and consumer access a shared variable `count` to allow the entire buffer to be utilised. This variable counts the number of items not yet consumed by the consumer.

```
#define BUFFER_SIZE 4

// Shared memory
int buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];

// Shared variable
int count = 0; // Items to be consumed

int in = 0; // Index for producer
int out = 0; // Index for consumer

void produce(int *produced)
{
    while (1)
    {
        // Wait until consumer has consumed next index
        while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
            ; // Buffer is full, do nothing

        // Buffer has space, produce item
        buffer[in] = *produced;

        // Update index
        in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
        count++;
    }
}

void consume(int *consumed)
{
    while (1)
    {
        // Wait until producer has produced next index
        while (count == 0)
            ; // Buffer is empty, do nothing

        // Buffer has item, consume it
```

```

        *consumed = buffer[in];

        // Update index
        out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
        count--;
    }
}

```

Assuming `count = 5` initially, and the increment and decrement operations are implemented in Assembly like so:

```

register1 = count;
register1 = register1 + 1;
count = register1;

register2 = count;
register2 = register2 - 1;
count = register2;

```

then if during the concurrent execution of this program, the CPU executes these instructions in the following manner:

```

register1 = count;           // producer, register1 = 5
register1 = register1 + 1;   // producer, register1 = 6
// interrupt
register2 = count;           // consumer, register2 = 5
register2 = register2 - 1;   // consumer, register2 = 4
// interrupt
count = register1;           // producer, count = 6
// interrupt
count = register2;           // consumer, count = 4

```

then, the resulting value of `count` will incorrectly be 4, rather than 5.

The situation where multiple processes manipulate the value of the same variable concurrently, where the order in which access to the variable occurs is important, is known as a **race condition**.

To guard against the race condition encountered above, processes need to be synchronised.

5.2 The Critical-Section Problem

Consider a system consisting of n processes $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}\}$, each of which has a segment of code, called a **critical section**, in which the process may access and update data shared with at least one other process. In this system, no two processes may be simultaneously inside their critical sections.

The solution to this problem splits the program into the following sections:

- entry section
- critical section
- exit section
- remainder section

Each process requests permission to enter its critical section in the entry section. Once it has finished executing its critical section, the process may be followed by an exit section, to indicate that it has finished. The remainder section consists of any code that is not critical.

This solution must also satisfy the following three requirements:

- **Mutual exclusion** — if process P_i is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections.
- **Progress** — if no process is currently executing in its critical section, then only those processes not in their remainder section may participate in the decision of which process will enter its critical section next. This decision must be made in a finite amount of time.
- **Bounded waiting** — there exists a bound on the number of times that a process requests to enter its critical section before that request is granted. This prevents a process from waiting forever to enter its critical section.

Here we assume that each process executes at a nonzero speed, but there is no assumption concerning the relative speed of the n processes.

There are two approaches to handle critical sections depending on the kernel:

- **Preemptive kernel** — the kernel may pre-empt (interrupt) a process while it is executing in kernel mode.
- **Non-preemptive kernel** — the kernel does not pre-empt (interrupt) a process while it is executing in kernel mode.

While the non-preemptive kernel is free of race conditions, it may be more responsive as there is no risk that a process will run forever.

5.3 Peterson's Solution

Peterson's solution is a two process solution to the critical section problem which uses two variables to control access to the critical section. An implementation of Peterson's solution is shown below.

```
#include <pthread.h>

volatile int turn = 0;
volatile int flag[2] = {0, 0};
```

```
void *P(void *arg)
{
    int i = (int *)arg; // this process
    int j = 1 - i;      // the other process

    /* entry section */
    flag[i] = 1; // i wants to enter critical section
    turn = j;    // but first let j enter critical section
    while (flag[j] && turn == j)
        ;       // wait for j to leave critical section

    /* critical section */

    /* exit section */
    flag[i] = 0; // i finished critical section

    /* remainder section */
    pthread_exit(NULL);
}

int main(void)
{
    pthread_t thread1, thread2;

    // create two threads
    pthread_create(&thread1, NULL, P, (void *)0);
    pthread_create(&thread2, NULL, P, (void *)1);

    // wait for threads to finish
    pthread_join(thread1, NULL);
    pthread_join(thread2, NULL);

    return 0;
}
```

A problem with this solution is that the operating system is free to reorder instructions to optimise runtime, resulting in both threads entering their critical sections at the same time.

5.4 Hardware Support for Synchronisation

The above solution is a *software-based* solution as it does not rely on any special support from the operating system or hardware, to ensure mutual exclusion.

As will be discussed in the next section, locks are a common mechanism for ensuring mutual exclusion. Locks can be used to prevent a process from entering its critical section, if another

process is already in its critical section.

5.4.1 Atomic

An **atomic operation** is an indivisible operation that cannot be interrupted by the operating system.

Atomic variables provide atomic operations on basic data types such as integers, and can be modified by multiple threads without race conditions.

5.5 Mutex Locks

A **mutex lock** (mutual exclusion lock) is a lock that can be used to ensure mutual exclusion. A mutex lock protects critical sections and thus prevents race conditions. A mutex lock has two operations:

- `acquire()` — if the lock has been released, acquire it, otherwise wait until it is.
- `release()` — release the lock.

A thread cannot enter its critical section until it has acquired the mutex lock and must release the mutex lock when it exits its critical section. These functions can be implemented as follows:

```
acquire()
{
    while (!available)
        ; // busy wait

    available = false;
}

release()
{
    available = true;
}

do
{
    acquire();
    // critical section
    release();
    // remainder section
} while (true);
```

The calls to `acquire()` and `release()` must be **atomic**.

A problem with this implementation is that it requires **busy waiting** where a thread waits for a condition to met without yielding control to the operating system. This is wasteful as it consumes

CPU cycles that could be used by other threads.

This particular scenario is known as a **spinlock**, as lock contention is low, and the thread is likely to acquire the lock after a short duration. Here waiting is more efficient than performing a context switch to another thread.

5.6 Semaphores

Semaphores are similar to mutex locks, but allow multiple threads to access a shared resource simultaneously. Semaphores can be accessed by two atomic operations:

- `wait()` — if the value of the semaphore is nonzero, decrement it and continue, otherwise, wait until it is.
- `signal()` — increment the value of the semaphore.

The number a semaphore is initialised to is the number of threads that are allowed to access the shared resource simultaneously. If this number is equal to 1, the semaphore is called a **binary semaphore**, and is equivalent to a mutex lock. When a semaphore is initialised to a value greater than 1, it is called a **counting semaphore**. Semaphores can be implemented as follows:

```
wait(S)
{
    while (S <= 0)
        ; // busy wait

    S--;
}

signal(S)
{
    S++;
}

do
{
    wait(S);
    // critical section
    signal(S);
    // remainder section
} while (true);
```

where both `wait()` and `signal()` must be atomic.

5.6.1 Precedence

If a process must be executed before another process, a semaphore can be used to enforce this precedence. Given processes P_1 and P_2 with statements S_1 and S_2 respectively, if P_1 must be executed before P_2 , then the following code can be used:

```
// P1
S1;
signal(S);

// P2
wait(S);
S2;
```

where S is a binary semaphore initialised to 0. This code requires P_1 to signal S before P_2 can execute.

5.6.2 Non-Busy Waiting

Both mutex locks and the above implementation of the semaphore require threads to busy wait. This can be avoided by **suspending** threads that need to wait for a semaphore. This is done by maintaining a queue of suspended threads, where each suspended thread transfers control to the CPU scheduler. When a thread has released a semaphore, it must wake up a thread from the queue. An implementation of this is shown below:

```
typedef struct
{
    int value;
    struct process *list;
} semaphore;

void wait(semaphore *S)
{
    S->value--;
    if (S->value < 0)
    {
        // add this process to S->list;
        sleep();
    }
}

void signal(semaphore *S)
{
    S->value++;
    if (S->value <= 0)
    {
```

```
        // remove a process from S->list;
        wakeup();
    }
}

do
{
    wait(S);
    // critical section
    signal(S);
    // remainder section
} while (true);
```

In this implementation, semaphore values may be negative to allow the number of waiting threads to be tracked as the absolute value of the semaphore value.

5.6.3 Deadlock and Starvation

A **deadlock** occurs when two or more threads are waiting for an event that can only be caused by one of the waiting threads. In the following example, both thread 0 and 1 are waiting for the other thread to release the semaphore, resulting in a deadlock.

```
// thread 0
wait(S0);
wait(S1);
signal(S0);
signal(S1);

// thread 1
wait(S1);
wait(S0);
signal(S1);
signal(S0);
```

A **starvation** occurs when a thread is perpetually denied access to a resource. This can occur when a thread has a lower priority than other threads, and thus is never scheduled.

5.6.4 Semaphore Problems

Semaphores may be misused in the following ways:

- If a `signal()` operation is executed before a `wait()` operation, the mutual-exclusion requirement will be violated.
- If a `wait()` operation is executed twice without an intervening `signal()` operation, the thread will permanently block on the second call to `wait()` as the semaphore value will be unavailable.

