

Mathematical and Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Predicate Logic (Natural Deduction & Sequent Calculus Proofs)

Vincent Rahli

(some slides were adapted from Rajesh Chitnis' slides)

University of Birmingham

Where are we?

- ▶ Symbolic logic
- ▶ Propositional logic
- ▶ **Predicate logic**
- ▶ Intuitionistic vs. Classical logic
- ▶ Type theory

Today

- ▶ Predicate Logic proofs
- ▶ Natural Deduction rules
- ▶ Intuitionistic Sequent Calculus rules
- ▶ Classical Sequent Calculus rules

Further reading:

- ▶ Chapter 8 of
http://leanprover.github.io/logic_and_proof/
- ▶ Chapter 5 of
<https://www.paultaylor.eu/stable/prot.pdf>

Recap: Syntax

The syntax of predicate logic is defined by the following grammar:

$$t ::= x \mid f(t, \dots, t)$$

$$P ::= p(t, \dots, t) \mid \neg P \mid P \wedge P \mid P \vee P \mid P \rightarrow P \mid \forall x.P \mid \exists x.P$$

where:

- ▶ x ranges over variables
- ▶ f ranges over function symbols
- ▶ $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a well-formed term only if f has arity n
- ▶ p ranges over predicate symbols
- ▶ $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a well-formed formula only if p has arity n

The pair of a collection of function symbols, and a collection of predicate symbols, along with their arities, is called a **signature**.

The scope of a quantifier extends as far right as possible. E.g., $P \wedge \forall x.p(x) \vee q(x)$ is read as $P \wedge \forall x.(p(x) \vee q(x))$

Recap: Substitution

Substitution is defined recursively on terms and formulas:
 $P[x \backslash t]$ substitute all the free occurrences of x in P with t .

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x[x \backslash t] & = & t \\ x[y \backslash t] & = & x \\ (f(t_1, \dots, t_n))[x \backslash t] & = & f(t_1[x \backslash t], \dots, t_n[x \backslash t]) \\ (p(t_1, \dots, t_n))[x \backslash t] & = & p(t_1[x \backslash t], \dots, t_n[x \backslash t]) \\ \hline (\neg P)[x \backslash t] & = & \neg P[x \backslash t] \\ (P_1 \wedge P_2)[x \backslash t] & = & P_1[x \backslash t] \wedge P_2[x \backslash t] \\ (P_1 \vee P_2)[x \backslash t] & = & P_1[x \backslash t] \vee P_2[x \backslash t] \\ (P_1 \rightarrow P_2)[x \backslash t] & = & P_1[x \backslash t] \rightarrow P_2[x \backslash t] \\ \hline (\forall x. P)[x \backslash t] & = & \forall x. P \\ (\exists x. P)[x \backslash t] & = & \exists x. P \\ (\forall y. P)[x \backslash t] & = & \forall y. P[x \backslash t], \text{ if } y \notin \text{fv}(t) \\ (\exists y. P)[x \backslash t] & = & \exists y. P[x \backslash t], \text{ if } y \notin \text{fv}(t) \end{array}$$

The additional **conditions** ensure that **free variables do not get captured**.

These conditions can always be met by silently renaming bound variables before substituting.

Recap: \forall & \exists elimination and introduction rules

$$\frac{P[x \backslash y]}{\forall x.P} \quad [\forall I]$$

Condition: y must not be free in any not-yet-discharged hypothesis or in $\forall x.P$

$$\frac{\forall x.P}{P[x \backslash t]} \quad [\forall E]$$

Condition: $\text{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\text{bv}(P)$

$$\frac{P[x \backslash t]}{\exists x.P} \quad [\exists I]$$

Condition: $\text{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\text{bv}(P)$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{P[x \backslash y]} \quad 1 \\ \vdots \\ \exists x.P \quad Q \end{array}}{Q} \quad 1 \quad [\exists E]$$

Condition: y must not be free in Q or in not-yet-discharged hypotheses or in $\exists x.P$

Recap: Inference Rule for “for all introduction”

We make checking these conditions more tractable

- ▶ **going backward**
- ▶ using **contexts** to record hypotheses

Here is a proof of $\forall x. x > 2 \rightarrow x > 2$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{x > 2} \quad 1}{x > 2 \rightarrow x > 2} \quad 1 \quad [\rightarrow I]}{\forall x. x > 2 \rightarrow x > 2} \quad [\forall I]$$

Context:

- ▶ 1: $x > 2$

We can pick any variable we want as the context is empty and our conclusion does not have any free variables

Recap: Sequent Calculus

We have such contexts in the **Sequence Calculus**!

