Proving that a language L is undecidable by reduction requires several steps.

• Choose a language L' that you already know is undecidable (because we told you so in class). The simplest choice is usually the standard halting language

$$HALT := \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ halts on } w \}$$

• Describe an algorithm that decides L', using an algorithm that decides L as a black box. Typically your reduction will have the following form:

```
Given an arbitrary string x, construct a special string y, such that y \in L if and only if x \in L'.
```

In particular, if L = HALT, your reduction will have the following form:

Given the encoding  $\langle M, w \rangle$  of a Turing machine M and a string w, construct a special string y, such that  $y \in L$  if and only if M halts on input w.

- Prove that your algorithm is correct. This proof almost always requires two separate steps:
  - Prove that if  $x \in L'$  then  $y \in L$ .
  - Prove that if  $x \notin L'$  then  $y \notin L$ .

**Very important:** Name every object in your proof, and *always* refer to objects by their names. Never refer to "the Turing machine" or "the algorithm" or "the input string" or (gods forbid) "it" or "this", even in casual conversation, even if you're "just" explaining your intuition, even when you're just *thinking* about the reduction.

Prove that the following languages are undecidable.

- 1. AcceptIllini :=  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts the string } \mathbf{ILLINI} \}$
- 2. AcceptThree :=  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts exactly three strings}\}$
- 3. AcceptPalindrome :=  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts at least one palindrome}\}$

**Solution (for problem 1):** For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm Decide-Acceptillini that correctly decides the language Acceptillini. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
\frac{\text{DecideHalt}(\langle M, w \rangle):}{\text{Encode the following Turing machine } M':} \\ \frac{\underline{M'(x):}}{\text{run } M \text{ on input } w} \\ \text{return True} \\ \text{if DecideAcceptIllini}(\langle M' \rangle) \\ \text{return True} \\ \text{else} \\ \text{return False}
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

 $\implies$  Suppose M halts on input w.

Then M' accepts every input string x.

In particular, M' accepts the string **ILLINI**.

So DecideAcceptIllini accepts the encoding  $\langle M' \rangle$ .

So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding  $\langle M, w \rangle$ .

 $\iff$  Suppose M does not halt on input w.

Then M' diverges on *every* input string x.

In particular, M' does not accept the string **ILLINI**.

So DecideAcceptIllini rejects the encoding  $\langle M' \rangle$ .

So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding  $\langle M, w \rangle$ .

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptIllini does not exist.

As usual for undecidability proofs, this proof invokes *four* distinct Turing machines:

- The hypothetical algorithm DecideAcceptIllini.
- The new algorithm DecideHalt that we construct in the solution.
- The arbitrary machine M whose encoding is part of the input to DecideHalt.
- The special machine M' whose encoding DecideHalt constructs (from the encoding of M and w) and then passes to DecideAcceptIllini.