- If either `wait()` or `signal()` is omitted, mutual exclusion may be violated, or the thread will block indefinitely.

5.7 Monitors

Monitors are a high-level abstraction that provide a convenient and effective mechanism for process synchronisation. This reduces the risk of programmer error when using semaphores.

A monitor is an **abstract data type** (ADT) that encapsulates data with a set of methods that operate on that data. A monitor is defined as follows:

```
monitor monitor-name
{
    // shared variable declarations
    // procedures that operate on the shared variables
    procedure P1(...) { ... }
    ...
    procedure Pn(...) { ... }

    // initialisation code
    initialisation(...) { ... }
}
```

where each operation within this monitor is mutually exclusive. All internal variables must only be accessed by the procedures within the monitor, and local variables must be private to each procedure. The monitor maintains a queue of threads waiting to enter the monitor, and only one thread may be executing within the monitor at any time.

This is not sufficient to model certain synchronisation problems, and therefore, monitors also provide the **condition construct**.

```
condition x, y;
```

A condition variable has two operations:

- `wait()` — a thread that invokes an operation is suspended until another thread invokes `signal()`.
- `signal()` — resumes a process (if any) which called `wait()`. This function may be called multiple times, and has no effect if no threads are suspended.

5.8 Classical Problems of Synchronisation

This section explores three classical problems of synchronisation, and provides solutions using the concepts discussed above.

5.8.1 The Bounded-Buffer Problem

In this problem, processes produce and consume data from a shared buffer of size n . The solution to this problem requires the following shared data:

```
#define BUFFER_SIZE n
semaphore mutex = 1; // controls access to critical section
semaphore empty = N; // counts empty buffer slots
semaphore full = 0;  // counts full buffer slots
```

The producer and consumer can be implemented as follows:

```
// producer
while (true)
{
    /* produce an item */
    wait(empty);
    wait(mutex);
    /* add item to buffer */
    signal(mutex);
    signal(full);
}

// consumer
while (true)
{
    wait(full);
    wait(mutex);
    /* remove item from buffer */
    signal(mutex);
    signal(empty);
    /* consume the item */
}
```

5.8.2 The Readers-Writers Problem

In this problem, a data set is shared amongst a number of concurrent processes, where some processes only read the data, and others can both read and write the data. In the following solution, the data set is protected by two semaphores:

```
semaphore mutex = 1;    // control access to read_count variable
semaphore rw_mutex = 1; // controls access to data
int read_count = 0;     // number of readers accessing data
```

The reader and writer processes can be implemented as follows:

```

// reader
while (true)
{
    wait(mutex); // ensure exclusive access to read_count
    read_count++;
    if (read_count == 1)
        wait(rw_mutex); /* first reader locks data */
    signal(mutex);
    /* read data */
    wait(mutex); // ensure exclusive access to read_count
    read_count--;
    if (read_count == 0)
        signal(rw_mutex); /* last reader unlocks data */
    signal(mutex);
}

// writer
while (true)
{
    wait(rw_mutex); // ensure exclusive access to data
    /* write data */
    signal(rw_mutex);
}

```

5.8.3 The Dining-Philosophers Problem

In this problem, n philosophers sit around a table with a bowl of rice in the centre. These philosophers spend their lives thinking and eating. Each philosopher has a plate of food in front of them, with chopsticks between each plate.

This problem has the following constraints:

- When a philosopher is hungry, they will try to pick up the two chopsticks adjacent to their plate, one at a time. They cannot take a chopstick that is held by a neighbouring philosopher.
- When a philosopher has two chopsticks, they may eat for a finite amount of time.
- When a philosopher is finished eating, they must put down both chopsticks and think.
- When a philosopher is thinking, they do not need any chopsticks.

The first solution to this problem uses semaphores. Consider the shared data:

```
semaphore chopstick[n];
```

where all elements of this array are initialised to 1. The philosopher processes can be implemented

as follows:

```
// philosopher i
while (true)
{
    wait(chopstick[i]);
    wait(chopstick[(i + 1) % n]);
    /* eat */
    signal(chopstick[i]);
    signal(chopstick[(i + 1) % n]);
    /* think */
}
```

This solution is correct, but may result in **starvation** as a philosopher may never be able to acquire both chopsticks if other philosophers are constantly eating. Additionally, if all philosophers are hungry at the same time, they may all acquire one chopstick and wait forever for the other, resulting in a **deadlock**.

To solve the problem of deadlock, a monitor can be used.

```
monitor DiningPhilosophers
{
    enum { THINKING, HUNGRY, EATING } state[n];
    condition self[n];

    void pickup(int i)
    {
        state[i] = HUNGRY;      // ith philosopher is hungry
        test(i);                // try to eat
        if (state[i] != EATING) // if unable to eat
            self[i].wait();      // wait to be signalled
    }

    void putdown(int i)
    {
        state[i] = THINKING;    // ith philosopher is thinking
        test((i + n - 1) % n);  // allow left neighbour to eat if hungry
        test((i + 1) % n);      // allow right neighbour to eat if hungry
    }

    void test(int i)
    {
        // if neither neighbour is eating
        // and ith philosopher is hungry
        if ((state[(i + n - 1) % n] != EATING) &&
```

```

        (state[i] == HUNGRY) &&
        (state[(i + 1) % n] != EATING))
    {
        state[i] = EATING;
        self[i].signal(); // signal ith philosopher to eat
    }
}

initialisation()
{
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        state[i] = THINKING;
}
}

```

This solution ensures that a philosopher only picks up a chopstick if both of their neighbours are not eating. This prevents deadlock as there will always be at least one philosopher that can eat.

6 Safety Critical Systems

Safety critical systems are systems whose failure may result in one of the following outcomes:

- illness, serious injury, or death
- damage to or loss of equipment or property
- damage to the environment

The increase of software in safety critical systems necessitates the proper design and implementation of these systems.

6.1 Safety Critical Software

Software is not inherently safe or unsafe. However, the use of software in a safety critical system may contribute to an unsafe situation. Such software is considered safety critical.

Low risk systems are safety related, whereas high risk systems are safety critical.

6.2 Dependability

Dependability is the most important property of a system critical system. It reflects the user's confidence in a system. The costs of system failure are often very high, and so dependability covers a range of attributes such as:

- **Reliability** — The ability to deliver services as specified.
- **Availability** — The ability to deliver services when required.

- **Safety** — The ability to operate without catastrophic failure.
- **Security** — The ability to protect itself against accidental or deliberate intrusion.

Both reliability and availability are measured as a probability of failure over a given time period.

6.3 Achieving Safety

- **Hazard avoidance** — The system should be designed to avoid hazards.
- **Hazard detection and removal** — The system should be designed to detect hazards and remove them before they cause accidents.
- **Damage limitation** — The system should be designed to limit the damage caused by hazards.

In complex systems, accidents typically occur as a result of multiple failures. As such, designing a system to be completely safe is difficult. **Dependability costs** increase exponentially as increasing levels of dependability are required, and therefore a compromise must be made between design cost and dependability.

6.4 Risk Analysis

Failures in safety critical systems can be caused by:

- **Hardware failure** — Manufacturing, end-of-life, or design error.
- **Software failure** — Specification, design, or implementation errors.
- **Operational failure** — Human or socio-technical errors.

6.4.1 Hazard and Risk

- A **hazard** is a situation that has the potential to cause harm.
- **Risk** encapsulates the probability that a hazard will lead to an accident, and the severity of the consequences of that accident. Risk is a product of the probability of accident and the severity of the consequences.

Risk analysis involves identifying hazards and limiting the risks associated with them.

6.4.2 Faults and Failures

A **fault** is an event that may lead to a failure, whereas a **failure** is the inability of a system or component to perform its required function within specified limits.

Failures may be the result of one or more faults, and there are several ways to trace a failure back to its cause:

- **Failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA)** — A systematic way of identifying the possible faults that may lead to a failure, and the consequences of that failure.

- **Failure tree analysis** (FTA) — A graphical technique that can be used to analyse the combination of faults that may lead to a failure.

6.5 Safety Standards

Many standards have been developed to ensure the safety of software in particular domains. One standard is the **International Electrotechnical Commission** (IEC) 61508 standard, which is a generic standard for functional safety. This standard has the following views on risk:

- Zero risk can never be reached, but the risk can be reduced to an acceptable level.
- Non-tolerable risks must be reduced as lot as reasonably possible.
- Optimal cost-effective safety is achieved when addressed in the entire safety lifecycle.

IEC 61508 defines three successive tiers of safety assessments:

- **Safety Instrumented Systems (SIS)** — The entire system that is composed of Safety Instrumented Functions (SIF).
- **Safety Instrumented Functions (SIF)** — A function implemented by the SIS that is intended to achieve or maintain a safe state for the process.
- **Safety Integrity Level (SIL)** — A measure of the safety integrity requirements of a particular SIF. SIL 1 is low risk and therefore allows for a higher probability of failure, and SIL 4 is high risk and therefore requires a Lower probability of failure.

