Agile Team Project

Week 4 Part 0:
Milestone I overview

<u>Team Project 2022-23</u> > <u>Announcements</u> > <u>University VMs now live</u>

2022/23

<u>Home</u>

Modules

Announcements

<u>Assignments</u>

Discussions

<u>Grades</u>

Quizzes

<u>Panopto</u>

<u>Zoom</u>

<u>Rubrics</u>

Item Banks

All sections Available from 20 Feb



Madasar Shah AUTHOR TEACHER
20 Feb 8:52

University VMs now live

The VMs for Team Project are set up - here are the IPs:

teamproject-teamda01-22 18.130.129.100

teamproject-teamda02-22 18.130.210.163

teamproject-teamda03-22 3.8.149.80

teamproject-teamda04-22 13.42.41.115

teamproject-teamda05-22 18.133.28.169

teamproject-teamd06-22 3.8.100.56

teamproject-teamd07-22 18.130.40.201


teamproject-teamd08-22 13.42.53.21

teamproject-teamd09-22 3 8 183 58

MI: Ideation - Team Milestone I (25%) (canvas)

M1: Ideation - Team Milestone 1 (25%)





This milestone must be submitted by one team member on behalf of the team.

Create a single document with the following sections:

S1 ranking (20%)

A list with rankings individual team members in Submission 1. For each member of the team you should include up to 100 words on the criteria and feedback that led to the ranking. But any non-submissions or too-low-

s I-ranking-guide.pdf

Team Project 2022-23

S1 Ranking Criteria - guidelines

February 2023

General guidance

Why do we need these guidelines?

In Milestone 1 the team will decide the ranking of individual work within the team submitted in Submission 1. The team will be able to report non-contributions or low-contributions, and we will investigate these. The team will decide the ranking criteria and this document gives some guidance of what is

SI ranking (20%)

- Ranking individual team members in Submission I
- For each member: up to 100 words on the criteria and feedback that led to the ranking.
- non-submissions or too-low-contribution as N/A.
- Equal ranks are not allowed.
- Individual grades will not be affected by the ranking (unless no-submissions or too-low-contribution.)
- Assessed on: the rank, fairness, consistency and criteria/feedback used to rank.

Agreed, coherent project concept & personas & mockups (50%)

- Provide a description of the concept (I page max), mockups, personas
- Assessed on subjective criteria, including:
 - originality of concept, coherence of mockups
 - sophistication of mockups,
 - usefulness to get feedback,

CI pipeline setup (10%)

- Submit evidence your CI pipeline working
- include the gitlab-ci.yml / commit refs
- screenshots of the stages (passing)
 - assessed as -
 - none / some evidence of partly working / all stages fully working

S2 task allocation & planning (10%)

- Submit a snapshot of the Kanban board and cards that shows how development tasks/features for S2 are allocated in the team
- assessed as -
 - none or little fair-working / some evidence of fair distribution of work / excellent evidence of sharing work fairly in S2 and M2 and all features planned using vertical slicing

Meeting diary (10 %)

- Submit diary entries that show the team have been able to meet regularly, in an organised, professional way
 - assessed as -
 - none / some evidence of regular meetings / excellent evidence of work in an organised, professional way

Some General Questions

- any persistently missing or no-show team members?
- any communication issues?
- where to get help on the module?