Utrecht University

Efficient Structural Differencing

… and the lessons learned from it

Victor Cacciari Miraldo Wouter Swierstra

Utrecht University

Why Structural Differencing?

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , F0, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , F0, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 42
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , F0, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 42
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Same line changes in two different ways

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , F0, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 42
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Same line changes in two different ways

Not same *column*

Motivation

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , F0, 12
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

```
Flour , B5, 5
Sugar , B7, 42
Eggs  , C1, 7
...
```

Same line changes in two different ways

Not same *column*

Requires knowledge about structure

Contributions

Contributions

- Representation for changes

Contributions

- Representation for changes
- Efficient Algorithm for structured diffing (and merging)
  - Think of `UNIX` diff, over AST's.

Contributions

- Representation for changes
- Efficient Algorithm for structured diffing (and merging)
  - Think of `UNIX` diff, over AST's.
- Wrote it in Haskell, generically

Contributions

- Representation for changes
- Efficient Algorithm for structured diffing (and merging)
  - Think of `UNIX` diff, over AST's.
- Wrote it in Haskell, generically
- Tested against dataset from GitHub
  - mined Lua repositories

Line-by-Line Differencing

The UNIX diff

Compares files line-by-line, outputs an *edit script*.

```
type checker: "You fool!
What you request makes no sense,
rethink your bad code."
```

```
type checker: "You fool!
What you request makes no sense,
it's some ugly code."
```

The UNIX diff

Compares files line-by-line, outputs an *edit script*.

```
type checker: "You fool!
What you request makes no sense,
rethink your bad code."
```

```
type checker: "You fool!
What you request makes no sense,
it's some ugly code."
```

UNIX diff outputs:

```
@@ -3,1 , +3,1 @@
- rethink your bad code."
+ it's some ugly code."
```

The UNIX diff: In a Nutshell

Encodes changes as an *edit script*

```
data EOp        = Ins String | Del | Cpy


type EditScript = [EOp]
```

The UNIX diff: In a Nutshell

Encodes changes as an *edit script*

```
data EOp        = Ins String | Del | Cpy

type EditScript = [EOp]
```

Example,

```
@@ -3,1 , +3,1 @@
- rethink your bad code."
+ it's some ugly code."
```

```
[Cpy , Cpy , Del , Ins "it's some ..."]
```

The UNIX diff: In a Nutshell

Encodes changes as an *edit script*

```
data EOp        = Ins String | Del | Cpy


type EditScript = [EOp]
```

Example,

```
@@ -3,1 , +3,1 @@
- rethink your bad code."
+ it's some ugly code."
```

```
[Cpy , Cpy , Del , Ins "it's some ..."]
```

Computes changes by enumeration.

```
diff :: [String] -> [String] -> Patch
diff s d = head $ sortBy mostCopies $ enumerate_all s d
```

The UNIX diff: Abstractly

The UNIX diff: Abstractly

Abstractly, `diff` computes differences between two objects:

```
diff  :: a -> a -> Patch a
```

The `UNIX` diff: Abstractly

Abstractly, `diff` computes differences between two objects:

```
diff  :: a -> a -> Patch a
```

as a *transformation* that can be applied,

```
apply :: Patch a -> a -> Maybe a
```

The UNIX diff: Abstractly

Abstractly, `diff` computes differences between two objects:

```
diff  :: a -> a -> Patch a
```

as a *transformation* that can be applied,

```
apply :: Patch a -> a -> Maybe a
```

such that,

```
apply (diff s d) s == Just s
```

The UNIX diff: Abstractly

Abstractly, `diff` computes differences between two objects:

```
diff  :: a -> a -> Patch a
```

as a *transformation* that can be applied,

```
apply :: Patch a -> a -> Maybe a
```

such that,

```
apply (diff s d) s == Just s
```

UNIX diff works for [`String`].

