

# Visualizing Dynamic Programming On Tree Decompositions


Martin Rübke

Fakultät Informatik

Technische Universität Dresden

Germany

- ▶ **REWORK AFTER CHAPTERS**
- ▶ **WHAT was the motivation**
- ▶ **WHAT could be used otherwise?**
- ▶ **WHO benefits from visualization?**
- ▶ **METHODOLOGY challenges and solutions**
- ▶ **WHAT could be developed next?**



*"Logic is everywhere ..."*

## Motivation

- ▶ **DP-on-TD-algorithms can solve Model Counting and various combinatorial problems**
- ▶ **Implementations of those are competing with modern solvers**
- ▶ **But:** those are fairly hard to implement efficiently
- ▶ **Practical debug output quickly becomes very large (GB)**
- ▶ **Finding the cause of the problem is a time consuming challenge**

The B.T. probably focused too much on the convenience features, and not on the urgent need for better debugging and visualization needs for those algorithms.

## Background

The algorithms of interest solve problems of:

- ▶ **combinatorics (NP-problems)**
- ▶ **model-counting (#P-problems)**

Recent promising results for Projected Model Counting by Markus Hecher<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>Hecher M., Thier P., Woltran S. (2020) Taming High Treewidth with Abstraction, Nested Dynamic Programming, and Database Technology. In: Pulina L., Seidl M. (eds) Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2020. SAT 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 12178. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7_25)

# Tree Decompositions

A tree decomposition is a tree obtained from an arbitrary graph s.t.

1. **Each vertex must occur in some bag**
2. **For each edge, there is a bag containing both endpoints**
3. **Connected: Subgraph “restricted” to any vertex must be connected**

Graphic

# Graphs for Boolean Formulas


## ► Example set of CNF-clauses:

$\{c_1 = \{v_1, v_3, \neg v_4\}, c_2 = \{\neg v_1, v_6\}, c_3 = \{\neg v_2, \neg v_3, \neg v_4\}, c_4 = \{\neg v_2, v_6\}, c_5 = \{\neg v_3, \neg v_4\}, c_6 = \{\neg v_3, v_5\}, c_7 = \{\neg v_5, \neg v_6\}, c_8 = \{v_5, v_7\}\}$



Figure: The primal (left), incidence (middle) and dual (right) graph

## gpusat2 - Solving on GPU



- Customized tree decompositions
- Adapted memory-management
- Improved precision handling



<sup>1</sup>Images: Markus Zisser. *Solving the #SAT problem on the GPU with dynamic programming and OpenCL*. Technische Universität Wien, 2018.

## Database templates in Python Generating SQL queries

1. Create graph representation
2. Decompose graph
3. Solve sub-problems
4. Combine rows

```

- #εTab#:          SELECT 1 AS cnt
- #intrTab#:        SELECT 1 AS val UNION ALL 0
- #localProbFilter#: (l1,1 OR ... OR l1,k1) AND ... AND (ln,1 OR ... OR ln,kn)
- #aggrExp#:        SUM(cnt) AS cnt
- #extProj#:        τ1.cnt * ... * τℓ.cnt AS cnt

```

### (a) Problem #SAT

```

- #εTab#:          SELECT 0 AS card
- #intrTab#:        SELECT 1 AS val UNION ALL 0
- #localProbFilter#: ([u1] OR [v1]) AND ... AND ([un] OR [vn])
- #aggrExp#:        MIN(card) AS card
- #extProj#:        τ1.card + ... + τℓ.card - (Σi=1ℓ |χ(ti) ∩ {a1}| - 1) *
                    τ1. [a1] - ... - (Σi=1ℓ |χ(ti) ∩ {ak}| - 1) * τ1. [ak]

```

### (b) Problem MinVC

- ▶ SAT and #SAT
- ▶ #o-Coloring
- ▶ Vertex cover

...

## Diff. debug information and problem types

Display user-defined strings where applicable  
Different ?????? Grafik bag, Grafik solution





## Challenge2

- Wie robust ist die Datenverarbeitung in der Visu Restrictions in strings for ids - Was Gedanken bei der Visu waren

# Visualization

Manually for one run



<sup>1</sup>“Exploiting Database Management Systems and Treewidth for Counting”,  
Johannes Fichte et al. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-39197-3\_10.


<sup>1</sup>“Solving #SAT on the GPU with Dynamic Programming and OpenCL”,  
Diploma Markus Zisser 2018 Technische Universität Wien, p.33



© Gephi.org - a tool for data analysts and scientists keen to explore and understand graphs.<sup>2</sup>



LaBRI  
université  
BORDEAUX



Tulip - Better Visualization Through Research.<sup>3</sup>



<sup>4</sup> Vis.js



Sigma.js



vasturiano/3d-force-graph<sup>5</sup>

Nicht speziell Angeschaut / Format aus Solvern extrahiert - kann trotzdem sehr generisch sein (dpdb speziell)

<sup>2</sup><https://gephi.org/>

<sup>3</sup><https://tulip.labri.fr/TulipDrupal/>

<sup>4</sup><https://neo4j.com/developer/tools-graph-visualization/>

## Visualization in Action

MinVC example size 90 (expected 82)

# Visualization in Action

1. Inspect visualization
2. Verify findings in solver (in this case dpdb)

public.p3\_td\_node\_59/localhost/postgres@PostgreSQL 12


Query Editor Query History

```
1 SELECT * FROM public.p3_td_node_59
2
```

Data Output Explain Messages Notifications

	v16 boolean	v23 boolean	v40 boolean	v41 boolean	v52 boolean	v55 boolean	v60 boolean	v61 boolean	v66 boolean	v84 boolean	v99 boolean	v100 boolean	size integer
1	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	[null]	8

3. Cross reference with standalone tree-decomposition



4. Fix the root cause

## Related Work

- ▶ Fichte, Johannes & Hecher, Markus & Morak, Michael & Woltran, Stefan. (2018). Exploiting Treewidth for Projected Model Counting and Its Limits. 10.1007/978-3-319-94144-8\_11.
- ▶ Hecher, Markus. (2020). Treewidth-aware Reductions of Normal ASP to SAT - Is Normal ASP Harder than SAT after All?. 485-495. 10.24963/kr.2020/49.
- ▶ Hecher M., Thier P., Woltran S. (2020) Taming High Treewidth with Abstraction, Nested Dynamic Programming, and Database Technology. In: Pulina L., Seidl M. (eds) Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2020. SAT 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 12178. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7_25)

## Outlook

### Static → Dynamic

- ▶ **Enrich the visualization with debugging info for each node**
- ▶ **Cross reference the creation of rows in parent nodes**
- ▶ **For more advanced debugging tasks you may also need to revise the approach**

### Interesting Questions

- ▶ **Bottlenecks of different architectures**
- ▶ **Preselection of interesting areas/data to visualize**
- ▶ **Utilizing graph databases for visualization and queries for debugging**

## Summary

This thesis created tdvisu as a tool that

- ▶ **integrates into existing implementations**
- ▶ **statically exports data from runs**
- ▶ **compiles simple SVG graphics**

For further research it provides


- ▶ **starting point for more complex investigations of**
  - ▷ **bug spotting**
  - ▷ **and fixing by using visualizations**



## Bibliography

# Benchmark

Performance of all three programs on #SAT instances:



# Visualization

Manually for dpdb




Figure: Handcrafted #SAT example-run from dpdb<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup>"Exploiting Database Management Systems and Treewidth for Counting",  
Fichte, Hecher, Thier, Woltran

