Lecture 3

Propositions 命题

Propositional logic is a symbolic logic to reason about logical statement called propositions that can (in principle) be true or 命题逻辑是一种符号学逻辑,用来对一个逻辑命题进行推理,这个逻辑命题(原则上)可以是真或假

- Example
 - o 8 x 7 = 42 **Yes**

Arguments 论据

An **argument** is valid if and only if (iff) whenever the premises are true, then so is the conclusion 当且仅当(iff)前提为真时,一个**论证**有效,那么结论也是真

- Example
 - 0
- a. If John is at home, then his television is on.
- b. His television is not on.
- c. Therefore, John is not at home. Valid? Yes

Propositional logic 命题逻辑

• Symbols:

符号:

- atomic propositions (true/false atomic statements)
 原子命题(真假原子陈述)
- combined using logical connectives 使用逻辑连接语进行组合
- Atomic propositions (atoms)

原子命题

- o propositions that cannot be broken into smaller parts 不能被分解成更小的部分的命题
- Let p, q, r, . . . be atomic propositions 让pqr...都是原子命题
- two special atoms: T stands for True, ⊥ stands for False 两个特殊的原子: T代表True, ⊥代表False
- Logical Connectives

逻辑连接

- o conjunction: ^ (and) 连词
- o disjunction: ∨ (or) 或词
- o implication: → (if then / implies) 蕴全
- o negation: ¬ (not) can be defined using \rightarrow and \bot 否认
- If P and Q are formulas, then
 - P ^ Q is a formula
 - P ∨ Q is a formula
 - $\bullet \ \ P \to Q \text{ is a formula}$
 - ¬P is a formula

Those are called compound formulas

这些都被称为复合公式

Connectives - informal semantics 连接-非正式语义

- Conjunction: P ^ Q, i.e., P and Q 连词
 - true if both individual propositions P and Q are true 如果个别命题P和Q都为真,则为真
- Disjunction: P v Q, i.e., P or Q 或词
 - true if one or both individual propositions P and Q are true 如果一个或两个单独的命题P和Q都为真,则为真

- also sometimes called "inclusive or" 有时也被称为"包容性或"
- Note: Or in English is often an "exclusive or" (i.e. where one or the other is true, but not both) 注: 或者在英语中通常是"排他性的或" (即其中一个或另一个是真的,但不是两者都是)
- e.g., "Your mark will be pass or fail
- o but logical disjunction is always defined as above
- Implication: P → Q, i.e., P implies Q 蕴含
 - means: if P is true then Q must be true too 如果P是真的,那么Q也必须是真的
 - o if P is false, we can conclude nothing about Q 如果P是假的,我们就不能得出任何关于Q的结论
 - P is the antecedent, Q is the consequent P是前因, Q是结果
- Negation: ¬P, i.e., not P 否认
 - it can be defined as $P \to \bot$
 - o if P is true, then ⊥ (False)
 - o true iff P is false

Avoiding ambiguities 避免歧义

Precedence: in decreasing order of precedence \neg , \land , \lor , \rightarrow

优先级:按优先级递减的¬、∧、∨、→

Associativity: all operators are right associative 关联性: 所有操作符都是从右往左的关联性

Parse Trees 解析树

- Scope of a connective 连接器的范围
 - The connective itself, plus what it connects 连接器本身,再加上它所连接的东西
 - That is, the sub-tree of the parse tree rooted at the connective 连接器本身,再加上它所连接的东西
 - o The scope of ^ in (P ^ Q) ∨ R is P ^ Q (P^Q)∨R中的^范围为P^Q
- Main connective of a formula
 - 一个公式的主要连接器
 - o The connective whose scope is the whole formula 其范围是整个公式的连接器
 - o That is, the root node of the parse tree 即,解析树的根节点
 - The main connective of (P ^ Q) v R is v (P^Q) v R的主要连接器是v

Lecture 4

Natural Deduction

- Framework
 - 框架
 - o "natural" style of constructing a proof 构建证明的"自然"风格
 - start with the given premises 从给定的前提开始
 - repeatedly apply the given inference rules 反复应用给定的推理规则
 - until you obtain the conclusion 直到你得出结论
- Two key points:
 - 两个关键点
 - Can work both forwards and backwards 可以向前和反向工作
 - Natural doesn't mean there is unique proof 自然并不意味着有独特的证据

Comprehensive set of inference rules 综合的推理规则集

implication-introduction

$$\frac{A}{A} \stackrel{1}{\vdots} \\ \frac{B}{A \to B} \stackrel{1}{\to} I \to I$$

implication-elimination

$$A \to B \qquad A \\ B \qquad [\to E]$$

- Rules for ¬ (not)
 - Negation-introduction

$$\frac{\overline{A}}{\stackrel{1}{\vdots}}$$

$$\frac{\bot}{\neg A} \stackrel{1}{} [\neg I]$$

Negation-elimination

$$A \qquad \neg A \\ \perp \qquad [\neg E]$$

- Rules for ∨ (or)
 - or-introduction (for any formula B)

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \quad [\vee I_L] \qquad \qquad \frac{A}{B \vee A} \quad [\vee I_R]$$

or-elimination

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} A \lor B & A \to C & B \to C \\ \hline C & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \ [\lor E]$$

- Rules for ∧ (and)
 - and-introduction

$$\frac{A}{A \wedge B} [\wedge I]$$

and-elimination

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} \quad [\wedge E_R] \qquad \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \quad [\wedge E_L]$$