The UNIX diff Generalized: Edit Scripts

The UNIX diff Generalized: Edit Scripts

Modify edit scripts

```
data EOp = Ins TreeConstructor | Del | Cpy
```

The UNIX diff Generalized: Edit Scripts

Modify edit scripts

```
data EOp = Ins TreeConstructor | Del | Cpy
```



The UNIX diff Generalized: Edit Scripts

Modify edit scripts

```
data EOp = Ins TreeConstructor | Del | Cpy
```



src tree preorder: `[Bin , T , U]`

dst tree preorder: `[T]`

The UNIX diff Generalized: Edit Scripts

Modify edit scripts

```
data EOp = Ins TreeConstructor | Del | Cpy
```



src tree preorder: `[Bin , T , U]`

dst tree preorder: `[T]`

```
diff [Bin , T , U] [T] = [Del , Cpy , Del]
```

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Copy `U` : `[Cpy , Del , Cpy , Ins T]`

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Copy `U` : `[Cpy , Del , Cpy , Ins T]`

Copy `T` : `[Cpy , Ins U , Cpy , Del]`

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Copy `U` : `[Cpy , Del , Cpy , Ins T]`

Copy `T` : `[Cpy , Ins U , Cpy , Del]`

- Choice is **arbitrary**!

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Copy `U` : `[Cpy , Del , Cpy , Ins T]`

Copy `T` : `[Cpy , Ins U , Cpy , Del]`

- Choice is **arbitrary**!
- Edit Script with the most copies is not unique!

Edit Scripts: The Problem of Ambuiguity

Which subtree to copy?



Copy `U` : `[Cpy , Del , Cpy , Ins T]`

Copy `T` : `[Cpy , Ins U , Cpy , Del]`

- Choice is **arbitrary**!
- Edit Script with the most copies is not unique!

Counting copies is reminescent of logest common subsequence.

Edit Scripts: The Problem

Choice is necessary: only `Ins`, `Del` and `Cpy`

Edit Scripts: The Problem

Choice is necessary: only `Ins`, `Del` and `Cpy`

Drawbacks:

1. Cannot explore all copy oportunities: must chose one per subtree

Edit Scripts: The Problem

Choice is necessary: only `Ins`, `Del` and `Cpy`

Drawbacks:

1. Cannot explore all copy oportunities: must chose one per subtree

2. Choice points makes algorithms slow

Edit Scripts: The Problem

Choice is necessary: only `Ins`, `Del` and `Cpy`

Drawbacks:

1. Cannot explore all copy oportunities: must chose one per subtree

2. Choice points makes algorithms slow

Generalizations generalize specifications!

Edit Scripts: The Problem

Choice is necessary: only `Ins`, `Del` and `Cpy`

Drawbacks:

1. Cannot explore all copy oportunities: must chose one per subtree

2. Choice points makes algorithms slow

Generalizations generalize specifications!

Solution: Detach from *edit-scripts*



New Structure for Changes

Changes

```
diff (Bin (Bin t u) t) (Tri t u x) =
```



Changes

```
diff (Bin (Bin t u) t) (Tri t u x) =
```



- Arbitrary duplications, contractions, permutations
  - Can explore all copy opportunities

Changes

```
diff (Bin (Bin t u) t) (Tri t u x) =
```



- Arbitrary duplications, contractions, permutations
  - Can explore all copy opportunities
- Faster to compute
  - Our `diff s d` runs in $\mathcal{O}(\text{size s} + \text{size d})$

Changes

Two *contexts*

- deletion: matching
- insertion: instantiation

```
type Change = (TreeC MetaVar , TreeC MetaVar)
```

```
data Tree = Leaf
          | Bin Tree Tree
          | Tri Tree Tree Tree
```

Contexts are datatypes annotated with holes.

Changes

Two *contexts*

- deletion: matching
- insertion: instantiation

```
type Change = (TreeC MetaVar , TreeC MetaVar)
```

```
data Tree = Leaf
          | Bin Tree Tree
          | Tri Tree Tree Tree
```

Contexts are datatypes annotated with holes.

```
data TreeC h = LeafC
             | BinC TreeC TreeC
             | TriC TreeC TreeC TreeC
             | Hole h
```

Applying Changes



Applying Changes



Call it c,

Applying Changes



Call it `c`, application function sketch:

```
apply c = \x -> case x of
   Bin a (Bin b c) -> if c == t then Just (Bin a b) else Nothing
   _               -> Nothing
```

