
Prove that the following languages are undecidable.

1.  $E_{TM} := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \emptyset \}$ 

**Solution:**  $E_{TM}$  is the problem of determining whether the lanuage of a TM is empty. We will reduce  $DecideA_{TM}$  to  $DecideE_{TM}$ .




```
\begin{array}{c} \underline{DecideA_{TM}(\langle M,w\rangle):} \\ \text{Construct } M' \text{ using M and w} \\ \text{Run } \underline{DecideE_{TM}} \text{ on } \langle M' \rangle \\ \text{if } \underline{DecideE_{TM}(\langle M' \rangle)} \\ \underline{reject} \\ \text{else} \\ \underline{accept} \end{array}
```

If  $DecideE_{TM}$  were a Decider for  $E_{TM}$ , then  $DecideA_{TM}$  is a Decider on  $A_{TM}$ . But a decider for  $A_{TM}$  can not exist, and hence  $E_{TM}$  is undecidable.

2.  $EQ_{TM}:=\left\{\langle M_1,M_2\rangle\;\middle|\;M_1\text{ and }M_2\text{ are TMs and }L(M_1)=L(M_2)\right\}$ 

**Solution:**  $EQ_{TM}$  is the problem of determining whether the languages of two TMs are the same. Let us assume that one of the languages is  $\emptyset$ , we end up with the problem of determining whether the language of the other machine is empty—that is, problem  $1(E_{TM})$ . Let's do a reduction from  $E_{TM}$ .

The reduction is as follows. Let  $Decide_{EQTM}$  decide  $EQ_{TM}$  and we construct  $Decide_{ETM}$  to decide  $E_{TM}$  as follows.




 $\frac{Decide_{ETM}(\langle M \rangle):}{\text{Let M' be a TM that rejects all inputs}(L(M') = \emptyset).}$ if  $Decide_{EQTM}(\langle M, M' \rangle)$ return True
else
return FALSE

If  $Decide_{EQTM}$  decides  $EQ_{TM}$ ,  $Decide_{ETM}$  decides  $E_{TM}$ . But  $E_{TM}$  is undecidable as we proved in problem 1, so  $EQ_{TM}$  also must be undecidable.

3.  $INF_{TM} := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is an infinite language} \}$ 

**Solution:** Let's do a reduction from the accept language:



The reduction is as follows. On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  we encode the following machine:

M'(x)

run M on input w and return True if M accepts w otherwise return false

In this case, if  $ORAC_{INF_{TM}}$  output yes, you know taht the language M' represents is infinite which is only possible if M accepts w. If the oracle returns not true, you know M must not accept w

4.  $ALL_{TM} := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$ 

**Solution:** Let's do a reduction from  $A_{TM}$ .

$$\langle M \rangle$$
 $W$ 
 $R$ 
 $\langle M' \rangle$ 
 $DEC2_{ALL_{TM}}$ 
 $No$ 

 $A_{TM} \Rightarrow ALL_{TM}$ 

The reduction is as follows. On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  we encode the following machine:

2

```
\frac{DEC1_{ATM}(w):}{\text{Let M' be a TM that runs w on M and returns TRUE if M accepts w}}
\text{if } DEC2_{ALL_{TM}}(< M' >)
\text{return TRUE}
\text{else}
\text{return FALSE}
```

If  $DEC2_{ALL_{TM}}$  outputs yes, M accepts w and  $L(M') = \Sigma^*$  and decides for  $ALL_{TM}$ . If  $DEC1_{ALL_{TM}}$  decides  $ALL_{TM}$ , then  $DEC2_{A_{TM}}$  decides  $A_{TM}$ . But  $A_{TM}$  is undecidable, so  $DEC1_{ALL_{TM}}$  cannot exist and hence  $ALL_{TM}$  also must be undecidable.

## 5. $REG_{TM} := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is a regular language} \}$

**Solution:** Let's do a reduction from the accept language:

$$A_{TM} \Rightarrow REG_{TM}$$
 $\langle M \rangle$ 
 $W$ 
 $REG_{TM}$ 
 $REG_{TM}$ 
 $No$ 

The reduction is as follows. On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  we encode the following machine:

```
M'(x):

if x is of the form 0^n 1^n

accept x

elseif M accepts w

accept x

else

reject x
```

This means: If the original M accepts w, then M' will accept every string, this is regular. If the original M rejects w, then M' will only accepts strings  $0^n1^n$ , this is not regular.

So on the input  $\langle M' \rangle$ , if  $REG_{TM}$  returns True then M accepts w and if  $REG_{TM}$  returns False then M rejects w.

Therefore  $REG_{TM}$  must be undecidable.