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \vdash C} [\rightarrow L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B} [\rightarrow R]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash B} [\neg L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \neg A} [\neg R]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash C} [\vee L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} [\vee R_1]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B \vee A} [\vee R_2]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} [\wedge L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B} [\wedge R]$$

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} [Id]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B \quad \Gamma, B \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A} [Cut]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, B, A, \Delta \vdash C}{\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \vdash C} [X]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma, A \vdash B} [W]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash B}{\Gamma, A \vdash B} [C]$$

Recap: Sequent Calculus

In addition we allow using the following **derived rules**:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_1, B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, A \rightarrow B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C} [\rightarrow L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A}{\Gamma_1, \neg A, \Gamma_2 \vdash B} [\neg L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash C \quad \Gamma_1, B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, A \vee B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C} [\vee L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, A \wedge B, \Gamma_2 \vdash C} [\wedge L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash B} [W]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash B} [C]$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash A} [Id]$$

All these **derived rules** can be proved/derived using the rules on the previous slide

Sequent Calculus for Predicate Logic

\forall right

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \backslash y]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.P} \quad [\forall R]$$

Condition: y must not be free in Γ or in $\forall x.P$

\forall left

$$\frac{\Gamma, P[x \backslash t] \vdash Q}{\Gamma, \forall x.P \vdash Q} \quad [\forall L]$$

Condition: $\text{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\text{bv}(P)$

Sequent Calculus for Predicate Logic

\exists right

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \backslash t]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.P} \quad [\exists R]$$

Condition: $\text{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\text{bv}(P)$

\exists left

$$\frac{\Gamma, P[x \backslash y] \vdash Q}{\Gamma, \exists x.P \vdash Q} \quad [\exists L]$$

Condition: y must not be free in Γ , Q or in $\exists x.P$

A simple proof

Prove that $(\forall z.p(z)) \rightarrow \forall x.p(x) \vee q(x)$

Here is a proof:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{p(x) \vdash p(x)}{p(x) \vdash p(x) \vee q(x)} [\vee R_1]}{\forall z.p(z) \vdash p(x) \vee q(x)} [\forall L]}{\forall z.p(z) \vdash \forall x.p(x) \vee q(x)} [\forall R]}{\vdash (\forall z.p(z)) \rightarrow \forall x.p(x) \vee q(x)} [\rightarrow R]$$

A simple proof

More generally, we can prove $(\forall x.P) \rightarrow \forall x.P \vee Q$

Here is a proof:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y]} \quad [Id]}{P[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y] \vee Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\vee R_1]}{\forall x.P \vdash P[x \backslash y] \vee Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\forall L]}{\forall x.P \vdash \forall x.P \vee Q} \quad [\forall R]}{\vdash (\forall x.P) \rightarrow \forall x.P \vee Q} \quad [\rightarrow R]$$

We assume that y does not occur in P or Q

Another proof involving \forall

Prove that $(\forall x.P) \rightarrow (\forall x.Q) \rightarrow \forall x.P \wedge Q$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{P[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y]} [Id] \quad \frac{}{P[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y] \vdash Q[x \backslash y]} [Id] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{P[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]} [\wedge R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{P[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]}{P[x \backslash y], \forall x.Q \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]} [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{P[x \backslash y], \forall x.Q \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]}{\forall x.P, \forall x.Q \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]} [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{\forall x.P, \forall x.Q \vdash P[x \backslash y] \wedge Q[x \backslash y]}{\forall x.P, \forall x.Q \vdash \forall x.P \wedge Q} [\forall R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{\forall x.P, \forall x.Q \vdash \forall x.P \wedge Q}{\forall x.P \vdash (\forall x.Q) \rightarrow \forall x.P \wedge Q} [\rightarrow R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{\forall x.P \vdash (\forall x.Q) \rightarrow \forall x.P \wedge Q}{\vdash (\forall x.P) \rightarrow (\forall x.Q) \rightarrow \forall x.P \wedge Q} [\rightarrow R]
 \end{array}$$

We assume that y does not occur in P or Q

Yet another proof involving \forall

Prove that $(\forall x.P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (\forall x.P) \rightarrow \forall x.Q$

Here is a proof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{P[x \setminus y] \vdash P[x \setminus y]} [Id] \quad \frac{}{Q[x \setminus y], P[x \setminus y] \vdash Q[x \setminus y]} [Id] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{P[x \setminus y] \rightarrow Q[x \setminus y], P[x \setminus y] \vdash Q[x \setminus y]} [\rightarrow L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{P[x \setminus y] \rightarrow Q[x \setminus y], P[x \setminus y] \vdash Q[x \setminus y]} [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{P[x \setminus y] \rightarrow Q[x \setminus y], \forall x.P \vdash Q[x \setminus y]} [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\forall x.P \rightarrow Q, \forall x.P \vdash Q[x \setminus y]} [\forall R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\forall x.P \rightarrow Q, \forall x.P \vdash \forall x.Q} [\forall R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\forall x.P \rightarrow Q \vdash (\forall x.P) \rightarrow \forall x.Q} [\rightarrow R] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\vdash (\forall x.P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (\forall x.P) \rightarrow \forall x.Q} [\rightarrow R]
 \end{array}$$

