



UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DESCARTES

École doctorale

Laboratoire/équipe de recherche

Titre de la thèse

Sous-titre de la thèse

Par [Prénom et nom de l'auteur]

Thèse de doctorat de [Discipline : consulter la liste des disciplines]

Dirigée par [Prénom et nom du directeur de thèse]

Présentée et soutenue publiquement le [date de soutenance]

Devant un jury composé de :

Prénom NOM [fonction] - université Prénom NOM [fonction] - université Prénom NOM [fonction] - université





Resume (français):
Title:
Abstract:
Mots-clés (français) :
Keywords:

D'edicace

Suffering has been stronger than all other teaching, and has taught me to understand what your heart used to be. I have been bent and broken, but - I hope - into a better shape.

Avertissement

Cette thèse de doctorat est le fruit d'un travail approuvé par le jury de soutenance et réalisé dans le but d'obtenir le diplôme d'Etat de docteur de philosophie. Ce document est mis à disposition de l'ensemble de la communauté universitaire élargie. Il est soumis à la propriété intellectuelle de l'auteur. Ceci implique une obligation de citation et de référencement lors de l'utilisation de ce document. D'autre part, toute contrefaçon, plagiat, reproduction illicite encourt toute poursuite pénale.

Remerciments

Contents

1 Immuno-biology of cancer					
	1.1	Cance	r seen as complex environment	11	
		1.1.1	Our understanding of cancer over time	11	
		1.1.2	Tumor micro environment : fiend or foe ?	12	
		1.1.3	Cancer immune phenotypes	12	
		1.1.4	Immune signatures	12	
	1.2	2 Immunotherapies			
		1.2.1	Cancer therapies	13	
		1.2.2	Recent progress in immuno-therapies	13	
		1.2.3	Potential of developpement of new immunotherapies	14	
2	Mathematical foundation of deconvolution				
3	Deconvolution of transcriptomes and methylomes				
4	Comparative analysis of cancer immune infiltration				
	4.1 Example one				
	4.2 Example two				
5	6 Heterogeneity of immune cell types				
,	NINI	EVEC)	Annexes	23	

viii *CONTENTS*

List of Tables

x LIST OF TABLES

List of Figures

Immuno-biology of cancer

Suffering has been stronger than all other teaching, and has taught me to understand what your heart used to be. I have been bent and broken, but - I hope - into a better shape.

Suffering has been stronger than all other teaching, and has taught me to understand what your heart used to be. I have been bent and broken, but - I hope - into a better shape.

— someone

This chapter will introduce basic topic of cancer and participation of stroma in cancer development, progression and response to treatment. It will also describe

1.1 Cancer seen as complex environment

For a long time studying tumor was focused on tumor cells, their reporogramming, mutations. It was seen as diseas of uncontrolled cells. Recent research moved research focus from tumor cells to tumor cells in their proper context: tumor micorenvironment.

1.1.1 Our understanding of cancer over time

cancer is a disease touching blah blah many ppl over the word. it has been known that blah blah and then types

1.1.2 Tumor micro environment : fiend or foe?

what is tme: composition, roles it was decided the environment is bad for cancer Tumors effectively supresses immune response: activates negative regulatory pathways (checkpoints)

For ages we didn't know much about how modulate tme Now we know it can do both - review hallmarks of cancer immuno

1.1.3 Cancer immune phenotypes

There can be distinguished cancer phenotypes depending on immune infiltration how they are measure, defined, indexes, types of cancer, impact

In further support of a role for memory T cells in antitumour responses, tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes that express CD4 or CD8 extracted from experimental tumour models typically have the features of memory T cells and can possess an activated or exhausted phenotype, expressing markers such as PD-1, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing protein 3 (TIM-3) and lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3). (IMMUNE CANCER CIRCLE)

Anticancer immunity in humans can be segregated into three main phenotypes: the immune-desert phenotype (brown), the immune-excluded phenotype (blue) and the inflamed phenotype (red). (IMMUNE CANCER CIRCLE Fig 3)

1.1.4 Immune signatures

definition of signature: marker genes, list of genes, weighted list we can talk about general immune signature of signature of immune infiltration and stroma or immune signature of a specific cell type of functional subpopulation purpose of signatures

avaliability of immune signatures

problem of non cosistence of immune signatures origin of signatures

1.2 Immunotherapies

This section outlines progress in cancer therapies with a focus on immune therapies. It will link the ongoing research on TME with therapeutical potential.

1.2.1 Cancer therapies

1.2.2 Recent progress in immuno-therapies

most potential cytotoxic T-lymphocyte protein 4 (CTLA4) and programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)

CTLA4 is a negative regulator of T cells that acts to control T-cell activation by competing with the co-stimulatory molecule CD28 for binding to shared ligands CD80 (also known as B7.1) and CD86 (also known as B7.2). The cell-surface receptor PD-1 is expressed by T cells on activation during priming or expansion and binds to one of two ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2. Many types of cells can express PD-L1, including tumour cells and immune cells after exposure to cytokines such as interferon (IFN)-; however, PD-L2 is expressed mainly on dendritic cells in normal tissues. Binding of PD-L1 or PD-L2 to PD-1 generates an inhibitory signal that attenuates the activity of T cells. The 'exhaustion' of effector T cells was identified through studies of chronic viral infection in mice in which the PD-L1/PD-1 axis was found to be an important negative feedback loop that ensures immune homeostasis; it is also an important axis for restricting tumour immunity. (IMMUNE CANCER CIRCLE)

The mechanisms that underlie cancer immunotherapy differ considerably from those of other approaches to cancer treatment. Unlike chemotherapy or oncogene-targeted therapies, cancer immunotherapy relies on promoting an anticancer response that is dynamic and not limited to targeting a single oncogenic derangement or other autonomous feature of cancer cells. Cancer immunotherapy can therefore lead to antitumour activity that simultaneously targets many of the abnormalities that differentiate cancer cells and tumours from normal cells and tissues.(IMMUNE CANCER CIRCLE)

1.2.3 Potential of developpement of new immunotherapies

As effective as immunotherapy can be, only a minority of people exhibit dramatic responses, with the frequency of rapid tumour shrinkage from single-agent anti-PD-L1/PD-1 antibodies ranging from 10–40%, depending on the individual's indication (Zou, W., Wolchok, J. D. & Chen, L. PD-L1 (B7-H1) and PD-1 pathway blockade for cancer therapy: mechanisms, response biomarkers, and combinations. Sci. Transl. Med. 8, 328rv4 (2016).)

predicting reponse: The immune-inflamed phenotype correlates generally with higher response rates to anti-PD-L1/PD-1 therapy51,62,67,69,70,71, which suggests that biomarkers could be used as predictive tools. Most attention has been paid to PD-L1, which is thought to reflect the activity of effector T cells because it can be adaptively expressed by most cell types following exposure to IFN-6,82. IMMUNE CANCER CIRCLE)

Mathematical foundation of deconvolution

Here is a review of existing methods.

Deconvolution of transcriptomes and methylomes

We describe our methods in this chapter.

18CHAPTER 3. DECONVOLUTION OF TRANSCRIPTOMES AND METHYLOMES

Comparative analysis of cancer immune infiltration

Some *significant* applications are demonstrated in this chapter.

- 4.1 Example one
- 4.2 Example two

20CHAPTER 4.	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	OF CANCER IMMUNI	E INFILTRATION
--------------	----------------------	------------------	----------------

Heterogeneity of immune cell types

We have finished a nice book.

(ANNEXES) Annexes