6.6 Real-Time Operating Systems

Real-time operating systems (RTOS) are operating systems that are designed to meet the requirements of real-time applications. The following considerations must be made when designing an RTOS:

- **Tasking**
 - Tasks terminating or deleting
 - Overflows within a kernel's storage area for task control blocks
 - Tasks exceeding stack size limits
- **Scheduling**
 - Deadlocks
 - Resource starvation
 - Unbounded execution times
- **Memory and I/O Access**
 - Inappropriate pointer usage

- Data erasure
 - Unauthorised access
- **Queueing**
 - Overflows
- **Interrupt and Error Handling**
 - Lack of error handling
 - Lack of interrupt handling
 - Improper protection of supervisor tasks

6.7 DO-178B Standard

The DO-178B standard is a software standard for airborne systems. It consists of five main processes:

- Software planning
- Software development
- Software verification
- Software configuration management
- Software quality assurance

6.7.1 Software Planning Process

The software planning process determines what needs to be done to produce safe and requirements-based software. It's expected outputs are:

- A plan for software aspects of certification
- A plan for software development
- A plan for software verification
- A plan for software configuration management
- A plan for software quality assurance

6.7.2 Software Development Process

The software development process is broken into four sub-processes:

- **Software requirements** — High-level requirements in relation to functionality, performance, and safety.

- **Software design** — Low-level requirements used to implement source code.
- **Software coding** — Production of source code from the design process.
- **Software integration** — Integration of software into a real-time environment.

This process is iterative, and the expected outputs are:

- Software requirements data
- Software design description
- Source code
- Executable object code

6.7.3 Software Verification Process

Software verification consists of:

- verification of software requirements
- validation of software design

these can be achieved through reviews, analysis, and testing. This process outputs:

- Software verification cases and procedures
- Software verification results

6.7.4 Software Configuration Management Process

The software configuration management process is used to establish secure and effective configuration control for all artefacts. The following activities may be conducted:

- Identification of configuration items
- Change control
- Baseline establishment
- Software archival

This process outputs:

- Software configuration index
- Software lifecycle environment configuration index

6.7.5 Software Quality Assurance Process

The software quality assurance process provides assurance that the software life cycle process will yield quality software. Each process is analysed to demonstrate that processes produce expected

outputs. Changes to originally proposed plans are reported, evaluated, and resolved to ensure process integrity.

6.8 Programming Standards

While many languages are used in safety critical systems, certain languages may be preferred for various purposes. Many C standards also subset the language to remove features that are considered unsafe.

6.8.1 MISRA C

MISRA C is a set of guidelines on a subset of the C language in critical systems. MISRA C has three levels of compliance:

- **Mandatory** — These rules must be followed without exception.
- **Required** — These rules must be followed but may be violated in certain situations. These violations must also be documented.
- **Advisory** — These rules should be followed but are not mandatory.

MISRA C is used for error prevention, rather than error detection, and violation of a guideline does not necessarily mean that a program is incorrect.

7 Distributed Systems

A distributed system is a collection of independent processors that do not share memory or a clock. Each node in the system has its own local memory, and communicates with other nodes through various networks.

A distributed system is a collection of loosely coupled nodes, interconnected by a communication network. The processes in a distributed system are called nodes, sites, machines, or hosts.

Nodes can exist in a **client-server**, **peer-to-peer**, or **hybrid** configuration. In a client-server architecture,

- **Site** refers to the physical location of a node
- **Servers** provide resources which **clients** want to use

7.1 Advantages of Distributed Systems

- **Resource sharing** — provides mechanisms for:
 - sharing files at remote sites
 - processing information in a distributed database
 - printing files at remote sites

- using remote specialised hardware such as supercomputers or graphical processing units
- **Computation speed-up** — computation that can be partitioned into sub-computations can be distributed amongst several nodes. If a particular site is overloaded with requests, some requests can be moved or rerouted to other lightly loaded sites. This is known as **load balancing** or **job migration**.
- **Reliability** — the failure of a node does not necessarily cause the failure of the entire system. The failure of a node must be detected by the system and appropriate actions must be taken to recover from the failure. This may include transferring the function of the failed node to another node.
- **Communication** — high-level functions can be expanded to allow communication between processes on different machines.

7.2 Network Structure

Networks are used to connect nodes in a distributed system. There are two types of network:


- **Local-area network** (LAN) — a network that covers a small geographical area, such as a single building or a cluster of buildings.
- **Wide-area network** (WAN) — a network that covers a large geographical area, such as a country or the world.

These differences imply variations in the speed and reliability of the communications networks, and these are reflected in the design of distributed systems.

7.2.1 Local-Area Networks

Local-area networks are typically found in homes and offices, where it is more economical to have a number of computers with their own self-contained applications, than to have a single large system. To allow some form of data sharing between these computers, a LAN is used. Below are some characteristics of LANs:


- LANs can take multiple forms, such as a bus, ring, or star topology.
- LANs provide communication speeds from 1 Mbs^{-1} to 40 Gbs^{-1} when using Ethernet over twisted pair copper or optical fibres.
- LANs consist of multiple different computers (including, workstations, servers, laptops, mobile devices), peripherals (such as printers, scanners, storage arrays), and specialised network communication processors like routers that provide access to other networks.
- Ethernet is the most common LAN technology, and is defined by the IEEE 802.3 standard.
- WiFi is increasingly used for networking and is defined by the IEEE 802.11 standard which is constantly evolving.



7.2.2 Wide-Area Networks

Wide-area networks are typically used for communication over large areas, such as between cities or countries. Communication links are controlled by routers that forward messages between sites.

Hosts are generally on LANs, which are connected to the Internet through routers. WANs are also slower than LANs due to the distances they cover.



7.3 Network and Distributed Operating Systems

There are two main categories of network-oriented operating systems. **Network operating systems** are simpler to implement but generally more difficult for users to access and use. **Distributed operating systems** provide more features.

7.3.1 Network Operating Systems

Network operating systems provide environments for users to access remote resources, and this is done explicitly by:

- **Remote Login** — Logging into machines remotely through ssh or telnet.

- **Remote File Transfer** — Transferring data between local and remote machines, via ftp.

In this model, users must establish a **session**, and provide network-based commands to access remote resources, through clients, which is potentially more difficult for users.

7.3.2 Distributed Operating Systems

Distributed operating systems allow users to access remote resources as if they were local. As such, users are unaware of the multiplicity of machines and the distribution of resources. Distributed operating systems implement one or more of the following:

- **Data Migration** — transfer data, either partially, or completely, from one machine to another.
- **Computation Migration** — transfer computation across the system via
 - Remote procedure calls (RPCs)
 - A messaging system
- **Process Migration** — transfer an entire process or parts of it on different sites. This may be used for several reasons:
 - **Load balancing.** Processes (or subprocesses) may be distributed across sites.
 - **Computation speed-up.** Processes that can be divided into subprocesses can run concurrently on different sites.
 - **Hardware preference.** Process execution may be more suitable on specialised processors.
 - **Software preference.** Processes may require software that is only available at a particular site.
 - **Data access.** If the data used in a computation is numerous, it may be more efficient run that process remotely.

7.4 Communication Structure

The design of a communication network must address four basic issues:

- **Naming and name resolution** — How do two processes locate each other?
- **Routing strategies** — How are messages sent through the network?
- **Connection strategies** — How do two processes send a sequence of messages?
- **Contention** — How are resources shared?

7.4.1 Naming and Name Resolution

Within a computer system, processes are identified by a unique process identifier. As networked systems do not share memory, processes are identified by a `<host name, identifier>` pair, where the **host name** is a unique name within the network, and the **identifier** is a unique identifier within the host.

The **domain name system** (DNS) specifies the naming structure of hosts, and resolves host names to IP addresses.

7.4.2 Routing Strategies

Routing strategies determine how messages are sent through the network. There are three main strategies:

- **Fixed routing** — The route is determined in advance, and only changes if a link fails.
 - As the shortest path is usually chosen, communication costs are minimised.
 - Cannot adapt to load changes.
 - Ensures that messages are delivered in the correct order.
- **Virtual routing** — The route is fixed for the duration of the session.
 - Can adapt to load changes for next session if the current route is overloaded.
 - Ensures that messages are delivered in the correct order.
- **Dynamic routing** — The route is determined for each message.
 - Adapts to load changes by sending messages on the least used link at that particular time.
 - Cannot ensure that messages are delivered in the correct order as messages may take different routes. This can be remedied by adding sequence numbers to messages.
 - More complex to implement.

Often a combination of these strategies are used in practise.

7.4.3 Connection Strategies

The connection between two systems can be handled in one of three ways:

- **Circuit switching** — A permanent physical link is established for the duration of the communication.
- **Message switching** — A temporary link is established for the duration of one message.
- **Packet switching** — Messages of variable lengths are divided into fixed-length packets that are sent to the destination.

- each packet may take a different route through the network
- packets must be reassembled into the original message as they arrive

Circuit switching requires more setup time, but incurs less overhead for transmitting each message, and may waste network bandwidth.

Message and packet switching require less setup time, but incur more overhead per message.

