

## Exercise 27

(a) We show that

$$(\neg((p_1 \wedge p_2) \wedge p_3)) \text{ eq } ((\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2)) \vee (\neg p_3)).$$

Set  $\phi_1 := (\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2))$  and

$$\phi_2 := ((\neg p_1) \vee (\neg p_2))$$

By De Morgan law, we have

$$\phi_1 \text{ eq } \phi_2.$$

Let  $S$  be the substitution

$$S : [(p_1 \wedge p_2)/p_1, p_3/p_2].$$

Then we have

$$\phi_1[S] = (\neg((p_1 \wedge p_2) \wedge p_3)) \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_2[S] = ((\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2)) \vee (\neg p_3)).$$

By the Substitution Theorem, we get

$$\phi_1[S] \text{ eq } \phi_2[S], \quad (1)$$

which is the desired result.

Now we show that

$$((\neg(P_1 \wedge P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)) \text{ eq } (((\neg P_1) \vee (\neg P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)).$$

We expand the signature by adding the propositional symbol  $\pi$ , and we set

$$\phi = (\pi \vee (\neg P_3)).$$

Consider the substitutions :

$$S_1 : \Psi_1 / \pi, \text{ where } \Psi_1 = (\neg(P_1 \wedge P_2))$$

$$S_2 : \Psi'_1 / \pi, \text{ where } \Psi'_1 = ((\neg P_1) \vee (\neg P_2)).$$

We have, by De Morgan law, that

$$\Psi_1 \text{ eq } \Psi'_1,$$

then, by the Replacement Theorem, we obtain

$$\phi[S_1] \text{ eq } \phi[S_2], \text{ that is}$$

$$(\pi \vee (\neg P_3))[(\neg(P_1 \wedge P_2))/\pi] \text{ eq } (\pi \vee (\neg P_3))[(\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_2)/\pi]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((\neg(P_1 \wedge P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)) \text{ eq } (((\neg P_1) \vee (\neg P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)). \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) and the transitivity of the relation eq, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\neg((P_1 \wedge P_2) \wedge P_3)) &\text{ eq } ((\neg(P_1 \wedge P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)) \\ &\text{ eq } (((\neg P_1) \vee (\neg P_2)) \vee (\neg P_3)). \end{aligned}$$

(b) We show, by induction on  $n$ , that for any formulae  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  we have

$$(\neg(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_n))$$

$$\text{eq } (\dots((\neg\phi_1) \vee (\neg\phi_2)) \vee \dots) \vee (\neg\phi_n)).$$

For  $n=2$ , this is just the De Morgan law.

We suppose that the property is true for  $n$ , and we prove it for  $n+1$ .

Let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+1}$  be any formulae. We introduce the propositional symbols  $r$  and  $s$  and we set

$$X_1 := (\neg(r \wedge s)) \text{ and } X_2 := ((\neg r) \vee (\neg s)).$$

We have, by De Morgan law,

$$X_1 \text{ eq } X_2.$$

Consider the substitution defined by

$$S: [(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_n] / r, \phi_{n+1} / s]$$

By the substitution theorem, we have

$$X_1[S] \text{ eq } X_2[S],$$

that is,

$$(\neg(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_n) \wedge \phi_{n+1})$$

$$\text{eq } ((\neg(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_n)) \vee (\neg\phi_{n+1})).$$

Now, we introduce the propositional symbol  $t$ , and we set

$$\theta := (t \vee (\neg\phi_{n+1})).$$

Consider the following substitutions

$$S_1 : (\neg(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_n)) / t$$

$$S_2 : (\dots((\neg\phi_1) \vee (\neg\phi_2)) \vee \dots) \vee (\neg\phi_n)) / t.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we can apply the Replacement Theorem, so that

$$\theta[S_1] \quad \text{eq} \quad \theta[S_2],$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\neg(\dots(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \dots) \wedge \phi_{n+1})) \\ & \text{eq} \quad (\dots((\neg\phi_1) \vee (\neg\phi_2)) \vee \dots) \vee (\neg\phi_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

For part (c), see the reference book.



### Exercise 30

e) We construct the truth table of the given formula

$\neg (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r) := \phi$							
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
T	T	F	F	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F

↑↑

From the proof of Post's Theorem, the formula in DNF which is logically equivalent to  $\phi$  is

$$\phi^{DNF} = (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r).$$

(This corresponds to the  $\sigma$ -structures where  $\phi$  is true.)

To find  $\phi^{CNF}$ , notice that  $(\neg\phi)$  is true if and only if  $\phi$  is false, and set  $\theta := (\neg\phi)^{DNF}$ . Then

$$\theta \text{ eq } \neg\phi$$

so

$$\neg\theta \text{ eq } \neg\neg\phi \text{ eq } \phi$$

We have

$$\theta = (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r)$$

then

$$\neg\theta \text{ eq } (\neg p \vee q \vee \neg r) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q \vee \neg r)$$

which is a formula in CNF that is logically equivalent to  $\phi$ .

j) Using successively the relation  
 $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \text{ eq } (\neg \phi) \vee \psi$ ,  
we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P_1 \rightarrow (P_2 \rightarrow (P_3 \rightarrow P_4)) \\ \text{eq } & P_1 \rightarrow (P_2 \rightarrow (\neg P_3 \vee P_4)) \\ \text{eq } & P_1 \rightarrow (\neg P_2 \vee (\neg P_3 \vee P_4)) \\ \text{eq } & P_1 \rightarrow (\neg P_2 \vee \neg P_3 \vee P_4) \\ \text{eq } & (\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_2 \vee \neg P_3 \vee P_4) \end{aligned}$$

this last formula is in DNF.

It is also in CNF.

### Exercise 31

(a) Set  $\phi := (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \vee (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)$ .

Using commutativity and associativity properties, we obtain

$$(p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \text{ eq } (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4 \wedge p_3)$$

and

$$(p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \text{ eq } (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4 \wedge \neg p_3).$$

So

$$\phi \text{ eq } (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4 \wedge p_3) \vee (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4 \wedge \neg p_3).$$

(use Replacement Theorem with  $(x \vee \neg x)$ )

By distributivity and transitivity, we get

$$\phi \text{ eq } ((p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4) \wedge (p_3 \vee \neg p_3)).$$

Since  $(p_3 \vee \neg p_3)$  is a tautology, we deduce

$$\phi \text{ eq } (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_4).$$

(b) From part (a), we have

$$(p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3 \wedge p_4) \vee (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \vee (p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)$$

$$\text{eq } (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3) \vee (p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)$$

which is a shorter formula in DNF that is logically equivalent to the given formula.



## Exercise 32

(a) A formula in DNF has a model if and only if at least one of its disjuncts is satisfiable. Since  $(\neg p_1 \wedge p_3)$  is obviously satisfiable, we can take as a model for the formula in (i) the  $\sigma$ -structure given by  $A(p_1)=F$ ,  $A(p_2)=T$  and  $A(p_3)=T$ .

There is no model for the formula in (ii) because its two disjuncts are not satisfiable. Indeed, each disjunct contains  $p_i$  and  $\neg p_i$  for some  $i$ .

(b) We can suggest the following instructions:

- 1) If each disjunct contains  $p_i$  and  $\neg p_i$  for some  $i$ , then the formula has no model.
- 2) Otherwise, choose a disjunct that doesn't contain  $p_i$  and  $\neg p_i$  for some  $i$ . Then a model for the formula is given by the  $\sigma$ -structure defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} A(p_i) &= T && \text{if the formula contains } p_i \\ A(p_i) &= F && \text{if the formula contains } \neg p_i \\ A(p_i) &= T && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$