

# Completeness for Propositional Logic

Chapter 2, Section 10

# Adequacy Theorem

Our target in this section is the following theorem. Throughout the section,  $\sigma$  is assumed to be the default signature  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$ . We will briefly discuss this assumption at the end of the section.

## **Theorem 3.10.1 (Adequacy of Natural Deduction for Propositional Logic)**

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  and  $\psi$  a formula of  $LP(\sigma)$ . If  $\Gamma \models_{\sigma} \psi$  then  $\Gamma \vdash_{\sigma} \psi$ .*

# Syntactically Consistent Set

## Definition 3.10.2

We say that a set  $\Gamma$  of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  is *syntactically consistent* if  $\Gamma \not\vdash_{\sigma} \perp$ . (This notion is independent of what signature  $\sigma$  we choose, so long as  $LP(\sigma)$  contains all of  $\Gamma$ .)

## Lemma 3.10.3

*To prove the Adequacy Theorem it is enough to show that every syntactically consistent set of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  has a model.*

# Hintikka Set

## Definition 3.10.4

We say that a set  $\Gamma$  of formulas of (the stripped-down) LP is a *Hintikka set* (for LP) if it has the following properties :

- (H1) If a formula  $(\phi \wedge \psi)$  is in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\phi$  is in  $\Gamma$  and  $\psi$  is in  $\Gamma$ .
- (H2) If a formula  $(\neg(\phi \wedge \psi))$  is in  $\Gamma$ , then at least one of  $(\neg\phi)$  and  $(\neg\psi)$  is in  $\Gamma$ .
- (H3) If a formula  $(\neg(\neg\phi))$  is in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\phi$  is in  $\Gamma$ .
- (H4)  $\perp$  is not in  $\Gamma$ .
- (H5) There is no propositional symbol  $p$  such that both  $p$  and  $(\neg p)$  are in  $\Gamma$ .

# Hintikka Set Properties

## **Lemma 3.10.5**

*Every Hintikka set has a model.*

## **Lemma 3.10.6**

*If  $\Gamma$  is a syntactically consistent set of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$ , then there is a Hintikka set  $\Delta$  of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  with  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ .*

# Proof of Adequacy Theorem

## Proof

*From Lemma 3.10.3, it suffices to show that every syntactically consistent set of formulas has a model.*

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a syntactically consistent set of formulas. By Lemma 3.10.6, there exists a Hintikka set  $\Delta$  of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ .*

*By Lemma 3.10.5,  $\Delta$  has a model  $A$ . Since  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ , then  $A$  is also a model of  $\Gamma$ .*

# Completeness Theorem

## Theorem 3.10.7 (Completeness Theorem)

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of formulas of  $LP(\sigma)$  and  $\psi$  a formula of  $LP(\sigma)$ . Then*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\sigma} \psi \iff \Gamma \models_{\sigma} \psi.$$

**Proof** This is the Soundness and Adequacy Theorems combined.