7.4.4 Design Issues

Several design issues must be considered when designing a communication network, including:

- **Transparency** — The distributed system should appear as a conventional, centralised system to the user.
- **Fault tolerance** — The distributed system should continue to function correctly in the presence of faults.
- **Scalability** — The distributed system should be able to accept the addition of new resources to accommodate increase in demand

7.5 Socket Programming

Sockets are a method of IPC that allow data to be exchanged between applications, either on the same host, or on different hosts connected by a network. The following sections cover the basics of socket programming.

7.5.1 Sockets

In a client-server scenario, application communicate using sockets:

- Each application creates a socket. A socket is an endpoint for communication, and both applications require one.
- The server application binds a name to its socket. This allows the client to identify the server.

A socket is created using the `socket()` system call, which returns a socket descriptor:

```
fd = socket(domain, type, protocol);
```

7.5.2 Communication Domains

Sockets exist in a communication domain which determines:

- the method of identifying a socket (the format of a socket address)
- the range of communication (between applications on a single host, or different hosts)

Most operating systems support at least the following domains:

- The **UNIX domain** (`AF_UNIX`) — for communication between processes on the same host. The address structure used in this domain is `sockaddr_un` where the address format consists of a pathname.
- The **IPv4 domain** (`AF_INET`) — for communication between processes on different hosts connected via an Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4) network. The address structure used in this domain is `sockaddr_in` where the address format consists of a 32-bit IP address and a 16-bit port number.
- The **IPv6 domain** (`AF_INET6`) — for communication between processes on different hosts connected via an Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) network. The address structure used in this domain is `sockaddr_in6` where the address format consists of a 128-bit IP address and a 16-bit port number.

Here AF stands for **address family**.

7.5.3 Socket Types

Socket implementations also provide at least two types of sockets:

- **Stream sockets** (`SOCK_STREAM`) — provide a reliable, bidirectional, connection-based byte-stream (no concept of message boundaries) communication channel. Socket streams can only be connected to one peer.
- **Datagram sockets** (`SOCK_DGRAM`) — allow data to be exchanged in the form of datagrams, where message boundaries are preserved. Datagrams don't need to be connected to another socket to be used.

In the Internet domain, stream sockets usually employ the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), and datagram sockets employ the User Datagram Protocol (UDP).

7.5.4 Socket System Calls


The key socket system calls are listed below:

- `socket()` — creates a new socket
- `bind()` — binds a socket to an address
- `listen()` — allows a stream socket to accept incoming connections from other sockets
- `accept()` — accepts a connection from a peer application on a listening stream socket, returning the address of the peer socket
- `connect()` — establishes a connection with another socket

Socket I/O can be performed using the `read()` and `write()` system calls, or the socket specific systems calls `send()`, `recv()`, `sendto()`, and `recvfrom()`. By default, these are blocking system calls, but can be made non-blocking by setting the `O_NONBLOCK` flag using the `fcntl()` system call.

7.5.5 TCP Server Example

The following diagrams illustrate the system calls used in TCP and UDP socket programming.



8 CPU Scheduling

CPU scheduling is the basis of multiprogrammed operating systems. By switching the CPU amongst processes, the operating system can make a computer more productive. The following sections consider scheduling algorithms, and the performance metrics used to evaluate them.

8.1 Basic Concepts

The objective of multiprogramming is to have a process running at all times, to maximise CPU utilisation. A process executes until it needs to wait, typically for an I/O request, entering a waiting

queue, so that another process can be selected for execution. In this model, several processes are kept in memory, and the CPU is always executing one of these processes.

8.1.1 CPU-I/O Burst Cycle

Process execution consists of a **cycle** of CPU execution and I/O wait. A process alternates between these two states until it is terminated. Process execution begins with a **CPU burst**, is followed by an **I/O burst**, and so on. The duration of each burst depends on the process and hardware, but generally, **I/O bound** processes have short CPU bursts and long I/O bursts, and **CPU bound** processes have a few long CPU bursts.

8.1.2 CPU Scheduler

The **CPU scheduler** is responsible for selecting a process from the **ready queue** to be executed by the CPU. The ready queue is a list of processes residing in main memory, waiting to be executed by the CPU. The ready queue's implementation depends on the scheduling algorithm used.

8.1.3 Preemptive and Non-preemptive Scheduling

CPU scheduling decisions may take place under the following conditions:

1. When processes switch from the running state to the waiting state.
2. When processes switch from the running state to the ready state.
3. When processes switch from the waiting state to the ready state.
4. When a process terminates.

In situations 1 and 4, a new decision must be made, as the current process cannot continue executing. In situations 2 and 3, a choice can be made to continue executing the current process, or allow another process to execute.

When scheduling decisions are made only under conditions 1 and 4, the scheduling scheme is **non-preemptive** or **cooperative**. When scheduling decisions are made under all four conditions, the scheduling scheme is **preemptive** or **non-cooperative**.

Under non-preemptive scheduling, a process is allocated a CPU, until it terminates or switches to the waiting state. Under preemptive scheduling, a condition may cause the currently running process to move to the ready queue, allowing another process to run.


When using preemptive scheduling it is important to consider access to shared data and the state of the process when that preemption occurs, such as when the process is in kernel mode, or if the interrupt occurs during a critical section.

8.1.4 Dispatcher

The **dispatcher** is the module that gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the CPU scheduler. This involves:

- Switching context from one process to another
- Switching to user mode
- Jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program

The dispatcher should be as fast as possible, as it is invoked during every context switch. The time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another is known as the **dispatch latency**. This is illustrated below



8.2 Scheduling Criteria

The following scheduling criteria are used to evaluate the quality of a scheduling algorithm:

- **CPU utilisation** — percentage of time the CPU is busy
- **Throughput** — number of processes that complete their execution per time unit
- **Turnaround time** — amount of time to execute a process, from submission to completion
- **Waiting time** — amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue after submission
- **Response time** — amount of time from submission until the first response

Submission refers to when the process arrives in the ready queue, and completion refers to when the process terminates or switches to the waiting queue.

8.3 Scheduling Algorithms

8.3.1 First-Come, First-Served Scheduling

First-come, first-served (FCFS) scheduling is a non-preemptive scheduling algorithm that schedules processes in the order of arrival. The implementation of this algorithm is easily managed

with a FIFO queue. When a process enters the ready queue, its PCB is linked onto the tail of the queue. When the CPU is free, it is allocated to the process at the head of the queue. The process runs until it terminates or switches to the waiting state. The CPU is then allocated to the process at the head of the queue.

The average waiting time for each process in this algorithm varies significantly based on the arrival order of processes.

8.3.2 Shortest-Job-First Scheduling

Shortest-job-first (SJF) scheduling is a non-preemptive scheduling algorithm that executes processes in order of CPU burst times. If two processes have the same next CPU burst, FCFS scheduling is used to break the tie.

SJF scheduling is provably optimal as it yields the minimum average waiting time, however it cannot be implemented in practise as the length of the next CPU burst is generally not known in advance.

8.3.3 Shortest-Remaining-Time-First Scheduling

Shortest-remaining-time-first (SRTF) scheduling is a preemptive version of SJF scheduling. When a new process enters the ready queue, its CPU burst length is compared to the remaining time of the currently running process. If the new process has a shorter CPU burst, the currently running process is pre-empted, and the new process is allocated the CPU. If the currently running process has a shorter CPU burst remaining, the new process is added to the ready queue.

Both SJF and SRTF suffer from **starvation**, where a process with a long CPU burst may never be allocated the CPU.

8.3.4 Priority Scheduling

Priority scheduling is a scheduling algorithm that allocates the CPU to the process with the highest priority. Priority is an integer value associated with each process, where a low value indicates a high priority. Priority scheduling may be either preemptive or non-preemptive.

Priority scheduling also suffers from **starvation**, but can use **ageing** to prevent this. In ageing, as time progresses, the priority of a ready process increases, preventing low priority processes from being starved.

8.3.5 Round-Robin Scheduling


Round-robin (RR) scheduling is a preemptive scheduling algorithm that allocates the CPU to each process for a fixed time interval known as a **time quantum** or **time slice**. The ready queue is treated as a circular queue, such that once the running process has exhausted its time quantum, it is pre-empted, and added to the tail of the ready queue. The process at the head of the queue is then allocated the CPU for the time quantum. The queue is again treated as a FIFO queue.

Given n processes with time quantum q , each process is allocated the CPU for $1/n$ CPU time of at most q time units at once. No process waits for more than $(n - 1)q$ time units.

For large values of q , RR scheduling degenerates to FCFS, and when q is too small, the overhead of context switching becomes too large.

8.3.6 Multilevel Queue Scheduling

In both priority and RR scheduling, all processes are placed in a single queue and may require $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time to search for the next process to execute. **Multilevel queue** scheduling uses multiple queues to simplify this process, by distinguishing between various priority levels. As an example, processes may be divided into the queues shown in the figure below:



A common division is a **foreground** (interactive) and **background** (batch) processes. In this approach, each queue uses a separate scheduling algorithm, such as RR for foreground processes and FCFS for background processes.

