



UNIVERSITÉ
PARIS
DESCARTES

U^SPC
Université Sorbonne
Paris Cité

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DESCARTES

École doctorale

Laboratoire/équipe de recherche

Titre de la thèse

Sous-titre de la thèse

Par [Prénom et nom de l'auteur]

Thèse de doctorat de [Discipline : consulter la liste des disciplines]

Dirigée par [Prénom et nom du directeur de thèse]

Présentée et soutenue publiquement le [date de soutenance]

Devant un jury composé de :

Prénom NOM [fonction] - université

Prénom NOM [fonction] - université

Prénom NOM [fonction] - université



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Résumé (français) :

Title :

Abstract :

Mots-clés (français) :

Keywords :

Dédicace

Suffering has been stronger than all other teaching, and has taught me to understand what your heart used to be. I have been bent and broken, but - I hope - into a better shape.

Avertissement

Cette thèse de doctorat est le fruit d'un travail approuvé par le jury de soutenance et réalisé dans le but d'obtenir le diplôme d'Etat de docteur de philosophie. Ce document est mis à disposition de l'ensemble de la communauté universitaire élargie. Il est soumis à la propriété intellectuelle de l'auteur. Ceci implique une obligation de citation et de référencement lors de l'utilisation de ce document. D'autre part, toute contrefaçon, plagiat, reproduction illicite encourt toute poursuite pénale.

Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle. Articles L 122.4

Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle. Articles L 335.2-L 335.10

Remerciements

Contents

1	Immuno-biology of cancer	11
1.1	Cancer seen as complex environment	11
1.1.1	Our understanding of cancer over time	11
1.1.2	Tumor micro environment : fiend or foe ?	12
1.1.3	Cancer immune phenotypes	12
1.1.4	Immune signatures	12
1.2	Immunotherapies	13
1.2.1	Cancer therapies	13
1.2.2	Recent progress in immuno-therapies	13
1.2.3	Potential of developpement of new immunotherapies	14
1.3	Quantifying immune infiltration (data)	14
1.3.1	Facs	14
1.3.2	staining (hispopathology, immunoscore!!! , multiplex immunofluorescence)	14
1.3.3	omics	15
1.3.3.1	transcriptome	15
1.3.3.2	methylome	15
1.3.3.3	single cell	15
2	Mathematical foundation of deconvolution	17
3	Deconvolution of transcriptomes and methylomes	19
4	Comparative analysis of cancer immune infiltration	21
4.1	Example one	21
4.2	Example two	21
5	Heterogeneity of immune cell types	23
	Annexes	25

List of Tables

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Immuno-biology of cancer

Suffering has been stronger than all other teaching, and has taught me to understand what your heart used to be. I have been bent and broken, but - I hope - into a better shape.

And now, let's repeat the Non-Conformist Oath! I promise to be different! I promise to be unique! I promise not to repeat things other people say!

— Steve Martin, *A Wild and Crazy Guy* (1978)

This chapter will introduce basic topic of cancer and participation of stroma in cancer development, progression and response to treatment. It will also describe

1.1 Cancer seen as complex environment

For a long time studying tumor was focused on tumor cells, their reprogramming, mutations. It was seen as diseases of uncontrolled cells. Recent research moved research focus from tumor cells to tumor cells in their proper context : tumor microenvironment.

1.1.1 Our understanding of cancer over time

cancer is a disease touching blah blah many ppl over the world. it has been known that blah blah and then types

1.1.2 Tumor micro environment : fiend or foe ?

what is tme : composition, roles it was decided the environment is bad for cancer Tumors effectively suppresses immune response : activates negative regulatory pathways (check-points) > Indeed, cellular elements of both the innate and adaptive immune response impact tumor progression.^{1,2} Cytotoxic T cells, B cells, and macrophages can orchestrate tumor cell elimination, while other populations such as regulatory T cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells can dampen the antitumor immune response and promote malignant cell growth and tissue invasion³ (? of the tumor immune microenvironment for staging and therapeutics Janis M Taubel,^{1,2,3}, Jérôme Galon)

For ages we didn't know much about how modulate tme Now we know it can do both - review hallmarks of cancer immuno

1.1.3 Cancer immune phenotypes

There can be distinguished cancer phenotypes depending on immune infiltration how they are measure, defined, indexes, types of cancer, impact

In further support of a role for memory T cells in antitumour responses, tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes that express CD4 or CD8 extracted from experimental tumour models typically have the features of memory T cells and can possess an activated or exhausted phenotype, expressing markers such as PD-1, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing protein 3 (TIM-3) and lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3). (? CANCER CIRCLE)

Anticancer immunity in humans can be segregated into three main phenotypes: the immune-desert phenotype (brown), the immune-excluded phenotype (blue) and the inflamed phenotype (red). (? CANCER CIRCLE Fig 3)

1.1.4 Immune signatures

definition of signature: marker genes, list of genes, weighted list we can talk about general immune signature of signature of immune infiltration and stroma or immune signature of a specific cell type of functional subpopulation purpose of signatures

availability of immune signatures

problem of non coexistence of immune signatures origin of signatures

1.2 Immunotherapies

This section outlines progress in cancer therapies with a focus on immune therapies. It will link the ongoing research on TME with therapeutical potential.

