

## Decidability and Computability: Problems for Week 8

**Exercise 1** The following program uses recursion to compute a binary function  $B$  on natural numbers.

```
B(0, n) = n + 7
B(1, n) = n + 5
B(m + 2, 0) = m + 17
B(m + 2, 1) = m + B(m + 1, 9)
B(m + 2, n + 2) = B(m, n + 7) + B(m + 2, n)
```

Show that it terminates for all  $m$  and  $n$ . **Solution** For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Q(m, n)$  be the statement that the evaluation of  $B(m, n)$  terminates. We prove  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. Q(m, n)$  by course-of-values induction.

- To treat the case  $m = 0$ , we obtain  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. Q(0, n)$  since  $B(0, n)$  returns  $n + 7$ .
- To treat the case  $m = 1$ , we obtain  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. Q(1, n)$  since  $B(1, n)$  returns  $n + 5$ .
- To treat the case  $m = m' + 2$ , we prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. Q(m' + 2, n)$  by course-of-values induction on  $n$ .
  - To treat the case  $n = 0$ , we obtain  $Q(m' + 2, 0)$  since  $B(m' + 2, 0)$  returns  $m' + 17$ .
  - To treat the case  $n = 1$ , we obtain  $Q(m' + 2, 1)$  since  $B(m' + 1, 9)$  returns a value  $p$  (by the outer inductive hypothesis applied to  $m' + 1 < m$ ), and so  $B(m' + 2, 1)$  returns  $m' + p$ .
  - To treat the case  $n = n' + 2$ , we obtain  $Q(m' + 2, n' + 2)$  since  $B(m', n' + 7)$  returns a value  $p$  (by the outer inductive hypothesis applied to  $m' < m$ ) and  $B(m' + 2, n')$  returns a value  $q$  (by the inner inductive hypothesis applied to  $n' < n$ ), and so  $B(m' + 2, n' + 2)$  returns  $p + q$ .

**Exercise 2** Write `nat k = max(j - i, 0)` in Primitive Java. You may use all the encodings listed in the handout.

**Solution**

```
nat k = j;
repeat i times {k--;}
```

**Exercise 3**

Here is a unary program in Basic Java (using the encodings given in the handout).

```
nat i = 0;
nat j = 0;
while i != input0 {
  i++;
  i++;
  j++;
}
output = j;
```

What partial function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  does it compute? (Your answer should be 1–2 lines long.) **Solution** The one that halves every even number, and is undefined on odd numbers.

**Exercise 4** Complete the following sentences. Let's say that the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is  $\{a, b\}$ .

- A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is computable when ... If it is not computable, then by Church's thesis ...
- A subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is decidable when ... If it is not decidable, then by Church's thesis ...
- A language  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is decidable when ... If it is not decidable, then by Church's thesis ...
- Ambiguity of a context free grammar over  $\Sigma$  is an undecidable property. This means ... By Church's thesis, this implies ...

## Solution

- A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is computable when there is a Turing machine that, when executed on a tape containing just a number  $n$  written in binary with the head on the leftmost character, terminates when the tape contains just  $f(n)$  written in binary. If it is not computable, then by Church's thesis there is no algorithm that takes a number  $n$  and returns  $f(n)$ .
- A subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is decidable when there is a Turing machine that, when executed on a tape containing just a number  $n$  written in binary with the head on the leftmost character, terminates by returning True if  $n \in A$  and False otherwise. If it is not decidable, then by Church's thesis there is no algorithm that takes a number  $n$  and returns True if  $n \in A$  and False otherwise.
- A language  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is decidable when there is a Turing machine that, when executed on a tape containing just a word  $w$  with the head on the leftmost character, terminates by returning True if  $w \in A$  and False otherwise. If it is not decidable, then by Church's thesis there is no algorithm that takes a word  $w$  and returns True if  $w \in A$  and False otherwise.
- Ambiguity of a context-free grammar over  $\Sigma$  is an undecidable property. This means that there is no Turing machine that, when executed on a tape containing just context-free grammar  $L$  encoded as a word, terminates by returning True if  $L$  is ambiguous and False otherwise. By Church's thesis, this implies that there is no algorithm that takes a context-free grammar  $L$  and returns True if  $L$  is ambiguous and False otherwise.

**Exercise 5** Is ambiguity of a context free grammar a semidecidable property? What about non-ambiguity? Explain your answers. You may use facts that we have seen previously.

**Solution** Ambiguity is semidecidable. Firstly, any triple  $(w, D, D')$  consisting of a word  $w$  and two distinct leftmost derivations can be encoded as a string. Here a program that semidecides ambiguity. Given a grammar, go through all strings in order until you find one that encodes such a triple, then return True. Thus True is returned if there is such a triple (i.e. the grammar is ambiguous), and if not, then the program runs forever.

Non-ambiguity is not semidecidable. For if it were semidecidable, then ambiguity would be decidable, contradicting what was stated in the previous exercise.