In addition, there must be scheduling between the queues, which is commonly implemented as fixed-priority preemptive scheduling. Here, the higher priority queues are always serviced first, and lower priority queues are only serviced when higher priority queues are empty. Note that this has the possibility of starvation.

Alternatively, we can time-slice the queues so that each queue is allocated a certain amount of CPU time which can be scheduled amongst its processes. For example, 80% of CPU time may be allocated to foreground processes, and 20% to background processes.

8.3.7 Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling


In multilevel queue scheduling, processes are permanently assigned to a queue when they enter the system. This reduces scheduling overhead, but is inflexible. **Multilevel feedback queue** scheduling allows processes to move between queues, by separating processes according to the characteristics of their CPU bursts. If a process uses too much CPU time, it is moved to a lower priority queue. Likewise, if a process waits too long in a lower-priority queue, it may be moved to a higher-priority queue. This form of ageing prevents starvation.

In general, a multilevel feedback queue scheduler is defined by the following parameters:

- The number of queues

- The scheduling algorithm for each queue
- The method used to determine when to upgrade a process to a higher-priority queue
- The method used to determine when to demote a process to a lower-priority queue
- The method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs servicing

An example of a multilevel feedback queue scheduler is shown below:



8.4 Thread Scheduling

On most modern operating systems, kernel-level threads are scheduled instead of processes. User-level threads are managed by a thread library and are ultimately mapped to kernel-level threads to be executed. On systems implementing the many-to-one and many-to-many models, the thread library schedules user-level threads to run on an available LWP. This scheme is known as **process-contention scope** (PCS) as competition for the CPU takes place amongst threads in the same process. To decide which kernel-level thread to schedule onto a CPU, the kernel uses **system-contention scope** (CSC), where competition takes place amongst all threads in the system.

8.5 MultiProcessor Systems

Multiprocessor systems allow for parallel execution of processes using load sharing, however this increases the complexity of scheduling. The term multiprocessor applies to any of the following system architectures:

- Multicore CPUs
- Multithreaded cores
- NUMA systems
- Heterogeneous systems


The first three of these architectures have identical or homogeneous processors.

8.5.1 Multiple-Processor Scheduling

One approach to CPU scheduling in a multiprocessor system has all scheduling decisions, I/O processing, and system activities handled by a single processor — the master server. The other processors only execute user code. This **asymmetric multiprocessing** is simple as only one processor accesses the system data structures, reducing the need for data sharing. A downfall of this approach is that the master server can become a bottleneck.


Alternatively, each processor may perform its own scheduling, known as **symmetric multiprocessing** (SMP). In this approach, each processor is self-scheduling and processors may either share a common ready queue, or have their own private queue.

This is illustrated below.




8.5.2 Multicore Scheduling

Multicore processors place multiple CPUs on a single chip to increase performance and consume less power than multiple single-core processors. When scheduling on such systems, processes often spend a significant amount of time waiting for data to become available, as modern processors operate at much faster speeds than memory. This situation is known as a **memory stall**. Memory stalls may also be the result of a **cache miss** (accessing data that is not yet in the cache).



Recent hardware designs implement multithreaded processing cores such that two (or more) **hardware**

threads are assigned to each core. By doing so, processors can take advantage of memory stalls by switching to another thread while waiting for data.



8.5.3 Load Balancing

On SMP systems, it is important to keep the workload balanced across all processors to fully utilise the benefits of having more than one processor. **Load balancing** is the process of redistributing the workload amongst the processors.

Load balancing can be implemented in two ways:

- **Push migration** — a periodic task checks the load on each processor and push threads from an overloaded processor to idle or less busy processors.
- **Pull migration** — idle processors pull threads from busy processors.

8.5.4 Processor Affinity

To attempt to keep a thread running on the same processor and take advantage of a *warm cache*, processes have an affinity for the processor they are currently running on. **Processor affinity** is the binding of a process to a particular processor, so that the process only executes on the designated processor.

Processes may be given one of two types of affinity:

- **Soft affinity** — the kernel attempts to keep the process on the same processor, but may move it to another processor for load balancing.
- **Hard affinity** — the process is bound to a specific set of processors, and will not run on any other processor.

9 Deadlocks

In a multiprogramming environment, several threads may compete for a finite number of resources. A thread requests a resource, and if it is not available, enters a waiting state. A **deadlock** occurs when a waiting thread cannot change state, because the resource it has requested is held by other waiting states. The following sections discuss how to prevent and detect deadlocks.

9.1 System Model

A system consists of a finite number of resources to be distributed amongst a number of competing threads. Resources are partitioned into several types, each of which consist of a number of identical instances. When a thread requests an instance of a resource type, it may receive any of the available instances of that resource type.

Under normal operation, a thread may utilise a resource in the following order:

1. **Request** the resource. If the resource cannot be granted immediately, it must wait until it can be acquired.
2. **Use** the resource
3. **Release** the resource

9.2 Deadlock Characterisation

A deadlock can arise if four conditions hold simultaneously:

1. **Mutual exclusion** — at least one resource must be held by a single thread.
2. **Hold and wait** — a thread must be holding at least one resource, and waiting to acquire additional resources held by another thread.
3. **No preemption** — a resource can only be released voluntarily by the thread holding it.
4. **Circular wait** — a set of threads must be waiting for resources held by each other circularly: $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ where T_0 is waiting for a resource held by T_1 , T_1 is waiting for a resource held by T_2 , and so on, until T_n is waiting for a resource held by T_0 .

9.2.1 Resource-Allocation Graph

A **resource-allocation graph** is a directed graph that illustrates the relationships between threads and resources. This graph consists of a set of vertices V and a set of edges E . V is partitioned into two different types of vertices:

- $T = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$ for **threads**.
- $R = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\}$ for **resources**.

E consists of two different types of edges:

- The directed edge $T_i \rightarrow R_j$ is known as a **request edge**, and indicates that thread T_i has requested an instance of resource R_j .
- The directed edge $R_j \rightarrow T_i$ is known as an **assignment edge**, and indicates that an instance of resource R_j has been allocated to thread T_i .

Graphically, this may be represented by the following shapes:

- **Threads** are represented by circles

- **Resources** are represented by rectangles, with smaller squares inside representing available instances of that resource.
- **Request edges** are represented by arrows from a thread to a resource
- **Assignment edges** are represented by arrows from an instance of a resource to a thread.


When a thread requests an instance of a resource, a request edge is created from the thread to the resource. When that request is granted, the edge is converted to an assignment edge (reversed) instantaneously.

From these definitions, it can be shown that if the graph contains on cycles, then no thread is in a deadlock. If the graph contains a cycle, then a deadlock may exist in one of two possibilities:


- if each resource type has exactly one instance, then a cycle implies a deadlock.
- if each resource type has several instances, then a cycle does not necessarily imply a deadlock.

The following figure illustrates the graph G_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 &= (V, E) = (T \cup R, E) \\
 T &= \{T_1, T_2, T_3\} \\
 R &= \{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\} \\
 E &= \{T_1 \rightarrow R_1, T_2 \rightarrow R_3, R_1 \rightarrow T_2, R_2 \rightarrow T_1, R_2 \rightarrow T_2, R_3 \rightarrow T_3\}
 \end{aligned}$$



This graph is not deadlocked as it contains no cycles. Consider the addition of the directed edge $R_3 \rightarrow T_2$:




This edge creates two cycles,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow T_1 \\ T_2 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow T_2 \end{aligned}$$

Further analysis shows that this graph is deadlocked, as each resource in the cycle is held by a thread waiting for another resource in the cycle.

The following figure illustrates the graph G_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= (V, E) = (T \cup R, E) \\ T &= \{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\} \\ R &= \{R_1, R_2\} \\ E &= \{T_1 \rightarrow R_1, T_3 \rightarrow R_2, R_1 \rightarrow T_2, R_1 \rightarrow T_3, R_2 \rightarrow T_1, R_2 \rightarrow T_4\} \end{aligned}$$



While this graph contains a cycle, it is not deadlocked as the resources in the cycle are not held by threads only waiting for other resources in the cycle. In this case, T_2 and T_4 will eventually release resources R_1 and R_2 respectively, allowing threads T_1 and T_3 to acquire the resources they are waiting for.

9.3 Methods for Handling Deadlocks

There are three general approaches to handling deadlocks:

- Ensure the system never enters the deadlock state
- Allow the system to enter the deadlock state, and then recover from it
- Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system; used by most operating systems, including Linux and Windows

9.4 Deadlock Prevention

By ensuring at least one of the four necessary conditions for deadlock cannot hold, deadlocks can be prevented.

9.4.1 Mutual Exclusion

Shareable resources such as read-only files do not require mutual-exclusion and thus cannot be involved in a deadlock. In general, however, mutual-exclusion cannot be prevented as some resources are inherently non-shareable. For example, a mutex lock cannot be shared between multiple threads.

9.4.2 Hold and Wait

To prevent the hold-and-wait condition, we must guarantee that when a thread requests a resource, it is not currently holding another resource. One way to do this is to require that a thread request all of its required resources at once, before it begins execution. Alternatively, we can require that a thread release all of its resources before requesting any new resources.