We assume that y does not occur in P or Q

Classical Sequent Calculus - 1st version

As in Natural Deduction, we can add the following classical (equivalent) rules to the intuitionistic Sequent Calculus for Predicate Logic, to obtain a classical version:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash P \vee \neg P} \quad [LEM]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg P}{\Gamma \vdash P} \quad [DNE]$$

A proof involving \neg and \forall

Prove $\forall x.Q$ from the hypotheses $\forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ and $\forall x.P$

Here is a classical proof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{P[x\backslash y], \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \neg Q[x\backslash y]} \quad [Id] \quad \frac{\frac{}{P[x\backslash y], \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash P[x\backslash y]} [Id]}{\neg P[x\backslash y], P[x\backslash y], \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \perp} [\neg L] \\
 \hline
 \neg Q[x\backslash y] \rightarrow \neg P[x\backslash y], P[x\backslash y], \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \perp \quad [\rightarrow L] \\
 \hline
 \neg Q[x\backslash y] \rightarrow \neg P[x\backslash y], \forall x.P, \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \perp \quad [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \neg Q[x\backslash y] \rightarrow \neg P[x\backslash y], \forall x.P, \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \perp \quad [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P, \neg Q[x\backslash y] \vdash \perp \\
 \hline
 \forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash \neg\neg Q[x\backslash y] \quad [\neg R] \\
 \hline
 \forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash \neg\neg Q[x\backslash y] \quad [DNE] \\
 \hline
 \forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash Q[x\backslash y] \\
 \hline
 \forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash \forall x.Q \quad [\forall R]
 \end{array}$$

We assume that y does not occur in P or Q

Classical Sequent Calculus - 2nd version

As for Propositional Logic, we can also obtain a classical version of this Sequent Calculus using classical sequents:

- ▶ a classical sequent be of the form $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$
- ▶ where Γ and Δ are lists of predicate logic formulas
- ▶ rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} [\rightarrow L] \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B, \Delta} [\rightarrow R] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \Delta} [\neg L] \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma_1, A \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \vee B \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} [\vee L] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} [\vee R] \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg A, \Delta} [\neg R] \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} [\wedge L] \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta_1, \Delta_2} [\wedge R] \quad \frac{}{A \vdash A} [Id] \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash B, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} [Cut] \quad \frac{\Gamma_1, B, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, A, B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} [X_L] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, B, A, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, A, B, \Delta_2} [X_R] \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} [W_L] \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} [C_L] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} [W_R] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} [C_R]
 \end{array}$$

Classical Sequent Calculus - 2nd version

We also allow using the usual derived rules.

In addition:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \backslash y], \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.P, \Delta} [\forall R] \qquad \frac{\Gamma, P[x \backslash t] \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x.P \vdash \Delta} [\forall L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \backslash t], \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.P, \Delta} [\exists R] \qquad \frac{\Gamma, P[x \backslash y] \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists x.P \vdash \Delta} [\exists L]$$

Conditions:

- ▶ for $[\forall R]$: y must not be free in Γ , Δ , or $\forall x.P$
- ▶ for $[\forall L]$: $\mathbf{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\mathbf{bv}(P)$
- ▶ for $[\exists R]$: $\mathbf{fv}(t)$ must not clash with $\mathbf{bv}(P)$
- ▶ for $[\exists L]$: y must not be free in Γ , Δ , or $\exists x.P$

A proof involving \neg and \forall – Revisited

Prove $\forall x.Q$ from the hypotheses $\forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ and $\forall x.P$ using classical sequents

Here is a classical proof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\overline{P[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y] \vdash Q[x \backslash y]}}{P[x \backslash y] \vdash \neg Q[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [Id] \quad \frac{\overline{P[x \backslash y] \vdash P[x \backslash y]}}{\neg P[x \backslash y], P[x \backslash y] \vdash} \quad [Id] \\
 \frac{}{P[x \backslash y] \vdash \neg Q[x \backslash y], Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\neg R] \quad \frac{}{\neg P[x \backslash y], P[x \backslash y] \vdash} \quad [\neg L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\neg Q[x \backslash y] \rightarrow \neg P[x \backslash y], P[x \backslash y] \vdash Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\rightarrow L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\neg Q[x \backslash y] \rightarrow \neg P[x \backslash y], \forall x.P \vdash Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash Q[x \backslash y]} \quad [\forall L] \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\forall x.\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P, \forall x.P \vdash \forall x.Q} \quad [\forall R]
 \end{array}$$

We assume that y does not occur in P or Q

Conclusion

What did we cover today?

- ▶ Predicate Logic proofs
- ▶ Natural Deduction proofs
- ▶ Intuitionistic Sequent Calculus rules
- ▶ Classical Sequent Calculus rules

Classical reasoning in Natural Deduction?

$$\frac{}{A \vee \neg A} \quad [LEM] \qquad \frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \quad [DNE]$$

Next time?

- ▶ Predicate logic – semantics