Computing Changes

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computation of `diff s d` divided:

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computation of `diff s d` divided:

Hard Identify the common subtrees in `s` and `d`

Easy Extract the context around the common subtrees

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computation of `diff s d` divided:

Hard Identify the common subtrees in `s` and `d`

Easy Extract the context around the common subtrees

Consequence of definition of `Change`

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computation of `diff s d` divided:

Hard Identify the common subtrees in `s` and `d`

Easy Extract the context around the common subtrees

Consequence of definition of `Change`

Spec of the *hard* part:

```
wcs :: Tree -> Tree -> (Tree -> Maybe MetaVar)
wcs s d = flip elemIndex (subtrees s `intersect` subtrees d)
```

Computing Changes

Can *copy as much as possible*

Computation of `diff s d` divided:

Hard Identify the common subtrees in `s` and `d`

Easy Extract the context around the common subtrees

Consequence of definition of `Change`

Spec of the *hard* part:

```
wcs :: Tree -> Tree -> (Tree -> Maybe MetaVar)
wcs s d = flip elemIndex (subtrees s `intersect` subtrees d)
```

Efficient `wcs` is akin to *hash-consing*. Runs in $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Computing Changes: The Easy Part

Extracting the context:

```
extract :: (Tree -> Maybe MetaVar) -> Tree -> TreeC
extract f x = maybe (extract' x) Hole $ f x
  where
    extract' (Bin a b) = BinC (extract f a) (extract f b)
    ...
```

Computing Changes: The Easy Part

Extracting the context:

```
extract :: (Tree -> Maybe MetaVar) -> Tree -> TreeC
extract f x = maybe (extract' x) Hole $ f x
  where
    extract' (Bin a b) = BinC (extract f a) (extract f b)
    ...
```

Finally, with `wcs s d` as an *oracle*

```
diff :: Tree -> Tree -> Change MetaVar
diff s d = let o = wcs s d
           in (extract o s , extract o d)
```

Computing Changes: The Easy Part

Extracting the context:

```
extract :: (Tree -> Maybe MetaVar) -> Tree -> TreeC
extract f x = maybe (extract' x) Hole $ f x
  where
    extract' (Bin a b) = BinC (extract f a) (extract f b)
    ...
```

Finally, with `wcs s d` as an *oracle*

```
diff :: Tree -> Tree -> Change MetaVar
diff s d = let o = wcs s d
           in (extract o s , extract o d)
```

Since `wcs s d` is efficient, so is `diff s d`

Experiments

Computing Changes: But how fast?

Diffed files from ≈ 1200 commits from top Lua repos

Computing Changes: But how fast?

Diffed files from ≈ 1200 commits from top Lua repos



Computing Changes: But how fast?

Diffed files from ≈ 1200 commits from top Lua repos





Merging Changes

Merging Changes

```
merge :: Change -> Change -> Either Conflict Change
merge p q = if p `disjoint` q then p else Conflict
```

Merging Changes

```
merge :: Change -> Change -> Either Conflict Change
merge p q = if p `disjoint` q then p else Conflict
```



Merging Changes

```haskell
merge :: Change -> Change -> Either Conflict Change
merge p q = if p `disjoint` q then p else Conflict
```



11% of mined merge commits could be *merged*

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts
- Faster algorithm altogether

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts
- Faster algorithm altogether

Open questions:

- How to reason over new change repr?

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts
- Faster algorithm altogether

Open questions:

- How to reason over new change repr?
- Where do we stand with metatheory?

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts
- Faster algorithm altogether

Open questions:

- How to reason over new change repr?
- Where do we stand with metatheory?
- Can’t copy bits inside a tree. Is this a problem?

Summary

New representation enables:

- Clear division of tasks (`wcs` oracle + context extraction)
- Express more changes than edit scripts
- Faster algorithm altogether

Open questions:

- How to reason over new change repr?
- Where do we stand with metatheory?
- Can’t copy bits inside a tree. Is this a problem?
- …

Utrecht University

Efficient Structural Differencing

… and the lessons learned from it

Victor Cacciari Miraldo Wouter Swierstra

Utrecht University