Both of these approaches are impractical, as they lead to low resource utilisation because a resource may be unused for a long period of time, and starvation if a thread requires multiple resources that are in high contention by other threads.

9.4.3 No Preemption

By allowing preemption, we can prevent the no-preemption condition. If a thread is holding some resources, and requests another resource that cannot immediately be allocated to it, then all resources held by that thread are implicitly released and added to the list of resources that the thread is requesting. The thread will be restarted only when it acquires the old resources, and the new resource it is requesting.

This protocol is often applied to resources whose state can be easily saved and restored, such as CPU registers, but is not practical for resources such as mutex locks and semaphores.

9.4.4 Circular Wait

One way to invalidate the circular-wait condition is to impose a total ordering of all resource types, and require that each thread requests resources in an increasing order of enumeration.

9.5 Deadlock Avoidance

The above methods for deadlock prevention are often too restrictive, and thus deadlock avoidance is often used instead. Deadlock avoidance requires that the system has some additional a priori information about how resources may be requested by each thread.

One such model requires each thread to declare the **maximum number** of resources of each type that it may need. Given this information, it is possible to construct a **deadlock-avoidance** algorithm that dynamically examines the **resource-allocation state** to ensure there can never be a circular wait condition. The resource-allocation state is defined by the number of available and allocated resources, and the maximum demand of each thread.

Two deadlock-avoidance algorithms are discussed below.

9.5.1 Safe State

A system is in **safe state** if there exists a **safe sequence** (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) of all threads in the system such that for each thread T_i , the resources that T_i requests can be satisfied by the currently available resources plus the resources held by all threads T_j with $j < i$. When thread T_i has finished executing, T_{i+1} can obtain its resources, and so on.

If no such sequence exists, the system is in an **unsafe state** and a deadlock may occur. Therefore, to avoid deadlocks, a system must never enter an unsafe state.

9.5.2 Resource-Allocation-Graph Algorithm

In a resource-allocation system with only one instance of each resource type, the resource-allocation graph can be used for deadlock avoidance. In addition to the request and assignment edges defined before, consider a **claim edge** from a thread to a resource. This edge indicates that the thread *may* request that resource at some time in the future. On a graph, this is represented as a dashed line.

- When a thread requests a resource, a claim edge converts to a request edge.
- When a thread can be allocated a resource, a request edge converts to an assignment edge.
- When a thread releases a resource, an assignment edge converts to a claim edge.

The resource-allocation graph algorithm is as follows:

A request for a resource can be granted only if the resulting conversion from a request edge to an assignment edge does not contain a cycle. This can be detected using a cycle-detection algorithm.

9.5.3 Banker's Algorithm

In a resource-allocation system with multiple instances of each resource type, the banker's algorithm can be used for deadlock avoidance. This algorithm uses several data structures to encode the state of the resource-allocation system. In a system with n threads and m resource types, the following data structures are used:

- **Available** — a vector of length m — the number of available instances of each resource type.

$$\mathbf{Available}_j = \mathbf{Max} \ \mathbf{Available}_j - \text{sum}(\mathbf{Allocation}_j)$$

- **Max** — an $n \times m$ matrix — the maximum demand of each thread.
- **Allocation** — an $n \times m$ matrix — the number of resources of each type currently allocated to each thread.
- **Need** — an $n \times m$ matrix — the remaining resource need of each thread.

$$\mathbf{Need}_{ij} = \mathbf{Max}_{ij} - \mathbf{Allocation}_{ij}$$

To determine whether a system is in a safe state, we can use the safety algorithm:

1. Initialise **Work** and **Finish**, where **Work** represents the number of available resources of each type, and **Finish** is a vector of length n indicating whether each thread can finish.

$$\mathbf{Work} \leftarrow \mathbf{Available}$$

$$\mathbf{Finish}_i \leftarrow \text{false} \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

2. Loop over i until no thread can finish:

If $\mathbf{Finish}_i = \text{false}$ and $\mathbf{Need}_i \leq \mathbf{Work}$, then the thread can finish, and the resources it is allocated are released:

$$\mathbf{Work} \leftarrow \mathbf{Work} + \mathbf{Allocation}_i$$

$$\mathbf{Finish}_i \leftarrow \text{true}$$

3. If $\mathbf{Finish}_i = \text{true} \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then the system is in a safe state.

To determine whether a request for resources can be granted, we can use the resource-request algorithm:

1. If $\mathbf{Request}_i > \mathbf{Need}_i$, then raise an error condition, as the thread has exceeded its maximum claim.
2. If $\mathbf{Request}_i > \mathbf{Available}$, then wait, as the requested resources are not available.
3. Pretend to allocate the requested resources to the thread by modifying the state as follows:

$$\mathbf{Available} \leftarrow \mathbf{Available} - \mathbf{Request}_i$$

$$\mathbf{Allocation}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{Allocation}_i + \mathbf{Request}_i$$

$$\mathbf{Need}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{Need}_i - \mathbf{Request}_i$$

If the resulting state is safe, the resources are allocated to the thread. Otherwise, the thread must wait for the requested resources, and the old resource-allocation state is restored.

9.6 Deadlock Detection


If a system does not employ either a deadlock-prevention or deadlock-avoidance algorithm, then a deadlock may occur. In this case, the system must provide:

- An algorithm that examines the state of the system to determine whether a deadlock has occurred
- An algorithm to recover from the deadlock

Below we discuss two deadlock-detection algorithms for systems with single and multiple instances of each resource type.

9.6.1 Single Instance of Each Resource Type

We define a variant of the resource-allocation graph, that does not consider resources. This reduces this graph to a **wait-for** graph, consisting only of threads and waiting for edges. See (a) and (b) below:



The **waiting for** edge $T_i \rightarrow T_j$ indicates that thread T_i is waiting for thread T_j to release a resource. In other words, the resource-allocation graph contains two edges $T_i \rightarrow R_j$ and $R_j \rightarrow T_i$, for some resource R_j .

In this graph, a deadlock occurs if and only if the graph contains a cycle. Therefore, the system needs to periodically invoke an algorithm to detect cycles in the wait-for graph. Such an algorithm requires $O(n^2)$ time, where n is the number of threads in the graph.

9.6.2 Multiple Instances of Each Resource Type

When multiple instances of each resource type are available, we can use data structures similar to the banker's algorithm to detect deadlocks. The following data structures are used:

- **Available** — a vector of length m — the number of available instances of each resource type.
- **Allocation** — an $n \times m$ matrix — the number of resources of each type currently allocated to each thread.

- **Request** — an $n \times m$ matrix — the number of resources of each type currently requested by each thread.

The deadlock-detection algorithm is as follows:

1. Initialise **Work** and **Finish**, where **Work** represents the number of available resources of each type, and **Finish** is a vector of length n indicating whether each thread can finish.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Work} &\leftarrow \mathbf{Available} \\ \mathbf{Finish}_i &\leftarrow \begin{cases} \text{false} & \text{if } \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} : \mathbf{Allocation}_i \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \text{true} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

2. Loop over i until no thread can finish:

If **Finish** _{i} = false and **Request** _{i} ≤ **Work**, then the thread can finish, and the resources it is allocated are released:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Work} &\leftarrow \mathbf{Work} + \mathbf{Allocation}_i \\ \mathbf{Finish}_i &\leftarrow \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

3. If **Finish** _{i} = false, then thread T_i is deadlocked.

9.6.3 Detection-Algorithm Usage

The frequency of invoking the deadlock-detection algorithm depends on two factors:

1. How often a deadlock is likely to occur
2. How many threads will be affected by the deadlock—how many threads will need to be rolled back (one for each disjoint cycle)

Calling a deadlock-detection algorithm too frequently incurs considerable overhead in computation time, so a less alternative approach is to simply invoke the algorithm at defined intervals.

If this detection algorithm is invoked at arbitrary points in time, then the resource graph may contain many cycles where it will not be possible to tell which of the many deadlocked threads caused the deadlock, meaning it will be difficult to recover from the deadlock.

9.7 Recovery from Deadlock

When a detection algorithm determines that a deadlock exists, the system can try to **recover** from the deadlock automatically.

9.7.1 Process and Thread Termination

One way to recover from a deadlock is to abort one or more processes or threads to break the circular wait condition. This can be done in one of two ways, each of which reclaims the resources allocated to the terminated processes or threads:

- Abort all deadlocked processes — this method will clearly break the deadlocked cycle, but at the expense of discarding all the work done by the aborted processes.
- Abort one process at a time until the deadlock cycle is eliminated.

The order in which processes are aborted may be determined using the following criteria:

1. The priority of the process
2. How long the processes has computed and how much longer it will compute before completing its task
3. How many and what types of resources the process has used (are the resources simple to pre-empt)
4. How many more resources the process needs to complete
5. How many processes will need to be terminated
6. Whether the process interactive or batch

9.7.2 Resource Preemption

When preemption is required to eliminate deadlocks, the following issues must be considered:

1. Selecting a victim — what resources and processes are to be pre-empted. This choice should minimise costs.
2. Rollback — after a thread is pre-empted, resources must be reclaimed from the thread, and the thread must be restarted. Often this will require the system to keep track of a safe state, so that the process is only rolled back as far as is necessary.
3. Starvation — the same process may be chosen as the victim, so we must ensure that a process is pre-empted few times.

