

SWORDS: A framework for the Scan and reviewW of Open Research Data and Software

Keven Quach^{1*}, Jonathan de Bruin^{2*}, and Anna-Lena Lamprecht^{3*}

¹ Independent Researcher, Germany ² Utrecht University, Netherlands ³ University of Potsdam, Germany * Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished


License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).


Summary

SWORDS (Scan and reviewW of Open Research Data and Software) is a framework designed to provide insights into an organization's open-source activities through a structured approach. The framework is divided into three core stages that can be executed independently:


1. Finding GitHub user profiles associated with an organization.



2. Extracting relevant repositories.



3. Studying the contents of the repositories. Content evaluation includes aspects of quality assessment, documentation availability, and FAIRness (Wilkinson et al., 2016) scores (Spaaks et al., 2022) (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability).



Written in Python, SWORDS provides a template for easy implementation within any organization and focuses on GitHub, which is the go-to reference for mining open-source repositories (Cosentino et al., 2017). The framework was applied to Utrecht University as part of a research project (Quach, 2022). The results of the aforementioned research project were presented at a conference (Quach et al., 2023). Ongoing research projects are currently being conducted at the University of Potsdam.

Statement of need

Open Science, promoting transparency in academic publications, data, software, and other types of output, is crucial for enhancing scientific and societal impact in today's research climate. The application of Open Science principles to research data and software is vital for ensuring scientific integrity and reproducibility, which can sometimes be lackluster (Allison et al., 2016). However, substantial challenges persist in tracking, managing, and understanding open-source research software due to the scattered and fragmented nature of these activities across multiple platforms (Lamprecht et al., 2020).

The SWORDS framework addresses this need by providing a systematic approach to collating, analyzing, and understanding an organization's open-source research software. The insights gained from implementing SWORDS can help organizations connect initiatives, improve quality, reward and recognize contributions, and foster a collaborative and open research environment. Thus, SWORDS presents an invaluable tool for any research organization aiming to improve and gain a better understanding of its open-source activities and drive forward the principles of Open Science.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge contributions from Christopher Slewe during the genesis of this project.

References

- Allison, D. B., Brown, A. W., George, B. J., & Kaiser, K. A. (2016). Reproducibility: A tragedy of errors. *Nature*, 530(7588), 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/530027a>
- Cosentino, V., Cánovas Izquierdo, J. L., & Cabot, J. (2017). A Systematic Mapping Study of Software Development With GitHub. *IEEE Access*, 5, 7173–7192. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2682323>

- 47 Lamprecht, A.-L., Garcia, L., Kuzak, M., Martinez, C., Arcila, R., Martin Del Pico, E.,
48 Dominguez Del Angel, V., Sandt, S. van de, Ison, J., Martinez, P. A., McQuilton, P.,
49 Valencia, A., Harrow, J., Psomopoulos, F., Gelpi, J. Ll., Chue Hong, N., Goble, C., &
50 Capella-Gutierrez, S. (2020). Towards FAIR principles for research software. *Data Science*,
51 3(1), 37–59. <https://doi.org/10.3233/DS-190026>
- 52 Quach, K. (2022). *Mapping Research Software Landscapes through Exploratory Studies of*
53 *GitHub Data* [Master's thesis]. <https://studenttheses.uu.nl/handle/20.500.12932/43162>
- 54 Quach, K., Lamprecht, A.-L., & De Bruin, J. (2023). *Mapping Research Software Landscapes*
55 *through Exploratory Studies of GitHub Data*. Zenodo. [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8150215)
56 [8150215](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8150215)
- 57 Spaaks, J. H., Verhoeven, S., Tjong Kim Sang, E., Diblen, F., Martinez-Ortiz, C., Etuk, E.,
58 Kuzak, M., Werkhoven, B. van, Soares Siqueira, A., Saladi, S., & Holding, A. (2022).
59 *howfairis* (Version 0.14.2). <https://github.com/fair-software/howfairis>
- 60 Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, Ij. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A.,
61 Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., Silva Santos, L. B. da, Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes,
62 A. J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C. T., Finkers,
63 R., ... Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and
64 stewardship. *Scientific Data*, 3(1), 160018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18>

DRAFT