1.2.1 Cancer therapies

1.2.2 Recent progress in immuno-therapies

most potential

cytotoxic T-lymphocyte protein 4 (CTLA4) and programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)

CTLA4 is a negative regulator of T cells that acts to control T-cell activation by competing with the co-stimulatory molecule CD28 for binding to shared ligands CD80 (also known as B7.1) and CD86 (also known as B7.2). The cell-surface receptor PD-1 is expressed by T cells on activation during priming or expansion and binds to one of two ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2. Many types of cells can express PD-L1, including tumour cells and immune cells after exposure to cytokines such as interferon (IFN)- γ ; however, PD-L2 is expressed mainly on dendritic cells in normal tissues. Binding of PD-L1 or PD-L2 to PD-1 generates an inhibitory signal that attenuates the activity of T cells. The 'exhaustion' of effector T cells was identified through studies of chronic viral infection in mice in which the PD-L1/PD-1 axis was found to be an important negative feedback loop that ensures immune homeostasis; it is also an important axis for restricting tumour immunity. (? CANCER CIRCLE)

The mechanisms that underlie cancer immunotherapy differ considerably from those of other approaches to cancer treatment. Unlike chemotherapy or oncogene-targeted therapies, cancer immunotherapy relies on promoting an anticancer response that is dynamic and not limited to targeting a single oncogenic derangement or other autonomous feature of cancer cells. Cancer immunotherapy can therefore lead to antitumour activity that simultaneously targets many of the abnormalities that differentiate cancer cells and tumours from normal cells and tissues.(? CANCER CIRCLE)

Checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapies work by blocking the immune inhibitors CTLA-4 or PD-1/ PD-L1, allowing the natural host antitumor immune response to eliminate a tumor and improve patient survival even in advanced cancers. (? of the tumor immune microenvironment for staging and therapeutics Janis M Taube^{1,2,3}, Jérôme Galon)

Fig3 timeline immunotherapies (? of the tumor immune microenvironment for staging and therapeutics Janis M Taubel^{1,2,3}, Jérôme Galon)

1.2.3 Potential of developpement of new immunotherapies

As effective as immunotherapy can be, only a minority of people exhibit dramatic responses, with the frequency of rapid tumour shrinkage from single-agent anti-PD-L1/PD-1 antibodies ranging from 10–40%, depending on the individual's indication (@ Zou, W., Wolchok, J. D. & Chen, L. PD-L1 (B7-H1) and PD-1 pathway blockade for cancer therapy: mechanisms, response biomarkers, and combinations. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 8, 328rv4 (2016).)

predicting reponse: The immune-inflamed phenotype correlates generally with higher response rates to anti-PD-L1/PD-1 therapy^{51,62,67,69,70,71}, which suggests that biomarkers could be used as predictive tools. Most attention has been paid to PD-L1, which is thought to reflect the activity of effector T cells because it can be adaptively expressed by most cell types following exposure to IFN- γ ^{6,82}. (? CANCER CIRCLE)

1.3 Quantifying immune infiltration (data)

1.3.1 Facs

1.3.2 staining (hispopathology, immunoscore!!! , multiplex immunofluorescence)

The standardized Immunoscore was based on the quantification (cells/mm²) of two lymphocyte populations (CD3 and CD8) within the central region and the invasive margin of colorectal carcinoma tumors and provides a scoring system ranging from Immunoscore 0 (I0) to Immunoscore 4 (I4) (Figure 4).⁴¹ (? of the tumor immune microenvironment for staging and therapeutics Janis M Taubel^{1,2,3}, Jérôme Galon)

1.3.3 omics

1.3.3.1 transcriptome

1.3.3.2 methylome

1.3.3.3 single cell

Described above methods of process DNA from hundreds of thousands cells simultaneously and report averaged gene expression of all cells. In contrast scRNA-seq technology allows to get results for each cell individually. This is tremendous step forward enhancement of our understanding of cell heterogeneity and open new avenues of research questions.

This new data type also brings into the field new challenges related to data processing due to the volume, distribution, noise and biases. Experts highlight as the most “problematic” “batch effect” and noise and “dropout effect” (?). So far, there is no official standards that can be applies which makes data comparison and post-processing even more challenging. Up to date, there are around 70 reported tools and ressources for single cell data processing (@ GitHub, called ‘Awesome Single Cell’ (go.nature.com/2rmb1hp)) .

A limited number of single cell datasets of tumors are made publicly available (?).

One can ask why then developing computational deconvolution of transcriptome if we can learn relevant information from single cell data. Today's reality is that single cell data does not provide straightforward answer to estimation of cell proportions. Few publications provide coverage information and how the proportion of sequenced single cells is representative of the true population. In addition, number of patients included in published studies of range <100 cannot be compared to thousand people cohorts sequenced with bulk transcriptome methods. Today, single cell technology brings very interesting “zoom in” perspective, but it would be incautious to make fundings from restricted group of individuals universal to the whole population. Major frein to the use of single cell technology more broadly is definitely the price that is neatly 10x higher for single cell sample compared to bulk (?—June-2017.pdf).

In this work, we are using single cell data in two ways. Firstly, we compare immune cell profiles defined by scRNA-seq, blood and blind deconvolution (problem introduced in Immune signatures section)

Chapter 2

Mathematical foundation of deconvolution

Here is a review of existing methods.

Chapter 3

Deconvolution of transcriptomes and methylomes

We describe our methods in this chapter.

Chapter 4

Comparative analysis of cancer immune infiltration

Some *significant* applications are demonstrated in this chapter.

4.1 Example one

4.2 Example two

Chapter 5

Heterogeneity of immune cell types

We have finished a nice book.

Annexes

Bibliography