10 Main Memory

10.1 Background


Memory is central to the operation of a computer system. Memory consists of a large array of bytes each with its own address. The CPU fetches instructions from memory according to the value of the program counter, which may cause additional loading and storing to specific memory addresses. The memory unit only sees a stream of memory addresses and does not know how these addresses are generated, or what they are for.

The following sections discuss how a sequence of memory addresses are generated by a running program.

10.1.1 Basic Hardware

Main memory and the registers in the CPU are the only storage that the CPU can access directly. Machine instructions operate on memory addresses, and therefore any data must be in memory to be operated on. Registers are built into each CPU core and are generally accessible in one CPU clock cycle. Some CPU cores can also decode instructions and perform simple operations in a clock tick. The same is not true for main memory, which is accessed via the memory bus. Completing a memory access often takes many cycles of the CPU, and the processor must **stall** until the memory access is complete. To improve performance, a **cache** is placed between the CPU and main memory to hold a copy of the most recently used memory locations.

Another important concern of main memory is **protection**. For proper system operation, we must protect the operating system from access by user processes, as well as protect user processes from each other. This protection must be provided by hardware, rather than by the operating system, for efficiency.



One approach is to use a **base register** and a **limit register** to define the smallest legal physical memory address, and the size of the range of legal addresses. Protection is achieved by having the CPU check that all addresses generated in user mode lie between the base and limit registers. Failing to do so results in a trap to the operating system.

The operating system, executing in kernel mode, has unrestricted access to both operating system memory and user memory. This allows the operating system to load user programs into user memory, and to dump programs in case of errors, access and modify parameters of system calls, access I/O devices, and provide other services.

10.1.2 Address Binding

Programs reside on a disk as a binary executable file and must be brought into memory and placed within a process for execution. As the process executes, it accesses instructions and data from memory, and when it terminates, the memory space is reclaimed and becomes available to other processes.


User processes can reside in any part of the physical memory and are represented in different ways

at different stages of execution.

1. Addresses in the source program are **symbolic** and referenced using symbols (variable names, function names, etc).
2. The compiler *binds* **symbolic addresses** to **relocatable addresses** that are relative to the beginning of the program.
3. The linker or loader *binds* **relocatable addresses** to **absolute addresses** in memory.

Address binding is a mapping from one address space to another, and this may take place at three different stages:

- **Compile time** — if memory location is known a priori, the compiler can generate **absolute code**, and the program must be recompiled if the starting location changes.
- **Load time** — if memory location is not known at compile time, the compiler must generate **relocatable code**.
- **Execution time** — if the process can be moved during its execution from one memory segment to another, then binding must be delayed until run time.



10.1.3 Logical and Physical Address Space

An address generated by the CPU is referred to as a **logical address**, whereas the address seen by the memory unit, or loaded into the **memory-address register** of the memory is referred to as a **physical address**.

Binding addresses at compile time and load time generate identical logical and physical addresses. However, binding addresses at execution time results in differing logical and physical addresses. In this case the logical address is a **virtual address**. The set of all logical addresses generated by a program is referred to as the **logical address space**, and the set of all physical addresses corresponding to these logical addresses is referred to as the **physical address space**.

The run-time mapping from virtual to physical addresses is done by the **memory-management unit** (MMU), which is a hardware device that sits between the CPU and memory. A simple MMU

scheme generalises the base register scheme by replacing it with a **relocation register**. This works in the same way as before, where attempts to access addresses are dynamically added to the value in the relocation register.

The user program never sees physical addresses, and execution time binding occurs only when a reference is made to a location in memory.

10.1.4 Dynamic Loading

When physical memory is limited, it is wasteful to load all routines into memory at the same time. **Dynamic loading** allows a routine to be loaded only when it is called. All routines are kept on disk in a relocatable load format. When a routine is called, the calling routine must check to see whether the routine is already in memory. If not, the relocatable linking loader is called to load the desired routine into memory and update the program's address tables to reflect this change.

Dynamic loading does not require special support from the operating system and is the responsibility of the user program.

10.1.5 Dynamic Linking and Shared Libraries

Dynamically linked libraries (DLLs) are libraries that are linked to programs when programs are run. Some operating systems only support **static linking** where libraries are combined with program code by the loader into a single binary program image.

Dynamic linking is more flexible, as it allows the libraries to be **linked**, rather than loaded, at execution time. This is often used with system libraries, such as the standard C library, to:

- reduce the size of an executable
- allow the library to be updated without needing to recompile all programs that use it
- allow multiple programs to share a single copy of the library in memory

To ensure programs do not load incompatible versions of a library, version information is also included with both the program and library to allow multiple versions of a library to be loaded in memory.

For the reasons described above, DLLs are often referred to as **shared libraries**.

When a program references a routine in a dynamically linked library, the loader locates the DLL, loading it into memory if another program has not already done so, and adjusts addresses that reference functions in the dynamic library to the location in memory where the DLL is loaded. A small piece of code, called a **stub**, is included in the program to locate the DLL's routine the first time it is called. The stub replaces itself with the address of the routine, so that the next time the routine is called, the DLL is called directly.

While dynamic loading in a single process is managed by the user program, dynamic linking generally requires operating system support as some processes may protect their memory from being shared with other processes.

10.2 Swapping

Processes, or a portion of a process, can be temporarily swapped out of memory to a **backing store**, and brought back into memory for continued execution at a later time. This is known as **swapping** and allows the total size of all processes to exceed the physical capacity of memory in a system, increasing the degree of multiprogramming.

The backing store is a fast disk large enough to accommodate copies of all memory images for all users. During swapping, all data structures associated with the process must be written to the backing store, so that they can be restored when the process is swapped back into memory. Because memory is being written to the disk, swapping is a time-consuming process, and should only be used for small processes.

The system maintains a ready queue of processes that are ready to execute, and swaps processes in to be executed when the CPU is idle. When processes using compile time and load time address binding are swapped in, they must be swapped back into the same location in memory as they were swapped out of. The same is not true for processes using execution time address binding, as addresses can be dynamically relocated when the process is swapped back in.

Due to these reasons, swapping is usually disabled on some systems.

10.3 Contiguous Memory Allocation

The main memory must accommodate both the operating system and various user processes in the most efficient way possible. This section discusses one method known as contiguous memory allocation. Here memory is divided into two partitions: one for the OS, and one for user processes. The OS is usually loaded into low/high memory with the interrupt vector and user processes are contained in single contiguous sections of memory.

10.3.1 Memory Protection

To prevent processes from accessing memory outside their allocated memory space, relocation and limit registers are used to define bounds on the addresses a process may access. Each logical address must fall within the range specified by the limit register, so that the MMU can map the logical address dynamically by adding it to the value in the relocation register.

10.3.2 Memory Allocation

One way of allocating memory is to assign processes to variably sized partitions in memory, where each partition contains exactly one process. In this **variable-partitioning scheme**, the operating system keeps a table indicating which parts of memory are available and which are occupied. Initially, there is a single large block of free memory, called a **hole**, and as processes are loaded and removed from memory, the free memory is broken into smaller holes.

When a process arrives and needs memory, the system searches the set of available holes to allocate to each process. If a process cannot fit into any hole, the process may be rejected, or placed onto a waiting queue.

The method for satisfying a request of size n from a list of free holes is known as the **hole-allocation**

problem. There are three different methods for allocating holes:

- **First fit** — allocate the **first** hole that is big enough
- **Best fit** — allocate the **smallest** hole that is big enough. This requires searching the entire list and produces the smallest leftover hole
- **Worst fit** — allocate the **largest** hole. This also requires searching the entire list and produces the largest leftover hole

It can be shown that both first fit and best fit are better than worst fit in terms of speed and storage utilisation, where first fit is generally faster to execute.

10.3.3 Fragmentation

External fragmentation occurs when there is enough *total* memory space to satisfy a request, but the available spaces are not contiguous. This leads to many small holes in memory, which may be difficult to satisfy future requests. Both first fit and best fit suffer from this problem.

One solution to external fragmentation is **compaction**, where memory is shuffled to place all free memory together in one large block, however, this is only possible if relocation is dynamic, and is performed at execution time.

10.4 Segmentation

Segmentation is a memory-management scheme that supports the user view of memory. A program is a collection of segments, such as

- the main program
- procedures
- functions
- methods
- object classes
- local and global variables
- the stack
- symbol tables
- arrays

Here the logical address is stored as a two tuple containing a *segment number* and *offset*. A **segment table** maps these addresses to a segment base and segment limit.

Two registers are used in this scheme. The **segment-table base register** (STBR) points to the segment table's location in memory, and the **segment-table length register** (STLR) indicates the number of segments used by a program.

10.5 Paging

Paging aims to reduce external fragmentation and avoid the problem of variable sized memory chunks, by dividing physical memory into fixed-sized blocks called **frames**, and dividing logical memory into blocks of the same size called **pages**. When a process is to be executed, its pages are loaded into any available memory frames from the backing store. The backing store is divided into fixed-sized blocks that are the same size as the memory frames.

Given that the size of the logical address space is 2^m , and the page size is 2^n , the address generated by the CPU can be divided into two parts:

- A **page number** p ($m - n$ bits) — that is used as an index into a **page table**.
- A **page offset** d (n bits) — that is combined with the base address to define the physical memory address

The page number is used as an index for the **page table**, which maps pages to frames in physical memory. A process of size s will be assigned $\lceil s/2^n \rceil$ pages, where the last page only uses $s \bmod 2^n$ bytes.

While this scheme eliminates external fragmentation, it is prone to internal fragmentation when processes do not coincide with page boundaries. This leads to $2^n - (s \bmod 2^n)$ wasted bytes per process.

Statistically, every process will have half a frame of internal fragmentation, so smaller page sizes may be preferred. However, smaller page sizes also lead to larger page tables, which must be stored in memory. Additionally, I/O is more efficient when a large amount of data is transferred at once.

Generally, page sizes are 4 kB to 8 kB in size, and some CPUs and kernels support multiple page sizes.

When a process requests n pages, at least n frames must be available in memory, so that they can be allocated to the process. Each page is loaded into a **free frame**, and the page-frame pairs are added to the page table.

10.5.1 Hardware Support

The **page table** is kept in memory, and therefore requires a **page table base register** (PTBR) to point to the page table's location in memory. The **page-table length register** (PTLR) indicates the size of the page table.

To access a location i in memory, we must first index into the page table using the PTBR offset by the page number for i , providing us with the frame number for i , which is combined with the page offset to produce the physical address. This process requires two memory accesses to access data. The first access is to the page table, and the second access is to the data.

Translation Look-Aside Buffer To reduce the time required to access data, a special fast-lookup hardware cache called a **translation look-aside buffer** (TLB) is used. The TLB is an associative look-up table that maps page numbers to frame numbers, and is generally small, containing between 32 and 1024 entries.

When a logical address is generated by the CPU, its page number is searched for in the TLB. If the page number is found, the frame number is immediately available, and the physical address is produced, this is known as a **TLB hit**. If the page number is not found, the page table must be searched for the page number, and the TLB is updated with the new page number and frame number, this is known as a **TLB miss**.

If a TLB is already full, a page must be removed from the TLB to make space for the new page. The replacement policy varies between systems, from removing the least recently used page, to using a round-robin scheme, etc. Some TLBs also allow entries to be **wired down**, meaning they cannot be removed from the TLB.

Some TLBs store **address-space identifiers** (ASIDs) with each entry to identify the process to which the entry belongs. This allows additional address-space protection, and also allows the TLB to contain entries for multiple processes, without requiring a **flush** at every context switch.

The percentage of time a hit occurs is known as the **hit ratio** (α), and allows us to calculate the **effective access time** (EAT). Given an associative lookup time ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EAT} &= \alpha (\varepsilon + \text{memory access}) + (1 - \alpha) (\varepsilon + 2 \times \text{memory access}) \\ &= \varepsilon + (2 - \alpha) \text{memory access} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore a higher hit ratio leads to a lower effective access time.

10.5.2 Protection

Memory protection is achieved by associating a **protection bit** with each frame in the page table. A bit can be set to read/write or read-only. An attempt to write to a read-only page, causes a trap to the operating system. An additional **valid-invalid** bit is also used to indicate whether a page is legal to access from a process in another address space. An attempt to access an invalid page, or an address that exceeds the PTLR, causes a trap to the operating system. This is shown as a **segmentation fault** in UNIX systems.

10.5.3 Shared Pages

An advantage of paging is that it allows the same physical address to be shared by multiple processes. This is useful for **re-entrant** code, which is code that can be safely executed by multiple processes at the same time. This code must never modify itself, and must not modify any shared data structures.

Each process keeps a separate copy of all private code and data, and the pages for this can appear anywhere in the logical address space.

10.6 Page Table Structure

The following sections discuss three common techniques for structuring the page table.

10.6.1 Hierarchical Paging

Most modern systems support a large logical address space consisting of 2^{32} or 2^{64} bytes. This requires a large page table, which may not fit in memory. To solve this problem, we can divide the

page table into smaller pieces. One way is to use a **two-level page table**, where the page number is split into p_1 and p_2 , corresponding to an offset to the first-level (outer) page table, and an offset to the second-level (inner) page table.

This scheme is known as a **forward-mapped** page table.

For 64-bit systems, a two-level page table still results in a large page table. This may be solved using increasing the number of levels in the page table, however this increases the time required to access a frame, so an alternative method should be considered.

10.6.2 Hashed Page Tables

For systems with address spaces larger than 2^{32} bytes, a hash table can be used to map page numbers to frame numbers. The hash table may be implemented as a linked list of the page number, frame number, and a pointer to the next entry in the list.

For 64-bit systems, **clustered page tables** may be used to refer to several pages at once. This may be useful for **sparse** address spaces where memory references are non-contiguous.

10.6.3 Inverted Page Tables

Rather than having a single page table per process and keeping track of all possible pages, we can track all frames in memory using a single **inverted page table**. This table contains one entry for each real page (frame) of memory, and each entry consists of the virtual address of the page associated with the real page, with information about the process that owns that page.

This approach decreases the memory required to store the page table, but increases the time required to search the table. This can be alleviated using a hash table to limit the search to a few page table entries.

To implement shared memory on a system with an inverted page table, only one mapping of virtual address to physical address is required, and a reference by another process sharing the same memory will result in a page fault, and will replace the entry in the inverted page table.

11 Protection

The processes in an operating system must be protected from another's activities. To achieve this, we can use various mechanisms to ensure that only processes that have proper authorisation from the operating system can operate on files, memory segments, CPU, networking, and other resources of a system.

11.1 Goals of Protection

Protection increases the reliability of a system that makes use of shared resources and is connected to insecure communications platforms such as the Internet. A system must prevent intentional violations of an access restriction by a user, and ensure that each process in a system uses resources only in accordance with the system's policies.

The role of protection is to provide a mechanism for the enforcement of the policies governing resource use. Some may be fixed in the design of a system, while others are formulated by the management of a system. Others may be specified by individual users of a system, to protect resources they own. A protection system must have the flexibility to enforce a variety of policies.

Policies for resource use vary by application, and apply to both the designer of an operating system and an application programmer.

Note that mechanisms determine *how* something will be done; policies decide *what* will be done. The separation of mechanism and policy is important for flexibility.

11.2 Principles of Protection

A guiding principle of protection is the **principle of least privilege**, which states that a protection system should grant a process only those privileges that are essential to its legitimate purpose. A key advantage of this principle is that it limits the damage that can result from an accident or error.

When considering privileges, we must consider the grain of protection. Rough-grained privilege management is easier to implement, but may grant more privileges than are necessary. Fine-grained privilege management is more complex, and requires additional overhead, but can be more protective.

11.3 Domain Structure

A computer system can be treated as a collection of processes and objects (hardware and software). Each object has a unique name and can be accessed through a well-defined set of operations. A process should only be allowed to access objects that it has the authority to access. In this situation, a process should only access the objects that it needs to complete a task, this is known as the **need-to-know** principle.

To facilitate this scheme, a process may operate within a **protection domain**, which specifies the resources that process may access. Each domain specifies a set of **access rights**, which consists of a set of operations that may be invoked on an object:

$$\text{access right} = \langle \text{object name, rights set} \rangle$$

for example, the domain D might have the following access rights:

$$D = \{ \langle \text{file A, \{read, write\}} \rangle, \langle \text{file B, \{read\}} \rangle, \langle \text{file C, \{read, write, execute\}} \rangle \}$$

This association may be either **static**, if the set of resources available to a process is fixed throughout the process's lifetime, or **dynamic**.

When an association is static, the need-to-know principle must be adhered to at all stages of a process's lifetime. For example, the process may be able to read from and write to a file, but one of these rights may only be required for a short period of time. In this case, the process should only be granted the required access rights for the required period of time.

When an association is dynamic, it can possibly allow **domain switching**, enabling a process to change its access rights during its lifetime. This may be used as an alternative to changing the

access rights of a domain, by instead creating a copy of a domain with the required access rights, and switching to this domain when required.

11.4 Access Matrix

The general model of protection can be viewed abstractly as an **access matrix**. The rows of this matrix represent domains, and columns represent objects. Each entry in the matrix consists of a list of object rights.

11.5 Access Control

Protection may also be applied to other aspects of a system. Oracle Solaris 10 provides the principle of least privilege through **role-based access control** (RBAC). Privilege is a right to execute a system call or use an option in a system call. Privileges can be assigned to processes, limiting their access to system resources, or they can be assigned to users via **roles**. In this way, a user can be assigned roles, or take a role using a password.

12 File Systems

The file system is the most visible aspect of an operating system. It provides the mechanism for the storage of and access to both data and programs on the operating system. The file system consists of two distinct parts:

- a collection of files, each with related data
- a directory structure, which organises and provides information about all files in a system